ALVMNVS BOOK FVND



William Bean —

THE

NATURAL HISTORY AND ANTIQUITIES

OF

SELBORNE,

IN

THE COUNTY OF SOUTHAMPTON.

Embellished with Engravings.

1837.

CHISWICK PRESS:
C. WHITTINGHAM, COLLEGE HOUSE.

THE

NATURAL HISTORY AND ANTIQUITIES

OF

SELBORNE.

BY THE

REV. GILBERT WHITE, M.A.

WITH

THE NATURALIST'S CALENDAR;

AND MISCELLANEOUS OBSERVATIONS,

EXTRACTED FROM HIS PAPERS.

A New Edition;

WITH NOTES, BY EDWARD TURNER BENNETT, ESQ.

F. L. S. ETC. SECRETARY OF THE ZOOLOGICAL SOCIETY;

AND OTHERS.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR J. AND A. ARCH; LONGMAN AND CO.; BALDWIN AND CRADOCK; HATCHARD AND SON; R. SCHOLEY; J. G. AND F. RIVINGTON; WHITTAKER AND CO.; J. DUNCAN; J. CAPES; W. MASON; E. HODGSON; J. BAIN; W. J. AND J. MAYNARD; J. BOHN; J. VANVOORST; AND HOULSTON AND SON.

MARYLAND

QH138
S4W5
1837

Ann Arbor

691383

ADVERTISEMENT.

THE Author of the following Letters takes the liberty, with all proper deference, of laying before the Public his idea of *parochial history*, which, he thinks, ought to consist of natural productions and occurrences as well as antiquities. He is also of opinion that if stationary men would pay some attention to the districts on which they reside, and would publish their thoughts respecting the objects that surround them, from such materials might be drawn the most complete county-histories, which are still wanting in several parts of this kingdom, and in particular in the county of Southampton.

And here he seizes the first opportunity, though a late one, of returning his most grateful acknowledgments to the reverend the President and the reverend and worthy the Fellows of Magdalen College, in the University of Oxford, for their liberal behaviour in permitting their archives to be searched by a member of their own society, so far as the evidences therein contained might respect the parish and priory of Selborne. To that gentleman also, and his assistant, whose labours and attention could only be equalled by the very kind manner in which they were bestowed, many and great obligations are also due.

Of the authenticity of the documents above-mentioned there can be no doubt, since they consist of the identical deeds and records that were removed to the College from the Priory at the time of its dissolution; and, being care-

634333

fully copied on the spot, may be depended on as genuine; and, never having been made public before, may gratify the curiosity of the antiquary, as well as establish the credit of the history.

If the writer should at all appear to have induced any of his readers to pay a more ready attention to the wonders of the Creation, too frequently overlooked as common occurrences; or if he should by any means, through his researches, have lent an helping hand towards the enlargement of the boundaries of historical and topographical knowledge; or if he should have thrown some small light upon ancient customs and manners, and especially on those that were monastic; his purpose will be fully answered. But if he should not have been successful in any of these his intentions, yet there remains this consolation behind—that these his pursuits, by keeping the body and mind employed, have, under Providence, contributed to much health and cheerfulness of spirits, even to old age: and, what still adds to his happiness, have led him to the knowledge of a circle of gentlemen whose intelligent communications, as they have afforded him much pleasing information, so, could he flatter himself with a continuation of them, would they ever be deemed a matter of singular satisfaction and improvement.

Gil: White.

SELBORNE,
Jan. 1st, 1788.

BIOGRAPHICAL RECORDS

OF

THE AUTHOR.

BACK VIEW OF THE RESIDENCE, AT SELBORNE, OF THE REV. GILBERT WHITE.

GILBERT WHITE was the eldest son of John White of Selborne, Esq. and of Anne the daughter of Thomas Holt, rector of Streatham in Surrey. He was born at Selborne on July 18, 1720; and received his school-education at Basingstoke, under the Rev. Thomas Warton, vicar of that place, and father of those two distinguished literary characters, Dr. Joseph Warton, master of Win-

chester School; and Mr. Thomas Warton, poetry-professor at Oxford. He was admitted at Oriel College, Oxford, in December, 1739, and took his degree of bachelor of arts in June, 1743. In March, 1744, he was elected fellow of his college. He became master of arts in October, 1746, and was admitted one of the senior proctors of the University in April, 1752. Being of an unambitious temper, and strongly attached to the charms of rural scenery, he early fixed his residence in his native village, where he spent the greater part of his life in literary occupations, and especially in the study of nature. This he followed with patient assiduity, and a mind ever open to the lessons of piety and benevolence which such a study is so well calculated to afford. Though several occasions offered of settling upon a college living, he could never persuade himself to quit the beloved spot, which was, indeed, a peculiarly happy situation for an observer. He was much esteemed by a select society of intelligent and worthy friends, to whom he paid occasional visits. Thus his days passed, tranquil and serene, with scarcely any other vicissitudes than those of the seasons, till they closed at a mature age on June 26, 1793.

I. W.

PREFACE

TO THE PRESENT EDITION.

To the "few Biographical Records" of GILBERT WHITE prefixed by his nephew John to the edition of 1802, and here reprinted, it is quite unnecessary to add. They contain the simple annals of a good man, contented with his station, and unambitious of worldly honour or advantage. His refusal of church preferment, which was so often within his reach, arose from no distaste for the discharge of his clerical functions, for during the last few years of his life he officiated as curate of Selborne, and he had previously done duty in the same capacity in the adjoining parish of Faringdon. The last entry in the register of burials which precedes his own, and precedes it only by the brief space of three weeks, is attested by his signature; and a baptism registered by him bears date within a fortnight of his dissolution—proofs that the illness under which he sank was of short duration, and that he continued to the last zealous in the performance of his sacred duties. The inscription on his monument, which has been removed by the pious care of his surviving relatives from the exterior to the interior of the chancel, is given at p. 527 of the present edition; and "a slight heave of the turf, 'the fifth from the wall,' with the initials and date, 'G. W. ob. 1793,' on the low footstone,

marks the humble grave of the naturalist and philosopher." No portrait remains to preserve the record of his personal appearance.

Although Gilbert White lived and died a bachelor, he left a numerous family of near relations; the number of his nephews and nieces, carefully noted down as they came into the world, amounting, as we are told, to about sixty-three, at the time when his diary closed. Most of his immediate relatives appear to have been imbued with a taste for the same pursuits as those to which he was himself devoted, and which we accordingly find them actively engaged in promoting, either independently or in connexion with him. A brief notice of some of them may therefore not be unacceptable here.

Frequent reference is made in the succeeding pages to the observations of his brother John, like himself in the church, and at one period Vicar of Blackburn in Lancashire; but who afterwards became resident at Gibraltar, where he made large collections for a Natural History of the place, from the unpublished manuscript of which an extract is given by his brother at p. 364. This gentleman is mentioned by Pennant in his "Literary Life," while speaking of his projected "Outlines of the Globe," the fifth volume of which he states to be "particularly rich in drawings, made by Moses Griffith, of the birds and fishes of Gibraltar, communicated to me by the Rev. the late Mr. John White, long resident in that fortress."

Another brother, Thomas, (to whose observations made at his house at South Lambeth our

author occasionally refers, but without naming him) was a wholesale ironmonger in London; but quitting business with an ample fortune devoted much of his time to literary pursuits, especially on subjects connected with meteorology and natural history. He was a Fellow of the Royal Society, and author of numerous essays which appeared in the "Gentleman's Magazine" between the years 1780 and 1790, under the signature of T. H. W. Among these a series of articles on the trees of Great Britain are particularly deserving of notice, for the extensive information, good taste, and variety of reading which they display. His mantle has descended upon his son, Thomas Holt White, Esq. of Enfield in Middlesex: in the "Notes on Shakspeare" in which the father sometimes indulged, we find the same spirit which induced the son to inscribe his name on the list of commentators in the variorum Shakspeare of Isaac Reid; and in his "Vindication of Milton from the censure of Dr. Johnson" is contained the germ of the vigorous and masterly "Review of Johnson's criticisms on the style of Milton's English Prose," and of an edition, truly classical, of the most perfect specimen of that prose, the "Areopagitica," in all the purity of its original text, and clothed with all the panoply of critical illustration, copious, erudite, and profound.

A third brother, Benjamin, the publisher of the first edition of the present work, was during much of the latter half of the past century the principal publisher of English books on Natural History. On the death of Gilbert he succeeded to the estate

at Selborne, and transferred his business to his second son, John, who continued it until within a few years of the present time. From this establishment emanated, among many other important publications, most of the works of Ellis, Pennant, Montagu, Latham, Donovan, Andrews, the elder Sowerby, Curtis, Lightfoot, Lambert, and Smith. The house in which the business was carried on was originally distinguished, according to the fashion of the times, by the sign of the Horace's Head, a misreading of which gave rise to a whimsical mistake on the part of Scopoli, who, in dedicating the several plates of his "Deliciæ Floræ et Faunæ Insubricæ" to various patrons of natural history, inscribed one of them as published "Auspiciis DD. DD. Beniamini Withe, et Horatii Heal, Bibliopol. Londinensium." It may be added, that in his "Vitæ suæ Vices," published at the end of the third and last part of the work just quoted, the same writer enumerates among the "eruditi viri cum quibus commercium litterarium colui," the name of "D. Withe, ex Gibraltariâ." Many passages in the present work prove how highly Scopoli was esteemed by our author, with whose family these circumstances, trivial as they are, serve in some degree to connect his name.

In Gilbert White's diaries mention is also made of a "brother Harry." He too was in the church, and rector of Fyfield, near Andover, in the county of Hants, whence one of the letters to Daines Barrington is dated, and where, as appears by various references in the course of the volume, a series of meteorological observations were made for com-

parison with those registered at Selborne, South Lambeth, and Lyndon, in the county of Rutland.

The author of the observations last mentioned, those made at Lyndon, (which were continued for more than half a century, and regularly published in the "Philosophical Transactions," being communicated while he lived by Thomas White, who occasionally added to them the comparative observations made by himself and his brothers,) was Thomas Barker, brother-in-law of our author, through marriage with his sister Ann. A brief account of this gentleman, who was distinguished also as a theological critic and astronomer, will be found in the note at p. 17.

In the commencement of his tenth letter to Pennant, the earliest in date of the entire series, Gilbert White laments the want of neighbours whose studies led them towards the pursuit of natural knowledge. But from his continued correspondence with the relatives just enumerated, from his occasional visits to most of them, and from the return of those visits to himself, (for his house, although that of a bachelor, was always open to his family and friends,) he must, in his latter years, have felt this want much less sensibly, than at the period when it was noted as an apology for the slender progress which he then conceived himself to have made in the science. Few men have the good fortune to possess so many near connexions engaged in pursuits so congenial with their own.

The first edition of "The Natural History and Antiquities of Selborne"—a work destined, from the quiet simplicity of its style, the calm benevo-

lence of its spirit, and the close and accurate observation evinced in almost every page, to become more extensively popular than any other publication on a similar subject that has yet appeared—was given to the world in quarto, in 1789, four years before the death of its amiable author. In 1795 Dr. Aikin selected from Gilbert White's Natural History Journals, which had been regularly kept for a period of five-and-twenty years, closing only with his death, numerous additional observations, and compiled from the same source a calendar of the appearances of nature, which together formed a thin octavo volume under the title of "A Naturalist's Calendar, with Observations in various Branches of Natural History." The "Calendar" and "Observations" were added, in 1802, to a reprint of the "Natural History," with some further extracts from the Journals, also selected and incorporated with the previous Observations by Dr. Aikin. In this edition, in two volumes octavo, the "Antiquities" were omitted; many notes were added by Mr. Markwick, who supplied a comparative Calendar founded on his own observations; and the "Biographical Records" of the author, which have been copied in all subsequent reprints, were prefixed by his nephew John, the publisher of the new edition.

A second edition in quarto of the "Natural History" and "Antiquities" combined, together with the "Calendar" and the enlarged "Observations," was published in 1813; and in this appeared for the first time a few of the author's poems, of no great critical pretensions, but strongly illus-

trative of his benevolent disposition and observant habits. There were also added a series of agreeble notes, chiefly of a classical and literary character, by the Rev. John Mitford, of Benhall in the county of Suffolk, the author of a Life of Gray, and of an Essay on his Poems, prefixed to an edition of the works of that poet, published in 1816.

In 1825, the "Antiquities" were again discarded, and the "Natural History" with its appendages resumed the octavo form, and again appeared in two volumes. From this and the preceding editions have originated several reprints of a larger or smaller portion of our author's works, (but always omitting the "Antiquities,") which have been edited from time to time by Sir William Jardine and Captain Brown, whose notes and illustrations have contributed to diffuse more widely the popularity of the original.

A new edition of the entire work, in which the "Antiquities" again assumed their station, appeared in 1833 in the same form as the present, under the superintendence of Mr. Rennie, who added many notes of his own to those which were then for the first time contributed by the Hon. and Rev. W. Herbert, and to a series of observations by the late Mr. Sweet on the food of soft-billed birds and their treatment in captivity, a subject to which the attention of that able horticulturist had long been extensively and practically directed. In this edition several additional sketches of local scenery were introduced, from the pencil of a gentleman who had taken great interest in the publi-

cation; other illustrations were also given from drawings made by Mr. William Harvey; and a few were contributed by Mr. W. H. Herbert, the son of the gentleman whose notes formed so valuable an addition to the text.

In the course of the following year the proprietors contemplating a speedy demand for a new edition, Mr. Harvey applied on their part to my brother to undertake its revision; but his occupations then appeared to him to be too engrossing to admit of his engaging in it even as a relaxation, and he hesitated to accept the proposal. In the summer of the next year, however, it was urgently renewed; and although the demands upon his time had by no means diminished during the interval, the harassing nature of his avocations became itself a motive for accepting the offer, which afforded him a justification in his own mind for obtaining a short respite from the cares, vexations, and annoyances of the busy and contentious world with which he was unwillingly mixed up. His first intention with respect to the work had been merely to give the text a careful revision, to expunge such notes as appeared to him to have been unnecessarily introduced, and to add a few, a very few, of his own in explanation of those passages which seemed imperatively to require illustration. But the altered views with which he now undertook the publication suggested to him a visit to the scenes which it described, both as a means of acquiring healthful relaxation to himself, and in the hope of adding some interesting features to the work.

It was late in October ere his engagements

allowed of his visit to Selborne being accomplished. Here the wearied spirit sought repose and found it, not in listlessness and inactivity, but in change of scene and of occupation; for never were his mind or his body more actively employed than during his sojourn in that secluded village. The autumn was unusually prolonged; the oak-covered hills and beechen hangers of the district still retained their foliage in all the varied hues of that changeful season; and the sudden transition from the strife and bustle of the town to the peaceful serenity of such scenes as those by which he was here surrounded, rendered him peculiarly alive to their beauties, and deeply impressed him with the infinite superiority of the glorious works of the Creator over the petty objects of the eager and incessant struggles of mankind.

His letters home were filled with glowing descriptions of the picturesque scenes and objects which every where met his eye; and his first impulse was to request, almost to require, of Mr. Harvey to join him at Selborne, there to delineate some of the more striking features of the district, with a view to their introduction into the new edition of the present work. Mr. Harvey promptly responded to the friendly call; and together they traversed the neighbourhood in all directions in search of curious or interesting objects, and reaped an ample harvest in return for their grateful toil. But it soon became evident that the materials which they had collected were far too extensive to be used as additions to the work in hand, and they determined on selecting from

among them such only as might fairly be appended to it, reserving the mass for a separate publication on "Selborne and its Vicinity," in the preparation of which they eagerly and enthusiastically joined. Landscapes of infinite variety and of surpassing loveliness, curious and extraordinary productions of nature, buildings of picturesque effect, and single objects of note or interest, were sketched by the one, and commented on by the other, until the portfolio was literally crammed, and the utmost limits of their time were reached.

Lured by the glowing descriptions which I received, in company with a friend whose initials will be found appended to many of the notes in the present volume, I visited them in their retreat; and for the brief space of three days joined them in their excursions, participated in their enthusiasm, and witnessed the delighted feelings with which they pursued their pleasing occupation. The manifest improvement in my brother's health and spirits made me happy in the feeling that I had contributed to persuade him, reluctant as he was at first to engage in it, to undertake a task which had led to so gratifying a result. But time wore on: his return to London could no longer be delayed, and Selborne was quitted—not without a painful struggle. So strong a hold had it gained on his affections, that I felt persuaded, whenever he could tear himself away from the busy scenes of life, Selborne or its immediate neighbourhood would be chosen as the spot whereon to pass the remainder of his days.

His first care on his return was to prepare the

present volume for the press: that completed, it was his intention to have revised, corrected, and arranged his materials for the supplementary work above alluded to. But again drawn within the vortex of conflicting passions, and compelled by his official station to take an active part in proceedings repugnant to his peaceful disposition, his spirits flagged, and the completion of his purpose was delayed until the period to which he anxiously looked forward, when he could honourably and without self-reproach set himself free from the trammels in which he felt himself bound. Before that period arrived he sank under an illness, of short duration in itself, but of which the foundations had doubtless been long previously laid. His collections relating to "Selborne and its Vicinity" are now in my hands, and I trust, when other claims (claims of duty) have been fulfilled, that those of feeling may follow as they ought in next succession, and that I may be enabled to give those collections to the world in a form in some degree resembling that which he intended them to assume.

In the present edition of the works of Gilbert White my brother's first object was to insure the purity of the text by a careful comparison throughout with the original editions. From these no deviation has been admitted, with the exception of some half dozen sentences which the scrupulous delicacy of a gentleman who has added much to the interest of the work had, in the last edition, converted into Greek, in which language, in deference to his particular request, they have been

retained in the present. The documents connected with the Priory of Selborne which formed the appendix to the "Antiquities," and which were omitted in the last edition, have now been replaced in the form of notes on the passages to which they severally refer, with the single exception of the "Visitatio Notabilis," a document of very great length, the partial abstract of which given by our author is now completed, the additions being included within brackets. A few other additions to the "Antiquities" have also been introduced in the text, and are distinguished in the same manner.

No portion of the original text has been omitted, and all the additions to the "Observations" which have been made in the several editions have been retained. The present volume consequently contains the entire published works of Gilbert White, with the exception of some farther selections from his Journals, which have been lately given in the second series of Mr. Jesse's "Gleanings in Natural History." It is closed by a much more copious index than had previously been subjoined, founded on the basis of that appended to the original edition, but with very numerous additions.

Extensive additions have also been made to the illustrations. The views of local scenery introduced into the last edition have been carefully compared by Mr. Harvey with their originals in nature; and others have been added by him from sketches made during his visit. He has given numerous figures of quadrupeds, birds, insects, and other objects of interest, mentioned in the

text; and it should also be observed that Mr. Herbert has kindly communicated some additional sketches illustrative of the characters of the different species of willow-wren, to the distinctions of which he has paid so much attention.

Of the notes contained in the previous editions a few have been omitted as irrelevant or unnecessary. Those of Markwick and others on the "Observations," which had been formerly printed as part of the text, have been reduced to their proper station at the foot of the pages to which they refer. Many others have been added illustrative of the wide range of subjects treated of by the author; in most cases confirming, in some few correcting, the statements of the text, and accommodating it to the constantly progressive state of natural science, of which they occasionally take a more extended view. Of these a large proportion are from the pen of my brother, but not a few have been contributed by the kindness of his friends: to all of them the initials of the writers are attached. The Hon. and Rev. W. Herbert has again drawn largely on his stores of information connected with ornithology and other branches of natural history; and Messrs. Bell, Owen, Yarrell, and G. Daniell, (the friend to whom I have before alluded as accompanying me in my short visit to Selborne,) have by their numerous notes contributed to enhance the zoological interest of this edition. To each and all of these kind and estimable friends I can but offer in my brother's name the now melancholy tribute of his thanks.

Those thanks are also in an especial manner

due to Mrs. White, a niece of our author, and the present representative of the family in Selborne, and to her niece Miss Georgiana; to both of whom my brother was indebted during his residence in the village for much kind assistance. By the latter the remains of the old tortoise, so often mentioned in the succeeding pages, were rescued from obscurity, and an opportunity afforded of paying a well-merited compliment to the memory of her relative, by the dedication of it to his name, which it is hoped will stand the test of future investigation. These remains, and the painting by Elmer of the supposed hybrid pheasant, which has been the subject of so much discussion, are almost the only personal relics of Gilbert White that are now preserved in his former habitation.

From two other members of the family, resident in the immediate neighbourhood, my brother also met with much polite attention: the Rev. Edmund White, Vicar of Newton-Valence, who is referred to by his uncle in the following pages as "Mr. White of Newton," which living he held for some years previous to his uncle's death; and the Rev. Thomas Bissland, Rector of Hartley Maudytt, and author of a Volume of Sermons lately published, who is married to a grand-niece of our author, and takes a great interest in every thing connected with his name.

Among the residents of Selborne to whom my brother was particularly indebted, the Rev. W. R. Cobbold, the present Vicar, is entitled to an especial acknowledgment for his kind and unwearied attentions, as well as for the warm interest which

he took in my brother's views, and the ready zeal with which he assisted in promoting them. From many other inhabitants of the village and its neighbourhood my brother also received numerous testimonies of their good feeling towards himself and the objects which he had in view.

A month has not elapsed since I had fondly anticipated that this Preface would have been written by the hand of him who prepared the volume for the press. To the last his interest in the work continued unabated: the corrections to the earlier printed sheets of the "Antiquities" were made by me at his bed-side and under his directions; and only three or four sheets remained unrevised at the time of his death. His last instructions to me on any subject of worldly interest had reference to the distribution of certain copies of the book. I may therefore perhaps be excused for having dwelt so long on topics of no great interest to the world at large, and for giving way in some degree to feelings which, although I may strive to moderate, I cannot altogether repress. The time may come when I may be able to write more calmly on the subject, and when I may attempt to pay a fitting tribute to the memory of one who from infancy upwards was my best and truest guide, counsellor, and friend.

I. J. B.

Bulstrode Street,
Sept. 15, 1836.

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS.

PAGE

THE Hermitage, in the title page.
Autograph of the Author vi
Residence of the Author, at Selborne vii

Selborne, from Dorton 1
Ostrea Carinata 12
Hollow Lane and Bridge, near Norton 16
Approach to the Village 24
Adventure of the Dog and Hind 30
Hoopoe 47
Harvest Mice 56
Heads of the Indian Antelope 75
Wood Wren 83
———, its quill-feathers 84
Willow Wren 85
———, its quill-feathers 85
Quill-feathers of the Ching-Ching 86
——— of the Chiff-Chaff 87
——— of Temminck's Wren 88
Nest of an unknown Warbler 89
Melolontha Fullo 119
Eagle Owl 128
Otter 138
Stone Curlew 145
Goatsucker 159
Nest and Eggs of the Lesser Whitethroat 174
East Woodhay Warbler 178
Bustard 197
Sand Martin's Colony at Oakhanger 268
——— Nest 269
Hawkley Slip 346
Field Cricket 347
House Cricket 350
Mole Cricket and Nest 353
Stilt Plover 356
Gilbert White's Tortoise 361
Peregrine Falcon 377
Hen Partridge and her Brood 433
Ranatra linearis 467
Macroglossa Stellatarum 470
Grindstone Oak in the Holt Forest 481
Seal of the Priory 513
South View of Selborne Church 521
The Vicarage House 530
Temple, in the Parish of Selborne 550
The Plestor 552
Way leading to Gracious Street 627

THE

NATURAL HISTORY

OF

SELBORNE.

SELBORNE, FROM DORTON.

See, Selborne spreads her boldest beauties round,
The varied valley, and the mountain ground,
Wildly majestic! What is all the pride
Of flats, with loads of ornament supplied?—
Unpleasing, tasteless, impotent expense,
Compared with Nature's rude magnificence. WHITE.

LETTER I.

TO THOMAS PENNANT, ESQUIRE.

THE parish of SELBORNE lies in the extreme eastern corner of the county of Hampshire, bordering on the county of Sussex, and not far from the county of Surrey; is about fifty miles south-west of London, in latitude 51, and near midway between the towns of

Alton and Petersfield. Being very large and extensive, it abuts on twelve parishes, two of which are in Sussex, viz. Trotton and Rogate. If you begin from the south and proceed westward, the adjacent parishes are Emshot, Newton Valence, Faringdon, Harteley Mauduit[1], Great Ward le ham[2], Kingsley, Hedleigh, Bramshot, Trotton, Rogate, Lysse, and Greatham. The soils of this district are almost as various and diversified as the views and aspects. The high part to the south-west consists of a vast hill of chalk, rising three hundred feet above the village; and is divided into a sheep down, the high wood, and a long hanging wood called The Hanger. The covert of this eminence is altogether beech, the most lovely of all forest trees, whether we consider its smooth rind or bark, its glossy foliage, or graceful pendulous boughs. The down, or sheep-walk, is a pleasing park-like spot, of about one mile by half that space, jutting out on the verge of the hill-country, where it begins to break down into the plains, and commanding a very engaging view, being an assemblage of hill, dale, woodlands, heath, and water. The prospect is bounded to the south-east and east by the vast range of mountains called the Sussex Downs, by Guild Down near Guildford, and by the Downs round Dorking and Ryegate in Surrey, to the north-east; which, altogether, with the country

[1] In the parochial registers the orthography is Harteley Maudytt. Mauduit, used by Gilbert White, is, however, a more usual reading of Malduith, the name of the earliest Norman lord; which was used subsequently to the Conquest as an adjunct to the Saxon appellation, for the purpose of distinguishing this Harteley from the other Hartleys in the same county to the north of it.—E. T. B.

[2] The orthography in the text, though formal in appearance, was deliberately adopted by the author, who, in his first edition, inserted all deviations from it as errata: it is, consequently, preserved throughout. Wordlam is a pronunciation of it not unfrequently used in the neighbourhood: but Worldham is the more ordinary name. And in this case I suspect that the vulgar are right; Werildeham, the oldest name which I find for it, belonging to an era prior to the erection in England of Norman castles.—E. T. B.

beyond Alton and Farnham, form a noble and extensive outline.

At the foot of this hill, one stage or step from the uplands, lies the village, which consists of one single straggling street, three quarters of a mile in length, in a sheltered vale, and running parallel with The Hanger. The houses are divided from the hill by a vein of stiff clay (good wheat land), yet stand on a rock of white stone, little in appearance removed from chalk; but seeming so far from being calcareous, that it endures extreme heat. Yet that the freestone still preserves somewhat that is analogous to chalk, is plain from the beeches, which descend as low as those rocks extend, and no farther, and thrive as well on them, where the ground is steep, as on the chalks.

The cart-way of the village divides, in a remarkable manner, two very incongruous soils. To the south-west is a rank clay, that requires the labour of years to render it mellow; while the gardens to the north-east, and small enclosures behind, consist of a warm, forward, crumbling mould, called *black malm*, which seems highly saturated with vegetable and animal manure; and these may perhaps have been the original site of the town, while the woods and coverts might extend down to the opposite bank.

At each end of the village, which runs from south-east to north-west, arises a small rivulet: that at the north-west end frequently fails; but the other is a fine perennial spring, little influenced by drought or wet seasons, called Wellhead[3]. This breaks out of some high grounds joining to Nore Hill, a noble chalk promontory, remarkable for sending forth two streams into two different seas. The one to the south becomes

[3] This spring produced, September 14, 1781, after a severe hot summer, and a preceding dry spring and winter, nine gallons of water in a minute, which is five hundred and forty in an hour, and twelve thousand nine hundred and sixty, or two hundred and sixteen hogsheads, in twenty-four hours, or one natural day. At this time many of the wells failed, and all the ponds in the vales were dry.

a branch of the Arun, running to Arundel, and so falling into the British Channel: the other to the north, the Selborne stream, makes one branch of the Wey; and, meeting the Black Down stream at Hedleigh, and the Alton and Farnham stream at Tilford Bridge, swells into a considerable river, navigable at Godalming; from whence it passes to Guildford, and so into the Thames at Weybridge; and thus at the Nore into the German Ocean.

Our wells, at an average, run to about sixty-three feet, and when sunk to that depth seldom fail; but produce a fine limpid water soft to the taste, and much commended by those who drink the pure element, but which does not lather well with soap[4].

To the north-west, north, and east of the village, is a range of fair enclosures, consisting of what is called *white malm*, a sort of rotten or rubble stone, which, when turned up to the frost and rain, moulders to pieces, and becomes manure to itself[5].

Still on to the north-east, and a step lower, is a kind of white land, neither chalk nor clay, neither fit for pasture nor for the plough, yet kindly for hops, which root deep into the freestone, and have their poles and wood for charcoal growing just at hand. This white soil produces the brightest hops.

As the parish still inclines down towards Wolmer Forest, at the juncture of the clays and sand, the soil becomes a wet sandy loam, remarkable for timber, and

[4] Though Mr. White says this water is soft to the taste, it is undoubtedly what would be usually called hard, the test of which is its not producing a lather with soap, or with soap dissolved in spirit of wine, because it contains sulphate of lime, the sulphuric acid in which, uniting with the soda in the soap, sets free the tallow, composed of the margaric and oleic acids; and these acids, uniting with the lime thus set free, form a soap that will not dissolve in water. From having attended rather minutely to the qualities usually termed hard and soft in water, as connected with the chemistry of bleaching, I can readily distinguish by the taste alone whether water contains lime, iron, or argillaceous substances. —RENNIE.

[5] This soil produces good wheat and clover.

infamous for roads. The oaks of Temple and Blackmoor stand high in the estimation of purveyors, and have furnished much naval timber; while the trees on the freestone grow large, but are what workmen call *shaky*, and so brittle as often to fall to pieces in sawing.

Beyond the sandy loam the soil becomes a hungry lean sand, till it mingles with the forest; and will produce little without the assistance of lime and turnips[6].

[6] A science that has sprung into active existence since the days in which White wrote, would have explained to him many of the facts described in this Letter; and would have shown that Selborne is not devoid of interest in a geological point of view. He would have learned from it that the several soils which he observed and which he enumerates, forms part of a general system, to the elucidation of which they are adapted materially to contribute.

The parish of Selborne is situated in the lower part of the chalk formation, and embraces within it the upper members of the weald. These are well displayed as they occur in succession, forming strips which run along the parish from north to south: in crossing it from west to east, each of the strata is visited in the order of superposition. They are four in number; comprising the chalk, the upper green sand, the gault, and the lower green sand. In no situation are these several strata more strongly marked or more clearly defined than in this district; where the regularity of their succession is such as to leave no doubt of the distinction between the upper and the lower green sands, and between the gault and the weald clay. The latter formation occurs immediately after quitting the parish at Harting Comb.

The chalk constitutes the mass of the Selborne hill, which is covered, towards the village, by the Hanger. A fine and lengthened swell, sloping gradually at either extremity into a lower and hollowed sweep, is here suddenly terminated on its eastern face by a steep descent. So rapid is the slope that it is only to be ascended along an oblique cutting up the side of the Hanger, (the Bostol,) or by the Zigzag: the Slidders, however practicable for descent, cannot be climbed without considerable difficulty. The Down or Common on the top of the hill, declining gradually towards Newton, as well as towards the descents to the north and south of it, is, in its easy sweep, characteristic of the usual condition of the chalk. The steep declivity towards Selborne offers a deviation from the ordinary character of the formation, connected with the convulsion by which the Weald has been denuded: a convulsion, the effects of which are yet more strongly marked on the abrupt declivity of Nore Hill, the next adjoining promontory to the south.

The covert of the Selborne hill, as indicated in the text, is altogether beech. Its upper part is a fine chalky sheep down.

The prospects visible from its elevated top are admirable for their

LETTER II.

TO THE SAME.

In the court of Norton farm-house, a manor farm to the north-west of the village, on the white malms, stood within these twenty years a *broad-leaved elm*, or *wych*

extent and beauty; especially those which embrace the whole of the subjacent formations, and stretch away as far as the ridges of Hind Head and of Black Down. These are among the most engaging of the Selborne scenes. A lovely view is the one which is obtained from the top of the Slidder, embracing a vast extent of varied country, and showing, immediately beneath the observer, the principal buildings of the village.

Next in succession to the chalk is the formation technically known as the upper green sand; and there are spots at Selborne in which a green sand is plentifully distributed through a chalky malm. But the mass of the formation which passes under this denomination consists here of the freestone or firestone of the text, which lies immediately below the chalk, and spreads away with a slow rise towards the east, constituting a slightly sloping but a uniform flat except where its face has been broken into by the force of water or the more petty power of man. In its upper surface deep fissures have been formed for the discharge of the springs from Nore Hill, and from the hill to the north of the village; and the Lithes, and Dorton, and the Combe, and the Priory valley owe their existence to this power. The rocky lanes, spoken of in Letter V., also belong to this stratum: they have been cut in its upper portion principally by the action of long continued traffic on a friable substance; they have gradually become, from their depression, converted into water-courses; and the attrition has been rendered by this means more effectual, the fragments torn off by the wheels of the carts being perpetually removed from the naked rock by the force of the water. But the most strongly marked feature of this formation is the extreme regularity with which it usually rises slowly in a lengthened and widely spread flat, until it terminates suddenly by an abrupt and cliff-like fall, constituting a terrace or escarpment. This character belongs to the whole range of the rock within the parish of Selborne and for several miles both to the north and south of it.

The Selborne rock is the subsoil of the whole of the village, and of the malm lands. Its upper part is of a rubbly character, constituting, in cultivation, the white malm, celebrated for its excellent wheat: and little except wheat and a few patches of hops is to be seen in the enclosed fields that occupy its whole extent. In the valleys of its water-courses there is good pasturage; their sides are well wooded, in some instances entirely with beech, and in others with oak; and along the edges of their

hazel, Ulmus folio latissimo scabro of Ray[1], which, though it had lost a considerable leading bough in the great

little streams oaks grow kindly. The steep fronts of all the terraces constitute Hangers, and these, on the malm rock, are chiefly covered by oak. One of them is the Oak Hanger, still as well qualified as it was a thousand years since to give name to the hamlet below it.

In the little valleys of this formation, deeply cleft, and narrow, and lengthened out, and well wooded, there is much to please the wanderer. The hollow lanes, cut into the rock to the depth of eighteen or twenty feet, and sometimes (though not generally) offering forms of picturesque beauty, will also interest him. But the highest interest will be excited by the views from the edges of the terraces, ranging from Harteley Park to the south as far as Temple. Along these, wherever the view is not intercepted by the growth of trees rising up above the level of the cliff on the face of which they are rooted, the prospect is every where beautiful. It is especially so at the points in which the terraces are occasionally interrupted, as at the corner of Harteley Park towards Oak Hanger; and at the angle of the Temple terrace looking over the Priory. The views from the terrace immediately adjoining to Temple are magnificent.

Below the rock of the upper green sand formation is the gault; generally presenting a uniform level, of the most fertile character. Within Selborne it exists only as a perfect flat; but to the north, in the forest of The Holt, it rises into hills. It is especially distinguished by the extreme richness of the water-meadows, to which its crops are entirely limited; and by the noble groves and woods of fine oaks that are every where scattered over it. The luxuriant wood of this formation and the greenness of its enclosures, create a fore ground of the richest character to all the prospects from the terraces above it.

Last of the Selborne strata is the lower green sand; which rises, immediately east of the gault, into ridges of various elevation, and having usually a direction not very dissimilar from that of the Hanger. On the verge of this are scattered various farms which have brought into cultivation, in ancient times, portions of the unpromising soil: and in these situations there are meadows, and arable fields, and a few hop-grounds, separated by hedge-rows in which timber trees are growing. But beyond the settlements on the very edge of the sands cultivation quickly ceases; and the lean, hungry waste of Wolmer Forest succeeds, covered almost entirely by heath. Excepting a few trees on its skirts towards Forest Side, the Forest within Selborne is quite destitute of timber. Some plantations of fir have been attempted in various parts of it, which relieve in some degree, by their lengthened lines of green, the dreariness that prevails. More effectual relief is afforded by the ponds which are spread out in various parts of the waste, of which some are so large as to merit the name of little lakes. Such is Wolmer Pond, described by Gilbert White in a subsequent Letter; and such too are the ponds known by the

[1] [*Ulmus montana*, Bauh.]

storm in the year 1703, equal to a moderate tree, yet, when felled, contained eight loads of timber; and, being too bulky for a carriage, was sawn off at seven feet above the butt, where it measured near eight feet in the diameter. This elm I mention to show to what a bulk *planted elms* may attain; as this tree must certainly have been such from its situation.

In the centre of the village, and near the church, is a square piece of ground surrounded by houses, and vulgarly called The Plestor. In the midst of this spot stood, in old times, a vast oak, with a short squat body, and huge horizontal arms extending almost to the extremity of the area. This venerable tree, surrounded with stone steps, and seats above them, was

names of Hogmer and Cranmer, and the large and almost united ponds at Oakhanger.

To the cultivator this division of the parish is at present almost useless. It is probable that scarcely any of it has been brought into occupation for many ages; and it will be long before much of it can be so far reclaimed as to be at all available for farming purposes.

In the dreariness of the Forest there is a variation from the character of the scenery of the adjacent strata that may interest for a while. There is also a boldness, occasionally, in the form of the ridges, and an abruptness in their terminations, that imparts somewhat of a mountain air to the view. But it is chiefly as an adjunct to the other features of the Selborne prospects that it avails; and in its masses, and its heights, and its waters, it forms a fine termination to most of the more extensive of them.

A general idea of the surface of the country may be formed from thus passing in review the several portions of which it consists, and which succeed each other with perfect regularity. Some idea will also be obtained of the delightful scenery of the neighbourhood in which the author dwelt throughout his life; a scenery infinitely varied according to the extent of the country included in each view, the number of the strata embraced by it, and the relative proportion of each. The combination, in the more extensive of them, of the broad arable flat of the upper lands and their angularly edged terraces and hangers, with the rich meadows and oak woods of the bottom, and the wide and bold wastes and shining waters of the Forest, is above all delightful.

Some such views Mr. Harvey has represented in an account of Selborne and its Vicinity which is now in preparation for the press, and which will be principally devoted to the description and delineation of the more interesting scenes and objects of the district; and to the imparting of other local information relating to the neighbourhood in which Gilbert White lived and died.—E. T. B.

the delight of old and young, and a place of much resort in summer evenings; where the former sat in grave debate, while the latter frolicked and danced before them. Long might it have stood, had not the amazing tempest in 1703 overturned it at once, to the infinite regret of the inhabitants, and the vicar, who bestowed several pounds in setting it in its place again: but all his care could not avail; the tree sprouted for a time, then withered and died. This oak I mention to show to what a bulk *planted oaks* also may arrive: and planted this tree must certainly have been, as will appear from what will be said further concerning this area when we enter on the antiquities of Selborne[2].

On the Blackmoor estate there is a small wood called Losel's, of a few acres, that was lately furnished with a set of oaks of a peculiar growth and great value; they were tall and taper like firs, but, standing near together, had very small heads, only a little brush without any large limbs[3]. About twenty years ago

[2] The reference in the text to another portion of the volume for other particulars respecting this oak would almost render any explanation in this place unnecessary. It may, however, be shortly stated that The Plestor measures about forty-four yards by thirty-six, and that the oak, whose branches nearly overshadowed this large space, is conjectured by Gilbert White to have been, at the time when it was blown down, four hundred and thirty-two years old.—E. T. B.

[3] Mr. White only hints in this place at the interesting effects of shelter and exposure on the growth of trees. In the interior of forests and crowded plantations, the wind can exert a far less mechanical effect on individual trees than in exposed situations; and, therefore, while they are positively determined to push upwards to the light, they are negatively permitted to do so by the removal of any necessity to thicken their trunks for the sake of greater strength, and to contract the height of them in order to afford the blast a shorter lever against the roots. On the other hand, trees in an open situation are freely exposed to the wind, and the large expansion of their branches gives every advantage to the violence of the storm. Nature accordingly bestows greater proportional elevation [thickness of trunk] on trees which are insulated, or nearly so; while their system of root, which, by necessity, is correlatively proportional to their system of top, affords likewise heavier ballast and a stronger anchorage, in order to counteract the greater spread of sail displayed in the wider expansion of their branches. Trees in the interior of woods, accordingly, are in general found to have their stems upright

the bridge at the Toy, near Hampton Court, being much decayed, some trees were wanted for the repairs that were fifty feet long without bough, and would measure twelve inches diameter at the little end. Twenty such trees did a purveyor find in this little wood, with this advantage, that many of them answered the description at sixty feet. These trees were sold for twenty pounds a piece.

In the centre of this grove there stood an oak, which, though shapely and tall on the whole, bulged out into a large excrescence about the middle of the stem. On this a pair of ravens had fixed their residence for such a series of years, that the oak was distinguished by the title of The Raven Tree. Many were the attempts of the neighbouring youths to get at this *eyry:* the difficulty whetted their inclinations, and each was ambitious of surmounting the arduous task. But, when they arrived at the swelling, it jutted out so in their way, and was so far beyond their grasp, that the most daring lads were awed, and acknowledged the undertaking to be too hazardous. So the ravens built on, nest upon nest, in perfect security, till the fatal day arrived in which the wood was to be levelled. It was in the month of February, when those birds usually sit. The saw was applied to the butt, the wedges were inserted into the opening, the woods echoed to the heavy blows of the beetle or mallet, the tree nodded to its fall; but still the dam sat on. At last, when it gave way, the bird was flung from her nest; and, though her parental affection deserved a better fate, was whipped down by the twigs, which brought her dead to the ground.

and stately; their bark glossy and beautiful; their tops small and thinly provided with branches; and their roots, in the same way, spare and scanty, but in due proportion to the tops. Trees, on the other hand, in open exposures, have their stems stout and short; their bark thick and coarse; their tops extensive and spreading; their branches often reaching to the ground; and their roots extensive like their tops, and throwing themselves out on every side.—RENNIE.

LETTER III.

TO THE SAME.

THE fossil shells of this district, and sorts of stone, such as have fallen within my observation, must not be passed over in silence. And first I must mention, as a great curiosity, a specimen that was ploughed up in the chalky fields, near the side of the Down, and given to me for the singularity of its appearance, which, to an incurious eye, seems like a petrified fish of about four inches long, the cardo passing for a head and mouth. It is in reality a bivalve of the Linnæan genus of *Mytilus* and the species of *Crista Galli;* called by Lister, *Rastellum;* by Rumphius, *Ostreum plicatum minus;* by D'Argenville, *Auris Porci*, s. *Crista Galli;* and by those who make collections, *cock's comb*. Though I applied to several such in London, I never could meet with an entire specimen; nor could I ever find in books any engraving from a perfect one. In the superb museum at Leicester House[1], permission was given me to exa-

[1] The superb museum at Leicester House, originally the property of Sir Ashton Lever, and long known as the Leverian Museum, is characterized by Pennant as magnificent and instructive, and as " the most astonishing collection of the subjects of natural history ever collected, in so short a space, by any individual. To the disgrace of our kingdom, after the first burst of wonder was over, it became neglected; and when it was offered to the public, by the chance of a guinea lottery, only eight thousand out of thirty-six thousand tickets were sold. Finally, the capricious goddess frowned on the spirited proprietor of such a number of tickets, and transferred the treasure to the possessor of only two, Mr. Parkinson." The successful candidate for fortune's favours proved that they were not ill bestowed upon him, by continually adding, in the most liberal manner, to the collection which had thus come into his possession, and by building, expressly for its reception, near the south end of Blackfriars Bridge, a house (subsequently appropriated to the Surrey Institution) in which the specimens of natural history and of art, of which the museum consisted, were exhibited for many years. They were finally disposed of by auction, in 1806. Some idea may be formed of the extent of the collection at that time by the duration of the sale for sixty-five days, and by the number of the lots, which amounted to 7879.—E. T. B.

mine for this article; and though I was disappointed as to the fossil, I was highly gratified with the sight of several of the shells themselves in high preservation. This bivalve is only known to inhabit the Indian ocean, where it fixes itself to a zoophyte, known by the name *Gorgonia*. The curious foldings of the suture the one into the other, the alternate flutings or grooves, and the curved form of my specimen being much easier expressed by the pencil than by words, I have caused it to be drawn and engraved[2].



OSTREA CARINATA.

[2] Notwithstanding the great care which was evidently bestowed by the author on the identification of his fossil shell, he was by no means so successful in the results of his research as he deserved to be: it is certainly not the analogue of the cock's comb oyster, the *Mytilus Crista Galli* of Linnæus and *Ostrea Crista Galli* of Lamarck; but belongs to an altogether different species which has not, so far at least as conchologists yet know, any living analogue. The figures given above, which are copied from those of the original edition, represent a shell of the species to which, on account of the strong ridge or keel along the middle of each of its valves, Lamarck gave the name of *Ostrea carinata*. It has repeatedly been figured, since the first publication of the Natural History of Selborne, as well in foreign as in English works: and, by a curious coincidence, in the Genera of Recent and Fossil Shells, by Mr. G. B. Sowerby, one plate contains representations both of this fossil (from a gigantic specimen) and of the cock's comb oyster, to which Gilbert White referred it. Though both are plaited oysters, the plaits or folds are disposed in a manner altogether dissimilar in the two shells: in the cock's comb oyster they are in the longitudinal direction of the shell, which, moreover, is rounded in its general outline; in the keeled oyster they pass transversely on each side from a ridge which is continued along the middle of a considerably produced shell.

The statement in the text, that it was obtained in the chalky fields, renders it necessary to caution the reader against regarding it as a chalk

Cornua Ammonis are very common about this village[3]. As we were cutting an inclining path up The Hanger, the labourers found them frequently on that steep, just under the soil, in the chalk, and of a considerable size. In the lane above Well-head, in the way to Emshot, they abound in the bank in a darkish sort of marl; and are usually very small and soft: but in Clay's Pond, a little farther on, at the end of the pit, where the soil is dug out for manure, I have occasionally observed them of large dimensions, perhaps fourteen or sixteen inches in diameter. But as these did not consist of firm stone, but were formed of a kind of *terra lapidosa*, or hardened clay, as soon as they were exposed to the rains and frost they mouldered away. These seemed as if they were a very recent production[4].

In the chalk-pit, at the north-west end of The Hanger, large *Nautili* are sometimes observed.

In the very thickest strata of our freestone, and at considerable depths, well-diggers often find large scallops, or *Pectines*, having both shells deeply striated, and ridged and furrowed alternately. They are highly impregnated with, if not wholly composed of, the stone of the quarry.

fossil. The fields below the chalk downs at Selborne, though white in the appearance of their soil—a soil which thence derives its local appellation of white malm—belong in truth to the formation known to geologists by the confessedly and singularly inappropriate name of green sand. To the green sand formation the keeled oyster is peculiar: it appears even to be limited, as a fossil, to the upper green sand, the stratum on which the village of Selborne is built, and of which the immediately adjacent enclosures consist.—E. T. B.

[3] There is a village in the west of England remarkable for the quantity it possesses of the "cornu ammonis." The name of it is Keynsham, between Bath and Bristol. "This has given rise to a fabulous legend, which says that St. Keyna, from whom the place takes its name, resided here in a solitary wood, full of venomous serpents, and her prayers converted them into stones, which still retain their shape."—See *Esprella's Letters from England*, vol. iii. p. 362.—MITFORD.

[4] They were probably casts of the ammonites rather than the shells themselves.—E. T. B.

LETTER IV.

TO THE SAME.

As in a former letter the freestone of this place has been only mentioned incidentally, I shall here become more particular.

This stone is in great request for hearth-stones, and the beds of ovens; and in lining of lime-kilns it turns to good account: for the workmen use sandy loam instead of mortar; the sand of which fluxes [1], and runs by the intense heat, and so cases over the whole face of the kiln with a strong vitrified coat like glass, that it is well preserved from injuries of weather, and endures thirty or forty years. When chiselled smooth, it makes elegant fronts for houses, equal in colour and grain to the Bath stone; and superior in one respect, that, when seasoned, it does not scale. Decent chimney-pieces are worked from it of much closer and finer grain than Portland; and rooms are floored with it; but it proves rather too soft for this purpose. It is a freestone, cutting in all directions; yet has something of a grain parallel with the horizon, and therefore should not be surbedded, but laid in the same position that it grows in the quarry [2]. On the ground abroad this firestone will not succeed for pavements, because, probably, some degree of saltness prevailing within it, the rain tears the slabs to pieces [3]. Though this stone is too hard to be acted on by vinegar, yet both the white part, and even the blue rag, ferment strongly in mineral acids. Though the white stone will not bear

[1] There may probably be also in the chalk itself, that is burnt for lime, a proportion of sand; for few chalks are so pure as to have none.

[2] "To surbed stone is to set it edgewise, contrary to the posture it had in the quarry," says Dr. Plot, Oxfordsh. p. 77. But surbedding does not succeed in our dry walls; neither do we use it so in ovens, though he says it is best for Teynton stone.

[3] Firestone is full of salts, and has no sulphur: must be close-grained, and have no interstices. Nothing supports fire like salts; salt-stone perishes exposed to wet and frost.—*Plot's Staff.* p. 152.

wet, yet in every quarry, at intervals, there are thin strata of blue rag, which resist rain and frost, and are excellent for pitching of stables, paths, and courts; and for building of dry walls against banks, a valuable species of fencing, much in use in this village; and for mending of roads. This rag is rugged and stubborn, and will not hew to a smooth face; but is very durable: yet, as these strata are shallow and lie deep, large quantities cannot be procured but at considerable expense. Among the blue rags turn up some blocks tinged with a stain of yellow, or rust colour, which seem to be nearly as lasting as the blue; and every now and then balls of a friable substance, like rust of iron, called rust balls.

In Wolmer Forest I see but one sort of stone, called by the workmen sand, or forest, stone. This is generally of the colour of rusty iron, and might probably be worked as iron ore; is very hard and heavy, and of a firm compact texture, and composed of a small roundish crystalline grit, cemented together by a brown, terrene, ferruginous matter; will not cut without difficulty, nor easily strike fire with steel. Being often found in broad flat pieces, it makes good pavement for paths about houses, never becoming slippery in frost or rain; is excellent for dry walls; and is sometimes used in buildings. In many parts of that waste it lies scattered on the surface of the ground; but is dug on Weaver's Down, a vast hill on the eastern verge of that forest, where the pits are shallow, and the stratum thin. This stone is imperishable.

From a notion of rendering their work the more elegant, and giving it a finish, masons chip this stone into small fragments about the size of the head of a large nail; and then stick the pieces into the wet mortar along the joints of their freestone walls: this embellishment carries an odd appearance, and has occasioned strangers sometimes to ask us pleasantly, "whether we fastened our walls together with ten-penny nails?"

LETTER V.

TO THE SAME.

HOLLOW LANE AND BRIDGE, NEAR NORTON.

Among the singularities of this place, the two rocky hollow lanes, the one to Alton, and the other to the forest, deserve our attention. These roads, running through the malm lands, are, by the traffick of ages, and the fretting of water, worn down through the first stratum of our freestone, and partly through the second; so that they look more like water-courses than roads; and are bedded with naked rag for furlongs together. In many places they are reduced sixteen or eighteen feet beneath the level of the fields; and after floods, and in frosts, exhibit very grotesque and wild appearances, from the tangled roots that are twisted among the strata, and from the torrents rushing down their broken sides; and especially when those cascades are

frozen into icicles, hanging in all the fanciful shapes of frost-work. These rugged gloomy scenes affright the ladies when they peep down into them from the paths above, and make timid horsemen shudder while they ride along them; but delight the naturalist with their various botany, and particularly with the curious *Filices* with which they abound.

The manor of Selborne, was it strictly looked after, with all its kindly aspects, and all its sloping coverts, would swarm with game; even now, hares, partridges, and pheasants abound; and in old days woodcocks were as plentiful. There are few quails, because they more affect open fields than enclosures: after harvest some few land-rails are seen.

The parish of Selborne, by taking in so much of the forest, is a vast district. Those who tread the bounds are employed part of three days in the business, and are of opinion that the outline, in all its curves and indentings, does not comprise less than thirty miles.

The village stands in a sheltered spot, secured by The Hanger from the strong westerly winds. The air is soft, but rather moist from the effluvia of so many trees[1]; yet perfectly healthy, and free from agues.

The quantity of rain that falls on it is very considerable, as may be supposed in so woody and mountainous a district. As my experience in measuring the water is but of short date, I am not qualified to give the mean quantity[2]. I only know that

	Inch.	Hund.
From May 1, 1779, to the end of the year, there fell	28	37 !
From Jan. 1, 1780, to Jan. 1, 1781	27	32
From Jan. 1, 1781, to Jan. 1, 1782	30	71
From Jan. 1, 1782, to Jan. 1, 1783	50	26 !

[1] This effect of trees is fully treated of in the Letter to Daines Barrington, numbered XXIX.—E. T. B.

[2] A very intelligent gentleman* assures me (and he speaks from upwards of forty years experience) that the mean rain of any place cannot

* Thomas Barker, the gentleman referred to in the note, was the descendant of an ancient and respectable family in the county of Rutland.

	Inch.	Hund.
From Jan. 1, 1783, to Jan. 1, 1784	33	71
From Jan. 1, 1784, to Jan. 1, 1785	33	80
From Jan. 1, 1785, to Jan. 1, 1786	31	55
From Jan. 1, 1786, to Jan. 1, 1787	39	57
[From Jan. 1, 1787, to Jan. 1, 1788	36	24

be ascertained till a person has measured it for a very long period. "If I had only measured the rain," says he, "for the four first years, from 1740 to 1743, I should have said the mean rain at Lyndon was 16½ inches for the year; if from 1740 to 1750, 18½ inches. The mean rain before 1763 was 20¼; from 1763 and since, 25½; from 1770 to 1780, 26. If only 1773, 1774, and 1775, had been measured, Lyndon mean rain would have been called 32 inches."

[It is probable that the extension of his observations over thirteen years might have induced Gilbert White to have drawn some deductions

---

His father, Samuel Barker, a profound Hebrew scholar and Greek critic, known by his Poesis Vetus Hebraica Restituta, was married to a daughter of the able and pious, but visionary and unorthodox, William Whiston: and it was in the house of his child at Lyndon, at the advanced age of eighty-five, that that energetic but wild spirit ceased to be active. In such parentage we probably see the germs of many of Thomas Barker's speculations: they were partly mathematical, partly critical, and partly theological. His observations chiefly relate to natural history and meteorology. Incited, perhaps, to the prosecution of the former by his connexion with the family of Gilbert White,—a connexion originally commercial through the intervention of his maternal uncle, who was long in partnership with Benjamin White, and subsequently cemented by his marriage with a sister of our author,—to the latter he must have been actuated by a strong impulse, operating on him throughout the greater part of a prolonged life. The tables of his Meteorological Observations made at Lyndon, for a continuous series of fifty-eight years, (a duration probably not exceeded by any single observer,) were published in successive volumes of the Philosophical Transactions. His earliest contribution to that store of valuable information which the world owes to the Royal Society, related to an extraordinary meteor, seen in his native county, which resembled a water-spout: this was communicated in 1749, during the life of his grandfather. Fifty years later he was still a correspondent of that Society, but not a Fellow of it. In not seeking to become a member of it, he may have been influenced by the recollection that his grandfather was refused admission into it; but Whiston does not appear to have felt any resentment towards the Society in consequence. He imputed the withdrawal of his name after proposal solely to Sir Isaac Newton, whom he reports to have said, that if Whiston were elected a member, he would no longer be president. The extreme notoriety of Whiston's theological aberrations is fully sufficient to account for the opposition to him: he himself, somewhat captiously attributes it to his refusing to yield to Sir Isaac, then far advanced in years, that implicit deference which was usually paid to him by others.

Mr. Barker died in 1803, in the eighty-eighth year of his age.—E. T. B.

	Inch.	Hund.
From Jan. 1, 1788, to Jan. 1, 1789	22	50
From Jan. 1, 1789, to Jan. 1, 1790	42	00
From Jan. 1, 1790, to Jan. 1, 1791	32	27
From Jan. 1, 1791, to Jan. 1, 1792	44	93
From Jan. 1, 1792, to Jan. 1, 1793	48	56!]

The village of Selborne, and large hamlet of Oakhanger, with the single farms, and many scattered

from his experience as to the mean rain at Selborne, and as to its quantity in comparison with other places. The table, as supplied in the text, furnishes materials for such a purpose. Within the period embraced in it, the average quantity of rain that fell at Selborne in each year was 36·41 inches: the largest quantity was in 1782, a year in which much rain fell everywhere in England, and when, at Selborne, it amounted to 50·26 inches: the smallest was in 1788, in which the registers kept elsewhere show equally a deficiency; in this year the Selborne rain was only 22½ inches.

From the simultaneous observations which were made at Lyndon, in Rutlandshire, it appears that the average quantity of rain that fell there in each year from 1780 to 1793 was 24·171 inches; the quantity that fell in 1782 was 32·089; in 1788, 17·182. Mr. Barker's observations, however, having been carried on for nearly sixty years, we learn from comparing them, that the thirteen years through which the Selborne register was kept, were years in which the quantity of rain exceeded the usual average. In fifty-eight years the mean rain at Lyndon was 22·647 inches.

During eight of the years included in the Selborne register, observations of the same kind were also made, at the suggestion of Gilbert White, at Fyfield, in Hampshire, and at South Lambeth, adjoining to London. Looking to these eight years alone, a period too short to allow of any but comparative deductions being made from it, there will result the following average quantity of rain fallen, from 1784 to 1791, at

	Inches.
Selborne	35·35
Fyfield	25·63
Lyndon	23·628
South Lambeth	22·15

Averaging fifty per cent. more than Lyndon, and upwards of fifty per cent. more than the neighbourhood of London, it may well be said that the quantity of rain that falls at Selborne is very considerable. The excess, as is stated in the text, is altogether attributable to local circumstances. In elevated countries the rain is always more frequent and more abundant than in plains; the clouds, which would pass over level surfaces, being checked in their course by hills, and pouring down upon them their contents. Trees also, as they rise into the air, affect the clouds in a similar manner, though not to the same extent, as hills and mountains: the greater their mass and elevation, the nearer do they approach to the form and influence which belong to a hill.—E. T. B.]

houses along the verge of the forest, contain upwards of six hundred and seventy inhabitants[3].

We abound with poor; many of whom are sober and industrious, and live comfortably in good stone or brick cottages, which are glazed, and have chambers above stairs: mud buildings we have none. Besides the employment from husbandry, the men work in hop

[3] A State of the Parish of SELBORNE, taken Oct. 4, 1783.

The number of tenements or families, 136.

The number of inhabitants in the street is 313

in the rest of the parish . 363

Total 676; near five inhabitants to each tenement.

In the time of the Rev. Gilbert White, vicar, who died in 1727-8, the number of inhabitants was computed at about 500.

Average of Baptisms for Sixty Years.

	Males.	Females.	
From 1720 to 1729, both years inclusive .	6·9	6·	12·9
1730 to 1739	8·2	7·1	15·3
1740 to 1749	9·2	6·6	15·8
1750 to 1759	7·6	8·1	15·7
1760 to 1769	9·1	8·9	18·
1770 to 1779	10·5	9·8	20·3

Total of baptisms of males . . . 515

females . . . 465

Total of baptisms from 1720 to 1779, both inclusive, sixty years, 980.

Average of Burials for Sixty Years.

	Males.	Females.	
From 1720 to 1729, both years inclusive .	4·8	5·1	9·9
1730 to 1739	4·8	5·8	10·6
1740 to 1749	4·6	3·8	8·4
1750 to 1759	4·9	5·1	10·
1760 to 1769	6·9	6·5	13·4
1770 to 1779	5·5	6·2	11·7

Total of burials of males 315

females . . . 325

Total of burials from 1720 to 1779, both inclusive, sixty years, 640.

Baptisms exceed burials by more than one-third.

Baptisms of males exceed females by one-tenth, or one in ten.

Burials of females exceed males by one in thirty.

It appears that a child, born and bred in this parish, has an equal chance to live above forty years.

Twins thirteen times, many of whom dying young have lessened the chances for life.

Chances for life in men and women appear to be equal.

gardens, of which we have many; and fell and bark timber. In the spring and summer the women weed

A Table of the Baptisms, Burials, and Marriages, from January 2, 1761, to December 25, 1780, in the Parish of Selborne.

	Baptisms.			Burials.			Marriages.
	Males.	Females.	Total.	Males.	Females.	Total.	
1761 . .	8	10	18	2	4	6	3
1762 . .	7	8	15	10	14	24	6
1763 . .	8	10	18	3	4	7	5
1764 . .	11	9	20	10	8	18	6
1765 . .	12	6	18	9	7	16	6
1766 . .	9	13	22	10	6	16	4
1767 . .	14	5	19	6	5	11	2
1768 . .	7	6	13	2	5	7	6
1769 . .	9	14	23	6	5	11	2
1770 . .	10	13	23	4	7	11	3
1771 . .	10	6	16	3	4	7	4
1772 . .	11	10	21	6	10	16	3
1773 . .	8	5	13	7	5	12	3
1774 . .	6	13	19	2	8	10	1
1775 . .	20	7	27	13	8	21	6
1776 . .	11	10	21	4	6	10	6
1777 . .	8	13	21	7	3	10	4
1778 . .	7	13	20	3	4	7	5
1779 . .	14	8	22	5	6	11	5
1780 . .	8	9	17	11	4	15	3

During this period of twenty years, the births of males exceeded those of females 10.

The burials of each sex were equal.

And the births exceeded the deaths 140.

[Continuation of the Table of Baptisms, Burials, and Marriages, from January 1, 1781, to December 31, 1834, in the Parish of Selborne.

	Baptisms.			Burials.			Marriages.
	Males.	Females.	Total.	Males.	Females.	Total.	
1781 . .	8	15	23	9	3	12	4
1782 . .	9	15	24	1	3	4	11
1783 . .	7	11	18	3	4	7	6
1784 . .	6	10	16	5	6	11	3
1785 . .	8	9	17	5	6	11	2
1786 . .	13	14	27	7	9	16	6
1787 . .	8	8	16	3	8	11	2
1788 . .	10	8	18	6	5	11	7
1789 . .	14	14	28	3	12	15	4
1790 . .	12	9	21	6	3	9	5
1791 . .	17	12	29	6	2	8	12
1792 . .	19	8	27	4	4	8	6
1793 . .	20	14	34	11	8	19	4
1794 . .	17	11	28	11	5	16	3
1795 . .	8	14	22	9	4	13	4
1796 . .	16	16	32	8	4	12	5

the corn; and enjoy a second harvest in September by hop-picking. Formerly, in the dead months they

	Baptisms.			Burials.			Marriages.
	Males.	Females.	Total.	Males.	Females.	Total.	
1797 . .	14	6	20	9	9	18	8
1798 . .	15	14	29	5	7	12	6
1799 . .	5	16	21	6	5	11	3
1800 . .	9	10	19	3	4	7	2
1801 . .	8	10	18	11	12	23	6
1802 . .	15	10	25	4	3	7	5
1803 . .	11	4	15	8	5	13	10
1804 . .	13	8	21	3	7	10	4
1805 . .	9	12	21	2	4	6	5
1806 . .	8	10	18	5	6	11	7
1807 . .	13	9	22	8	1	9	11
1808 . .	6	8	14	3	7	10	2
1809 . .	6	12	18	7	4	11	5
1810 . .	13	10	23	8	6	14	6
1811 . .	13	11	24	10	6	16	5
1812 . .	11	8	19	2	3	5	10
1813 . .	12	9	21	8	8	16	11
1814 . .	14	14	28	9	6	15	7
1815 . .	14	22	36	5	6	11	4
1816 . .	9	10	19	4	7	11	3
1817 . .	22	7	29	6	3	9	7
1818 . .	9	13	22	5	6	11	4
1819 . .	12	14	26	4	9	13	4
1820 . .	10	11	21	4	10	14	2
1821 . .	11	11	22	11	11	22	5
1822 . .	13	16	29	8	2	10	9
1823 . .	12	12	24	12	4	16	3
1824 . .	18	14	32	2	2	4	6
1825 . .	11	11	22	3	2	5	3
1826 . .	22	16	38	10	4	14	17
1827 . .	19	16	35	7	5	12	9
1828 . .	21	16	37	13	12	25	5
1829 . .	13	15	28	14	15	29	6
1830 . .	16	14	30	8	5	13	8
1831 . .	14	15	29	5	9	14	7
1832 . .	16	19	35	4	5	9	7
1833 . .	15	14	29	7	8	15	3
1834 . .	18	14	32	7	1	8	7

During the first fifty years of this period the baptisms of males exceeded those of females 52.

The burials of males exceeded those of females 32; and the baptisms exceeded the burials 590.

Average of Baptisms for Fifty Years.

	Males.	Females.	
From 1780 to 1789, both years inclusive .	9·1	11·3	20·4
1790 to 1799	14·3	12·	26·3
1800 to 1809	9·8	9·3	19·1

availed themselves greatly by spinning wool, for making of barragons, a genteel corded stuff, much in vogue

	Males.	Females.	
1810 to 1819	12·9	11·8	24·7
1820 to 1829	15·	13·8	28·8
Total of baptisms of males . . .	611		
females . . .	582		

Total of baptisms from 1780 to 1829, both inclusive, fifty years, 1193.

Average of Burials for Fifty Years.

	Males.	Females.	
From 1780 to 1789, both years inclusive .	5·3	6·	11·3
1790 to 1799	7·5	5·1	12·6
1800 to 1809	5·4	5·3	10·7
1810 to 1819	6·1	6·	12·1
1820 to 1829	8·4	6·7	15·1
Total of burials of males	327		
females . . .	291		

Total of burials from 1780 to 1829, both inclusive, fifty years, 618.

Without touching upon the many deductions that might be attempted to be drawn from these data on the state of the population in an agricultural and almost isolated parish, extending over upwards of a hundred years, there is one observation which obtrudes itself on the attention. In 110 years, at Selborne, the baptisms have exceeded the burials in the proportion of 7 to 4, and the absolute excess has been 959. If, therefore, the population were rightly assumed in the time of the elder Gilbert White, the parish ought now to contain upwards of 1400 inhabitants. This, however, is not the case. In 1831 the number of its inhabitants was 924. There must consequently have been considerable emigration from it.

The population is thus stated in the returns printed by order of the House of Commons:

	Annual Value of Real Property as assessed April, 1815.	Population.			
		1801.	1811.	1821.	1831.
Selborne, Parish .	£.4324	762	770	893	924

The abstract of the answers and returns made at the census in the latter year states the area of the parish at 4410 acres: the number of houses, inhabited, 128; uninhabited, 4: the number of families, 163; of which were chiefly employed in Agriculture, 91; in Trade, 36; others, 36: the number of Males, 468; Females, 456; of Males, 20 years of age, 193: Occupiers of land, employing labourers, 22; not employing labourers, 8: Labourers employed in Agriculture, 82; in Manufacture, 0; in Trade or Handicraft, 44; others, 19; Capitalists or Professional, 2; other Males, 20 years of age, 16: Male Servants, 0; Female, 14.—E. T. B.]

at that time for summer wear; and chiefly manufactured at Alton, a neighbouring town, by some of the people called Quakers: but from circumstances this trade is at an end.

The inhabitants enjoy a good share of health and longevity; and the parish swarms with children.

APPROACH TO THE VILLAGE.

LETTER VI.

TO THE SAME.

SHOULD I omit to describe with some exactness the forest of Wolmer, of which three-fifths perhaps lie in this parish, my account of Selborne would be very imperfect: as it is a district abounding with many curious productions, both animal and vegetable; and has often afforded me much entertainment both as a sportsman and as a naturalist.

The royal forest of Wolmer is a tract of land of about seven miles in length, by two and a half in breadth, running nearly from north to south, and is abutted on, to begin to the south, and so to proceed eastward, by the parishes of Greatham, Lysse, Rogate, and Trotton, in the county of Sussex; by Bramshot, Hedleigh, and Kingsley. This royalty consists entirely of sand covered with heath and fern; but is somewhat diversified with hills and dales, without having one standing tree in the whole extent. In the bottoms, where the waters stagnate, are many bogs, which formerly abounded with subterraneous trees; though Dr. Plot says positively[1], that there never were any fallen trees hidden in the mosses of the southern counties. But he was mistaken; for I myself have seen cottages on the verge of this wild district, whose timbers consisted of a black hard wood, looking like oak, which the owners assured me they procured from the bogs by probing the soil with spits, or some such instruments; but the peat is so much cut out, and the moors have been so well examined, that none has

[1] See his History of Staffordshire.

been found of late[2]. Besides the oak, I have also been shown pieces of fossil wood of a paler colour, and softer nature, which the inhabitants called fir: but upon a nice examination, and trial by fire, I could discover nothing resinous in them; and therefore rather suppose that they were parts of a willow or alder, or some such aquatic tree[3].

[2] Old people have assured me that, on a winter's morning, they have discovered these trees, in the bogs, by the hoar frost, which lay longer over the space where they were concealed than on the surrounding morass. Nor does this seem to be a fanciful notion, but consistent with true philosophy. Dr. Hales saith, "That the warmth of the earth, at some depth under ground, has an influence in promoting a thaw, as well as the change of the weather from a freezing to a thawing state, is manifest from this observation, viz. Nov. 29, 1731, a little snow having fallen in the night, it was, by eleven the next morning, mostly melted away on the surface of the earth, except in several places in Bushy Park, where there were drains dug and covered with earth, on which the snow continued to lie, whether those drains were full of water or dry; as also where elm-pipes lay under ground; a plain proof this, that those drains intercepted the warmth of the earth from ascending from greater depths below them: for the snow lay where the drain had more than four feet depth of earth over it. It continued also to lie on thatch, tiles, and the tops of walls." See *Hales's Hæmastatics*, p. 360.—Quere, Might not such observations be reduced to domestic use, by promoting the discovery of old obliterated drains and wells about houses; and, in Roman stations and camps, lead to the finding of pavements, baths, and graves, and other hidden relics of curious antiquity?

[Some additional instances evidencing the ascent of warmth from beneath the surface, are given by the author in his letter to Daines Barrington, numbered LXI; in which he describes the effects of the short but intense frost of 1768.—E. T. B.]

[3] A more recent instance of the occurrence of a log of the bog-oak is recorded by Gilbert White in Letter LIX. to Daines Barrington: and the stock is yet by no means exhausted, although fifty years have elapsed since the time at which he wrote. The sides of the peat-moor to the north-east of Wolmer pond show many heaps of chumps and stumps of trees dug by the labourers, in the prosecution of their cuttings, from the bog and the turf above it. Oak, and fir, and birch are certainly included among them. They are in various stages of carbonization, dependent on their position, or, in other words, on the length of time during which they have been subjected to the action of moisture and pressure. Those which occur among the peat are converted throughout their entire substance into a charcoal, which is generally rather brown than black: of this kind all the pieces that I observed were of small diameter, not exceeding

This lonely domain is a very agreeable haunt for many sorts of wild fowls, which not only frequent it in the winter, but breed there in the summer; such as lapwings, snipes, wild-ducks, and, as I have discovered within these few years, teals. Partridges in vast plenty are bred in good seasons on the verge of this forest, into which they love to make excursions: and in particular, in the dry summers of 1740 and 1741, and some years after, they swarmed to such a degree, that parties of unreasonable sportsmen killed twenty and sometimes thirty brace in a day.

But there was a nobler species of game in this forest, now extinct, which I have heard old people say abounded much before shooting flying became so common, and that was the heath-cock, or black game. When I was a little boy I recollect one coming now and then to my father's table. The last pack remembered, was killed about thirty-five years ago; and within these ten years one solitary gray hen was sprung by some beagles in beating for a hare. The

three or four inches. On some of them the character of the oak bark was well preserved. Above the peat is a layer of sand of eighteen inches or two feet in thickness. On the top of this rests a thick layer of turf; consisting of the blended roots of many generations of heath and other plants, and approaching, in its lower part, to the character of the genuine bog. It is from this compact layer that the greater number of the larger blocks are obtained. Most of them exhibit but little of the charred appearance; their character is rather that of washed and bleached timber. They are of comparatively recent date; and, although no trees, nor even shrubs, are now growing by this peat-moor, stumps are occasionally stumbled against, among the heath, which belong, most probably, to the same era with the bleached and larger trunks. To the trunks the commencement of the roots remain, in most instances, attached; and the almost horizontal mode in which the main roots spread away from the base of the stem, is quite in accordance with their having grown in a soil difficult to be penetrated, and retaining moisture near the surface alone. Among this bleached kind of upper bog timber there were, towards the end of 1835, many stumps of oak of six and seven feet in length and of thirty to forty inches in circumference; portions of fir of thirty inches in circumference; and the lower part of one well-grown stem of a young fir, fifteen feet in length and about five inches in diameter.—E. T. B.

sportsman cried out, " A hen pheasant;" but a gentleman present, who had often seen black game in the north of England, assured me that it was a gray hen[4].

Nor does the loss of our black game prove the only gap in the *Fauna Selborniensis;* for another beautiful link in the chain of beings is wanting, I mean the red deer, which toward the beginning of this century amounted to about five hundred head, and made a stately appearance. There is an old keeper, now alive, named Adams, whose great grandfather (mentioned in a perambulation taken in 1635), grandfather, father, and self, enjoyed the head keepership of Wolmer forest in succession for more than a hundred years. This person assures me, that his father has often told him that Queen Anne, as she was journeying on the Portsmouth road, did not think the forest of Wolmer beneath her royal regard. For she came out of the great road at Lippock, which is just by, and reposing herself on a bank smoothed for that purpose, lying about half a mile to the east of Wolmer-pond, and still called Queen's-bank, saw with great complacency and satisfaction the whole herd of red deer brought by the keepers along the vale before her, consisting then of about five hundred head. A sight this, worthy the attention of the greatest sovereign! But he farther adds that, by means of the Waltham blacks, or, to use his own expression, as soon as they began blacking, they were reduced to about fifty head, and so continued decreasing till the time of the late Duke of Cumberland. It is now more than thirty years ago that his highness sent down a huntsman, and six yeomen-prickers, in scarlet jackets laced with gold, attended by the stag-hounds; ordering them to take every deer in this forest alive, and to convey them in carts to Windsor. In the course of the summer they caught every stag, some of which showed extraordinary

[4] Black game still occur on the forest; and a few of them are shot there almost every winter. On Bagshot Heath they yet remain; and even the red game has occasionally been met with.—E. T. B.

diversion: but, in the following winter, when the hinds were also carried off, such fine chases were exhibited as served the country people for matter of talk and wonder for years afterwards. I saw myself one of the yeomen-prickers single out a stag from the herd, and must confess that it was the most curious feat of activity I ever beheld, superior to any thing in Mr. Astley's riding-school. The exertions made by the horse and deer much exceeded all my expectations; though the former greatly excelled the latter in speed. When the devoted deer was separated from his companions, they gave him, by their watches, law, as they called it, for twenty minutes; when, sounding their horns, the stop-dogs were permitted to pursue, and a most gallant scene ensued.

LETTER VII.

TO THE SAME.

THOUGH large herds of deer do much harm to the neighbourhood, yet the injury to the morals of the people is of more moment than the loss of their crops. The temptation is irresistible; for most men are sportsmen by constitution: and there is such an inherent spirit for hunting in human nature, as scarce any inhibitions can restrain. Hence, towards the beginning of this century, all this country was wild about deer-stealing. Unless he was a hunter, as they affected to call themselves, no young person was allowed to be possessed of manhood or gallantry. The Waltham blacks at length committed such enormities, that government was forced to interfere with that severe and sanguinary act called the black act[1], which now comprehends more felonies than any law that ever was framed before. And, therefore, a late Bishop of Winchester,

[1] Statute 9 Geo. I. c. 22.

when urged to restock Waltham-chase[2], refused, from a motive worthy of a prelate, replying that "It had done mischief enough already."

Our old race of deer stealers are hardly extinct yet: it was but a little while ago that, over their ale, they used to recount the exploits of their youth; such as watching the pregnant hind to her lair, and, when the calf was dropped, paring its feet with a penknife to the quick to prevent its escape, till it was large and fat enough to be killed; the shooting at one of their neighbours with a bullet in a turnip-field by moonshine, mistaking him for a deer; and the losing a dog in the following extraordinary manner:—Some fellows suspecting that a calf new-fallen was deposited in a certain spot of thick fern, went, with a lurcher, to surprise it; when the parent-hind rushed out of the brake, and, taking a vast spring with all her feet close together, pitched upon the neck of the dog, and broke it short in two.

Another temptation to idleness and sporting was a number of rabbits, which possessed all the hillocks and

[2] This chase remains unstocked to this day: the Bishop was Dr. Hoadley.

dry places; but these being inconvenient to the huntsmen, on account of their burrows, when they came to take away the deer, they permitted the country people to destroy them all.

Such forests and wastes, when the allurements to irregularities are removed, are of considerable service to neighbourhoods that verge upon them, by furnishing them with peat and turf for their firing; with fuel for the burning their lime; and with ashes for their grasses; and by maintaining their geese and their stock of young cattle at little or no expense.

The manor farm of the parish of Greatham has an admitted claim, I see (by an old record taken from the Tower of London), of turning all live stock on the forest, at proper seasons, *bidentibus exceptis*[3]. The reason, I presume, why sheep[4] are excluded, is, because, being such close grazers, they would pick out all the finest grasses, and hinder the deer from thriving[5].

Though (by statute 4 and 5 W. and Mary, c. 23), "to burn on any waste, between Candlemas and Midsummer, any grig, ling, heath and furze, goss or fern, is punishable with whipping and confinement in the house of correction[6];" yet, in this forest, about March

[3] For this privilege the owner of that estate used to pay to the king annually seven bushels of oats.

[4] In the Holt, where a full stock of fallow-deer has been kept up till lately, no sheep are admitted to this day.

[5] Sheep obtain the first pair of central permanent incisors when about fourteen months old, and are then occasionally referred to by the term *bidentes*.

It is singular that sheep with a single row of incisor teeth pressing against a cartilaginous pad, should be able to bite closer than a horse with a well matched double row of teeth; but it is a well known fact that a horse would be starved on downs where sheep thrive.—W. Y.

[6] In Scotland where the extensive burnings of heath are common, the prohibited months have reference to the preservation of the eggs and young of grouse and other game, as little other inconvenience is apt to ensue when no woods are in the vicinity. It is a very splendid spectacle to see, during a dark night, the skirts of a mountain range as far as the eye can reach, enveloped in one expanded sheet of fire and flame. Even in the daytime, the pale blue smoke of Muir-burn, as it is termed, is a very

or April, according to the dryness of the season, such vast heath-fires are lighted up, that they often get to a masterless head, and, catching the hedges, have sometimes been communicated to the underwoods, woods, and coppices, where great damage has ensued[7]. The plea for these burnings is, that, when the old coat of heath &c. is consumed, young will sprout up, and afford much tender browze for cattle: but, where there is large old furze, the fire, following the roots, consumes the very ground; so that for hundreds of acres nothing is to be seen but smother and desolation, the whole circuit round looking like the cinders of a volcano; and, the soil being quite exhausted, no traces of vegetation are to be found for years. These conflagrations, as they take place usually with a north-east or east wind, much annoy this village with their smoke, and often alarm the country; and, once in particular, I remember that a gentleman, who lives beyond Andover, coming to my house, when he got on the downs between that town and Winchester, at twenty-five miles distance, was surprised much with smoke and a hot smell of fire; and concluded that Alresford was in flames; but, when he came to that town, he then had apprehensions for the next village, and so on to the end of his journey.

On two of the most conspicuous eminences of this forest stand two arbours or bowers, made of the boughs of oaks; the one called Waldon-lodge, the other Brimstone-lodge: these the keepers renew annually on the feast of St. Barnabas, taking the old materials for a perquisite. The farm called Blackmoor, in this parish,

fine sight, and gives a peculiar and indescribable aspect to the landscape. The process is productive in the succeeding summer, of an abundant crop of young shoots of heath and grass, upon which the sheep feast luxuriously.—RENNIE.

[7] The description of the conflagration arising from the heath-fires here mentioned, reminds the scholar of the stubble-burning described in Virgil's Georgics, i. 84, and the commentary on the passage, by the elegant and learned Mr. Holdsworth, p. 52. Compare Virgilii Æn. ii. 304. Ovid. Epist. xv. 9. Sil. Ital. vii. 365.—MITFORD.

is obliged to find the posts and brushwood for the former; while the farms at Greatham, in rotation, furnish for the latter; and are all enjoined to cut and deliver the materials at the spot. This custom I mention, because I look upon it to be of very remote antiquity.

LETTER VIII.

TO THE SAME.

On the verge of the forest, as it is now circumscribed, are three considerable lakes, two in Oakhanger, of which I have nothing particular to say; and one called Bin's or Bean's Pond, which is worthy the attention of a naturalist or a sportsman. For, being crowded at the upper end with willows, and with the *Carex cespitosa*[1], it affords such a safe and pleasing shelter to wild ducks, teals, snipes, &c. that they breed there. In the winter this covert is also frequented by foxes, and sometimes by pheasants; and the bogs produce many curious plants[2]. [For which, consult Letter XLI. to Mr. Barrington.]

By a perambulation of Wolmer Forest and The Holt, made in 1635, and in the eleventh year of Charles the First (which now lies before me), it appears that the limits of the former are much circumscribed. For, to say nothing of the farther side, with which I am not so

[1] I mean that sort which, rising into tall hassocks, is called by the foresters torrets; a corruption, I suppose, of turrets.

[2] Bin's Pond has been drained, and cattle graze in its bed. The covert in which wild ducks and foxes formerly haunted, has almost entirely disappeared. The place has lost much of its attraction for the sportsman; and the botanist, who might desire to search there for curious plants would now run the risk of being disappointed, as in a thousand other instances, of his expected harvest; deprived, by modern improvements, of the soil in which alone his plants would thrive.—E. T. B.

well acquainted, the bounds on this side, in old times, came into Binswood; and extended to the ditch of Ward le ham Park, in which stands the curious mount called King John's Hill, and Lodge Hill; and to the verge of Hartley Mauduit, called Mauduit-hatch; comprehending also Short-heath, Oakhanger, and Oakwoods; a large district, now private property, though once belonging to the royal domain[3].

It is remarkable that the term purlieu is never once mentioned in this long roll of parchment. It contains, besides the perambulation, a rough estimate of the value of the timbers, which were considerable, growing at that time in the district of The Holt[4]; and enumerates the officers, superior and inferior, of those joint forests, for the time being, and their ostensible fees and perquisites. In those days, as at present, there were hardly any trees in Wolmer Forest.

Within the present limits of the forest are three considerable lakes, Hogmer, Cranmer, and Wolmer; all of which are stocked with carp, tench, eels, and perch: but the fish do not thrive well, because the water is hungry, and the bottoms are a naked sand[5].

A circumstance respecting these ponds, though by no

[3] In the beginning of the summer, 1787, the royal forests of Wolmer and Holt were measured by persons sent down by government.

[According to the Report of the Commissioners here referred to, the forests contain about fifteen thousand four hundred and ninety-three acres, statute measure: but of that quantity about six thousand seven hundred and ninety-nine acres belong to private proprietors; the rest, being about eight thousand six hundred and ninety-four acres, are forest lands belonging to the crown. The royal forest of The Holt, with its enclosures, comprehends two thousand seven hundred and forty-four acres. Wolmer, with but two enclosures within its precincts, extends over five thousand nine hundred and forty-nine acres.—E. T. B.]

[4] The timber of The Holt, at the time of the survey referred to in the preceding note, was valued at £.61,100.—E. T. B.

[5] In the enumeration made to me by the intelligent keeper at Wolmer Pond, the voracious pike was substituted for the perch, and the eel was omitted. The harsh and unyielding nature of the bottom would be little suited either to the eels themselves, or to the softer animals on which they feed.—E. T. B.

means peculiar to them, I cannot pass over in silence; and that is, that instinct by which in summer all the kine, whether oxen, cows, calves, or heifers, retire constantly to the water during the hotter hours; where, being more exempt from flies, and inhaling the coolness of that element, some belly deep, and some only to mid-leg, they ruminate and solace themselves from about ten in the morning till four in the afternoon, and then return to their feeding. During this great proportion of the day they drop much dung, in which insects nestle; and so supply food for the fish, which would be poorly subsisted but from this contingency. Thus Nature, who is a great economist, converts the recreation of one animal to the support of another! Thomson, who was a nice observer of natural occurrences, did not let this pleasing circumstance escape him. He says, in his Summer,

> "A various group the herds and flocks compose:
> ——————————— on the grassy bank
> Some ruminating lie; while others stand
> Half in the flood, and often bending, sip
> The circling surface."

Wolmer-pond, so called, I suppose, for eminence sake, is a vast lake for this part of the world, containing, in its whole circumference, two thousand six hundred and forty-six yards, or very near a mile and a half. The length of the north-west and opposite side is about seven hundred and four yards, and the breadth of the south-west end about four hundred and fifty-six yards. This measurement, which I caused to be made with good exactness, gives an area of about sixty-six acres, exclusive of a large irregular arm at the north-east corner, which we did not take into the reckoning.

On the face of this expanse of waters, and perfectly secure from fowlers, lie all day long, in the winter season, vast flocks of ducks, teals, and widgeons, of various denominations; where they preen and solace and rest

themselves, till towards sunset, when they issue forth in little parties (for in their natural state they are all birds of the night) to feed in the brooks and meadows; returning again with the dawn of the morning. Had this lake an arm or two more, and were it planted round with thick covert (for now it is perfectly naked), it might make a valuable decoy.

Yet neither its extent, nor the clearness of its water, nor the resort of various and curious fowls, nor its picturesque groups of cattle, can render this mere so remarkable as the great quantity of coins that were found in its bed about forty years ago. But as such discoveries more properly belong to the Antiquities of this place, I shall suppress all particulars, for the present, till I enter professedly on my series of Letters respecting the more remote history of this village and district.

LETTER IX.

TO THE SAME.

By way of supplement, I shall trouble you once more on this subject, to inform you that Wolmer, with her sister forest Ayles Holt, alias Alice Holt[1], as it is called in old records, is held by grant from the crown for a term of years.

The grantees that the author remembers are Brigadier-general Emanuel Scroop Howe, and his lady, Ru-

[1] In Rot. Inquisit. de statu forest. in Scaccar. 36 Ed. III. it is called Aisholt.

In the same, "Tit. Woolmer & Aisholt Hantisc. Dominus Rex habet unam capellam in *haia* suâ de Kingesle." "*Haia, sepes, sepimentum, parcus*: a Gall. *haie* and *haye*." Spelman's Glossary.

[Several additional documents relating to the earlier history of the forests, both that of Wolmer and The Holt, are given in a note on Letter X. of the Antiquities.]

perta, who was a natural daughter of Prince Rupert by Margaret Hughs; a Mr. Mordaunt, of the Peterborough family, who married a dowager Lady Pembroke; Henry Bilson Legge and lady; and now Lord Stawel, their son[2].

The lady of General Howe lived to an advanced age, long surviving her husband; and, at her death, left behind her many curious pieces of mechanism of her father's constructing, who was a distinguished mechanic and artist[3], as well as warrior; and among the rest, a very complicated clock, lately in possession of Mr. Elmer, the celebrated game-painter at Farnham, in the county of Surrey.

Though these two forests are only parted by a narrow range of enclosures, yet no two soils can be more different: for The Holt consists of a strong loam, of a miry nature, carrying a good turf, and abounding with oaks that grow to be large timber; while Wolmer is nothing but a hungry, sandy, barren waste.

The former, being all in the parish of Binsted, is about two miles in extent from north to south, and near as much from east to west; and contains within it many woodlands and lawns, and the great lodge where the grantees reside; and a smaller lodge called Goose Green; and is abutted on by the parishes of Kingsley, Frinsham, Farnham, and Bentley; all of which have right of common.

One thing is remarkable; that, though The Holt has been of old well stocked with fallow-deer, unrestrained by any pales or fences more than a common hedge, yet they were never seen within the limits of Wolmer; nor

[2] On the expiration of the grant to Lord Stawel, the Commissioners of Woods and Forests resumed possession of The Holt. All the lands held by him, and two-thirds of the formerly open Forest, have been subsequently enclosed and planted, and now contain as fine young oaks as any plantations in the kingdom.—E. T. B.

[3] This prince was the inventor of mezzotinto.

were the red deer of Wolmer ever known to haunt the thickets or glades of The Holt[4].

At present the deer of The Holt are much thinned

[4] In the distinctness thus strongly stated to have existed between the ranges of the fallow deer and the red deer there is, at first sight, something so remarkable as to induce a consideration of the subject as regards the localities and the habits of the animals.

Than The Holt and Wolmer Forest it is almost impossible for two situations to be more dissimilar. The Holt is on the gault, and has all the richness of meadow and nobleness of oak wood that distinguish that formation. It consequently offered to the fallow deer, while they remained on it, plentiful grazing, abundance of browzing, and open and sheltered glades; advantages suited to the habits of that half domesticated race, introduced into this country by man, and still requiring at his hands care and protection. Wolmer Forest, on the lean and hungry sand, scarcely affords any grass, and has no high covert; and the red deer attached to it would have been limited for their provender almost exclusively to the lichens, the heath tops, and the twigs of the very few stunted bushes that occur here and there on its surface: retirement could only have been obtained for them by plunging into the unfrequented hollows interposed between its ridges. The more tender and exotic deer was placed, and it might have seemed almost naturally, in the richer and more sheltered forest of The Holt; the hardier and native race subsisted on the coarse fare of the dreary and cheerless waste of Wolmer. Of the two kinds, the one might have been regarded as approaching in some degree towards the sheep; while the other would more nearly have resembled, in its enduring habits, the rein-deer or the roe.

It is not, however, necessary to seek so far for the cause of the pertinacity with which the different deer adhered to their several ranges. Deer generally, without reference to the habits of particular species, are by no means given to wander from their accustomed haunts. A deer, almost from the moment at which it is born, becomes one of the herd to which its mother belongs, and remains with them, throughout the whole of its life, in the walks which they frequent. In the New Forest there are more than twelve distinct herds of fallow deer, each of which has its own range, and is under the charge of its especial keeper; and it scarcely ever happens that an individual from any of these herds quits its companions and mingles with those of another walk. Every one of the deer of each particular herd is so well known to its keeper as to be immediately missed by him, if it were to escape; and to be at once recognisable in the midst of another herd, had it associated with them. But even a solitary instance of wandering is almost unknown.

In this case the deer are all of one kind; for it is of the fallow deer only that I have been here speaking. The red deer now on the New Forest, amounting to about a hundred head, are not recognised as having distinct haunts from the fallow deer: the herds never mix together, it is

and reduced by the night-hunters, who perpetually harass them in spite of the efforts of numerous keepers, and the severe penalties that have been put in force against them as often as they have been detected, and rendered liable to the lash of the law. Neither fines nor imprisonments can deter them: so impossible is it to extinguish the spirit of sporting, which seems to be inherent in human nature.

General Howe turned out some German wild boars and sows in his forests, to the great terror of the neighbourhood; and, at one time, a wild bull or buffalo: but the country rose upon them, and destroyed them[5].

A very large fall of timber, consisting of about one thousand oaks, has been cut this spring (viz. 1784) in The Holt forest; one-fifth of which, it is said, belongs to the grantee, Lord Stawel. He lays claim also to the lop and top: but the poor of the parishes of Binsted and Frinsham, Bentley and Kingsley, assert that it belongs to them; and, assembling in a riotous manner, have actually taken it all away. One man, who keeps a team, has carried home, for his share, forty stacks of wood. Forty-five of these people his lordship has served with actions[6]. These trees, which were very

said; but the red deer do not avoid the places to which the others are accustomed to resort.

A most marked case of the adherence of deer to their respective walks obtains in the Forest of Dean. The Forest adjoins immediately to the High Meadow Woods, the property of Lord Gage, and in both of them fallow deer are kept. The deer of the Forest are all black: those of the High Meadow Woods are pale or spotted. A stray individual from either would be instantly recognised amid the herds of the other. But it never happens that either wanders from its own companions or quits its bounds. —E. T. B.

[5] German boars and sows were also turned out by Charles the First in the New Forest, which bred and increased. Their stock is supposed to exist now, remarkable for the smallness of their hind quarters. See an Engraving of one in Gilpin's Forest Scenery, ii. 118.—MITFORD.

[6] It appears that the defendants in these actions, though they made a show of resistance, suffered judgment to go by default. The question of right had, in fact, been tried in 1741, and determined against the claimants. Yet notwithstanding this, so soon after as 1788, on the occa-

sound, and in high perfection, were winter-cut, viz. in February and March, before the bark would run.

In old times The Holt was estimated to be eighteen miles, computed measure, from water-carriage, viz. from the town of Chertsey, on the Thames; but now it is not half that distance, since the Wey is made navigable up to the town of Godalming, in the county of Surrey[7].

LETTER X.

TO THE SAME[1].

August 4, 1767.

It has been my misfortune never to have had any neighbours whose studies have led them towards the pursuit of natural knowledge; so that, for want of a companion to quicken my industry and sharpen my attention, I have made but slender progress in a kind of information to which I have been attached from my childhood.

sion of another fall of timber in The Holt, the people of Frinsham again assembled and carried off openly upwards of six thousand faggots. So difficult is it to convince where interest opposes.—E. T. B.

[7] The formation of the Basingstoke Canal has again reduced the distance of The Holt from water-carriage; which is now accessible, either at Odiham or at Bagman's Castle, within about seven miles.—E. T. B.

[1] Pennant, the correspondent for many years of Gilbert White and the esteemed friend to whom the first series of his Letters on the Natural History of his native place were addressed, was among the most active of the scientific and literary characters of his day. At the time when the above Letter was written, the earliest in date of the published correspondence of White, he was busily engaged in the preparation of the octavo edition of his British Zoology: the first edition of that work had preceded it but a few years; and it was quickly followed by others; and by other works on zoology, and on antiquities, and by tours, topographies, and other productions; all of which were deservedly popular. For more than forty years his pen was never idle. Industrious himself, he was the cause also of industry in others; and the enumeration which he gives of the services he did to the professors of the art of engraving

As to swallows *(Hirundines rusticæ)* being found in a torpid state during the winter in the Isle of Wight, or any part of this country, I never heard any such account worth attending to. But a clergyman, of an inquisitive turn, assures me, that, when he was a great

by the multitude of plates executed by them for his several works, while it furnishes a list of the principal of his productions, will also afford some idea of the extent and variety of his labours.

British Zoology, folio	132
British Zoology, octavo or quarto	284
History of Quadrupeds	54
Tour in Scotland, the three volumes	134
Journey to London	23
Tour in Wales, two volumes	53
Moses Griffith's Supplemental Plates	10
Some Account of London, second edition	15
Indian Zoology	17
Genera of Birds	16
Arctic Zoology, two volumes	26
Systematic Index to de Buffon	1
Lightfoot's Flora Scotica, two volumes	37
	802

Of many of these works several editions were required, and the superintendence of them added to the demands on him for continual devotion to literary pursuits. Many minor works were also published by him, including numerous papers in the Philosophical Transactions. He maintained too an active correspondence both at home and abroad throughout the whole of his life; and numbered among his friends the most distinguished men in the several branches of knowledge which he cultivated. Linnæus was among his earliest correspondents; and with Pallas he was in frequent communication.

" I am often astonished," he says, in his Literary Life of himself, " at the multiplicity of my publications, especially when I reflect on the various duties it has fallen to my lot to discharge, as father of a family, landlord of a small but numerous tenantry, and a not inactive magistrate. I had a great share of health during the literary part of my days. Much of this was owing to the riding exercise of my extensive tours, to my manner of living, and to my temperance. I go to rest at ten; and rise winter and summer at seven, and shave regularly at the same hour, being a true *misopogon*. I avoid the meal of excess, a supper; and my soul rises with vigour to its employs, and (I trust) does not disappoint the end of its Creator."

Pennant died in 1798, in the seventy-third year of his age; having survived for more than seven years the literary death which he had anticipated for himself in 1791.—E. T. B.

boy, some workmen, in pulling down the battlements of a church tower early in the spring, found two or three swifts *(Hirundines apodes*[2]*)* among the rubbish, which were, at first appearance, dead; but, on being carried toward the fire, revived. He told me that, out of his great care to preserve them, he put them in a paper bag, and hung them by the kitchen fire, where they were suffocated.

Another intelligent person has informed me that, while he was a schoolboy at Brighthelmstone, in Sussex, a great fragment of the chalk-cliff fell down one stormy winter on the beach; and that many people found swallows among the rubbish: but, on my questioning him whether he saw any of those birds himself, to my no small disappointment, he answered me in the negative; but that others assured him they did.

Young broods of swallows began to appear this year on July the eleventh, and young martins *(Hirundines urbicæ)* were then fledged in their nests. Both species will breed again once. For I see by my *Fauna* of last year, that young broods came forth so late as September the eighteenth. Are not these late hatchings more in favour of hiding than migration? Nay, some young martins remained in their nests last year so late as September the twenty-ninth; and yet they totally disappeared with us by the fifth of October.

How strange is it, that the swift, which seems to live exactly the same life with the swallow and house-martin, should leave us before the middle of August invariably[3]! while the latter stay often till the middle of October; and once I saw numbers of house-martins on the seventh of November[4]. The martins and red-

[2] [*Cypselus Apus*, Ill.]

[3] In making use of the above remark, under the head of Swift, in the second volume of his British Zoology, 1768, p. 246, Pennant adds: "For these, and several other observations, we owe our acknowledgements to the Reverend Mr. White, of Selborne, Hampshire."—E. T. B.

[4] Upwards of a hundred of these birds collected and apparently going off, were seen on the thirteenth of November, 1831, at Dover.—W. Y.

wing fieldfares were flying in sight together; an uncommon assemblage of summer and winter birds!

A little yellow bird (it is either a species of the *Alauda trivialis*, or rather perhaps of the *Motacilla Trochilus*) still continues to make a sibilous shivering noise in the tops of tall woods[5].

The *Stoparola* of Ray (for which we have as yet no name in these parts) is called, in your Zoology, the flycatcher. There is one circumstance characteristic of this bird, which seems to have escaped observation, and that is, it takes its stand on the top of some stake or post, from whence it springs forth on its prey, catching a fly in the air, and hardly ever touching the ground, but returning still to the same stand for many times together[6].

I perceive there are more than one species of the

[5] The *Motacilla* or *Sylvia Trochilus* does not make a sibilous shivering noise. The bird meant is the *Sylvia sylvicola*, called by Bechstein *Sylv. sibilatrix*.—W. H.

[6] This little visitant, the *Muscicapa Grisola*, Linn., arrives about the same time as the whitethroat and redstart, and during the period of incubation seeks the shelter afforded by our dwellings; trusting, like the martin and swallow, to the forbearance, while it seems to court the protection, of man. Building most commonly, as White subsequently describes it (in Letter XL.), at the end of a plate (a term employed in Hampshire and Surrey to signify a beam, or rafter, that projects a little from a house or building,) the bird has thence derived two of its local names: in some districts it is called rafter, in others it is known as the beam bird. In open exposed situations it has also acquired the name of bee bird, on account of its being very destructive to hive bees; not only taking them flying, but waiting for them at the tee hole, or mouth of the hive. But in sheltered places, near houses, and in villages, where insects abound, it appears to be principally known as an expert flycatcher.—G. D.

Elsewhere the spotted flycatcher is known by other names. Mr. Rennie informs us that in Kent it is called the post bird, from the habit described in the text. In Northamptonshire, according to Morton, "This, though called a bird without a name by Mr. Willughby, is well known, and vulgarly called the copweb; as usually building in the corners of walls, and the like places, where spiders weave their webs." A MS. note by Morton, in the copy of his work in the library of the British Museum, adds, "and also building its nest in part of copwebs, interwoven with moss, straws, and the like."—E. T. B.

Motacilla Trochilus: Mr. Derham supposes, in Ray's Philosophical Letters, that he has discovered three. In these there is again an instance of some very common birds that have as yet no English name.

Mr. Stillingfleet makes a question whether the blackcap *(Motacilla Atricapilla*[7]*)* be a bird of passage or not; I think there is no doubt of it: for, in April, in the first fine weather, they come trooping, all at once, into these parts, but are never seen in the winter[8]. They are delicate songsters[9].

Numbers of snipes breed every summer in some moory ground on the verge of this parish. It is very amusing to see the cock bird on wing at that time, and to hear his piping and humming notes.

I have had no opportunity yet of procuring any of those mice which I mentioned to you in town. The person that brought me the last says they are plenty in harvest, at which time I will take care to get more;

[7] [*Curruca Atricapilla*, Bechst.]

[8] A fine cock blackcap, which I purchased in the bird market at Paris, in September, exhibited the migrative agitation about the end of that month, again before Christmas, again in February, and finally on the first of April, beginning at sunset to leap and flutter about the cage for several hours every night, and remaining quiet and frequently sleeping during the day. The agitation continued some weeks each time. I would infer from this that the species migrates more than once after leaving our shores. Dr. Heineken informs us that it is stationary at Madeira: consequently Sir W. Jardine is wrong in thinking our birds retire thither; but we have no statements respecting the countries they do visit in winter. They certainly go farther south than Gibraltar, where they are only summer visitants. Mr. Lewin, as we are informed by Dr. Latham, once shot a blackcap in January near Dartford, in Kent, which will qualify Mr. White's statement that they are never seen in the winter.—Rennie.

An exception, such as the one quoted in the preceding note, can scarcely be regarded as militating against a general rule: in the words of the adage, the exception may rather be said to prove the rule.—E. T. B.

[9] The delightful song of the blackcap is beautifully described by our author in Letter XL. The description there given was copied by Pennant, in the third edition of his British Zoology, vol. i. p. 375. The blackcap, as Mr. Mitford has remarked, is classed very highly by Daines Barrington in his scale of singing birds.—E. T. B.

and will endeavour to put the matter out of doubt, whether it be a nondescript species or not.

I suspect much there may be two species of water-rats. Ray says, and Linnæus after him, that the water-rat is web-footed behind. Now I have discovered a rat on the banks of our little stream that is not web-footed, and yet is an excellent swimmer and diver: it answers exactly to the *Mus amphibius* of Linnæus (See Syst. Nat.), which he says, "*natat in fossis et urinatur.*" I should be glad to procure one "*plantis palmatis.*" Linnæus seems to be in a puzzle about his *Mus amphibius*, and to doubt whether it differs from his *Mus terrestris;* which, if it be, as he allows, the "*Mus agrestis capite grandi, brachyuros,*" of Ray, is widely different from the water-rat, both in size, make, and manner of life [10].

[10] Willughby was the originator of the confusion alluded to. He described the water-rat as having its toes connected together by intervening webs; and his description was published by Ray in the Synopsis Quadrupedum. Linnæus, believing that such authorities were to be relied on, admitted a rat-like animal, having its hinder feet webbed, into the several editions of his Fauna Suecica; placing it, in the first of them, where its technical characters directed him, in the genus *Castor*. Subsequently he associated it with the rats; and referred to it as of doubtful existence, as being perhaps inaccurately described, and as probably to be referred to his *Mus terrestris*. There can now be no doubt that he was correct in regarding the large rat with a hairy tail of moderate length, which frequents ditches in the summer time, and swims and dives well, and which has on these accounts acquired the name of *amphibius*, as identical with the one described by him as the *terrestris*, as having the same outward form and colours, and as being found in burrows: the winter nest of the species is described by White in Letter XXVI. Willughby's error must have been occasioned by his having assumed from a certain habit that a certain structure which he regarded as indicated by it must necessarily be coexistent with it: but he should not have forgotten, even for an instant, that natural history is a science of observation, and not of theoretical deductions.

The *Mus agrestis capite grandi, brachyuros*, of Ray, is indeed widely different from the water rat: it is the short-tailed field mouse or vole, *Arvicola agrestis*, Flem.; the water rat, or rather water vole, being the *Arv. amphibia*, Desm. The genera *Arvicola* and *Mus* do not belong even to the same primary section of the rodents.—E. T. B.

As to the *Falco*, which I mentioned in town, I shall take the liberty to send it down to you into Wales; presuming on your candour, that you will excuse me if it should appear as familiar to you as it is strange to me. Though mutilated, "*qualem dices . . . antehac fuisse, tales cum sint reliquiæ*[11]!"

It haunted a marshy piece of ground in quest of wild ducks and snipes; but, when it was shot, had just knocked down a rook, which it was tearing in pieces. I cannot make it answer to any of our English hawks; neither could I find any like it at the curious exhibition of stuffed birds in Spring Gardens. I found it nailed up at the end of a barn, which is the countryman's museum[12].

The parish I live in is a very abrupt, uneven country, full of hills and woods, and therefore full of birds.

LETTER XI.

TO THE SAME.

SELBORNE, September 9, 1767.

IT will not be without impatience that I shall wait for your thoughts with regard to the *Falco*. As to its weight, breadth, &c., I wish I had set them down at the time: but to the best of my remembrance, it weighed two pounds and eight ounces, and measured, from wing to wing, thirty-eight inches. Its cere and feet were yellow, and the circle of its eyelids a bright yellow. As

[11] The species proved to be the *Falco peregrinus* of authors; it is common also in the United States, and was called by Wilson the duck hawk. In this country it breeds principally among the rocks and cliffs of the sea-shore, and preys upon water-fowl.—W. Y.

[12] The naturalist may occasionally meet with rarities in such places; and I recollect seeing in Wiltshire the remains of a specimen of the rare sparrow owl (*Noctua passerina*, SAV.) thus nailed up to a barn-door, though not in a fit condition to be set up in a cabinet.—RENNIE.

it had been killed some days, and the eyes were sunk, I could make no good observation on the colour of the pupils and the irides [1].

The most unusual birds I ever observed in these parts were a pair of hoopoes *(Upupa)*, which came

THE HOOPOE.

several years ago in the summer, and frequented an ornamented piece of ground, which joins to my garden, for some weeks. They used to march about in a stately manner, feeding in the walks, many times in the day; and seemed disposed to breed in my outlet; but were frighted and persecuted by idle boys, who would never let them be at rest [2].

[1] The irides of all the British species of true falcons are brown.—W. Y.

[2] But few instances have been recorded of the breeding in England of

Three gros-beaks *(Loxia Coccothraustes*[3]*)* appeared some years ago in my fields, in the winter; one of which I shot: since that, now and then, one is occasionally seen in the same dead season.

A cross-bill *(Loxia curvirostra)* was killed last year in this neighbourhood[4].

that rare and occasional visitant, the hoopoe. The one mentioned in the text is the earliest promise of an attempt at breeding here that I am aware of. It is referred to by both Pennant and Montagu.—E. T. B.

[3] [*Coccothraustes vulgaris*, FLEM.]

[4] The most curious account of the cross-bill was published by Dr. Townson, who kept them tame. See his Tracts on Natural History, p. 116.—MITFORD.

My friend Mr. Yarrell has published, in the fourth volume of the Zoological Journal, an excellent and detailed anatomy of the muscles by which the singular beak and tongue of the cross-bill are made to serve the peculiar purposes for which they are designed. The most powerful muscles are those which are devoted to the laterally separating from each other of the points of the crossed jaws; an apparent deformity in the structure of the bird, but in reality a modification of the usual adjustment of the organs essential to the special wants of the cross-bill. "The great pine forests," says Mr. Townson, "such as the Hartz in Germany, are the natural places of residence of the cross-beaks, and the seed of the cones of those trees their food; and it is to pull out the seeds from between the squamæ, or scales, of the cones, that this structure is given them. Their mode of operation is thus: they first fix themselves across the cone, then bring the points of the maxillæ from their crossed or lateral position to be immediately over each other. In this reduced compass, they insinuate their beaks between the scales; and then opening them, not in the usual manner, but by drawing the inferior maxilla sideways, force open the scales or squamæ."

"At this stage of the proceeding," continues Mr. Yarrell, "the aid of the tongue becomes necessary, and this organ is no less admirably adapted for the service required. The bone of the tongue has articulated to its anterior extremity an additional portion, formed partly of bone with a horny covering; narrow in shape, about three-eighths of an inch in length, extending downwards and forwards, with its sides curved upwards, and its distant extremity shaped like a scoop, somewhat pointed, and thin on the edges." Mr. Yarrell describes with greater detail this superadded and distinct portion of the tongue, and the muscles by which it is moved, and their action; and then proceeds: "While, therefore, the points of the beak press the shell from the body of the cone, the tongue, brought forward by its own muscle (the genio-hyoideus), is enabled by the additional muscles described, to direct and insert its cutting scoop underneath the seed, and the food thus dislodged is conveyed to the mouth: and it will be seen by a reference to the first figure, that when the mandibles are separated laterally in this operation, the bird has an

Our streams, which are small, and rise only at the end of the village, yield nothing but the bull's head or miller's thumb *(Gobius fluviatilis capitatus*[5]*)*, the trout *(Trutta fluviatilis*[6]*)*, the eel *(Anguilla*[7]*)*, the lampern

uninterrupted view of the seed in the cavity, with the eye on that side to which the under mandible is curved."

The lateral power of the beak of the cross-bill has called forth expressions of astonishment from all who have witnessed its effects. Mr. Townson gives some curious instances of them; and a marked evidence of the muscular strength connected with that organ was afforded by a bird kept by Mr. Morgan, which Mr. Yarrell states to have broken off the point of its beak by repeated efforts to draw a flat-headed nail that confined some strong network: it persevered nevertheless, and was eventually successful. A principal occupation with Mr. Morgan's birds was the twisting out of the ends of the wires of their prison, which they accomplished with equal ease and dexterity: but their repeated success in this operation occasioned the destruction of so many cages that sentence of banishment was at length necessarily passed on those mischievous little beings, whose unceasing delight it seemed to be to disunite all joined substances that were placed within the reach of their bills.—E. T. B.

[5] This and the succeeding names of fishes are derived from Ray's Synopsis Avium et Piscium. The use of Ray's names in this department of zoology, rather than of those of Linnæus, would lead to the suspicion that the author was acquainted with the works of the Swedish master of natural history, through the medium only of the productions of Pennant. At the date of this Letter, the first, or folio, edition of the British Zoology had alone made its appearance; the first two volumes of the second edition, in quarto and octavo, were in preparation: but these extended no farther than the mammals and birds of Britain. The third volume of the second edition of the British Zoology, in which the fishes were for the first time enumerated, was not published till 1769. The information in the text was no doubt communicated in answer to queries having for their object the improvement of Pennant's forthcoming work.

The fish here alluded to is the *Cottus Gobio*, Linn.—E. T. B.

[6] [*Salmo Fario*, Linn.]

[7] In the absence of some definite character the fish here alluded to cannot be safely referred to any of those species of eels, which a more correct acquaintance with them has rendered it necessary for modern ichthyologists to distinguish in the British rivers. It is to the acuteness of Mr. Yarrell that we are originally indebted for most of our information on this subject, which has been partly communicated through the medium of the Zoological Society, and partly in other detached notices; and more recently, in a defined and systematic form, in the Rev. L. Jenyns's Manual of British Vertebrated Animals. Before this volume is published figures and descriptions of them, by Mr. Yarrell himself, will have appeared in his excellent work on British Fishes.

(Lampetra parva et fluviatilis[8]*)*, and the stickle-back *(Pisciculus aculeatus*[9]*)*.

To enable the reader to distinguish between them, their specific differences may be thus noted.

In the sharp-nosed eel, *Anguilla acutirostris*, YARR., the snout is acute, and compressed at the sides; the gape does not extend farther back than the middle of the eye; and about one-third of the entire length of the fish is situated in front of the commencement of the dorsal fin, and between one-eighth and one-ninth before the pectorals. This species is common throughout the country, and attains a considerable size; measuring two, three, or four feet in length, and sometimes more.

In the broad-nosed eel, *Ang. latirostris*, YARR., the snout is broad and rounded; the gape extends as far backwards as the hinder edge of the orbit; and more than one-third of the entire length of the fish is in front of the dorsal, and one-seventh in front of the pectoral fins. It rarely exceeds two feet in length; and appears to be almost equally common with the preceding.

In the snig eel, *Ang. mediorostris*, YARR., the snout is rather long and moderately broad; the gape does not extend quite so far back as the posterior edge of the orbit; there is rather less than one-third of the entire length of the fish before the dorsal, and between one-seventh and one-eighth before the pectoral fins.

Mr. Yarrell's specimens of the last were obtained from the river Avon. It appears not to acquire so large a size as either of the others; seldom exceeding half a pound in weight: while the broad-nosed eel has been known to weigh five pounds, and the sharp-nosed has even acquired the enormous weight of twenty-eight pounds.

The more extensively these characters are tested in different localities, the more assured will be our knowledge of the species of eels, of their distribution, and of their habits: all subjects of considerable interest.—E. T. B.

[8] [*Ammocœtes branchialis*, DUM.]

[9] On the stickle-back of the text a remark must be made, similar to that which was elicited by the eel. Where, in the days of Gilbert White, only one species was believed to exist, it is now known that there are several. Cuvier clearly distinguished three, and indicated others, that had previously been confounded under the common name of *Gasterosteus aculeatus;* and Mr. Yarrell subsequently made known the fact that these several kinds were found in England also. In his History of British Fishes he has given figures and descriptions of four different kinds of three-spined stickle-backs, as well as of a four-spined species. The latter was obtained from the neighbourhood of Edinburgh, and is regarded as altogether new; it is the *Gast. spinulosus* of Messrs. Yarrell and Jenyns.

It is easy to distinguish between the several kinds of three-spined stickle-backs, if attention be paid to the manner in which their sides are covered. If the bony plates which spread away from the lateral line

We are twenty miles from the sea, and almost as many from a great river, and therefore see but little of seabirds. As to wild fowls, we have a few teams of ducks bred in the moors where the snipes breed; and multitudes of widgeons and teals in hard weather frequent our lakes in the forest.

Having some acquaintance with a tame brown owl, I find that it casts up the fur of mice, and the feathers of birds, in pellets, after the manner of hawks: when full, like a dog, it hides what it cannot eat.

The young of the barn-owl are not easily raised, as they want a constant supply of fresh mice: whereas the young of the brown owl will eat indiscriminately all that is brought; snails, rats, kittens, puppies, magpies, and any kind of carrion or offal.

The house-martins have eggs still, and squab-young. The last swift I observed was about the twenty-first of August; it was a straggler.

Red-starts, fly-catchers, white-throats, and *Reguli non cristati*, still appear; but I have seen no blackcaps lately.

I forgot to mention that I once saw, in Christ Church college quadrangle in Oxford, on a very sunny warm morning, a house-martin flying about, and settling on the parapets, so late as the twentieth of November.

both above and below it, for the protection of the otherwise naked sides of the fish, are extended along the whole of the side as far as the caudal fin, it is the rough-tailed stickle-back, *Gast. trachurus*, Cuv. and Val.: if these bony plates do not extend farther backwards than the line of the vent, it is the half-armed stickle-back, *Gast. semiarmatus*, Cuv. and Val.: if the lateral plates reach no farther backwards than the end of the pectoral fin, it is either the smooth-tailed stickle-back, *Gast. leiurus*, Cuv. and Val., with the dorsal spines or stickles about one-sixth of the height of the body; or the short-spined stickle-back, *Gast. brachycentrus*, Cuv. and Val., with the dorsal spines not more than one-twelfth of the height of the body. The latter is the largest of the stickle-backs found in the United Kingdom: it occurs in the north of Ireland.

Including the ten-spined species, six distinct kinds of stickle-backs are now known to inhabit the fresh waters of these islands; and there are few situations in which four of them, or at the least three, may not be caught in the ponds and rivers.—E. T. B.

At present I know only two species of bats, the common *Vespertilio murinus*[10] and the *Vespertilio auritus*[11].

I was much entertained last summer with a tame bat, which would take flies out of a person's hand. If you gave it any thing to eat, it brought its wings round before the mouth, hovering and hiding its head in the manner of birds of prey when they feed[12]. The adroitness it showed in shearing off the wings of the flies, which were always rejected, was worthy of observation, and pleased me much[13]. Insects seemed to be most acceptable, though it did not refuse raw flesh when offered: so that the notion, that bats go down chimneys and gnaw men's bacon, seems no improbable story. While I amused myself with this wonderful quadruped, I saw it several times confute the vulgar opinion, that bats when down on a flat surface cannot get on the wing again, by rising with great ease from the floor. It ran, I observed, with more dispatch than I was aware of; but in a most ridiculous and grotesque manner[14].

[10] Probably the pipistrelle bat, *Vespertilio Pipistrellus*, GMEL.—E. T. B.

[11] [*Plecotus auritus*, GEOFF.]

[12] These particulars were published by Pennant, as favoured to him by White, in the Appendix to the second volume of his British Zoology, 1768, p. 500.—E. T. B.

[13] I have more than once kept bats in confinement, but none of them exhibited any of the dexterity mentioned by Mr. White. On the contrary, they seemed most remarkable for the awkwardness with which they seized and treated the insects offered to them, and required to have them almost put into their mouths before they perceived them. I attributed this to its being unnatural for them to catch their prey except on the wing, like the swallows (*Hirundinidæ*) and the night jars. One of them which I kept under an inverted bell-glass, slightly raised at the edge to admit fresh air, contrived to insinuate the hook of its wing so as to raise up the glass and effect its escape. I once saw one fly into a cottage in Wiltshire, either by mistake, or probably pursued by some owl; but notwithstanding the delicacy of tact ascribed to the species by Spallanzani, it did not seem capable of discovering the door, and dashed recklessly about till it was caught*.—RENNIE.

[14] I am indebted to Mr. Daniell for the following particulars of the habits of two species of British bats, which were kept by him in confine-

* Might not this have been owing to the alarm or excitement under which the animal was labouring at the time?—E. T. B.

Bats drink on the wing, like swallows, by sipping the surface, as they play over pools and streams. They

ment. They were originally given to me as a commentary on the statement in the text; but were subsequently communicated, at my request, to the Zoological Society at its meeting on November 11, 1834.

"In July, 1833," Mr. Daniell says, "I received five specimens of the pipistrelle bat from Elvetham, Hants; all of which were pregnant females. There were many more congregated with them in the ruins of the barn in which they were taken; but the rest escaped. They were brought to me in a tin powder canister, in which they had been kept for several days; and on turning them loose into a common packing-case with a few strips of deal nailed over its front to form a cage, they pleased me much by the great activity which they displayed in the larger space into which they had been introduced; progressing rapidly along the bottom of the box, ascending by the bars to the top, and then throwing themselves off as if endeavouring to fly. I caught some flies and offered one of them to one of the bats, which seized it with the greatest eagerness, and devoured it greedily, and then thrust its nose repeatedly through the bars, with its jaws extended, closing them from time to time with a snap, and evincing the utmost anxiety to obtain an additional supply of this agreeable food. The flies were then offered to the whole of them, and the same ravenous disposition was displayed; all the bats crowding together at the end of the box at which they were fed, and crawling over, snapping at, and biting each other, like so many curs, uttering at the same time a disagreeable grating squeak. I soon found that my pets were so hungry as to require more time to be expended in fly-catching than I was disposed to devote to them; and I then tried to feed them with cooked meat: but this they rejected. Raw beef was, however, eaten with avidity; and an evident preference was given to those pieces which had been moistened with water. The feeding with beef answered exceedingly well, two objects being gained by it: the bats were enabled to feed without assistance; and my curiosity was gratified by observing them catching flies for themselves.

"A slice of beef attached to the side of the box in which they were kept not only spared me the trouble of feeding them, but also, by attracting the flies, afforded good sport in observing the animals obtain their own food by this new kind of bat-fowling. The weather being warm, many blue-bottle flies were attracted by the meat; and, on one of these approaching within range of the bats' wings, it was sure to be struck down by their action, the animal itself falling at the same instant with all its membranes expanded, cowering over the devoted fly, with its head thrust under them in order to secure its prey. When the head was again drawn forth, the membranes were immediately closed, and the fly was observed to be almost invariably taken by the head. The act of deglutition was a laboured operation: the mastication consisting of a succession of eager bites or snaps; and the sucking process, if I may so term it, by which the insect was drawn into the mouth, being greatly assisted by the loose lips of the animal. Several minutes were usually

love to frequent waters, not only for the sake of drinking, but on account of insects, which are found over

occupied in swallowing a large fly. Those which I offered, in the first instance, were eaten entire; but I subsequently observed detached wings in the bottom of the box in which the bats were kept: I never, however, observed the rejection of the wings by the bats, and am inclined to think that they are generally swallowed. The olfactory nerves of the pipistrelle are acutely sensible, readily distinguishing between an insect and a bit of beef; for when one of them has been hanging at rest, attached by its hinder extremities to one of the bars in the front of its cage, I have frequently placed a small piece of beef within a short distance of its nose, but the beef has always been disregarded; when, on the other hand, I have put a fly in the same situation, the bat instantly commenced snapping after it. They would eat the beef when they were hungry; but they never refused a fly.

"In the day time they sometimes clustered together in a corner of the cage. Towards evening they became very lively, and gave rapid utterance to their harsh, creaking notes. The longest survivor of them died after a captivity of nineteen days.

"My intimate acquaintance with the noctule bat, the species of which Gilbert White appears to have been the first English observer, and for which he indicated the specific name *altivolans*, commenced on the 16th of May, 1834. I obtained on that day from Hertfordshire five specimens, four of which were pregnant females. The fifth individual, a male, was exceedingly restless and savage from the first; biting the females, and breaking his teeth against the wires of the cage in his attempts to escape from his place of confinement. He rejected all food, and died on the 18th. Up to this time the remaining four had continued sulky; but towards the evening they ate a few small pieces of raw beef, in preference to flies, beetles, or gentles, all of which were offered to them: only one, however, fed kindly. On the 20th one died; and on the 22nd, two others. The survivor was tried with a variety of food, for I was anxious to preserve her as long as possible; and as she evinced a decided preference for the hearts, livers, &c. of fowls, she was fed constantly upon them. Occasionally I offered to her large flies, but they were always rejected; although one or two May chafers placed within her reach were partially eaten. In taking the food the wings are not thrown forward in the manner of the pipistrelle, as if to surround a victim and prevent its escape; the action of the noctule in seizing the meat was similar to that of a dog. The appetite was sometimes voracious; the quantity eaten exceeding half an ounce, although the weight of the animal was no more than ten drachms. It was in the evening that it came down to its food: throughout the day it remained suspended by its hinder extremities at the top of the cage. It lapped the water that drained from the food, and in this, no less than in its manner of feeding, there was a marked distinction between the noctule and the pipistrelle: the latter in drinking raises its head. The animal evidently became quite reconciled to her new position. She took considerable pains in

them in the greatest plenty. As I was going some years ago, pretty late, in a boat from Richmond to Sunbury, on a warm summer's evening, I think I saw myriads of bats between the two places: the air swarmed with them all along the Thames, so that hundreds were in sight at a time. I am, &c.

LETTER XII.

TO THE SAME.

Sir, November 4, 1767.

It gave me no small satisfaction to hear that the *Falco*[1] turned out an uncommon one. I must confess I should

cleaning herself, using the claws of the posterior extremities as a comb, parting with them the hair on either side from the head to the tail, and forming a straight line down the middle of the back: the membrane of the wings was cleaned by forcing the nose through the folds, and thereby expanding them.

"On the 23rd of June a young one was born, exceeding in size a newly born mouse; and having, from its birth, considerable power in its hind legs and claws, by the aid of which it clung strongly to its dam or to the deal sides of the cage. It was nestled so closely within the folds of the membranes as to prevent any observation of the process of suckling. The dam was exceedingly careful of it on the next day also, and was observed to shift it from side to side to suckle it, keeping it still folded in the membranes of the wings: on these occasions her usual position was reversed. In the evening she was found to be dead; but the young one was still alive. It took milk from a sponge, and was kept carefully wrapped up in flannel; and by these attentions was preserved for eight days, at the end of which period it died. Its eyes were not then opened, and it had acquired very little hair."—G. D.

With the preceding notes Mr. Daniell also communicated to the Zoological Society some other particulars respecting the female noctule, which were published in the Proceedings of that body for 1834. These are less adapted to the general, than to the scientific, reader.

It would seem probable, from the account given in the text of its manner of feeding, that the tame bat observed by our author was the pipistrelle: a bat which he and British zoologists generally, until very recently, confounded with *Vespertilio murinus;* one of the most common, with one of the rarest of the English species.—E. T. B.

[1] This hawk proved to be the *Falco peregrinus;* a variety.

["It was a variety that differed from our falcon in having the whole

have been better pleased to have heard that I had sent you a bird that you had never seen before; but that, I find, would be a difficult task[2].

I have procured some of the mice mentioned in my former letter[3], a young one and a female with young,

THE HARVEST MOUSE.

both of which I have preserved in brandy. From the colour, shape, size, and manner of nesting, I make no doubt but that the species is nondescript. They are much smaller, and more slender, than the *Mus domesticus medius* of Ray; and have more of the squirrel or dormouse colour; their belly is white; a straight line along

under side of the body of a dirty deep yellow; but the black bars were the same in both." Pennant, Brit. Zool., 1768, p. 560.]

The *Falco peregrinus*, sent by Mr. White to Mr. Pennant, is a rare bird. One of them was caught some years ago in Norfolk, in a trap baited with a woodcock. Another was killed in January, 1812 (this present month), in Sussex, while fighting with a raven. This falcon breeds in Glenmore, and other rocks in the Highlands. See Pennant's Scotland, vol. i. p. 277. —MITFORD.

[2] The specimen of the peregrine falcon mentioned in the text was killed in Faringdon, the parish adjoining on the north-west to Selborne. Another individual, shot at a much later period, on Wolmer Forest, is described in Letter LVII. to Daines Barrington.—E. T. B.

[3] [Letter X.]

their sides divides the shades of their back and belly. They never enter into houses; are carried into ricks and barns with the sheaves; abound in harvest; and build their nests amidst the straws of the corn above the ground, and sometimes in thistles. They breed as many as eight at a litter, in a little round nest composed of the blades of grass or wheat[4].

One of these nests I procured this autumn, most artificially platted, and composed of the blades of wheat; perfectly round, and about the size of a cricket-ball; with the aperture so ingeniously closed, that there was no discovering to what part it belonged. It was so compact and well filled, that it would roll across the table without being discomposed, though it contained eight little mice that were naked and blind. As this nest was perfectly full, how could the dam come at her litter respectively so as to administer a teat to each? Perhaps she opens different places for that purpose, adjusting them again when the business is over: but she could not possibly be contained herself in the ball with her young, which moreover would be daily increasing in bulk. This wonderful "procreant cradle," an elegant instance of the efforts of instinct, was found in a wheat field suspended in the head of a thistle[5].

[4] I took up one of these little mice in a stubble field in Hampshire, in September, and put it into a cage. The next morning it had produced six young ones, and a few hours after, it had eaten them all up.—W. H.

[5] Zoology is indebted to Gilbert White for the addition to its stores of the curious little mouse above referred to, which both by its minuteness and by the singularity of its habits, is well adapted to attract attention. The notice in the text is the first account that was given of it, and the particulars there recorded, with the additional information contained in some of the subsequent Letters, constituted for many years the whole stock of our knowledge respecting it. Pennant, to whom the facts relating to it were communicated, inserted it immediately in an Appendix to the earliest octavo edition of his British Zoology; describing it as the less long-tailed field mouse, and acknowledging himself indebted for his acquaintance with it to Gilbert White, whose account of it he published almost entire. Other zoologists were contented with copying what Pennant had printed; with the exception of Pallas, who, ten years later, appears to have described it under the

A gentleman curious in birds, wrote me word that his servant had shot one last January, in that severe

name of *Mus minutus*, asserting at the same time (but erroneously) that the *Mus messorius* of Pennant, the Hampshire harvest-mouse, is only a small variety of his *Mus sylvaticus*. Pallas found his animal in birch woods, in several parts of Russia; but he had not observed it in Germany. It has since occurred in the latter country; and Dr. Gloger has well described its nest in a paper published in the Transactions of the German Academy. It was beautifully and elaborately constructed of the panicles and leaves of three stems of the common reed interwoven together, and forming a roundish ball suspended on the living plants at a height of about five inches from the ground. On the side opposite to the stems, rather below the middle, was a small aperture, which appeared to be closed during the absence of the parent, and was scarcely observable even after one of the young had made its escape through it. The inside, when examined with the little finger, was found to be soft and warm, smooth, and neatly rounded, but very confined. This nest contained but five young; but one less elaborately formed, previously examined by Dr. Gloger, was found to afford shelter to no less than nine. The panicles and leaves of the grass were very artificially woven together, the latter being first slit by the action of the little animal's teeth into more or less minute bands or strings. No other substance was used in the construction of the nest, which was altogether without cement, or any means of cohesion save the interweaving of its component parts: it consequently suffered considerable disturbance even from the most careful handling, losing in neatness of form as much as it gained in its increasing size.

The fullest account that has yet appeared of the habits of the harvest mouse in captivity has been furnished by the Rev. W. Bingley: his observations are so full of interest as to authorise their introduction here.

"About the middle of September, 1804," he says, "I had a female harvest mouse given to me. It was put into a dormouse cage immediately when caught, and a few days afterwards produced eight young ones. I entertained some hopes that the little animal would have nursed these and brought them up; but having been disturbed in her removal about four miles from the country, she began to destroy them, and I took them from her. The young ones at the time I received them, (not more than two or three days old), must have been at least equal in weight to the mother.

"After they were removed she became reconciled to her situation; and when there was no noise, would venture to come out of her hiding-place at the extremity of the cage, and climb about among the wires of the open part before me. In doing this, I remarked that her tail was prehensile, and that, to render her hold the more secure, she generally coiled the extremity of it round one of the wires: the toes of all the feet were particularly long and flexible, and she could grasp the wires very firmly with any of them. She frequently rested on her hind feet, somewhat in the manner of the jerboa, for the purpose of looking about her; and, in this attitude, could extend her body at such an angle as at first

weather, which he believed would puzzle me. I called to see it this summer, not knowing what to expect:

greatly surprised me. She was a beautiful little animal, and her various attitudes, in cleaning her face, head, and body with her paws, were peculiarly graceful and elegant. For a few days after I received this mouse, I neglected to give it any water; but when I afterwards put some into the cage, she lapped it with great eagerness. After lapping, she always raised herself on her hind feet, and cleaned her head with her paws. She continued even to the time of her death exceedingly shy and timid; and whenever I put into her cage any favourite food, such as grains of wheat or maize, she would eat them before me. On the least noise or motion, however, she immediately ran off, with the grains in her mouth, to her hiding place.

"One evening, as I was sitting at my writing desk and the animal was playing about in the open part of its cage, a large blue fly happened to buzz against the wires: the little creature, although at twice or thrice the distance of her own length from it, sprang along the wires with the greatest agility, and would certainly have seized it had the space between the wires been sufficiently wide to have admitted her teeth or paws to reach it. I was surprised at this occurrence, as I had been led to believe that the harvest mouse was merely a granivorous animal. I caught the fly, and made it buzz in my fingers against the wires. The mouse, though usually shy and timid, immediately came out of her hiding-place, and, running to the spot, seized and devoured it. From this time I fed her with insects whenever I could get them; and she always preferred them to every other kind of food that I offered her.

"When this mouse was first put into her cage, a piece of fine flannel was folded up into the dark part of it as a bed, and I put some grass and bran into the large open part. In the course of a few days all the grass was removed; and, on examining the cage, I found it very neatly arranged between the folds of the flannel and rendered more soft by being mixed with the nap of the flannel, which the animal had torn off in considerable quantity for the purpose. The chief part of this operation must have taken place in the night, for although the mouse was generally awake and active during the day time, yet I never once observed it employed in removing the grass.

"On opening its nest about the latter end of October, I remarked that there were among the grass and wool at the bottom about forty grains of maize. These appeared to have been arranged with some care and regularity, and every grain had the corcule, or growing part, eaten out, the lobes only being left. This seemed so much like an operation induced by the instinctive propensity that some quadrupeds are endowed with for storing up food for support during the winter months, that I soon afterwards put into the cage about a hundred additional grains of maize. These were all in a short time carried away; and on a second examination I found them stored up in the manner of the former. But though the animal was well supplied with other food, and particularly with bread, which it seemed very fond of; and although it continued

but, the moment I took it in hand, I pronounced it the male *Garrulus Bohemicus*, or German silk-tail, from the five peculiar crimson tags or points which it carries at the ends of five of the short remiges. It cannot, I suppose, with any propriety, be called an English bird: and yet I see, by Ray's Philosophical Letters, that great flocks of them, feeding on haws, appeared in this kingdom in the winter of 1685[6].

The mention of haws puts me in mind that there is a total failure of that wild fruit, so conducive to the support of many of the winged nation. For the same severe weather, late in the spring, which cut off all the produce of the more tender and curious trees, destroyed also that of the more hardy and common.

Some birds, haunting with the missel-thrushes, and feeding on the berries of the yew-tree, which answered to the description of the *Merula torquata*[7], or ring-ouzel, were lately seen in this neighbourhood. I employed some people to procure me a specimen, but without success. See Letter XX.

Query—Might not canary birds be naturalized to this climate, provided their eggs were put, in the spring, into the nest of some of their congeners, as goldfinches, greenfinches, &c.? Before winter perhaps they might be hardened and able to shift for themselves.

About ten years ago I used to spend some weeks yearly at Sunbury, which is one of those pleasant vil-

perfectly active through the whole winter, on examining its nest a third time, about the end of November, I observed that the food in its repository was all consumed except about half a dozen grains."—E. T. B.

[6] This statement is contained in a Letter to Ray from one of his frequent correspondents, Mr. Johnson of Brignal, in Yorkshire; who suspects "that the wars in those parts have frightened them thence, and brought them hither this winter, (which with us was above measure plentiful in haws,) for certainly they are not natives." The one described by Ray, was obtained in March, 1685-6. As more than one of these birds, killed in Yorkshire, are said by Lister to have been seen by him in 1680, it should seem that at that time, as of late years, the Bohemian chatterer was an occasional, although uncertain, winter visitant.—E. T. B.

[7] [*Turdus torquatus*, LINN.]

lages lying on the Thames, near Hampton Court. In the autumn, I could not help being much amused with those myriads of the swallow kind which assemble in those parts. But what struck me most was, that, from the time they began to congregate, forsaking the chimneys and houses, they roosted every night in the osier-beds of the aits of that river. Now this resorting towards that element, at that season of the year, seems to give some countenance to the northern opinion (strange as it is) of their retiring under water. A Swedish naturalist is so much persuaded of that fact that he talks, in his Calendar of Flora, as familiarly of the swallow's going under water in the beginning of September, as he would of his poultry going to roost a little before sunset[8].

An observing gentleman in London writes me word, that he saw a house-martin, on the twenty-third of last October, flying in and out of its nest in the Borough[9].

[8] In the Calendar of Flora, Swedish and English, made in the year 1755, and published in 1761 by Stillingfleet, among the occurrences of the sowing month (which is defined as extending from the first blow of the meadow saffron to the departure of the swallow) the concluding entry by Linnæus is "Swallow goes under water:" an entry made with as little hesitation as would occur in the enunciation of the most ordinary and undoubted fact. On this statement, however, Stillingfleet notes thus: "Adanson, in the account of his voyage to Senegal, p. 121, says that in October, 1749, European swallows lodged in the vessel in which he went from Goree to Senegal: and that they are never seen there but at this time of the year, along with quails, wagtails, kites, and some other birds of passage, and do not build nests there. This testimony seems to take away all doubts about this long contested point."—E. T. B.

[9] On the 7th of October, 1835, a number of house martins congregated on the roofs of the houses opposite to Cumberland Gate, Hyde Park. They had been gathering for several days previous; were numerous in the streets; and flew so low, that the boys were trying to catch them in their hats. On the 8th and 9th there were none to be seen. On the 15th a pair were seen, hawking for flies, in Cumberland Crescent. The congregating of the emigrants having been observed, and the departure of the multitude being consequently regarded as certain, it became an object of interest to watch this pair; and they were found to have a nest of young at a house in Cumberland Place, fixed in the upper corner of a blank window. On a subsequent visit, I found them feeding their young at the opening of the nest, passing to and fro, in the most rapid manner.

And I myself, on the twenty-ninth of last October (as I was travelling through Oxford), saw four or five swallows hovering round and settling on the roof of the county hospital.

Now is it likely[10] that these poor little birds (which

It was wet, cold, and foggy, with only occasional gleams of sunshine: but in spite of the weather these birds continued to fulfil their parental functions with the most persevering assiduity and industry. Taking advantage of every propitious hour to prepare their young for their distant journey, and as if instinctively aware of the necessity of expediting their departure, they subsequently appeared to have brought them out earlier than usual, and seemed to be teaching them to fly. They were observed to pass under the young bird when it appeared to be sinking, and were seen to raise its head, assisting it thus in its progression through the air. I saw them on the 23rd of October; and on the 24th they had departed. On the 28th martins were observed at Maida Hill, Paddington: these had possibly been beaten back by the violent storm from the south which occurred on the night of the 25th.—G. D.

[10] It is, however, quite certain that young swifts, the moment they leave the nest, have often occasion to make the great migration. See Mr. White's Observation, Letter LII. to Daines Barrington. The various species of *Hirundines* remain in their nests till they are more completely feathered than other birds, and when they come forth they are matured for flight. I suspect that the troublesome insect, called *Hippobosca Hirundinis*, is a resource in the scheme of providence, to force them to venture upon the wing from the perilous height at which their nest is placed, by making the abode insupportable.

Few subjects are more interesting or more difficult to unravel, than the instinct of birds. Instinct is explained by Dr. Johnson, to be desire or aversion acting in the mind without the intervention of reason; the power of determining the will of brutes. He should have added *in some particular cases*, for it is not true generally. The will of brutes on many, and indeed on most occasions, is influenced by memory of the past, and apprehension of the future, as much as that of a human being; and that which is called reason in mankind is, perhaps, rather an improved state of understanding, resulting from mutual communication of ideas through the gift of speech, than a distinct and separate quality. Instinct is, in fact, the immediate agency of the Almighty power on the mind of creatures not endued with speech, which supplies the place of reason, and determines their most important actions: and perhaps in nothing is the universal superintendence of the Almighty more wonderfully displayed, than in its immediate agency upon the minds of the most insignificant creatures.

The difficulty attending this subject is, to distinguish imitation of the parents, from instinctive propensities. It is well known to those who teach young birds to pipe, or rear them to learn the notes of some better songster, that, unless they are removed from hearing the parents, at the

perhaps had not been hatched but a few weeks) should, at that late season of the year, and from so midland a

age of a very few days, they will sing a portion, if not the whole, of their natural melody. In this respect, the power of observation and imitation in the newborn creature displays itself most marvellously, and gives us reason to attribute many other things to similar causes, which we might otherwise have been induced to refer to instinct. Each bird builds its nest in the same form, and of the same materials as its parent, and for the most part in a similar situation. If the callow nestling is studying and learning the song and call of its parents, from the moment its eyes are open, why should not the more advanced nestling study every particular of the structure in which it is dwelling, and thus learn to build hereafter in the same fashion and position, and with similar materials? I can entertain no doubt that such is the case: and if the eggs were transposed into the nest of some nearly related species, and the produce kept separate from all others of their own kind, they would, doubtless, make their nests like those of the birds which had reared them, and would adopt their notes. I have observed young blackcaps raised from the nest in a large cage, in which the perches were placed very low, as soon as they fed themselves, show a sudden anxiety at roosting time to find a higher perch, and flutter about so intent upon this as to notice nothing else, and at last settle to roost clinging to the wires near the top of the cage. This appeared like a marvellous instinctive impulse; but I apprehend that, while in their native bush, they had noticed the parents every evening, at roosting time, fly upwards to a loftier situation, in which to pass the night. I therefore refer this also to observation.

I had some cock blackcaps and whitethroats, reared from the nest in May and the beginning of June: they were fed upon bread and ground hempseed scalded. The blackcap is naturally a great devourer of fruit, the whitethroat indifferent about it; but, before they were taken, the young blackcaps had been fed by the parents on caterpillars and maggots, and had tasted no fruit, nor could they have had any, for none was ripe; not even strawberries, and those, on account of their acidity, they do not touch. After they were grown up, having one day mixed with their food some of the black currant raisins of the shop, I observed the blackcaps immediately pounce upon them, but the whitethroats either neglected them or took them up and let them drop. In this I think that I discern the immediate agency of an Almighty power, suggesting the food most congenial to this species; for this propensity had not been derived from the habits of the parents. It so happened that the hens of the brood had been placed in a cage at a window of another room, to be fed by the old ones, for some time before they were restored to liberty, for the purpose of observing what food was brought to them; and no fruit was brought to them, nor could any berries have been found in the neighbourhood at that season.

The next propensity that manifests itself in young birds, is the ardent desire of washing themselves, in some species, and of dusting themselves in others; as, for instance, in the common wren. This I conceive must

county, attempt a voyage to Goree or Senegal, almost as far as the equator[11]?

be an instinctive incitement. It is barely possible that the little wrens might see through the aperture of their covered nest, the parents dusting themselves on the ground in some instances; but their nests are often placed where this could not be perceived, and the desire is equally powerful in all individuals. On the other hand, the nestlings of the wood-wren and many others, which wash themselves eagerly on the first opportunity that presents itself, after they can feed themselves, could never have seen the like, their nests having been situated under the roots of a tree, upon a dry bank in a wood. This impulse is therefore inspired by the Creator: and it is inspired with a force that, in captivity, is like unto madness. It is very injurious to a nightingale to wash in the winter, and it is fatal to it to do so often: yet the moment a pan of water is put into its cage it rushes into the water and soaks itself, and then stands shivering, the very image of chilliness and despair; yet, will it eagerly repeat the operation, if allowed to do so, every day till it dies. Young whin chats, sedge warblers, wood wrens, yellow wrens, &c. as soon as they can feed themselves, if offered water in a cage, wash with similar avidity, yet, if the temperature be much under seventy, and the sun not shining, it is sure to kill them. In the younger birds it produces, some hours after, or perhaps the next day, a sudden stroke of palsy, by which they drop with a scream, having lost the use of one or both legs, and often with the mouth distorted. In this state the general health does not seem to be affected, but if both legs are paralyzed, they must soon perish. At a little more advanced age, the consequence of a single washing in cool weather is epileptic fits, which are repeated at shorter intervals, till they occasion death. In a state of liberty, the bird would dry itself quickly by rubbing against the leaves, and by very active motion, in the same manner as the wrens, by perpetual activity, resist the severest frost, of which the least attack would kill them in confinement; and, probably, when birds have opportunities of washing always at hand, they choose the most favourable moments. In a cage, it is necessary to give such birds their water in a very narrow-mouthed fountain, to prevent their killing themselves by washing. They will repeat it with equal eagerness, if not prevented, till they die; so strong is the inward impulse. I think the desire of washing belongs most strongly to the birds which migrate to hotter climates in winter; that of dusting to those which remain with us: a substitution wise as all the dispensations of the Creator, for if the little wren in winter were to wash in cold water instead of dusting, it must perish from the chill.

The next impulse that shows itself is the mutation of love into antipathy, not only in the parents, but amongst the young of several species, which impulse is denominated *ἀντιστόργη*. As soon as the parents of such species as are not gregarious, have completed the education of their brood, they drive them away, while they perhaps give birth to a second

[11] See Adanson's Voyage to Senegal.

I acquiesce entirely in your opinion—that, though most of the swallow kind may migrate, yet that some do stay behind and hide with us during the winter.

As to the short-winged soft-billed birds, which come

family. But this is not all: as soon as the young of many species feed themselves, they begin to fight with each other, though perfectly friendly to birds of any other species in the same cage; and if they do quarrel with others, they do so more with those of cognate species. This appears to be a natural impulse given to them in order to effect the dispersion of their kind; it cannot be the effect of imitation.

The next impulse that shows itself in young birds is at the season of passage, and I can say, positively, that the desire of migration at the usual periods, is as strong in those which have never been out of their native country, and have been brought up in a cage, as in the old birds that have made the passage. This uneasiness lasts nearly a month, both in the autumn and spring. I have observed, at these periods, that they usually go to roost quietly, but, upon a light being brought into the room after they have been asleep, the bustle commences, and it is very difficult to get them to settle on the perch again. The anxiety is always accompanied with a looking upwards, and bending the neck quite back, with an aspiring motion of the body, as if the bird wished to soar. At these times, if their perches are near the top of the cage, they bruise their heads against it. It appears from this, as if the rise of the moon were the summons for departure; and the upward flight is probably necessary at starting, to get above all impediments. It has been often observed that woodcocks come over to us on moonlight nights. From these circumstances it is evident that birds do not migrate because their food fails them. If it be said that the diminution or increase of temperature is the channel through which they are warned of the necessity to depart, it does not appear that they are distressed by those changes, for they settle very well again as soon as the days of migration are passed, although the alteration of temperature is daily increasing. Indeed the vernal change, instead of creating a wish to depart, in the chilly species, should rather tend to reconcile them to confinement. It cannot therefore be truly averred that their desire of migration is occasioned by the pressure of any inconvenience.

The result of these observations is, that there are certain impulses given to birds, independent of their early imitative propensities, which seem to proceed directly from the Almighty power that governs the universe. The craniologist may, perhaps, expect to find such impulses modified by the various conformation of their sculls; but if it were admitted that a particular shape of the head might induce a disposition to migrate, what, but the agency of a higher intelligence, could impel the young bird, reared in a cage by the hand of man, with a pan full of food beside a comfortable fire, to travel north or south. The more this subject is investigated, the more clearly, I believe, the direct agency of God will be discovered.—W. H.

trooping in such numbers in the spring, I am at a loss even what to suspect about them. I watched them narrowly this year, and saw them abound till about Michaelmas, when they appeared no longer. Subsist they cannot openly among us, and yet elude the eyes of the inquisitive: and, as to their hiding, no man pretends to have found any of them in a torpid state in the winter. But with regard to their migration, what difficulties attend that supposition! that such feeble bad fliers (who the summer long never flit but from hedge to hedge) should be able to traverse vast seas and continents, in order to enjoy milder seasons amidst the regions of Africa[12]!

LETTER XIII.

TO THE SAME.

SIR, SELBORNE, Jan. 22, 1768.

As in one of your former letters you expressed the more satisfaction from my correspondence on account of my living in the most southerly county; so now I may return the compliment, and expect to have my curiosity gratified by your living much more to the north.

[12] There certainly does exist a difficulty in conceiving how some of the birds of passage, such feeble and bad fliers, should be able to migrate to such a vast distance; but some of our wonder will perhaps diminish, when we read an account of the manner in which the quail crosses the Mediterranean, for the coast of Africa. "Towards the end of September, the quails avail themselves of a northerly wind to take their departure from Europe, and flapping one wing, while they present the other to the gale, half-sail, half-oar, they graze the billows of the Mediterranean with their fattened rumps, and bury themselves in the sands of Africa, that they may serve as food to the famished inhabitants of Zara." St. Pierre's Studies of Nature, vol. i. p. 91.—MITFORD.

Mr. White subsequently arrived at a solution of this difficulty. See his Letter XXXIII. to Pennant; and that to Daines Barrington numbered IX.—W. Y.

For many years past I have observed that towards Christmas vast flocks of chaffinches have appeared in the fields; many more, I used to think, than could be hatched in any one neighbourhood. But, when I came to observe them more narrowly, I was amazed to find that they seemed to me to be almost all hens. I communicated my suspicions to some intelligent neighbours, who, after taking pains about the matter, declared that they also thought them all mostly females; at least fifty to one. This extraordinary occurrence brought to my mind the remark of Linnæus; that "before winter all their hen chaffinches migrate through Holland into Italy." Now I want to know, from some curious person in the north, whether there are any large flocks of these finches with them in the winter, and of which sex they mostly consist? For, from such intelligence, one might be able to judge whether our female flocks migrate from the other end of the island, or whether they come over to us from the continent[1].

We have, in the winter, vast flocks of the common linnets; more, I think, than can be bred in any one district. These, I observe, when the spring advances, assemble on some tree in the sunshine, and join all in a gentle sort of chirping, as if they were about to break up their winter quarters, and betake themselves to their proper summer homes[2]. It is well known, at least, that

[1] Concerning the congregation of cock chaffinches in the winter season, it may be observed that such is not an invariable habit. A pair of chaffinches have frequented my window for food during three winters without interruption, and have grown so tame as to take it while I stand almost touching them. Having no difficulty in procuring sustenance, they continue as paired birds during the whole winter, and in last June and July they used to carry the food away for their young. A pair of robins and hedgewarblers do the same, always making their nest at a very small distance; and the hedgewarbler even brings its young to the window to feed them there.—W. H.

[2] Linnets flock in September, and continue to congregrate till March. At this season they are termed branchers, and assemble in vast numbers: but they are broken up towards winter into smaller flocks, in which the sexes are separate. In March they again assemble, when they are

the swallows and the fieldfares do congregate with a gentle twittering before they make their respective departure.

You may depend on it that the bunting, *Emberiza Miliaria*, does not leave this county in the winter. In January, 1767, I saw several dozen of them, in the midst of a severe frost, among the bushes on the downs near Andover: in our woodland enclosed district it is a rare bird.

Wagtails, both white and yellow, are with us all the winter[3]. Quails crowd to our southern coast, and are often killed in numbers by people that go on purpose.

Mr. Stillingfleet, in his Tracts, says that, "if the wheatear (*Œnanthe*[4]) does not quit England, it certainly shifts places; for about harvest they are not to be found, where there was before great plenty of them." This well accounts for the vast quantities that are caught about that time on the South Downs near Lewes[5],

termed flight birds, and are captured males and females together. At the beginning of April they are taken in pairs. The flocking as the spring advances, when they assemble on some tree in the sunshine, and join all in a gentle sort of chirping, is for the purpose of choosing their mates, and their emigration is only to the nests which the season renders necessary.

Last season I reared a nest of linnets: these were very tame, having been brought up by hand. At the latter end of September, having nearly completed moulting their quill feathers, they suddenly became very wild, dropping their wings below their tails, stooping as if preparing to make a start, and fluttering towards the light. This continued upwards of a fortnight. The true cause did not at first strike me, and I changed their position, thinking that some object frightened them; but the change of place was without effect, as they still continued wild and shy. I then concluded, and have no doubt correctly, that they were instinctively aware of the annual flocking of the species, in the season of flight.—G. D.

[3] The yellow wagtail cannot remain at Selborne all the winter. It is a common summer visitant: but departs early. White most probably was deceived by observing in the winter months the gray wagtail, the under parts of which are yellow: this bird, as well as the pied wagtail, is stationary throughout the year in the south of England.—G. D.

[4] [*Saxicola Œnanthe*, Bechst.]

[5] The popular name wheat-ear appears to have been originally local, its use having been confined to the South Downs. It is believed to have

where they are esteemed a delicacy. There have been shepherds, I have been credibly informed, that have made many pounds in a season by catching them in traps. And though such multitudes are taken, I never saw (and I am well acquainted with those parts) above two or three at a time: for they are never gregarious. They may perhaps migrate in general; and, for that purpose, draw towards the coast of Sussex in autumn: but that they do not all withdraw I am sure; because I see a few stragglers in many counties, at all times of the year, especially about warrens and stone quarries[6].

I have no acquaintance, at present, among the gentlemen of the navy: but have written to a friend, who was a sea-chaplain in the late war, desiring him to look into his minutes, with respect to birds that settled on their rigging during their voyage up or down the

been applied in that neighbourhood to the bird, as indicating the season of its annual arrival. Elsewhere, Ray says, it is called white-tail. Hwitærs may possibly have been its Saxon name.—E. T. B.

[6] There are some dispersed over the country throughout the year, generally frequenting fallows, and called by the country people, clod-hoppers; they are also known by this name to the London birdcatchers. I have a female taken at Hampstead on the 14th of February, 1834.

There is as much difference in the habits of this species, at various periods of the year, as there is between the plumage of the male in April as contrasted with that in September. When numerous on the South Downs in the autumn, they are a very shy bird. The shepherds make a little cavity, and place horse-hair nooses in it, putting turfs above them edgeways; and upon the least alarm, even the shadow of a passing cloud, the bird runs beneath the clods for shelter, and is taken in the noose: it is customary if a stranger takes a bird from the trap, to deposit a penny in its place. But in winter those birds that remain will perch on a gate, or fence rail, and suffer you to approach quite close to them; being then almost as familiar as a robin. In September the plumage of an old male is reddish brown on the crown and back: the throat and breast are ferruginous red, becoming lighter on the sides and belly. But on raising the feathers of the back, the base of them will be found to be gray. In the spring this ground tint forces off the brown of winter, and the upper parts assume a beautiful blueish gray colour: the throat and belly also become white. Both the change from the summer gray to the winter brown, and from the brown to the gray in the spring, are changes of colour in the same feathers; and are not dependent on moulting. In the winter these birds are very fat, some of them weighing an ounce and a half: in the spring they rarely weigh an ounce.—G. D.

channel. What Hasselquist says on that subject is remarkable: there were little short-winged birds frequently coming on board his ship all the way from our channel quite up to the Levant, especially before squally weather.

What you suggest, with regard to Spain, is highly probable. The winters of Andalusia are so mild, that, in all likelihood, the soft-billed birds that leave us at that season may find insects sufficient to support them there.

Some young man, possessed of fortune, health, and leisure, should make an autumnal voyage into that kingdom; and should spend a year there, investigating the natural history of that vast country. Mr. Willughby[7] passed through that kingdom on such an errand; but he seems to have skirted along in a superficial manner and an ill humour, being much disgusted at the rude dissolute manners of the people.

I have no friend left now at Sunbury to apply to about the swallows roosting on the aits of the Thames: nor can I hear any more about those birds which I suspected were *Merulæ torquatæ*.

As to the small mice, I have farther to remark, that though they hang their nests for breeding up amidst the straws of the standing corn, above the ground, yet I find that, in the winter, they burrow deep in the earth, and make warm beds of grass: but their grand rendezvous seems to be in corn-ricks, into which they are carried at harvest. A neighbour housed an oat-rick lately, under the thatch of which were assembled near a hundred, most of which were taken; and some I saw. I measured them; and found that, from nose to tail, they were just two inches and a quarter, and their tails just two inches long. Two of them, in a scale, weighed down just one copper halfpenny, which is about the third of an ounce avoirdupois: so that I suppose they

[7] See Ray's Travels, p. 466.

are the smallest quadrupeds in this island. A full-grown *Mus domesticus medius* weighs, I find, one ounce lumping weight, which is more than six times as much as the mouse above; and measures from nose to rump four inches and a quarter, and the same in its tail.

We have had a very severe frost and deep snow this month. My thermometer was one day fourteen degrees and a half below the freezing point, within doors. The tender evergreens were injured pretty much. It was very providential that the air was still, and the ground well covered with snow, else vegetation in general must have suffered prodigiously. There is reason to believe that some days were more severe than any since the year 1739-40[8].

I am, &c. &c.

LETTER XIV.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, March 12, 1768.

IF some curious gentleman would procure the head of a fallow-deer, and have it dissected, he would find it furnished with two spiracula, or breathing places, besides the nostrils; probably analogous to the *puncta lachrymalia* in the human head. When deer are thirsty they plunge their noses, like some horses, very deep under water while in the act of drinking, and continue them in that situation for a considerable time: but, to obviate any inconveniency, they can open two vents, one at the inner corner of each eye, having a communication with the nose. Here seems to be an extraordinary provision of nature worthy our attention; and which has not, that I know of, been noticed by any naturalist. For it looks as if these creatures would not

[8] [A full account of the effects of this short but intense frost is given in Letter LXI. to Daines Barrington.]

be suffocated, though both their mouths and nostrils were stopped. This curious formation of the head may be of singular service to beasts of chase, by affording them free respiration: and no doubt these additional nostrils are thrown open when they are hard run[1].

[1] In answer to this account, Mr. Pennant sent me the following curious and pertinent reply. "I was much surprised to find in the antelope something analogous to what you mention as so remarkable in deer. This animal also has a long slit beneath each eye, which can be opened and shut at pleasure. On holding an orange to one, the creature made as much use of those orifices as of his nostrils, applying them to the fruit, and seeming to smell it through them."

[The structure of the glandular cavities, of which the orifices are here alluded to, precludes the possibility of their ever being used as accessory respiratory passages, or organs of scent.

The common integument is continued over the margins of the orifice, and is reflected over the whole of the interior of the cavity, which is altogether imperforate, except by the ducts of a large flattened mucous gland, which occupies its base; a few short hairs spring up in the interspaces of the terminal orifices of the ducts. Mr. Hunter, whose attention was probably called by his friend Pennant to this peculiarity of the deer and antelopes, has left several preparations of the glands and sinus, taken from the Indian and another species of antelope, and also from the deer: in which their condition as tegumentary sacs, having no communication with the nose, is clearly shown.

Conceiving that the secretion of these glands, when rubbed upon projecting bodies, might serve to direct individuals of the same species to each other, I prepared a tabular view of the relations between the habits and habitats of the several species of antelopes, and their suborbital, maxillary, post-auditory, and inguinal glands, in order to be able to compare the presence and degrees of development of the glands, with the gregarious and other habits of the antelope tribe.

From this table it was, however, evident, that there is no relation between the gregarious habits of the antelopes which frequent the plains and the presence of the suborbital and maxillary sinuses; since these, besides being altogether wanting in some of the gregarious species, are present in many of the solitary frequenters of rocky mountainous districts. The supposition, therefore, that the secretion might serve, when left on shrubs or stones, to guide a straggler to the general herd, falls to the ground.

The secretion of those cutaneous glands which are designed to attract the sexes, is generally observed to acquire towards the reproductive period a strong musky odour, as in the elephant and alligator, but the secretion of the suborbital sinuses, even when these are most fully developed, is devoid of any approach to a musky, or any other well defined odour.

Nevertheless, the subjoined observations of Mr. Bennett tend to give

Mr. Ray observed that, at Malta, the owners slit up the nostrils of such asses as were hard worked: for

some probability to the theory which ascribes to the suborbital sinuses a sexual relation.—R. O.]

[It seems probable that these organs, on the use of which it is by no means creditable to naturalists to have now to speculate, may be designed for the promotion of that intimate acquaintance between animals of the same species which a primary law of nature requires: but it would be difficult to explain in what manner they may avail to such an end. That they have some connexion with the full developement of the animal powers will appear, I think, from the consideration of a series of individuals now living at the Zoological Society's Gardens.

Among the whole of the deer and antelopes that are provided with suborbital sinuses, none have them more strongly marked than the Indian antelope; and in none of those animals are they more frequently brought into use. A fully grown male, the moment you approach him, throws back his head and thrusts himself rapidly forwards, as though about to make an attack; but the backward direction of his long spirally twisted horns, and the freedom with which he offers to you his exposed neck and chest, are scarcely indicative of a hostile movement. He has at this time fully expanded the large bag beneath his eye; its thick lips, which pout considerably in the quiet state of the animal, are widely separated and thrown back; and the intervening space is actually everted, the base of the sac forming a projection instead of a hollow. We see the bare skin, covered only by a coating of a dark ceruminous secretion. This, if the hand be within his reach, the animal attempts to rub against the knuckles; and we then feel that though the lining skin of the sac has no general covering of hair, it is not destitute of a few bristles, which grate against the finger subjected to the friction. The friction is evidently agreeable to the animal, for it is often repeated: at times, it is even continued for a minute or two. After the finger has been subjected for some time to this rubbing, it will be found to have acquired a heavy odour, of a salt and peculiar character.

The Zoological Society has at present, in its gardens in the Regent's Park, four individuals of the Indian antelope: an adult and aged male, brought by Col. Sykes from Bombay, and presented to the Society nearly five years ago; a younger, yet adult, male, that was presented, in an immature condition, about two years since; an immature male, lately arrived, and in about the same state of developement as that in which the last mentioned individual was when he was originally presented; and an emasculated specimen of full growth. The series is singularly complete as regards one sex: the other sex has not yet been possessed by the Society, and is, indeed, rarely seen in Europe. Destitute of horns, and never acquiring the rich deep colour of the males, the female is probably considered as less worthy of exportation from the native country of the species.

During the time that the old male has remained in the Gardens he has constantly behaved in the manner described above: the conduct of his

they, being naturally straight or small, did not admit air sufficient to serve them when they travelled, or

several predecessors has been precisely similar. He widely expands the suborbital sinus, and brings it near to any substance offered to him; he might even be suspected of a disposition to test, by some special sense lodged in it, the nature of the substance offered: but he usually drives the naked and everted skin against the hand, either thrusting it repeatedly or rubbing it. The peculiar odour is freely imparted to the substance rubbed, but seems to offer no special attraction to his senses: he neither smells to it remarkably, nor licks it. The second male, whose horns have about three-fourths of their full growth, and whose rich colours are only less deep than those of his more aged neighbour, acts in a similar manner. His suborbital sinus, though strongly developed, is not so extensive as that of the older animal: in its quiet state it is scarcely completely closed, so thick are its lips; in its condition of excitement it is widely expanded. The animal then thrusts it at the offered hand; but does not exhibit an equal readiness to rub it. The youngest male is evidently immature; its horns have only commenced making their first spiral turn, and its colour is the fawn of the female, with her pale stripe along the side: for in the Indian antelope, as in most animals in which the adult males differ in colour from the females, the young of both sexes are similarly coloured, and resemble the dam. In this individual the suborbital sinus is small; its lips are closely applied to each other; and they are but slightly moved when the animal is interested: if he uses his nose, the sac is called into moderate action. He cares little for the odour of his older relatives. The remaining specimen was probably of nearly the same age with this younger male when that occurred which, while it allowed of the animal's increasing in bulk, checked the developement of the external characters that belong to the mature male. Its advance towards perfection was arrested while the female livery of the young animal was yet retained, and its colour is the fawn of the female with the side marked lengthways by her paler line. Its horn too, normal in its character as far as a point corresponding with the early part of the first spiral turn, and about this point regularly ringed, afterwards loses the form characteristic of the species, and instead of being completed by a continuous series of spiral turns, surrounded by strongly marked rings, becomes smooth, continues slender, and is directed backwards in one single large sweep; forming a horn altogether monstrous, and one which is sheep-like, though infinitely weak, rather than antilopine: only one such horn remains. In this animal the suborbital sinus is not more developed than in the youngest and immature male, and it is quite unused: the sinus is little more than a mark existing in the ordinary situation, and no motion whatever is observed in its lips; it is not applied to any substance brought near to it, the nose being usually employed. A finger loaded with the secretion from the sac of the mature male is smelt to by this individual; and is then freely licked: perhaps on account of its saltness alone, but probably also on account of some other and peculiar attraction. The same cause which induced the

laboured in that hot climate. And we know that grooms, and gentlemen of the turf, think large nostrils necessary, and a perfection, in hunters and running horses.

Oppian, the Greek poet, by the following line, seems to have had some notion that stags have four spiracula:

retention by this individual of the immature colours, and which arrested the perfect growth of the horns, has also, I do not hesitate in believing, checked the developement of the suborbital sinuses and rendered them useless.

I am not disposed, on this occasion, to enter farther into the speculations which might be founded on the facts just recorded with respect to the suborbital sinus in the Indian antelope; and I quit the subject, for the present, with the remark that they seem to me to justify the observation with which I commenced. More numerous facts, and more full consideration of them, will determine before long the degree of value that should be attached to this view of the subject.

By a letter which I have just received from Mr. Hodgson, I find that he has had his attention excited by the observation of the antelopes which he has kept alive in Nepal; and that he also has been led to the conclusion that there exists a relation between these sinuses and their secretions and the other functions referred to. His continued observation, favourably as he is circumstanced for the acquisition of information on all subjects of Nepalese zoology, will doubtless tend to elucidate this yet unsettled point, on which Dr. Jacob, at the meeting of the British Association in Dublin, in 1835, laid before the members assembled some valuable observations.—E. T. B.]

HEADS OF THE INDIAN ANTELOPE.

" Τετραδυμοι ῥινες, πισυρες πνοιησι διαυλοι."
" Quadrifidæ nares, quadruplices ad respirationem canales."
OPP. CYN. lib. ii. l. 181.

Writers, copying from one another, make Aristotle say that goats breathe at their ears; whereas he asserts just the contrary:—" Αλκμαιων γαρ ουκ αληθη λεγει, Φαμενος αναπνειν τας αιγας κατα τα ωτα." " Alcmæon does not advance what is true, when he avers that goats breathe through their ears." History of Animals, Book I. chap. xi.[2]

LETTER XV.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, March 30, 1768.

SOME intelligent country people have a notion that we have in these parts, a species of the *genus mustelinum*, besides the weasel, stoat, ferret, and polecat; a little

[2] There is more reason in the supposition that the ears communicate with the nose, than that the suborbital sinus has any such communication; since in all animals that have a tympanic cavity opening upon the surface by an external passage, there is also another conduit leading inwards from the tympanum to the nose: this latter passage is termed the Eustachian tube, and its office appears to be two-fold. First, it prevents the membrana tympani or ear-drum, which is stretched across the external meatus, from having its state of tension disturbed by the variation of the pressure of the atmosphere upon its outer surface, by conveying the same atmosphere to the tympanic cavity where it must press with equal force against the inner surface of the ear-drum. Secondly, it serves, like the lachrymal passage of the eye, to convey superfluous moisture to the nose. When the membrane of the tympanum is accidentally ruptured, air may be forced or expired from the mouth through the ear, but the Eustachian passage is too narrow in mammals to admit of inspiration or breathing being performed through the ears alone, even supposing the ear-drum to be destroyed. In the natural condition of the parts the Stagyrite is, *a fortiori*, correct in stating that goats cannot breathe through their ears.

It is possible that the idea may have originated in the possession by the chamois of post-auditory sinuses; the openings of which behind the base of the ears may have been regarded as orifices for breathing, in the same manner as a similar function was erroneously ascribed to the suborbital sinuses.—R. O.

reddish beast, not much bigger than a field mouse, but much longer, which they call a cane. This piece of intelligence can be little depended on; but farther inquiry may be made[1].

A gentleman in this neighbourhood had two milk-white rooks in one nest. A booby of a carter, finding them before they were able to fly, threw them down and destroyed them, to the regret of the owner, who would have been glad to have preserved such a curiosity in his rookery. I saw the birds myself nailed against the end of a barn, and was surprised to find that their bills, legs, feet, and claws were milk-white[2].

A shepherd saw, as he thought, some white larks on a down above my house this winter: were not these the snow-flake, the *Emberiza nivalis* of the British Zoology? No doubt they were.

A few years ago I saw a cock bullfinch in a cage,

[1] This I believe to be a pretty general error among the country people in other counties also. This imaginary animal, in Suffolk, is called the mouse-hunt, from its being supposed to live on mice. To discover the truth of this report, I managed to have several of these animals brought to me; all of which I found to be the common weasel. The error I conceive partly to have arisen from this animal, like most others, appearing less than its real size, when running, and attempting to escape, a circumstance well known to the hunters in India, with respect to larger animals, as the tiger, &c.—MITFORD.

The cane is a provincial name for the female of the common weasel, which is usually one-fourth smaller than the male. Young females of the year, frequently seen during harvest, are not much larger than a full-sized field-mouse.—W. Y.

[2] White, pied, and cream-coloured varieties of the rook occasionally occur. A gentleman, in the year 1816, had a young rook of a light ash-colour, most beautifully mottled all over with black, and with the quill and tail-feathers elegantly barred. This curiosity he was naturally anxious to keep: but, upon the bird moulting, all its mottled plumage vanished entirely, it became a jet black rook, and in this state was suffered to join his sable tribe as a fit companion in the fields. Hunt's British Birds (Norwich).—W. Y.

White individuals, both as varieties merely and as albinoes, occur in many birds. Instances are familiar in the sparrow, the chaffinch, the magpie, &c. and, a contradiction in terms, white blackbirds are occasionally met with. One such, captured in Northamptonshire, is now living in the Zoological Society's Gardens.—E. T. B.

which had been caught in the fields after it was come to its full colours. In about a year it began to look dingy; and, blackening every succeeding year, it became coal-black at the end of four. Its chief food was hempseed. Such influence has food on the colour of animals! The pied and mottled colours of domesticated animals are supposed to be owing to high, various, and unusual food[3].

I had remarked, for years, that the root of the cuckoo-pint *(Arum)* was frequently scratched out of the dry banks of hedges, and eaten in severe snowy weather. After observing with some exactness, myself, and getting others to do the same, we found it was the thrush kind that searched it out. The root of the *Arum* is remarkably warm and pungent.

Our flocks of female chaffinches have not yet forsaken us. The blackbirds and thrushes are very much thinned down by that fierce weather in January.

In the middle of February I discovered, in my tall hedges, a little bird that raised my curiosity: it was of that yellow-green colour that belongs to the *Salicaria* kind, and, I think, was soft-billed. It was no *Parus;* and was too long and too big for the golden-crowned wren, appearing most like the largest willow-wren. It hung sometimes with its back downwards, but never continuing one moment in the same place. I shot at it, but it was so desultory that I missed my aim.

I wonder that the stone curlew *(Charadrius Œdicnemus*[4]*)*, should be mentioned by the writers as a rare

[3] Mr. White has justly remarked, that food has great influence on the colour of animals. The dark colour in wild birds is a great safeguard to them against their enemies; and this is the reason that, among birds of bright plumage, the young do not assume their gay colours till the second or third year, as the cygnet, the gold and silver pheasants, &c. The remarkable change of plumage among the gull tribe, is a curious and intricate subject. Is the circumstance mentioned by Mr. Pegge true, "that butterflies partake of the colour of the flowers they feed on?" I think not. See Anonymiana, p. 469.—MITFORD.

[4] [*Œdicnemus crepitans*, TEMM.]

bird: it abounds in all the campaign parts of Hampshire and Sussex, and breeds, I think, all the summer, having young ones, I know, very late in the autumn. Already they begin clamouring in the evening. They cannot, I think, with any propriety, be classed, as they are by Mr. Ray, among birds, "*circa aquas versantes;*" for with us, by day at least, they haunt only the most dry, open, upland fields and sheep-walks, far removed from water[5]; what they may do in the night I cannot say. Worms are their usual food, but they also eat toads and frogs.

I can show you some good specimens of my new mice. Linnæus perhaps would call the species *Mus minimus.*

LETTER XVI.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, April 18, 1768.

THE history of the stone curlew *(Charadrius Œdicnemus)* is as follows. It lays its eggs, usually two, never more than three, on the bare ground, without any nest, in the field; so that the countryman, in stirring his fallows, often destroys them. The young run immediately from the egg like partridges, &c. and are withdrawn to some flinty field by the dam, where they sculk among the stones, which are their best security; for their feathers are so exactly of the colour of our gray spotted

[5] With the exception of Dr. Latham and Pennant, every ornithologist, until the time of M. Temminck, appears to have adhered to the mode of considering the stone curlew which is here objected to: they have universally classed it, with Linnæus, among the plovers. Dr. Latham placed it among the bustards, retaining for it the very appropriate name of thick-kneed. M. Temminck regards it as occupying a station intermediate between the plovers and the bustards. The name of curlew refers of course to a resemblance of colour merely, and by no means implies any near approximation in form to the *Numenii.*—E. T. B.

flints, that the most exact observer, unless he catches the eye of the young bird, may be eluded. The eggs are short and round; of a dirty white, spotted with dark bloody blotches. Though I might not be able, just when I pleased, to procure you a bird, yet I could show you them almost any day; and any evening you may hear them round the village, for they make a clamour which may be heard a mile. *Œdicnemus* is a most apt and expressive name for them, since their legs seem swollen like those of a gouty man. After harvest I have shot them before the pointers in turnip-fields.

I make no doubt but there are three species of the willow wrens: two I know perfectly; but have not been able yet to procure the third[1]. No two birds can

[1] Mr. White clearly distinguishes three species of these little birds; and he seems to have had some idea of a fourth: but on this point there is a confusion in the entries in the Naturalist's Calendar, which has perhaps arisen from his having used different names for the same bird in noting down his observations in different years. The small uncrested wren of the calendar, appearing on the 9th of March, is called in the Natural History, p. 84, the chirper, and is said to have black legs: it must be either *Sylvia rufa* or *Sylv. loquax;* I believe the former, for I doubt the fact of *Sylv. loquax*, the chiffchaff, which seems not to reach the north of England, arriving so early. The third entry in the Calendar, second willow or laughing wren, is certainly *Sylv. Trochilus;* because he says in the Natural History, p. 82, that the songster has a laughing note. The fourth entry, large shivering wren, is unquestionably *Sylv. Sylvicola.* It appears to me that the second and fifth entries, middle yellow wren, and middle willow wren, mean the same thing as second willow wren, and refer alike to *Sylv. Trochilus:* but it is possible that, at a later period than the date of Letter XIX. written in 1768, he may have suspected the existence of a fourth species.

There has existed very great confusion in the works of British and continental ornithologists concerning these nearly allied species, which I am now enabled to clear up, by the examination of a considerable number killed in this country, compared with continental specimens of *Sylv. rufa*, and the bird called *Sylv. Hippolais*, or pouillot, by M. Temminck. In the former edition of these notes I stated that I had never had in hand the *Sylv. Hippolais* of M. Temminck, which I then understood to be the monotonous wren or chingching, and acknowledged as an inhabitant of this country in the summer time. It now appears that the *Hippolais* of Temminck is not ascertained to have been ever seen in Great Britain: and it becomes necessary to inquire, what is the bird to which the name

differ more in their notes, and that constantly, than those two that I am acquainted with; for the one has

Hippolais belongs by priority of appellation, and whether it be or not a British species.

The name *Hippolais* appears to have originated with Linnæus in his Fauna Suecica. In his description he states that the bird to which he gave it is perhaps the female of his *Motacilla Curruca*, the lesser white throat; that its body is ash-coloured above, ashy white underneath, its throat white, its wings, when closed, ferruginous above, and the outer margins of its quills ferruginous. Without entering into a further detail of his description (Fauna Suecica, p. 90), I may assume that what I have quoted is sufficient to show that no one of the five birds above mentioned is the *Motacilla Hippolais* of Linnæus, because no one of them agrees with these particulars. It is quite apparent that *Motacilla* (or *Sylvia*, which is a generic name of later introduction) *Hippolais* belongs to the fruit-eating group of birds, and is closely allied to *Sylvia Curruca* (more properly called *Curruca Silviella*), if indeed it be not that identical species in a particular state of plumage. The name was first applied to a British bird by Dr. Latham in 1783, with a note that he was indebted for the account of it to the Rev. Mr. Lightfoot; and it is not clear that he himself ever saw the bird described by him as *Mot. Hippolais* or lesser petty-chaps. The particulars given by him do not agree with any one of the five wrens above mentioned, and appear to be an amalgamation of Linnæus's description with that which he had received from Mr. Lightfoot; but as it is clear that no one of the wrens found in England, nor the continental bird of M. Temminck, admitting the possibility of its coming here occasionally, is entitled to Linnæus's name *Hippolais*, it is quite unnecessary to conjecture what Mr. Lightfoot's bird was.

In the former edition of these notes I pointed out the chiff chaff as overlooked by continental, and confounded with the bird supposed to be *Hippolais* by English, writers, and I described it minutely, and named it *Sylv. loquax*. I am now, by means of specimens kindly communicated to me by Mr. Bennett, enabled to clear up the confusion in which these birds have been so long involved. It is quite clear that *Sylv. rufa* is an English bird, and that *Sylv. loquax* has been confounded with it, both here and abroad, though very different. In Shaw's Zoology, the name *Hippolais* has been applied to the chiff chaff, as it has also been in the later works of Mr. Selby and the Rev. L. Jenyns, the name *rufa* being given in the last of these as synonymous with it; but all these applications are erroneous. I have now before me four species killed in this country. The first is *Sylv. sylvicola* of Montagu, the wood wren; it is the *Sylv. sibilatrix* of Bechstein, whose name must give place to that of Montagu, published many years before with an accurate description of the species. The second is *Sylv. Trochilus*. These two species are well known, and no mistake can arise concerning them. In *Sylv. sylvicola* the first quill, which is diminutive in all the species, is almost obsolete, the second shorter than the third, and scarcely shorter than the fourth; the prolongation of the third being greater in some specimens than in others. In

a joyous, easy laughing note; the other a harsh loud chirp. The former is every way larger, and three

Sylv. Trochilus the second is equal to the sixth, and shorter than the three intermediate. In the foreign specimen of *Sylv. rufa*, a male bird, and in that killed in England, the second is equal to the eighth, and shorter than all the intermediate. In *Sylv. loquax*, the chiff chaff, now before me, the second is longer than the seventh, and shorter than the four intermediate; and this exactly agrees with Mr. Sweet's bird, from which I made the description in the former edition, after its death. It was a male bird; whence it appears that the difference is not that of sex, but of species. In my former description, it should be remarked, I did not count the obsolete quill, and my first was properly the second.

The chiff chaff is not plentiful in this country, unless perhaps in some particular situations, which I have not visited. I never have seen one in Yorkshire, and, though particularly watchful for it in the south of England, it is six or seven years since I have seen one alive.

The bird which I supposed (as it now appears, erroneously, never having been willing to kill these harmless creatures) to be the pouillot or *Hippolais* of M. Temminck, I have seen sitting on the summit of an oak tree at the time of its leafing, and reiterating its monotonous note ching ching; and it has been pointed out to me at such moments by Mr. Sweet, as being one of the allied wrens. In the Faune Françoise of Vieillot, I find a *Sylv. Collybita;* to which he quotes as synonymous, *Motacilla rufa*, LINN., and rufous warbler, LATH., having improperly substituted a new name for one which must not be changed, although *rufous* is but ill warranted by a little reddish tint on the flanks. He subjoins as vulgar names, compteur d'argent and chofti; and states that it often sits on the summits of trees, where the male utters its note, which has obtained it in Normandy the name of money-counter. He continues to say that the note of this bird has appeared to himself to express tip tap repeated several times. It is, I think, quite clear, that the bird which is called, on account of its note uttered on the top of a high tree, money-counter or money-changer, is that which I have heard in such a situation, uttering its unvaried ching or chink chink. The chiff chaff does not sit on the summit of a tree, but is in perpetual motion, distinctly articulating chiff chaff, chivvy chaffy; and it is equally clear that such notes could never have suggested the idea of chinking money, but they are the sounds which Mr. Vieillot has not very accurately represented by tip tap. It must be recollected, that to convey to a Frenchman the sound we give to chiff or chaff, the letter *t* must be prefixed. It thus appears that two different birds have been confounded under the name *Sylv. Collybita*, newly introduced by Vieillot, and that of *Mot. rufa* of Linnæus, on the continent, as they have been here: that *Sylvia rufa* is the ching ching, and that the chiff chaff had never had any scientific name appropriated to it, till I designated it as *Sylv. loquax*, except the improper application of the names *Hippolais* and *rufa* to it. *Sylv. rufa* is rather larger than *Sylv. loquax*, its wing measuring four inches and seven-eighths, while that of *loquax* is only four inches and a half long: besides the rufous tinge on its flanks,

quarters of an inch longer, and weighs two drams and a half; while the latter weighs but two: so the songster

which does not occur in *loquax*, the under edge of the wing is bright yellow, while in *loquax* the yellow, if any, is faint. In Mr. Sweet's bird there was no yellow; in the specimen before me there is a little, the bird being probably a young one. From the figure by Werner, I conclude that young males of *rufa* have the under parts very yellow in the autumn, like those of *Trochilus*. The absence of the chiff chaff from the north of England renders it improbable that it should ever stray into the northern parts of the continent, and it is not likely to occur in Sweden. The pouillot of Temminck is the largest of the five, its wing measuring five inches and one-eighth; the second feather is shorter than the third and fourth, longer than the fifth. I have made exact representations of the first portions of the wings of the five species, by which they may be recognised.

The four allied species which frequent our island, besides the golden wren, are as follows.

1. *Sylvia sylvicola*, MONT.; *sibilatrix*, subsequently, of Bechstein; wood wren. This bird cannot easily be confounded with the others, being readily distinguished by the shivering motion of its wings in the latter part of its short and hurried song. It is much brighter coloured than the *Sylv. Trochilus*. The upper parts are of a yellowish green, the tail, quills, and wing coverts being edged with that colour, and brownish in the middle. Above the eye a yellow line; a dark line passing from the bill to the eye, and behind it; the throat and cheeks yellow; the under

W. Herbert, del. WOOD WREN.

parts pure white. In its habit it is much less erect than *Sylv. Trochilus*.

is one-fifth heavier than the chirper. The chirper (being the first summer-bird of passage that is heard, the wry-

Werner's figure, in the Oiseaux d'Europe, is very faulty; it is at least three-fourths of an inch too long; the upper mandible is improperly prolonged and curved at the point; the yellow on the throat and cheeks, and above the eyes, is too pale, and continued too far down the breast; the upper surface too brown; the bill not opening far enough back. Sweet's figure in his British Warblers is much better, but the legs and under mandible are improperly coloured dark; an untrue inky hue is given to the quills; there is too much yellow underneath; and the bird is rather too large. It frequents timber trees where there is an open glade in a thicket, and low covert; in which it builds on the ground a covered nest, upon a bank, and often places it at the foot of a young tree, of which the stem divides the current in heavy rains, and sends it to the right and left of the nest. *Sylv. Trochilus* always lines its nest with feathers, *Sylv. sylvicola* never. The male continues singing near the same spot till about midsummer. The young quit their nest in Yorkshire about the 20th of June. It is a much more timid and startlish species than *Sylv. Trochilus;* those which are reared even to perch on the hand before they feed themselves, become fearful afterwards.



QUILL-FEATHERS OF THE WOOD WREN.

2. *Sylvia Trochilus*, LATH.; yellow wren, often called unmeaningly willow wren. It is a very plentiful species, found in gardens, woods, hedges, by road sides, and on furzy and rough commons, whereas the wood wren frequents timber trees only. It frequently builds in strawberry beds, amongst periwinkles, or in any other low thicket, and comes close to the windows of dwelling houses to peck the *Aphides* from the rose bushes. Its song is soft and plaintive, but wants variety. The hen is smaller and browner than the cock. The name yellow wren is very near as inapplicable as willow wren, for the adults have very little yellow except the stripe over the eye; and the wood wren has much more, and brighter yellow. I should propose to call it the garden wren, on account of its frequently building in small gardens, and approaching dwelling houses, and often entering conservatories in search of *Aphides*.

neck sometimes excepted) begins his two notes in the middle of March, and continues them through the spring

The summer before last having observed a nest of this species at the foot of the stem of an American *Azalea* in the garden, when they were just on the point of flying I took a male bird which was sitting half out of the

W. Herbert, del. WILLOW WREN.

nest, and brought it into the house. Being frequently handled it became immediately so tame that, when it came to feed itself, on the door of its cage being opened it would fly to me and perch on my hand or head, or on the edge of my plate at breakfast, and suffered its head to be kissed or its back stroked without the least apprehension; and after taking its exercise and amusing itself for a time it would return into its cage to feed, and afterwards sit quietly on its perch. When this had become a confirmed habit, its cage door was left open night and day, and it was the most amiable little creature I ever saw. During my absence from home it was scared out of window and lost.



QUILL-FEATHERS OF THE WILLOW WREN.

I may take this opportunity of saying that I can aver that the *Sylv. flaviventris* of Vieillot is the young male of this species in its autumnal dress.

and summer till the end of August, as appears by my journals. The legs of the larger of these two are flesh-coloured; of the less, black.

He admits that some persons had told him it was so, but he cannot believe that the young birds should have more brilliant plumage than adults. The fact is however so, as above stated. I have had the young male in September, with the under parts of a beautiful yellow, which disappears before the breeding season.

3. *Sylvia rufa*, LATH.; monotonous wren, ching ching, or lesser petty-chaps. The name lesser pettychaps is absurd, because the pettychaps is a bird of different affinities and habits, belonging to a different genus, or division at least of the genus; I therefore propose to call it monotonous wren, being the only one of the four which expresses but a single note or sound. I observed and listened to one for a long time a few years ago, on the 28th of May, on some oak trees in Combe Wood, near Kingston upon Thames, at which time the hen bird, of which I could see nothing, was probably sitting in the thicket. I have frequently heard the note in Yorkshire, and last spring directed my gamekeeper to try to discover the nest of one that frequented the trees in a small coppice at Spofforth; but, under the erroneous impression that the bird was the pouillot of M. Temminck, he was directed to seek above his head and it was not discovered. The *Sylv. rufa* is said to breed on the ground. This bird is figured by Werner, but his specimen is of a very deep yellow on the under parts, having been probably a young male. It is remarkable that all this race of birds instead of putting on a brighter plumage in the season of love, assume a plainer garb, and lose the bright yellow which adorns the young males in autumn. This extends even to the pettychaps, *Curruca hortensis*.



QUILL-FEATHERS OF THE CHING CHING.

4. *Sylvia loquax*, HERBERT; loquacious wren or chiff chaff. This species I proposed, in a Note on the edition of this work published in 1833, to call *loquax*, because it articulates its singular song chiff chaff, chivvy chaffy, as distinctly as a man can pronounce it. It had never been named, and had been entirely overlooked by ornithologists or confounded with either *Sylv. Hippolais* or *rufa*. It is much scarcer than the others, and like the golden wren it affects, I believe, the neighbourhood of fir trees; and, unless it breeds, like the other three, on the ground, I suspect that it may build in them, perhaps hanging its nest under the

The grasshopper-lark began his sibilous note in my fields last Saturday. Nothing can be more amusing

boughs, as the golden wren does. I have never been able to meet with it in Yorkshire. The last live specimen I saw, was on a large cedar tree on the lawn in the garden of Highclere House in Hampshire at Whitsuntide in 1828 or 1829. It did not seem disposed to quit the tree, but repeated frequently its remarkable and articulate notes. I sought in vain on the ground for its nest, and it did not then occur to me to search the cedar tree, which indeed would not have been easily accomplished. Mr. Sweet in his article *Sylv. Hippolais*, gives an account of a *Sylv. loquax* which he kept in confinement, confounding it with the former of the two species; and it does not appear whether the figure he gives was taken from an English or a foreign specimen: but it is incorrect at all events, and does not truly represent any one of the allied species. I examined carefully a dead specimen of *Sylv. loquax*, which Mr. Sweet had kept in a cage the previous autumn and winter. It was a male bird, and had been caught in a net, and frequently articulated its chiff chaff, chivvy chaffy, while in confinement. It measured at full stretch but four inches from the tip of the bill to the extremity of the tail, having much resemblance to the female of the *Sylv. Trochilus*, which is always smaller and browner than the cock. *Sylv. loquax* has no yellow about it; there is no line over the eye; the colour is a uniform greenish brown, paler on the breast and belly. The tail-feathers and quill-feathers of the wings are dusky, edged with greenish brown; the legs are dusky, by which it may at all times be distinguished from the small hen *Sylv. Trochilus*. The bill, measuring from the forehead, is only five-sixteenths of an inch long, the under mandible and edges yellow, the upper part of the upper mandible brown. Its shape is slender. The second (considering the small abortive feather to be the first) quill-feather is a quarter of an inch shorter than the third, but is longer than the seventh, the third, fourth, and fifth almost of equal length. Another specimen since communicated to me by Mr. Bennett, agrees in every respect with Mr. Sweet's bird, except that it has a little tinge of yellow, being probably a young bird.



QUILL-FEATHERS OF THE CHIFF CHAFF.

The outlines which I have made with minute exactness of the outer part of the wing of each of these species, as well as of the pouillot of M. Temminck (which not being *Hippolais*, I propose to call *Sylv. Temmincki*), will render it easy to distinguish them. The quill-feathers of *Sylv. rufa* are more pointed than those of *loquax*, and the whole bird longer. *Sylv. Nattereri*, a species observed in Spain and Italy, is closely

than the whisper of this little bird, which seems to be close by, though at a hundred yards distance; and, when close at your ear, is scarce any louder than when a great way off. Had I not been a little acquainted with insects, and known that the grasshopper kind is not yet hatched, I should have hardly believed but that it had been a *Locusta* whispering in the bushes. The country people laugh when you tell them that it is the note of a bird. It is a most artful creature, sculking in the thickest part of a bush; and will sing at a yard distance, provided it be concealed. I was obliged to get a person to go on the other side of the hedge where it haunted; and then it would run, creeping like a mouse, before us for a hundred yards together, through

allied to those which visit our island, but has never been found further north.



QUILL-FEATHERS OF TEMMINCK'S WREN.

Nest of an unknown Warbler.—Two years ago I perceived in the fork of a young willow, by the side of the brook Crimple, very near my house at Spofforth, a nest with one egg in it. I did not touch it, expecting that the bird to which the nest belonged would continue laying, but it was deserted, and I could never discover the birds which constructed it. A nest of the sedge warbler, *Sylvia Phragmitis*, was placed in a situation exactly similar about twenty yards from it; but the deserted nest differed in being much deeper, and constructed with many feathers of the barn-door cock, and the egg was longer and more acute than those of the sedge warbler, and entirely free from spots, being of the same colour as the ground of the sedge birds without the markings. The purselike depth of the nest would agree with the form of the nest of *Sylv. arundinacea*, the reed warbler, which I have not been able to discover in Yorkshire, but all the accounts of that bird represent its eggs to be spotted. It was certainly the nest of some aquatic warbler, but of all the species whose propagation has been ascertained the eggs appear to be spotted. I find no account of the eggs of *Sylv. Cetti*, the bouscarle of the French, of which Temminck states, without quoting his authority, that some individuals have been killed in England, and that it is apt to be confounded with the reed warbler. The bouscarle is however said to

the bottom of the thorns; yet it would not come into fair sight: but in a morning early, and when undisturbed, it sings on the top of a twig, gaping and shivering with its wings. Mr. Ray himself had no knowledge of this bird, but received his account from Mr. Johnson, who apparently confounds it with the *Reguli non cristati*, from which it it is very distinct. See Ray's Philosophical Letters, p. 108[2].

The flycatcher *(Stoparola)* has not yet appeared: it usually breeds in my vine.

frequent thorn bushes by the side of rivers, and *Sylv. Turdoïdes*, common in Holland, and *Sylv. aquatica* which is closely allied to *Sylv. Phragmitis*, have both spotted eggs. I know not therefore to what species I can refer the nest and egg which is in my possession.—W. H.

W. H. Herbert, del.

[2] This bird is not uncommon in Scotland, where its singular note is popularly supposed to be caused by a species of rattlesnake, the concealed habits of the bird rendering it seldom seen. Although I have times innumerable listened to it, I have rarely seen it; and only once actually got within a very short distance of one which was perched on the top of a furze bush in Musselburgh Haugh, near Edinburgh, trilling its notes and shivering its wings, as White describes. In Ayrshire I have heard it long after sunset; though I should scarcely be disposed to apply to it the term whisper, for it may be heard at the distance of a quarter of a mile.—RENNIE.

The redstart begins to sing: its note is short and imperfect, but is continued till about the middle of June.

The willow wrens (the smaller sort) are horrid pests in a garden, destroying the pease, cherries, currants, &c.[3]; and are so tame that a gun will not scare them.

[3] This sentence has probably been the cause of the murder of numbers of these most innocent little birds, which are in truth peculiarly the gardener's friends. My garden men were in the habit of catching the hens on their nests in the strawberry beds, and killing them, under the impression that they made great ravage among the cherries; yet I can assert that they never taste the fruit, nor can those which are reared from the nest in confinement be induced to touch it. They peck the *Aphides* which are injurious to the fruit trees, and being very pugnacious little birds, I have sometimes seen them take post in a cherry tree and drive away every bird that attempted to enter it, though of greater size and strength.

The birds which are mistaken for them are the young of the garden warbler, *Curruca hortensis*, Bechst. with which Mr. White was not acquainted, as it is not mentioned by him, and does not appear in his list of summer birds: yet I am confident that they will be found plentifully at Selborne, when the Kentish cherries are ripe. They attacked my cherries in great numbers when I lived in the south of Berkshire, not much more than twenty miles from Selborne. These young birds have a strong tinge of yellow on the sides, which disappears after the moult, and gives them very much the appearance of the yellow wren when seen upon the tree, though they are larger and stouter, and in habits very much resemble the blackcaps, with whom they are associated in the plunder of cherry trees. I have never seen the pettychaps in Yorkshire until the cherries are ripe, when they immediately make their appearance and attack the Kentish cherry particularly, being so greedy that I have often taken them with a fishing rod tipped with birdlime while they were pulling at the fruit. The moment they have finished the last Kentish cherry they disappear for the season. If they finish the cherries in the morning, they are gone before noon. I am persuaded that they appear and disappear in the same manner at Selborne, and are probably to be found there only while the cherries are ripe, which accounts for Mr. White's having mistaken them for yellow wrens when he saw them in the fruit trees. They breed in the market gardens about London, and I imagine that as the cherries ripen they migrate from garden to garden in pursuit of them. I am told that near London they remain late enough to attack the elder berries, of which the fruit-eating warblers are very fond, but in Yorkshire they do not even wait for the later cherries. The number of these visitants depends upon the crop of early cherries. This year the crop having nearly failed, I saw but two of them, which appeared on the 15th of July and were not seen after the 17th. The blackcap remains eating the currants and honeysuckle berries; they are

A List of the Summer Birds of Passage discovered in this Neighbourhood, ranged somewhat in the Order in which they appear:

	Linnæi Nomina.
Smallest willow wren,	*Motacilla Trochilus*[4] [*Sylvia loquax*, HERB.]:
Wryneck,	*Yunx Torquilla:*
House swallow,	*Hirundo rustica:*
Martin,	*Hirundo urbica:*
Sand martin,	*Hirundo riparia:*
Cuckoo,	*Cuculus canorus:*
Nightingale,	*Motacilla Luscinia* [*Philomela Luscinia*, SWAINS.]:
Blackcap,	*Motacilla Atricapilla* [*Curruca Atricapilla*, BECHST.]:
Whitethroat,	*Motacilla Sylvia* [*Curruca cinerea*, BECHST.]:
Middle willow wren,	*Motacilla Trochilus*[4] [*Sylvia Trochilus*, LATH.]:
Swift,	*Hirundo Apus* [*Cypselus Apus*, ILL.]:
Stone curlew?	*Charadrius Œdicnemus* [*Œdicnemus crepitans*, TEMM.]?
Turtle-dove?	*Columba Turtur?*
Grasshopper lark,	*Alauda trivialis* [*Salicaria Locustella*, SELB.]:
Landrail,	*Rallus Crex* [*Crex pratensis*, BECHST.]:
Largest willow wren,	*Motacilla Trochilus*[4] [*Sylvia sibilatrix*, BECHST.]:
Redstart,	*Motacilla Phœnicurus* [*Phœnicura Ruticilla*, SWAINS.]:
Goatsucker, or fern owl,	*Caprimulgus Europæus:*
Flycatcher,	*Musicapa Grisola.*

---

both very fond in confinement of ripe pears, and I believe, in the south of England, they peck some of them before their departure.

Vieillot states that the garden warbler is not found in the neighbourhood of Paris, though it occurs in Piedmont and Provence, where it frequents the vicinity of pine forests. I am persuaded that this is entirely erroneous. That bird is abundant in the gardens round London, where it breeds and where I have seen the nest and young birds. I have never known it breed in the northern counties of England, where its visits are of short duration while the supply of ripe cherries lasts. In confinement it appears much more tender of cold than the blackcap and whitethroat, and there is some difficulty in saving it through a severe winter. It is therefore evident that it prefers the more southern latitudes, and as it is a frequenter of fruit gardens and not of uncultivated wastes, and

---

[4] Mr. White does not seem to have had any reason for putting the Latin name *Motacilla Trochilus* to three distinct birds. There is no cause for believing that Linnæus confounded them, though he only named one of them and overlooked the others. Indeed the wood wren could not be confounded with the yellow wren by any person of the least discrimination.—W. H.

My countrymen talk much of a bird that makes a clatter with his bill against a dead bough, or some old

especially as it performs a series of migrations in search of cherries, advancing northward when the supply fails in the south, and returning to eat the early pears and elder berries when the northern cherries are consumed, it is quite certain that the bird must be found in similar situations in France; and wherever the gardens are by which Paris is supplied, its nest will be discovered. If it visits the forests at all, it is probably to attack the cherry trees of the cottagers.

I could not persuade my gardener that the yellow wrens did not eat the cherries, till he had shot some of the pettychaps in the act of eating them, and compared them with the wrens, when he became satisfied of the error. In order to ascertain, beyond doubt, whether the yellow wrens ever eat fruit, I left some which had been reared tame from the nest, and of course were more likely to feed upon any new thing than the wild birds, without victuals, till they were very hungry, and I then offered them little bits of ripe cherry. They seized them with avidity, but immediately threw them down again, and it was evident that they would rather have starved than eat the fruit. I had no doubt of the fact, but I wished to set the question completely at rest, for I have seen them pulling the leaves of the cherry trees so near the fruit that any person might be deceived, and think they were eating it, and the young of the pettychaps look so like them, that I am not in the least surprised at their having got into bad repute with the gardeners. I had an opportunity of watching lately a little family of them, which sat many days in a low standard cherry tree in my garden, not more than a few feet above my head. The old ones took no notice of me at all, but were perpetually feeding them close to me. They flitted about the cherry tree, picking the little *Aphides* off the leaves and bringing them one by one to the young, and sometimes tugging very hard at a leaf to get out a little caterpillar that was twisted up in it, the cherries being ripe at the time. The young sat still for hours together, close to each other, occasionally stretching their legs or wings, or hunching up their hind quarters. This very singular movement is, I think, peculiar to, or at least it is more usual amongst, soft-billed birds. It is a sign of health, and is frequent with the growing young birds. I do not observe those which feed upon seed use it, though they frequently stretch the leg or wing. The young sedge warblers hunch up their hind quarters to a degree that is singularly ridiculous, and when they do so they are always thriving.

The yellow wrens appear in confinement to have stronger powers of digestion than the wood wrens, though, I believe, they feed naturally upon similar insects. The hens are singularly tame. I had one taken when able to feed itself, what the bird-catchers call a brancher, which soon became so familiar that it would fly upon my finger to feed. The cocks are larger and rather more shy.

Last year I had reared three cocks from the nest, and in July I wished to set one of them at liberty. Having let it out of the cage which stood near a window which was opened, it continued for a long time hopping

pales, calling it a jar-bird. I procured one to be shot in the very fact; it proved to be the *Sitta Europæa* (the nuthatch). Mr. Ray says that the less spotted wood-

and flying about the top of the cage, and sitting upon the pots upon the ledge, and on a bar to which the roses were tied across the window. At last it began to travel up the creepers against the house, and getting upon the roof it flew over the buildings, and I did not expect to see it again; but two hours after it returned exceedingly hungry, and lit upon the upper bar of the middle pane of the lower sash of the same window, and pecked hard for admittance. It was let in, and fed heartily from my hand, after which it took its leave. I saw no more of it for two days, when it returned again for a short visit in very good case, and not appearing at all pressed for food. About a week after, it returned to the same pane of glass, pecking as before, but I was occupied with a stranger, on business, and could not attend to it, and it departed for the season. On the 23rd of July, in the following summer, I was standing at the same window, when a fine stout cock of this species lit upon the bar of the same pane close to my face, and began to peck as before for admission. Neither alarmed by my voice, nor my little boy's jumping up from his seat to look at it, it flew down upon some of the cage pans which happened to be on the ledge of the window, and began pecking them as if to get food from them. It quickly departed again. But this is so contrary to the habits of the wild bird, that I consider it quite certain that the bird was my own nursling which had returned after its trip to Africa, to look at the window where it had been reared in its nest. The visit was a very pleasant little incident. How many things, which Europeans in vain desire to see, had my little wanderer witnessed since he last pecked at my window. Perhaps he had sung his plaintive notes near the grave of Clapperton, or peeped into the seraglio of the King of Timbuctoo, since we had parted.

These little birds are exceedingly gentle till they feed themselves perfectly; after which, they become exceedingly quarrelsome. I had some in the same cage with young wood wrens, brown wrens, and sedge warblers. One of them, more than a week before it could feed itself, took to feeding two wood wrens which were ten days older than it, and able to feed themselves, though still very willing to be fed by another. It showed exactly the same discrimination that an old bird does in leaning over the one it had last fed, notwithstanding its clamorous entreaties, in order to give the food to the other. No importunities of the brown wrens could obtain a morsel from it. There was sagacity even in this, for the brown wren is a much less nearly allied species, and is now referred to a separate genus. Its own fellow nestlings did not importune it for food. It was a cock bird, and three weeks after it beat the cock wood wren so, that it was necessary to separate them. The wood wrens and sedge warblers are not quarrelsome, but squall very loud when attacked or alarmed. The little brown wrens, as far as I have seen, are not quarrelsome, but perfectly fearless, and very much on the alert to

pecker does the same. This noise may be heard a furlong or more.

Now is the only time to ascertain the short-winged summer birds; for, when the leaf is out, there is no making any remarks on such a restless tribe; and, when once the young begin to appear, it is all confusion: there is no distinction of genus, species, or sex.

In breeding time snipes play over the moors, piping and humming: they always hum as they are descending. Is not their hum ventriloquous like that of the turkey? Some suspect it is made by their wings[5].

This morning I saw the golden-crowned wren, whose crown glitters like burnished gold. It often hangs, like a titmouse, with its back downwards.

Yours, &c. &c.

snatch any thing they like out of the mouth of a larger bird, and run under a pan with it to avoid being pursued.

It is remarkable that many birds, which are quite kindly disposed to other birds, will not tolerate the presence of another of their own species. The redstart fights with his brother nestling in the same cage as soon as he is full grown; he will not tolerate the presence of a stone chat or whin chat, whose habits are very similar to his own, but he does not attack a nightingale, and behaves decently in a cage full of seed birds. I have seen two yellow wrens, not two months old, fight like bulldogs, holding tight, and pulling the skin, but they very rarely attack any but of their own kind. A nightingale which had lived two years in a cage full of birds in perfect amity with them, and even suffered the brown wrens to jump and rub themselves on its back, instantly attacked, in the most violent manner, another nightingale which was put into the cage. Two robins will never frequent a hothouse or conservatory in peace, but fight till the weakest is killed, or yields full possession to his antagonist, and they often break the tender young plants in their conflicts*.—W. H.

[5] The drumming of snipes in the breeding season is again adverted to in Letter XXXIX.; where a Note by Mr. Herbert is subjoined, explanatory of the mode in which the sound is produced.—E. T. B.

---

* In reference to the pugnacious character of the robin there is somewhere an old Latin proverb, indicating that one bush does not hold two robins: *unum arbustum non alit duos erithacos.*—W. Y.

LETTER XVII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, June 18, 1768.

On Wednesday last arrived your agreeable letter of June the 10th. It gives me great satisfaction to find that you pursue these studies still with such vigour, and are in such forwardness with regard to reptiles and fishes.

The reptiles, few as they are, I am not acquainted with, so well as I could wish, with regard to their natural history. There is a degree of dubiousness and obscurity attending the propagation of this class of animals, something analogous to that of the *Cryptogamia* in the sexual system of plants: and the case is the same with regard to some of the fishes; as the eel, &c.

The method in which toads procreate and bring forth seems to be very much in the dark. Some authors say that they are viviparous: and yet Ray classes them among his oviparous animals; and is silent with regard to the manner of their bringing forth. Perhaps they may be *ἔσω μὲν ὠοτόκοι, ἔξω δὲ ζωοτόκοι,* as is known to be the case with the viper.

Ἡ *τῶν βατράχων συναφὴ* (ἢ ὅτι *συναφῇ ἔοικε,* ὁ Swammerdam *γὰρ ἐλέγχει ὅτι, τῷ ἄῤῥενι οὐκ ἔξι πειρὶν ἢ εἰς τὴν θήλειαν εἰσιεναι δύνηται*) *ἐξι περιφανὴς· ἦρι γὰρ ἄλλες ἄλλων ἐπὶ νώτοις συνεχῶς διὰ μῆνα ἡμένες ὁράομεν· οὐδέποτε δὲ ἢ ἶδον ἢ ἀνέγνωκα τὰς Φυσάλες ταῦτα πραττομένες ἐωράσθαι*[1].

It is strange that the matter with regard to the venom of toads has not been yet settled[2]. That they

[1] In this respect the toad does not differ from the frog.—E. T. B.

[2] The question of the venom of toads is now set at rest. The old prejudice that they possess the power of communicating poison by their bite is wholly unfounded; and the fluid which they eject from the cloaca when frightened or handled is, in their case as in frogs, pure limpid

are not noxious to some animals is plain: for ducks, buzzards, owls, stone curlews, and snakes, eat them, to my knowledge, with impunity. And I well remember the time, but was not eyewitness to the fact (though numbers of persons were), when a quack, at this village, ate a toad to make the country people stare; afterwards he drank oil.

I have been informed also, from undoubted authority, that some ladies (ladies you will say of peculiar taste) took a fancy to a toad, which they nourished, summer after summer, for many years, till he grew to a monstrous size, with the maggots which turn to flesh flies. The reptile used to come forth every evening from a hole under the garden steps; and was taken up, after supper, on the table to be fed. But at last a tame raven, kenning him as he put forth his head, gave him such a severe stroke with his horny beak as put out one eye. After this accident the creature languished for some time and died[3].

water. The skin, however, has been ascertained by Dr. Davy to secrete an acid liquid, not perhaps poisonous, but capable of producing an uncomfortable sensation on the tongue; a secretion of somewhat similar qualities is poured out on the surface of the common land salamander of Europe.

The aqueous fluid above mentioned, which is thrown out in considerable quantities by a frog or toad on being taken in the hand, is held in a double bladder which opens into the cloaca: and this fact is connected with the absorbing power of the skin. The cutaneous surface of these animals is now known to serve the purposes of respiration; but in order to perform this function, it is necessary that it should be kept constantly in a moist condition. When placed in water or in a sufficiently damp situation, the surface of the body absorbs a considerable quantity of water, which is conveyed to the receptacle above mentioned, there to remain as in a reservoir for future use; and if the animal be exposed to a dry atmosphere, the fluid is re-absorbed, and again secreted on the surface of the skin, in order to keep up its respiratory function. This is the true history of the poisonous liquid of toads, as it is considered, which renders them the objects of dread and hatred to the ignorant of all parts of the country.—T. B.

[3] I have had a toad so tame, that when it was held in one hand, it would take its food from the other held near it. The manner in which this animal takes its prey is very interesting. The tongue, when at rest, is

I need not remind a gentleman of your extensive reading of the excellent account there is from Mr. Der-

doubled back upon itself in the mouth, and the apex, which is broad, is imbued with a most tenacious mucus. On seeing an insect, the animal fixes its beautiful eyes upon it, leans or creeps forward, and when within reach, the tongue is projected upon the insect, and again returned into the mouth with the captive prey, by a motion so rapid, that without the most careful observation the action cannot be followed. An insect is never taken unless when in motion; and I have often seen a toad remain motionless for some minutes, with its eyes fixed upon an insect, and the instant it moved, it disappeared with the quickness of lightning. The insect is swallowed whole, and alive; and I have often seen the reptile much incommoded by the struggles of its imprisoned prey, particularly if it consist of large and hard insects, as full grown cockroaches for instance, when the twitching of its sides, from the irritation produced by the movements of the insects in the stomach, is sufficiently ludicrous.—T. B.

My ingenious friend, the late George Newenham, Esq., of Summer Hill, Cork, carried a live toad with him from Edinburgh, which he kept at his country seat of Summer Hill for several years, where it became quite tame, in the same way as that mentioned by White. The most amusing feat which it performed was the swallowing of a worm, which it seemed to relish highly, and was eager to master in proportion to the difficulty presented by the writhings of the creature. The spring before I was at Summer Hill, this singular pet had not made its appearance from its unknown winter retreat, and consequently was supposed to have died, as it was not likely to wander from a spot with which it had become so familiar.

Mr. Husenbeth has given a very interesting account of a tame toad which he placed "in a large glass jar, with moss at the bottom and sometimes water enough to saturate the moss, but oftener with only a piece of green sod, which I changed," he says, "when the grass began to wither. Sometimes I contrived to let him have a little well of water in the sod; but I never saw him go into water freely; only when he was frightened, he would plunge in and bury his head at the bottom under the sod. Whether he ever knew me, I much doubt; but certainly he was always perfectly tame, and would sit on my hand, let me stroke him, and walk about my table or carpet with apparent familiarity and contentment. I usually let him out on the table every day; and he would jump down upon the carpet, and hop and crawl about, always making for the skirting-board, which he climbed very ludicrously, and seemed fond of sitting in a corner on the top of it. He ate freely, from the first day I had him; but would never take any thing unless he saw it move. In the whole time, I gave him all the following varieties: flies of all kinds; wasps, and bees, first removing their stings; gnats, which he would snap up at the window, while I held him on my hand up to the pane of glass, with an eagerness that appeared insatiable, and was very amusing; clap-baits, lady-birds, caddices, ants: of these last I used occasionally to give him a treat, by bringing home part of a hillock,

ham, in Ray's Wisdom of God in the Creation (p. 365), concerning the migration of frogs from their breeding ponds[4]. In this account he at once subverts that foolish opinion of their dropping from the clouds in rain; showing that it is from the grateful coolness and moisture of those showers that they are tempted to set out on their travels, which they defer till those fall. Frogs are as yet in their tadpole state; but, in a few weeks, our lanes, paths, fields will swarm for a few days with myriads of those emigrants, no larger than my little finger nail. Swammerdam gives a most ac-

and putting him down in the midst of it. He would raise himself on all fours, and with his eyes glistening with something like civic ecstasy, would dart out his tongue, right and left, as rapidly as lightning, and lap up the ants in quick succession, with the most laughable gulosity. I also gave him earwigs, glowworms, woodlice, grasshoppers, spiders, dragon-flies, ticks, horse-leeches, grubs, moths, and any insect I could meet with. All seemed equally welcome, either by night or by day; but it was most diverting to see him contend with a worm. He would dart upon it, secure one end, and swallow with all his might; but the worm would annoy him by creeping out of his mouth before he could swallow it entirely; and I have known him persevere for nearly half an hour, attempting to secure his prize, while the worm kept constantly escaping. He would take a snail, when he once saw it extended and in motion; though he always dashed at the shell, and took all down together in a moment, but could not manage one of large size. It was to me a great source of amusement to feed him and watch his singular movements. He was often frightened, but seldom provoked. I once or twice, however, provoked him, I think, to as much wrath as his cold nature was susceptible of; but I feel quite assured that the toad is at all times perfectly harmless and inoffensive: the idea of its spitting, or otherwise discharging venom is, I am convinced, wholly unfounded. In the winter months my toad always refused food, though he did not become torpid, but grew thin, and moved much less than at other times. He did not eat from the end of November till March, gradually losing his appetite and gradually recovering it: he never seemed affected by cold, except in the way of losing his inclination for food."—RENNIE.

[4] Concerning the reason of frogs coming out in rainy weather, the reader will be amply gratified, by referring to the experiments made by Dr. Townson on his two frogs, *Damon* and *Musidora*. See his Tracts, p. 50. The general result of which has proved the following curious fact: —" that frogs take in their supply of liquid through the *skin* alone, all the aqueous fluid which they take in being absorbed by the skin, and all they reject being transpired through it. One frog in an hour and a half absorbed nearly its own weight of water."—MITFORD.

curate account of the method and situation in which the male impregnates the spawn of the female. How wonderful is the economy of Providence with regard to the limbs of so vile a reptile! While it is an aquatic it has a fish-like tail, and no legs: as soon as the legs sprout, the tail drops off as useless, and the animal betakes itself to the land[5]!

Merret, I trust, is widely mistaken when he advances that the *Rana arborea*[6] is an English reptile; it abounds in Germany and Switzerland[7].

It is to be remembered that the *Salamandra aquatica* of Ray (the water-newt or eft) will frequently bite at

[5] The whole of the typical *Batrachia*, the frogs, toads, newts, salamanders, &c. undergo a complete metamorphosis. In the land species, as from their habits they have not constant access to water, the aquatic portion of their existence, during which the gills remain attached, cannot be passed in that medium in the same manner as the frogs, &c. They undergo the metamorphosis therefore in the oviduct, before they are excluded from the mother, and come forth in the perfect condition. But in the other forms, the change takes place in the water, and the young live there for a time in a fish-like state, as regards not only their respiration, but most of the other functions of life. There is, however, another deviation from this rule, still more remarkable than that of the salamander, in the pipa or Surinam toad; in which the male places the eggs on the back of the female, impregnates them, and leaves them attached by a very glutinous mucus. The skin of the mother grows up around the eggs, forming a cell for each, in which the young leave the egg, and undergo their metamorphosis.

The common water newt or eft exhibits a beautiful example of this interesting change, retaining its pretty reddish leaf-like gills till the animals are an inch or more in length.—T. B.

[6] [*Hyla viridis*, LAUR.]

[7] From the way in which Mr. White speaks of the tree frog, it might be inferred that he thought it was possessed of injurious qualities, whereas a more innocent creature does not exist; and it is besides so little, and of so beautiful a green, that it is a very common pet in Germany. My friend, J. C. Loudon, Esq. the well known author of the Encyclopædia of Gardening, kept one for several years; and in the autumn of 1830, I caught one sitting on a bramble at Cape La Héve, on the coast of Normandy, which I kept for many weeks; but it finally escaped from me between Bayswater and Hyde Park Corner, by the gauze covering of its glass accidentally slipping off before I was aware. —RENNIE.

the angler's bait, and is often caught on his hook. I used to take it for granted that the *Salamandra aquatica* was hatched, lived, and died, in the water. But John Ellis, Esq., F. R. S., (the coralline Ellis), asserts, in a letter to the Royal Society, dated June the 5th, 1766, in his account of the *mud inguana*, an amphibious *bipes* from South Carolina, that the water-eft, or newt, is only the *larva* of the land-eft, as tadpoles are of frogs. Lest I should be suspected to misunderstand his meaning, I shall give it in his own words. Speaking of the *opercula*, or coverings to the gills, of the *mud inguana*, he proceeds to say that "The form of these pennated coverings approaches very near to what I have some time ago observed in the *larva*, or aquatic state, of our English *Lacerta*, known by the name of eft, or newt; which serve them for coverings to their gills, and for fins to swim with while in this state; and which they lose, as well as the fins of their tails, when they change their state and become land animals, as I have observed, by keeping them alive for some time myself."

Linnæus, in his Systema Naturæ, hints at what Mr. Ellis advances, more than once.

Providence has been so indulgent to us as to allow of but one venomous reptile of the serpent kind in these kingdoms, and that is the viper. As you propose the good of mankind to be an object of your publications, you will not omit to mention common salad-oil as a sovereign remedy against the bite of the viper[8].

[8] The efficacy of oil as a remedy against the bite of the viper has probably been overrated. It is generally believed in those parts of the country where vipers abound to be very efficacious as an external application, as is also the fat of the reptile itself. The exhibition of ammonia both as an external and internal remedy, is recommended probably on surer grounds. I never heard of a well authenticated instance of the bite of the English viper proving fatal, though I have known and seen several cases in which the symptoms appeared to be extremely dangerous. —T. B.

As to the blind worm (*Anguis fragilis*, so called because it snaps in sunder with a small blow), I have found, on examination, that it is perfectly innocuous[9].

A neighbouring yeoman (to whom I am indebted for some good hints) killed and opened a female viper about the 27th of May: he found her filled with a chain of eleven eggs, about the size of those of a blackbird; but none of them were advanced so far towards a state of maturity as to contain any rudiments of young. Though they are oviparous, yet they are viviparous also, hatching their young within their bellies, and then bringing them forth. Whereas snakes lay chains of eggs every summer in my melon beds, in spite of all that my people can do to prevent them; which eggs do not hatch till the spring following, as I have often experienced. Several intelligent folks assure me that they have seen the viper open her mouth and admit her

[9] A blind-worm, that I kept alive for nine weeks, would, when touched, turn and bite, although not very sharply: its bite was not sufficient to draw blood, but it always retained its hold until released. It drank sparingly of milk, raising the head when drinking. It fed upon the little white slug (*Limax agrestis*, LINN.) so common in fields and gardens, eating six or seven of them one after the other; but it did not eat every day. It invariably took them in one position. Elevating its head slowly above its victim, it would suddenly seize the slug by the middle, in the same manner that a ferret or dog will generally take a rat by the loins; it would then hold it thus sometimes for more than a minute, when it would pass its prey through its jaws, and swallow the slug head foremost. It refused the larger slugs, and would not touch either young frogs or mice. Snakes kept in the same cage took both frogs and mice. The blind-worm avoided the water: the snakes, on the contrary, coiled themselves in the pan containing water, which was put into the cage, and appeared to delight in it. The blind-worm was a remarkably fine one, measuring fifteen inches in length. It cast its slough while in my keeping. The skin came off in separate pieces, the largest of which was two inches in length; splitting first on the belly, and the peeling from the head being completed the last. After the skin was cast the colour of the reptile was much lighter than it had before been.

I had for the first time, while this blind-worm was in my custody, an opportunity of witnessing the power which slugs have of suspending themselves by a thread. They availed themselves of it in escaping from the cage of the reptile. The cage was on a shelf four feet six inches from the floor, and, with the aid of the glutinous filament which they exuded, the slugs lowered themselves from it to the ground.—G. D.

helpless young down her throat on sudden surprises, just as the female opossum does her brood into the pouch under her belly, upon the like emergencies; and yet the London viper-catchers insist on it, to Mr. Barrington, that no such thing ever happens[10]. The serpent kind eat, I believe, but once in a year; or, rather, but only just at one season of the year[11]. Country people talk much of a water-snake, but, I am pretty sure, without any reason; for the common snake *(Coluber Natrix)* delights much to sport in the water, perhaps with a view to procure frogs and other food.

I cannot well guess how you are to make out your twelve species of reptiles, unless it be by the various species, or rather varieties, of our *Lacertæ*, of which Ray enumerates five. I have not had opportunity of ascertaining these; but remember well to have seen, formerly, several beautiful green *Lacertæ* on the sunny sandbanks near Farnham, in Surrey[12]; and Ray admits there are such in Ireland.

[10] I have been assured by a very honest and worthy gardener in Dorsetshire, that he had seen the young vipers enter the mouth of the mother when alarmed. I have never been able to obtain further evidence of the fact, though I have made the most extensive inquiries in my power. If it be untrue, the popular error may have arisen from the circumstance of fully formed young having been found in the abdomen of the mother, ready to be excluded. The actions of the young which were emancipated from the oviduct by White on a subsequent occasion (see Letter XXXI. to Daines Barrington) do not appear necessarily to bear upon the question, as there are many instances of the young of animals manifesting the habits and instincts of their species immediately on coming into the world —as in the case of young ducks seeking the water, &c.—T. B.

[11] The slow power of digestion possessed by serpents renders them capable of remaining long without food. If a snake swallows a frog, or a viper a mouse, it is several weeks before it is digested. It is probable, accordingly, that they do not eat above three or four times in the course of a summer, and in winter not at all. During the summer of 1830, I kept both a slow worm *(Anguis fragilis)* and a snake *(Coluber Natrix)* for several months, during which time they refused every sort of food I could offer them. When taken in the autumn, M. Bory St. Vincent says they will endure abstinence for an incredible period; but this will not be the case if they are taken in spring.—RENNIE.

[12] [See Letter XXII.]

LETTER XVIII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, July 27, 1768.

I RECEIVED your obliging and communicative letter of June the 28th, while I was on a visit at a gentleman's house, where I had neither books to turn to, nor leisure to sit down, to return you an answer to many queries, which I wanted to resolve in the best manner that I am able.

A person, by my order, has searched our brooks, but could find no such fish as the *Gasterosteus Pungitius:* he found the *Gasterosteus aculeatus* in plenty. This morning, in a basket, I packed a little earthen pot full of wet moss, and in it some sticklebacks, male and female; the females big with spawn: some lamperns; some bulls-heads; but I could procure no minnows. This basket will be in Fleet Street by eight this evening; so I hope Mazel[1] will have them fresh and fair to-morrow morning. I gave some directions, in a letter, to what particulars the engraver should be attentive.

Finding, while I was on a visit, that I was within a reasonable distance of Ambresbury, I sent a servant over to that town, and procured several living specimens of loaches, which he brought safe and brisk in a glass decanter. They were taken in the gullies that were cut for watering the meadows. From these fishes (which measured from two to four inches in length) I

[1] Mr. Peter Mazel [Mazell] was the engraver of the plates of the British Zoology. He was living at the time of the (anticipated) literary death of Pennant, March 1, 1791; "and of whose skill and integrity I had always occasion to speak well," remarks his employer in his Literary Life. He also engraved some of the plates for the original edition of this work.—E. T. B.

took the following description: "The loach, in its general aspect, has a pellucid appearance: its back is mottled with irregular collections of small black dots, not reaching much below the *linea lateralis*, as are the back and tail fins: a black line runs from each eye down to the nose; its belly is of a silvery white; the upper jaw projects beyond the lower, and is surrounded with six feelers, three on each side: its pectoral fins are large, its ventral much smaller; the fin behind its anus small; its dorsal fin large, containing eight spines; its tail, where it joins to the tail fin, remarkably broad, without any taperness, so as to be characteristic of this genus: the tail fin is broad, and square at the end. From the breadth and muscular strength of the tail it appears to be an active nimble fish[2]."

In my visit I was not very far from Hungerford, and did not forget to make some inquiries concerning the wonderful method of curing cancers by means of toads. Several intelligent persons, both gentry and clergy, do, I find, give a great deal of credit to what was asserted in the papers: and I myself dined with a clergyman who seemed to be persuaded that what is related is matter of fact: but, when I came to attend to his account, I thought I discerned circumstances which did not a little invalidate the woman's story of the manner in which she came by her skill. She says of herself, "that labouring under a virulent cancer, she went to some church where there was a vast crowd: on going into a pew, she was accosted by a strange clergyman; who, after expressing compassion for her situation, told her that if she would make such an

[2] Ambresbury had become notorious for its loaches, on account of sportsmen frequently, in frolic, swallowing one of them alive in a glass of white wine: but the fish is by no means a local one. It occurs generally throughout the country in brooks and rivulets, lurking under stones.

The use of the word spine in the above description is, it may be remarked, not altogether correct; the rays of the dorsal fin being soft and branched, as is usual in malacopterygian fishes.—E. T. B.

application of living toads as is mentioned she would be well." Now is it likely that this unknown gentleman should express so much tenderness for this single sufferer, and not feel any for the many thousands that daily languish under this terrible disorder? Would he not have made use of this invaluable nostrum for his own emolument; or, at least, by some means of publication or other, have found a method of making it public for the good of mankind? In short, this woman (as it appears to me) having set up for a cancer-doctress, finds it expedient to amuse the country with this dark and mysterious relation.

The water-eft has not, that I can discern, the least appearance of any gills; for want of which it is continually rising to the surface of the water to take in fresh air[3]. I opened a big-bellied one indeed, and found it full of spawn. Not that this circumstance at all invalidates the assertion that they are *larvæ:* for the *larvæ* of insects are full of eggs, which they exclude the instant they enter their last state. The water-eft is continually climbing over the brims of the vessel, within which we keep it in water, and wandering away: and people every summer see numbers crawling out of the pools where they are hatched, up the dry banks. There are varieties of them, differing in colour; and some have fins up their tail and back, and some have not[4].

[3] I have kept several of these creatures in a jar of water; but it is painful to observe their constant efforts to take breath by rising every two or three minutes to the surface, so that breathing seems to be the only business of their lives, requiring infinitely more labour than most other animals undergo to procure food. It is clearly impossible for them ever to sleep except upon land. Those which I kept cast off the whole of the scarf skin *(epidermis)* every two or three weeks, but never the true skin as serpents do. They also laid eggs enveloped in a gelatinous substance, somewhat like frog spawn.—RENNIE.

[4] The appearance of fin-like expansions on the back and tail of the several species of *Triton* is confined to the male, and to the season of breeding; when their presence is obviously advantageous to animals of habits altogether different from those of frogs and toads.—T. B.

LETTER XIX.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Aug. 17, 1768.

I HAVE now, past dispute, made out three distinct species of the willow wrens *(Motacillæ Trochili)* which constantly and invariably use distinct notes. But, at the same time, I am obliged to confess that I know nothing of your willow lark[1]. In my letter of April the 18th, I had told you peremptorily that I knew your willow lark, but had not seen it then: but, when I came to procure it, it proved, in all respects, a very *Motacilla Trochilus;* only that it is a size larger than the two other, and the yellow green of the whole upper part of the body is more vivid, and the belly of a clearer white. I have specimens of the three sorts now lying before me; and can discern that there are three gradations of sizes, and that the least has black legs, and the other two flesh-coloured ones. The yellowest bird is considerably the largest, and has its quill feathers and secondary feathers tipped with white, which the others have not. This last haunts only the tops of trees in high beechen woods, and makes a sibilous grasshopper-like noise, now and then, at short intervals, shivering a little with its wings when it sings; and is, I make no doubt now, the *Regulus non cristatus* of Ray; which he says "*cantat voce stridulâ locustæ.*" Yet this great ornithologist never suspected that there were three species[2].

[1] Brit. Zool. edit. 1776, octavo, p. 381.

[White subsequently determined, see Letter XXV. that the bird in question was the hedge warbler; and Pennant acquiesced in, and adopted, his decision.—E. T. B.]

[2] It is curious that the clearness with which Gilbert White distinguished in this Letter the three kinds of true *Sylviæ* that were known to him, should not have led immediately to a perfect acquaintance with the

LETTER XX.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Oct. 8, 1768.

IT is, I find, in zoology as it is in botany: all nature is so full, that that district produces the greatest variety which is the most examined. Several birds, which are said to belong to the north only, are, it seems, often in the south. I have discovered this summer three species of birds with us, which writers mention as only to be seen in the northern counties[1].

The first that was brought me (on the 14th of May)

characteristic marks of these little birds. Yet it is only within these few years that they have been well understood; and it is even now occasionally necessary to insist upon the really distinctive characters between them. Nothing, however, can be more defined than the statement in the text. There are three sorts of willow wrens, the smallest of which has black legs; this is the chiff-chaff, the earliest in its arrival, the chirper of but two notes, referred to in Letter XVI., and described in a note on that letter by the Hon. and Rev. W. Herbert under the name of *Sylv. loquax:* the others have flesh-coloured legs. One of them, the largest bird of the three, has the yellow green of its upper surface more vivid than the others, and its belly of a clearer white; it haunts the tops of trees, and makes a sibilous noise, shivering with its wings, this is the *Sylv. sibilatrix*, BECHST. The remaining bird, of intermediate size, and having a joyous, easy, laughing note, is the *Sylv. Trochilus*, LATH. The distinctions are thoroughly intelligible. Arranged in a tabular form they would stand thus,

Sylviæ.

Legs black . . . . . *Sylv. loquax.*
—— flesh-coloured,
Belly yellowish . . *Sylv. Trochilus.*
——- white . . . . *Sylv. sibilatrix.*

E. T. B.

[1] There is nothing highly remarkable in the occurrence of these birds in southern counties. The sandpiper is disposed to breed in any part of England, where it can be free from disturbance. The red-backed butcher bird belongs rather to the south, and is scarcely ever met with in the north. And the ring-ousel is in Hampshire a bird of passage, crossing that county in the spring and autumn, in its way to and from its breeding places in the rocky districts of the north and west.—E. T. B.

was the sandpiper (*Tringa Hypoleucos*[2]): it was a cock bird, and haunted the banks of some ponds near the village; and, as it had a companion, doubtless intended to have bred near that water. Besides, the owner has told me since, that, on recollection, he has seen some of the same birds round his ponds in former summers.

The next bird that I procured (on the 21st of May) was a male red-backed butcher-bird (*Lanius Collurio*). My neighbour, who shot it, says that it might easily have escaped his notice, had not the outcries and chatterings of the whitethroats and other small birds drawn his attention to the bush where it was: its craw was filled with the legs and wings of beetles[3].

The next rare birds (which were procured for me last week) were some ring-ousels (*Turdi torquati*).

This week twelve months a gentleman from London, being with us, was amusing himself with a gun, and found, he told us, on an old yew hedge where there were berries, some birds like blackbirds, with rings of white round their necks: a neighbouring farmer also at the same time observed the same; but, as no specimens were procured, little notice was taken. I mentioned this circumstance to you in my letter of November the 4th, 1767: (you however paid but small regard to what I said, as I had not seen these birds myself): but last week the aforesaid farmer, seeing a large flock, twenty or thirty, of these birds, shot two cocks and two hens: and says, on recollection, that he remembers to have

[2] [*Totanus Hypoleucos*, Temm.]

[3] The circumstance mentioned in the text of the clamour of small birds against the flusher (*Lanius Collurio*), by no means accords with my observation; for though the bird is very common in my neighbourhood, where it is called *Jack Baker*, I never remarked any small birds manifesting any hostility towards it. Captain Mitford also, an excellent observer, assured Mr. Selby that he never witnessed "any particular hostility displayed by them towards the neighbouring smaller birds; and that he has found the nest of different species (*Sylvia*, &c.) within a very short distance of that of one of these shrikes, which allowed them to bring up their young without molestation."—Rennie.

observed these birds again last spring, about Lady-day, as it were, on their return to the north. Now perhaps these ousels are not the ousels of the north of England, but belong to the more northern parts of Europe; and may retire before the excessive rigour of the frosts in those parts; and return to breed in the spring, when the cold abates. If this be the case, here is discovered a new bird of winter passage, concerning whose migrations the writers are silent: but if these birds should prove the ousels of the north of England, then here is a migration disclosed within our own kingdom never before remarked. It does not yet appear whether they retire beyond the bounds of our island to the south; but it is most probable that they usually do, or else one cannot suppose that they would have continued so long unnoticed in the southern counties. The ousel is larger than a blackbird, and feeds on haws; but last autumn (when there were no haws) it fed on yew-berries: in the spring it feeds on ivy-berries, which ripen only at that season, in March and April.

I must not omit to tell you (as you have been so lately on the study of reptiles) that my people, every now and then, of late, draw up with a bucket of water from my well, which is sixty-three feet deep, a large black warty lizard with a fin-tail and yellow belly[4]. How they first came down at that depth, and how they were ever to have got out thence without help, is more than I am able to say.

My thanks are due to you for your trouble and care in the examination of a buck's head. As far as your discoveries reach at present, they seem much to corroborate my suspicions; and I hope Mr. —— may find reason to give his decision in my favour; and then, I think, we may advance this extraordinary provision of nature as a new instance of the wisdom of God in the creation.

[4] The black warty lizard is *Triton palustris;* and the dorsal expansion proves that the individuals in question were males.—T. B.

As yet I have not quite done with my history of the *Œdicnemus*, or stone-curlew; for I shall desire a gentleman in Sussex (near whose house these birds congregate in vast flocks in the autumn) to observe nicely when they leave him (if they do leave him), and when they return again in the spring: I was with this gentleman lately, and saw several single birds[5].

LETTER XXI.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Nov. 28, 1768.

WITH regard to the *Œdicnemus*, or stone-curlew, I intend to write very soon to my friend near Chichester, in whose neighbourhood these birds seem most to abound; and shall urge him to take particular notice when they begin to congregate, and afterward to watch them most narrowly whether they do not withdraw themselves during the dead of the winter. When I have obtained information with respect to this circumstance, I shall have finished my history of the stone-curlew; which I hope will prove to your satisfaction, as it will be, I trust, very near the truth. This gentleman, as he occupies a large farm of his own, and is abroad early and late, will be a very proper spy upon the motions of these birds: and besides, as I have prevailed on him to buy the Naturalist's Journal (with which he is much delighted), I shall expect that he will be very exact in his dates[1]. It is very extraordinary,

[5] [See Letter XXXIII.]

[1] The Naturalist's Journal. Printed for W. Sandby, Fleet Street, London; 1767. Price one shilling and sixpence. Such is the title of the work commended in the text; and recommended publicly and strongly by Pennant, nearly at the same time, to general use. The reader of the Natural History of Selborne owes much to it. The habit of recording daily not only fixes correctly the time of each occurrence, but creates the desire to have somewhat to record: there is consequently a stimulus to

as you observe, that a bird so common with us should never straggle to you[2].

And here will be the properest place to mention, while I think of it, an anecdote which the above mentioned gentleman told me when I was last at his house; which was that, in a warren joining to his outlet, many daws *(Corvi Monedulæ)* build every year in the rabbit burrows under ground. The way he and his brothers used to take their nests, while they were boys, was by listening at the mouths of the holes; and, if they heard the young ones cry, they twisted the nest out with a forked stick. Some waterfowls (viz. the puffins) breed, I know, in that manner; but I should never have suspected the daws of building in holes on the flat ground.

the acquisition of facts, and an extrinsic value superadded to those facts by the regularity with which they are noted. The Naturalist's Calendar, which forms part of the contents of this volume, is a digest of the daily entries made by Gilbert White, during a quarter of a century, in the form of natural history book keeping, as it may be called, which was published by Sandby. He entered in it also many casual observations of various interest: for it was always at hand, and formed really his day-book. It is chiefly from these entries that his Observations on various branches of Natural History, which succeed the Natural History of Selborne, were derived.—E. T. B.

[2] Mr. White considers it very extraordinary that a bird so common in his vicinity, as the *Charadrius Œdicnemus (Œdicnemus crepitans*, Temm.) should never straggle into the neighbourhood of his friend. My observation is, that it is found only on chalk. I used to find it and its two eggs on the bare ground in September, at Highclere, in Hampshire, but only where there was a chalk subsoil. It never strayed to the sand or gravel, and consequently was not upon the heaths; but in the chalky turnip fields. Temminck says it is found on high sandy uncultivated tracts and heaths far from water. I have found it only on chalk and ploughed land. I have seen it on the chalk district in Kent. I have never seen it in Yorkshire, nor in the vicinity of the moors, where it should be found if Temminck's account were true. I do not believe that it ever lays an egg upon sand as he states. The dotterell *(Charadrius Morinellus)* also is peculiar to dry chalk districts, and feeds chiefly on small green beetles; but Temminck most erroneously states that it lives in desert miry places, *lieux déserts et fangeux*. He should have said dry sheep walks. It is probable that the insects to which this species is partial reside only in the chalk districts, but they may possibly thrive on a different subsoil in the South of Europe, though I am very little disposed to believe it.—W. H.

Another very unlikely spot is made use of by daws as a place to breed in, and that is Stonehenge. These birds deposit their nests in the interstices between the upright and the impost stones of that amazing work of antiquity: which circumstance alone speaks the prodigious height of the upright stones, that they should be tall enough to secure those nests from the annoyance of shepherd boys, who are always idling round that place.

One of my neighbours last Saturday, November the 26th, saw a martin in a sheltered bottom: the sun shone warm, and the bird was hawking briskly after flies. I am now perfectly satisfied that they do not all leave this island in the winter.

You judge very right, I think, in speaking with reserve and caution concerning the cures done by toads: for, let people advance what they will on such subjects, yet there is such a propensity in mankind towards deceiving and being deceived, that one cannot safely relate any thing from common report, especially in print, without expressing some degree of doubt and suspicion.

Your approbation, with regard to my new discovery of the migration of the ring-ousel, gives me satisfaction; and I find you concur with me in suspecting that they are foreign birds which visit us. You will be sure, I hope, not to omit to make inquiry whether your ring-ousels leave your rocks in the autumn. What puzzles me most, is the very short stay they make with us; for in about three weeks they are all gone. I shall be very curious to remark whether they will call on us at their return in the spring, as they did last year.

I want to be better informed with regard to ichthyology[3]. If fortune had settled me near the seaside, or

[3] At the time when White's remark was made, Pennant had in preparation the third volume of his British Zoology, which was intended to supply the want that he, in common with others, felt; it was published in the following year, and was well adapted to fulfil the object had in view. In

near some great river, my natural propensity would soon have urged me to have made myself acquainted with their productions: but as I have lived mostly in inland parts, and in an upland district, my knowledge of fishes extends little farther than to those common sorts which our brooks and lakes produce.

I am, &c.

the subsequent editions improvements were introduced, and especially in that of 1812; the editor of which, Mr. Hanmer it is believed, revised it with so much care as to render it almost a new work. Until very recently the third volume of the British Zoology has been the most generally available authority on our native fishes; for the work of Donovan, consisting of highly finished coloured figures, is by far too expensive for general use.

But the system adopted by Pennant (and by Donovan also) was that of Linnæus, the groups of which are too comprehensive to be suitable to the prevailing taste among zoologists for minute analysis of animal forms; and his work, although still valuable as regards species, had become altogether unsatisfactory with respect to genera and to arrangement. Many and important additions had also been made, by observers on various parts of the coast, to this department of our Fauna; and more defined views had been obtained as to those fishes which inhabit the fresh waters of Britain. It had consequently become as desirable in 1835 as it was in 1768, that the student should be better informed with regard to ichthyology; and my friend Mr. Yarrell, of whose extensive knowledge in this as in many other branches of zoology it is unnecessary to speak, has taken up the subject with that steady clearness which belongs to him, and will, before this sheet appears, have completed a History of British Fishes. Adopting the classification of Cuvier he has characterised in that work upwards of two hundred species, that have been taken on our coasts or in our rivers and lakes; has described them fully; and has entered into some account of their natural history, so far as so difficult a subject is at present understood. His work is illustrated by numerous beautifully executed wood cuts; including representations (with only three or four exceptions) of every fish that is yet known to belong to the British Fauna.

The deserved success of Mr. Yarrell's Fishes, it may be remarked, has caused it to be regarded, in some measure, as the commencement of a series of works on the British Fauna, to be executed in the same style with it. Mr. Bell has undertaken the second of these works, the British Quadrupeds, liberally illustrated, like the Fishes, with wood cuts, and promising to be a fit companion to that useful as well as handsome book. —E. T. B.

LETTER XXII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Jan. 2, 1769.

As to the peculiarity of jackdaws building with us under the ground in rabbit-burrows, you have, in part, hit upon the reason; for, in reality, there are hardly any towers or steeples in all this country. And perhaps, Norfolk excepted, Hampshire and Sussex are as meanly furnished with churches as almost any counties in the kingdom. We have many livings of two or three hundred pounds a year whose houses of worship make little better appearance than dove-cots. When I first saw Northamptonshire, Cambridgeshire, and Huntingdonshire, and the fens of Lincolnshire, I was amazed at the number of spires which presented themselves in every point of view. As an admirer of prospects, I have reason to lament this want in my own country; for such objects are very necessary ingredients in an elegant landscape.

What you mention with respect to reclaimed toads raises my curiosity. An ancient author, though no naturalist, has well remarked that "Every kind of beasts, and of birds, and of serpents, and things in the sea, is tamed, and hath been tamed, of mankind[1]."

It is a satisfaction to me to find that a green lizard has actually been procured for you in Devonshire; because it corroborates my discovery, which I made many years ago, of the same sort, on a sunny sandbank near Farnham, in Surrey. I am well acquainted with the south hams of Devonshire; and can suppose that district, from its southerly situation, to be a proper habitation for such animals in their best colours[2].

[1] James, iii. 7.

[2] These were probably unusually bright and large individuals of *Lacerta stirpium*, now ascertained to be indigenous to this country. See Jenyns, Brit. Vert. p. 291.—T. B.

Since the ring-ousels of your vast mountains do certainly not forsake them against winter, our suspicions that those which visit this neighbourhood about Michaelmas are not English birds, but driven from the more northern parts of Europe by the frosts, are still more reasonable; and it will be worth your pains to endeavour to trace from whence they come, and to inquire why they make so very short a stay.

In your account of your error with regard to the two species of herons, you incidentally gave me great entertainment in your description of the heronry at Cressi Hall; which is a curiosity I never could manage to see. Fourscore nests of such a bird on one tree is a rarity which I would ride half as many miles to have a sight of. Pray be sure to tell me in your next whose seat Cressi Hall is, and near what town it lies[3]. I have often thought that those vast extents of fens have never been sufficiently explored. If half a dozen gentlemen, furnished with a good strength of water-spaniels, were to beat them over for a week, they would certainly find more species.

[3] Cressi Hall is near Spalding, in Lincolnshire.

[Cressi Hall was the seat of a branch of the very ancient family of Heron. Its position in the fens was less suited to the human race than to the birds who were probably encouraged there for namesake, with a feeling similar to that which actuated the town of Berne, during the time that it deemed it important to be always possessed of bears. The owner, at the period when Pennant visited it, left it away from the Lincolnshire branch of the family to a branch which had long been settled in Scotland; and about forty years ago the property was sold. The house was soon afterwards burnt down by accident. It was a large modern house, with a small chapel attached to it; but was not of sufficient consequence to attract much notice: the arms of the family were carved in stone upon the front of the chapel. The motto probably was also there, connecting, like the heronry, the bird with the family that bears its name, and punningly declaring, in the words *ardua petit ardea*, the soaring propensities of both.

Sir Robert Heron informs me that about sixty years ago, which must have been almost immediately after Pennant's visit, the trees of the heronry were cut down. When Sir Robert visited the place forty-eight years ago there were still many disconsolate herons about, mourning the desolation of their city. In the year 1819, when he was again in the neighbourhood, he saw none of the race remaining.—E. T. B.]

There is no bird, I believe, whose manners I have studied more than those of the *Caprimulgus* (the goat-sucker), as it is a wonderful and curious creature: but I have always found that though sometimes it may chatter as it flies, as I know it does, yet in general it utters its jarring note sitting on a bough: and I have for many a half hour watched it as it sat with its under mandible quivering, and particularly this summer. It perches usually on a bare twig, with its head lower than its tail, in an attitude well expressed by your draughtsman in the folio British Zoology. This bird is most punctual in beginning its song exactly at the close of day; so exactly that I have known it strike up more than once or twice just at the report of the Portsmouth evening gun, which we can hear when the weather is still. It appears to me past all doubt that its notes are formed by organic impulse, by the powers of the parts of its windpipe, formed for sound, just as cats purr. You will credit me, I hope, when I assure you that, as my neighbours were assembled in an hermitage on the side of a steep hill where we drink tea[4], one of these churn-owls came and settled on the cross of that little straw edifice and began to chatter, and continued his note for many minutes; and we were all struck with wonder to find that the organs of that little animal, when put in motion, gave a sensible vibration to the whole building! This bird also sometimes makes a small squeak, repeated four or five times; and I have observed that to happen when the cock has been pursuing the hen in a toying way through the boughs of a tree.

It would not be at all strange if your bat, which you have procured, should prove a new one, since five species have been found in the neighbouring kingdom. The great sort that I mentioned is certainly a nondescript: I saw but one this summer, and that I had no opportunity of taking.

[4] See the Vignette to this book.

Your account of the Indian grass was entertaining. I am no angler myself; but inquiring of those that are, what they supposed that part of their tackle to be made of? they replied, "Of the intestines of a silk-worm."

Though I must not pretend to great skill in entomology, yet I cannot say that I am ignorant of that kind of knowledge: I may now and then perhaps be able to furnish you with a little information.

The vast rains ceased with us much about the same time as with you, and since we have had delicate weather. Mr. Barker, who has measured the rain for more than thirty years, says, in a late letter, that more has fallen this year than in any he ever attended to; though, from July, 1763, to January, 1764, more fell than in any seven months of this year.

LETTER XXIII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Feb. 28, 1769.

It is not improbable that the Guernsey lizard and our green lizards may be specifically the same; all that I know is, that, when some years ago many Guernsey lizards were turned loose in Pembroke College garden, in the University of Oxford, they lived a great while, and seemed to enjoy themselves very well, but never bred. Whether this circumstance will prove any thing either way I shall not pretend to say.

I return you thanks for your account of Cressi Hall; but recollect, not without regret, that in June, 1746, I was visiting for a week together at Spalding, without ever being told that such a curiosity was just at hand. Pray send me word in your next what sort of tree it is that contains such a quantity of herons' nests; and

whether the heronry consist of a whole grove or wood, or only of a few trees.

It gave me satisfaction to find we accorded so well about the *Caprimulgus:* all I contended for was to prove that it often chatters sitting as well as flying; and therefore the noise was voluntary, and from organic impulse, and not from the resistance of the air against the hollow of its mouth and throat.

If ever I saw any thing like actual migration, it was last Michaelmas-day. I was travelling, and out early in the morning: at first there was a vast fog; but by the time that I was got seven or eight miles from home towards the coast, the sun broke out into a delicate warm day. We were then on a large heath or common, and I could discern, as the mist began to break away, great numbers of swallows *(Hirundines rusticæ)* clustering on the stunted shrubs and bushes, as if they had roosted there all night. As soon as the air became clear and pleasant they all were on the wing at once; and, by a placid and easy flight, proceeded on southward towards the sea: after this I did not see any more flocks, only now and then a straggler.

I cannot agree with those persons that assert that the swallow kind disappear some and some gradually, as they come, for the bulk of them seem to withdraw at once: only some stragglers stay behind a long while, and do never, there is the greatest reason to believe, leave this island. Swallows seem to lay themselves up, and to come forth in a warm day, as bats do continually of a warm evening, after they have disappeared for weeks. For a very respectable gentleman assured me that, as he was walking with some friends under Merton Hall on a remarkably hot noon, either in the last week in December or the first week in January, he espied three or four swallows huddled together on the moulding of one of the windows of that college. I have frequently remarked that swallows are seen later at

Oxford than elsewhere: is it owing to the vast massy buildings of that place, to the many waters round it, or to what else?

When I used to rise in a morning last autumn, and see the swallows and martins clustering on the chimneys and thatch of the neighbouring cottages, I could not help being touched with a secret delight, mixed with some degree of mortification: with delight to observe with how much ardour and punctuality those poor little birds obeyed the strong impulse towards migration, or hiding, imprinted on their minds by their great Creator; and with some degree of mortification, when I reflected that, after all our pains and inquiries, we are yet not quite certain to what regions they do migrate; and are still farther embarrassed to find that some do not actually migrate at all.

These reflections made so strong an impression on my imagination, that they became productive of a composition that may perhaps amuse you for a quarter of an hour when next I have the honour of writing to you.

LETTER XXIV.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, May 29, 1769.

THE *Scarabæus Fullo*[1] I know very well, having seen it in collections; but have never been able to discover

MELOLONTHA FULLO.

[1] [*Melolontha Fullo*, FABR.]

one wild in its natural state. Mr. Banks told me he thought it might be found on the seacoast[2].

On the 13th of April, I went to the sheep-down, where the ring-ousels have been observed to make their appearance at spring and fall, in their way perhaps to the north or south; and was much pleased to see three birds about the usual spot. We shot a cock and a hen; they were plump and in high condition. The hen had but very small rudiments of eggs within her, which proves they are late breeders; whereas those species of the thrush kind that remain with us the whole year have fledged young before that time. In their crops was nothing very distinguishable, but somewhat that seemed like blades of vegetables nearly digested. In autumn they feed on haws and yew-berries, and in the spring on ivy-berries. I dressed one of these birds, and found it juicy and well flavoured. It is remarkable, that they make but a few days stay in their spring visit, but rest near a fortnight at Michaelmas. These birds, from the observations of three springs and two autumns, are most punctual in their return; and exhibit a new migration unnoticed by the writers who supposed they never were to be seen in any of the southern counties.

One of my neighbours lately brought me a new *Salicaria*, which, at first, I suspected might have proved your willow lark[3], but on a nicer examination, it answered much better to the description of that species which you shot at Revesby, in Lincolnshire[4]. My bird

[2] All the specimens of this noble chafer that have yet been captured in England, and they are very far from numerous, have occurred on the coast of Kent. Dover seems to be the middle point of their range, from which they extend westward as far as Hythe, and northward to Sandwich. Mr. Stephens has recorded the capture, by a lady, of no less than eight specimens in one year, in the neighbourhood of Sandwich; a number probably nearly equal to all the others that are known to have been at any time taken in this country.—E. T. B.

[3] For this *Salicaria* see Letter, August 30, 1769. [XXV.]

[4] The seat of Sir Joseph Banks, at which Pennant remained on a visit in May, 1767.—E. T. B.

I describe thus: "It is a size less than the grasshopper lark; the head, back, and coverts of the wings, of a dusky brown, without those dark spots of the grasshopper lark; over each eye is a milkwhite stroke; the chin and throat are white, and the under parts of a yellowish white; the rump is tawny, and the feathers of the tail sharp pointed; the bill is dusky and sharp, and the legs are dusky; the hinder claw long and crooked." The person that shot it says that it sung so like a reed sparrow[5] that he took it for one; and that it sings all night: but this account merits farther inquiry. For my part, I suspect it is a second sort of *Locustella*, hinted at by Dr. Derham in Ray's Letters: see p. 108. He also procured me a grasshopper lark.

[5] This is an error which runs through most of our books of ornithology. The reed bunting, commonly called the reed sparrow, has no song. Like its congeners, in this country, it has only a monotonous cry. The bird above mentioned, *Salicaria Phragmitis*, or sedge warbler, is perpetually singing by night, if disturbed, as well as by day, and the reed bunting has often got the credit of its song. The sedge warbler is very abundant at Spofforth, but I have never discovered the reed warbler, its near congener, here. Bewick has confounded these two species, and has given a plate and description of the sedge warbler, under the name of the reed warbler, which last has not been observed north of the Trent. The reed warbler is of a uniform reddish brown with a little olive cast on the upper parts, and whitish on the belly; the sedge warbler has a light stripe over the eye, and the middle of each feather, on the upper parts, dashed with very dark brown. I have found its nest on the ground in a tuft of rushes, in long grasses and herbs, being made fast to their stalks, in a dead hedge, but most frequently in thorn fences, and low bushes, and willows, often in the currant bushes in gardens near a wet ditch or stream. The reed wren builds in general higher, sometimes in a poplar tree, often in the tall lilacs in the Regent's Park: our books mostly state willows, and that it builds in the reeds, but it often prefers a tall bush or a small tree if there be one in the neighbourhood. Its bill is stronger than that of the sedge warbler, and it seems to be less patient of cold. Its nest is deeper. The song of individuals of the two species is very similar, and cannot easily be distinguished. Mr. White calls the sedge warbler a delicate polyglott; and speaks of its song as very superior to that of the whitethroat, in which I can by no means agree with him. Its notes are very hurried, some parts of its song are good, but others singularly harsh and disagreeable. They are greedy birds, and in confinement are apt to die from excessive fat; becoming so unwieldy as to hurt and bruise themselves by tumbling down.—W. H.

The question that you put with regard to those genera of animals that are peculiar to America, viz. how they came there, and whence? is too puzzling for me to answer; and yet so obvious as often to have struck me with wonder. If one looks into the writers on that subject, little satisfaction is to be found. Ingenious men will readily advance plausible arguments to support whatever theory they shall choose to maintain; but then the misfortune is, every one's hypothesis is each as good as another's, since they are all founded on conjecture. The late writers of this sort, in whom may be seen all the arguments of those that have gone before, as I remember, stock America from the western coast of Africa, and the south of Europe; and then break down the isthmus that bridged over the Atlantic. But this is making use of a violent piece of machinery: it is a difficulty worthy of the interposition of a god! "*Incredulus odi.*"

TO THOMAS PENNANT, ESQUIRE.

THE

NATURALISTS' SUMMER EVENING WALK.

—— equidem credo, quia sit divinitùs illis
Ingenium. VIRG. GEORG.

WHEN day declining sheds a milder gleam,
What time the May-fly[6] haunts the pool or stream;
When the still owl skims round the grassy mead,
What time the timorous hare limps forth to feed;

[6] The angler's May-fly, the *Ephemera vulgata*, LINN. comes forth from its aurelia state, and emerges out of the water, about six in the evening, and dies about eleven at night, determining the date of its fly state in about five or six hours. They usually begin to appear about the 4th of June, and continue in succession for near a fortnight. See Swammerdam, Derham, Scopoli, &c.

Then be the time to steal adown the vale,
And listen to the vagrant cuckoo's[7] tale;
To hear the clamorous curlew[8] call his mate,
Or the soft quail his tender pain relate;
To see the swallow sweep the darkening plain
Belated, to support her infant train;
To mark the swift in rapid giddy ring
Dash round the steeple, unsubdued of wing:
Amusive birds! say where your hid retreat
When the frost rages and the tempests beat;
Whence your return, by such nice instinct led,
When spring, soft season, lifts her bloomy head?
Such baffled searches mock man's prying pride,
The GOD of NATURE is your secret guide!

While deepening shades obscure the face of day
To yonder bench leaf-shelter'd let us stray,
Till blended objects fail the swimming sight,
And all the fading landscape sinks in night;
To hear the drowsy dorr come brushing by
With buzzing wing, or the shrill cricket[9] cry;
To see the feeding bat glance through the wood;
To catch the distant falling of the flood;
While o'er the cliff the' awaken'd churn-owl hung
Through the still gloom protracts his chattering song;
While high in air, and poised upon his wings,
Unseen, the soft enamour'd woodlark[10] sings:
These, NATURE'S works, the curious mind employ,
Inspire a soothing melancholy joy:
As fancy warms, a pleasing kind of pain
Steals o'er the cheek, and thrills the creeping vein!

Each rural sight, each sound, each smell, combine;
The tinkling sheep-bell, or the breath of kine;
The new-mown hay that scents the swelling breeze,
Or cottage chimney smoking through the trees.

[7] Vagrant cuckoo; so called because, being tied down by no incubation or attendance about the nutrition of its young, it wanders without control.

[8] *Charadrius Œdicnemus.*

[9] *Gryllus campestris.*

[10] In hot summer nights woodlarks soar to a prodigious height, and hang singing in the air.

The chilling night dews fall:—away, retire;
For see, the glow-worm lights her amorous fire [11]!
Thus, ere night's veil had half obscured the sky,
The' impatient damsel hung her lamp on high:
True to the signal, by love's meteor led,
Leander hasten'd to his Hero's bed [12].

I am, &c.

LETTER XXV.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Aug. 30, 1769.

IT gives me satisfaction to find that my account of the ousel migration pleases you. You put a very shrewd question when you ask me how I know that their autumnal migration is southward? Were not candour and openness the very life of natural history, I should pass over this query just as a sly commentator does over a crabbed passage in a classic; but common ingenuousness obliges me to confess, not without some degree of shame, that I only reasoned in that case from analogy. For as all other autumnal birds migrate from the northward to us, to partake of our milder winters, and return to the northward again when the rigorous cold abates, so I concluded that the ring-ousels did the same, as well as their congeners the fieldfares; and especially as ring-ousels are known to haunt cold mountainous countries: but I have good reason to suspect since, that they may come to us from the westward; because I hear, from very good authority, that

[11] The light of the female glow-worm (as she often crawls up the stalk of a grass to make herself more conspicuous) is a signal to the male, which is a slender dusky *Scarabæus*.

[I have proved by experiment, that this opinion is incorrect, or at least extremely doubtful. See *Insect Miscellanies*, pp. 222—6.—RENNIE.]

[12] See the story of Hero and Leander.

they breed on Dartmoor; and that they forsake that wild district about the time that our visitors appear, and do not return till late in the spring.

I have taken a great deal of pains about your *Salicaria* and mine, with a white stroke over its eye and a tawny rump. I have surveyed it alive and dead, and have procured several specimens; and am perfectly persuaded myself (and trust you will soon be convinced of the same) that it is no more nor less than the *Passer arundinaceus minor* of Ray. This bird, by some means or other, seems to be entirely omitted in the British Zoology; and one reason probably was because it is so strangely classed in Ray, who ranges it among his *Picis affines*. It ought no doubt to have gone among his *Aviculæ caudâ unicolore*, and among your slender-billed small birds of the same division. Linnæus might with great propriety have put it into his genus of *Motacilla;* and the *Motacilla Salicaria* of his *Fauna Suecica* seems to come the nearest to it. It is no uncommon bird, haunting the sides of ponds and rivers where there is covert, and the reeds and sedges of moors. The country people in some places call it the sedge bird. It sings incessantly night and day during the breeding time, imitating the note of a sparrow, a swallow, a skylark; and has a strange hurrying manner in its song. My specimens correspond most minutely to the description of your *fen Salicaria* shot near Revesby. Mr. Ray has given an excellent characteristic of it when he says, "*Rostrum et pedes in hâc aviculâ multò majores sunt quàm pro corporis ratione.*" (See Letter, May 29, 1769 [XXIV.])

I have got you the egg of an *Œdicnemus*, or stone-curlew, which was picked up in a fallow on the naked ground: there were two; but the finder inadvertently crushed one with his foot before he saw them.

When I wrote to you last year on reptiles, I wish I had not forgot to mention the faculty that snakes have of stinking *se defendendo*. I knew a gentleman who

kept a tame snake, which was in its person as sweet as any animal while in good humour and unalarmed; but as soon as a stranger, or a dog or cat, came in, it fell to hissing, and filled the room with such nauseous effluvia as rendered it hardly supportable[1]. Thus the squnck, or stonck, of Ray's Synopsis Quadrupedum is an innocuous and sweet animal; but, when pressed hard by dogs and men, it can eject such a most pestilent and fetid smell and excrement that nothing can be more horrible.

A gentleman sent me lately a fine specimen of the *Lanius minor cinerascens cum maculâ in scapulis albâ*, RAII[2]; which is a bird that, at the time of your publishing your two first volumes of British Zoology, I find you had not seen. You have described it well from Edwards's drawing.

LETTER XXVI.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Dec. 8, 1769.

I WAS much gratified by your communicative letter on your return from Scotland, where you spent, I find, some considerable time, and gave yourself good room to examine the natural curiosities of that extensive kingdom, both those of the islands, as well as those of the highlands. The usual bane of such expeditions is hurry; because men seldom allot themselves half the time they should do; but, fixing on a day for their return, post from place to place, rather as if they were on a journey that required dispatch, than as philosophers investigating the works of nature. You must have

[1] I have had tame snakes which were almost inodorous under ordinary circumstances, but which became exceedingly offensive when alarmed or irritated.—T. B.

[2] [*Lanius rufus*, BRISS.]

made, no doubt, many discoveries, and laid up a good fund of materials for a future edition of the British Zoology; and will have no reason to repent that you have bestowed so much pains on a part of Great Britain that perhaps was never so well examined before.

It has always been matter of wonder to me that fieldfares, which are so congenerous to thrushes and blackbirds, should never choose to breed in England: but that they should not think even the highlands cold and northerly, and sequestered enough, is a circumstance still more strange and wonderful. The ring-ousel, you find, stays in Scotland the whole year round; so that we have reason to conclude that those migrators that visit us for a short space every autumn do not come from thence.

And here, I think, will be the proper place to mention that those birds were most punctual again in their migration this autumn, appearing, as before, about the 30th of September: but their flocks were larger than common, and their stay protracted somewhat beyond the usual time. If they came to spend the whole winter with us, as some of their congeners do, and then left us, as they do, in spring, I should not be so much struck with the occurrence, since it would be similar to that of the other winter birds of passage; but when I see them for a fortnight at Michaelmas, and again for about a week in the middle of April, I am seized with wonder, and long to be informed whence these travellers come, and whither they go, since they seem to use our hills merely as an inn or baiting place.

Your account of the greater brambling, or snow-fleck, is very amusing; and strange it is, that such a short-winged bird should delight in such perilous voyages over the northern ocean! Some country people in the winter time have every now and then told me that they have seen two or three white larks on our downs; but, on considering the matter, I begin to suspect that these are some stragglers of the birds we are talking of,

which sometimes perhaps may rove so far to the southward.

It pleases me to find that white hares are so frequent on the Scottish mountains, and especially as you inform me that it is a distinct species; for the quadrupeds of Britain are so few, that every new species is a great acquisition [1].

The eagle-owl, could it be proved to belong to us, is

THE EAGLE OWL.

[1] It has lately been ascertained that Ireland has also its peculiar hare, which is apt to become white in winter when kept in parks or other enclosures. Specimens of this animal have been repeatedly exhibited in the Zoological Society's Gardens; where its different appearance from that of the English hare has often induced visitors to remark on it as a "curious rabbit." Its head, as pointed out by Mr. Yarrell at a Meeting of the Society in 1833, is shorter and more rounded than that of the common hare; its ears are proportionally, as well as absolutely, shorter, not equalling the head in length; and its limbs are less lengthened. Its

so majestic a bird, that it would grace our *Fauna* much[2].

I never was informed before where wild geese are known to breed.

You admit, I find, that I have proved your *fen Salicaria* to be the lesser reed sparrow of Ray: and I think you may be secure that I am right; for I took very particular pains to clear up that matter, and had some fair specimens; but, as they were not well preserved, they are decayed already. You will, no doubt, insert it in its proper place in your next edition. Your additional plates will much improve your work.

De Buffon, I know, has described the water shrew-mouse: but still I am pleased to find you have discovered it in Lincolnshire, for the reason I have given in the article of the white hare.

As a neighbour was lately ploughing in a dry chalky field, far removed from any water, he turned out a water rat, that was curiously laid up in an hybernaculum artificially formed of grass and leaves. At one end of the burrow lay above a gallon of potatoes regularly stowed, on which it was to have supported itself for the winter. But the difficulty with me is how this *amphibius mus* came to fix its winter station at such a distance from the water. Was it determined in its choice of that place by the mere accident of finding the potatoes which were planted there; or is it the constant practice of the aquatic rat to forsake the neighbourhood of the water in the colder months?

Though I delight very little in analogous reasoning, knowing how fallacious it is with respect to natural history; yet, in the following instance, I cannot help being inclined to think it may conduce towards the

fur consists of only one kind of hair, and is useless as an article of commerce: a test which affords strong evidence of the distinction of the Irish from the English hare.—E. T. B.

[2] The eagle owl (*Bubo maximus*, GER.) has been shot in Yorkshire and Suffolk, as well as in Scotland.—*Montagu, Orn. Dict.*

explanation of a difficulty that I have mentioned before, with respect to the invariable early retreat of the *Hirundo Apus*, or swift, so many weeks before its congeners; and that not only with us, but also in Andalusia, where they also begin to retire about the beginning of August.

The great large bat[3] (which by the by is at present a nondescript in England, and what I have never been able yet to procure) retires or migrates very early in the summer: it also ranges very high for its food, feeding in a different region of the air; and that is the reason I never could procure one[4]. Now this is exactly the case with the swifts; for they take their food in a more exalted region than the other species, and are very seldom seen hawking for flies near the ground, or over the surface of the water. From hence I would conclude that these *Hirundines*, and the larger bats, are supported by some sorts of highflying gnats, scarabs, or *Phalænæ*, that are of short continuance; and that the short stay of these strangers is regulated by the defect of their food.

By my journal it appears that curlews clamoured on to October the thirty-first: since which I have not seen or heard any. Swallows were observed on to November the third.

[3] The little bat appears almost every month in the year; but I have never seen the large ones till the end of April, nor after July. They are most common in June, but never in any plenty: are a rare species with us.

[4] *Vespertilio Noctula* certainly winters in England. I once procured some in a torpid state in February. It flies high in the early part of the evening; but descends, as the night closes in, towards the surface of waters to procure its food.—G. D.

LETTER XXVII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Feb. 22, 1770.

HEDGEHOGS abound in my gardens and fields. The manner in which they eat the roots of the plantain in my grass walks is very curious: with their upper mandible, which is much longer than their lower, they bore under the plant, and so eat the root off upwards, leaving the tuft of leaves untouched. In this respect they are serviceable, as they destroy a very troublesome weed; but they deface the walks in some measure by digging little round holes. It appears, by the dung that they drop upon the turf, that beetles are no inconsiderable part of their food [1]. In June last I procured a litter of four or five young hedgehogs, which appeared to be

[1] Hedgehogs have now become so well known in the metropolis on account of their insectivorous propensities, that they are offered for sale at those markets which are supplied by the country people with vegetables. The lower parts of many of the houses in London are overrun by black beetles to such an extent as to render it necessary to apply some means of diminishing the numbers of these disagreeable intruders; and among the modes that have been resorted to for the purpose of destroying them, the introduction of a hedgehog into the kitchen is one of the most effectual. For the support of the animal, in addition to the beetles which it devours, a little bread and milk is requisite; and it is very fond of picking bones. In such circumstances a hedgehog has occasionally become in some degree domesticated; and its familiarity has been carried to the extent of allowing itself to be handled, especially by children, and to be lifted from the ground by its spines, without attempting to coil itself up into its usual ball-like posture of defence: a form which it would immediately assume when touched by a stranger. It would run too after its little playmates; and when excluded from the room in which they were, would scratch at the door as if to ask admittance among them. In the instance especially referred to the little creature was on one occasion missing for six weeks; and, on recovering from its long nap, resumed at once its accustomed habits, the usual scratching at the door being the first notice given of the return of the long lost pet. Eventually it was excluded altogether from society, and was closely confined; when it refused its food and died.—E. T. B.

about five or six days old: they, I find, like puppies, are born blind, and could not see when they came to my hands. No doubt their spines are soft and flexible at the time of their birth, or else the poor dam would have but a bad time of it in the critical moment of parturition: but it is plain that they soon harden; for these little pigs had such stiff prickles on their backs and sides as would easily have fetched blood, had they not been handled with caution. Their spines are quite white at this age; and they have little hanging ears, which I do not remember to be discernible in the old ones. They can, in part, at this age draw their skin down over their faces; but are not able to contract themselves into a ball, as they do, for the sake of defence, when full grown. The reason, I suppose, is, because the curious muscle that enables the creature to roll itself up in a ball was not then arrived at its full tone and firmness[2]. Hedgehogs make a deep and warm

[2] The reason given in the text is probably the physical cause of the fact observed by White. I have witnessed the same fact in the course of this summer, in the young of a nest discovered in the Zoological Society's Gardens in the Regent's Park. There were in it five young ones, not two inches in length, and probably, at the time it was taken, not more than two or three days old. The absence of the power of contracting their skins gave to the little creatures a form very different from that of the mother, who was taken at the same time with them. If the similitude of the animal's form to that of the sea-hedgehog, indicated by the name of the latter, be borne in mind, the shape of the parent would have resembled, in its height as well as in its spiny covering, the edible sea-egg, *Echinus esculentus*, LINN.; that of the younger ones would have approached more nearly to the depressed sea-eggs of the genus *Spatangus*, KLEIN, and the white short spines borne out on their otherwise naked blue skin, were adapted to give greater force to the resemblance. The body of the parent, elevated in the back and dropping rapidly down on either side, presented a marked contrast with that of the young, flattened above and spread out on the sides: the adult might be compared to an egg; the young to the yolk of the same egg, deprived of the support of the shell, but rather more extended lengthwise than across: the shortness of the legs, in both cases, being such as scarcely to detract from the similitude. The backward direction of the spines, in the young animal, is well adapted to obviate an inconvenience hinted at by White in a preceding passage.

It is not perhaps altogether unworthy of remark that the whole of the

hybernaculum with leaves and moss, in which they conceal themselves for the winter: but I never could find that they stored in any winter provision, as some quadrupeds certainly do.

I have discovered an anecdote with respect to the fieldfare (*Turdus pilaris*), which I think is particular enough: this bird, though it sits on trees in the day-time, and procures the greatest part of its food from whitethorn hedges; yea, moreover, builds on very high trees, as may be seen by the *Fauna Suecica;* yet always appears with us to roost on the grouud. They are seen to come in flocks just before it is dark, and to settle and nestle among the heath on our forest. And besides, the larkers, in dragging their nets by night, frequently catch them in the wheat-stubbles; while the bat fowlers, who take many redwings in the hedges, never entangle any of this species. Why these birds, in the matter of roosting, should differ from all their congeners, and from themselves also with respect to their proceedings by day, is a fact for which I am by no means able to account.

I have somewhat to inform you of concerning the moose deer; but in general foreign animals fall seldom in my way: my little intelligence is confined to the narrow sphere of my own observations at home.

young ones of this nest, notwithstanding that they were immediately removed with their dam and placed in one of the ordinary cages in which the smaller mammals are kept, notwithstanding also the occasional disturbance of the family for the inspection of curious visitors, were taken care of by the mother, and three of them were living three months after their capture.

The helpless condition of the young in this instance is quite in accordance with that law of nature, by which the young of many animals, including all the mammals, are thrown for nourishment and protection on their parents. It is in accordance with this law that the hedgehog should, in the earlier period of its existence, be destitute of the means of defence with which nature has provided the adult animal, that of so contracting its body into a ball as to secure from injury all the parts which have only the ordinary covering of other quadrupeds, and of thus presenting to the attacks of its enemies nothing but an uncertain mass bristling with horrid spines.—E. T. B.

LETTER XXVIII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, March, 1770.

ON Michaelmas-day, 1768, I managed to get a sight of the female moose belonging to the duke of Richmond, at Goodwood; but was greatly disappointed, when I arrived at the spot, to find that it died, after having appeared in a languishing way for some time, on the morning before. However, understanding that it was not stripped, I proceeded to examine this rare quadruped. I found it in an old greenhouse, slung under the belly and chin by ropes, and in a standing posture; but, though it had been dead for so short a time, it was in so putrid a state that the stench was hardly supportable. The grand distinction between this deer, and any other species that I have ever met with, consisted in the strange length of its legs; on which it was tilted up much in the manner of the birds of the *Grallæ* order. I measured it, as they do a horse, and found that, from the ground to the wither, it was just five feet four inches; which height answers exactly to sixteen hands, a growth that few horses arrive at: but then, with this length of legs, its neck was remarkably short, no more than twelve inches; so that, by straddling with one foot forward, and the other backward, it grazed on the plain ground, with the greatest difficulty, between its legs: the ears were vast and lopping, and as long as the neck; the head was about twenty inches long, and ass-like; and had such a redundancy of upper lip as I never saw before, with huge nostrils. This lip, travellers say, is esteemed a dainty dish in North America. It is very reasonable to suppose that

this creature supports itself chiefly by browsing of trees, and by wading after water plants; towards which way of livelihood the length of legs and great lip must contribute much. I have read somewhere that it delights in eating the *Nymphæa*, or water lily. From the fore feet to the belly behind the shoulder it measured three feet and eight inches: the length of the legs before and behind consisted a great deal in the *tibia*, which was strangely long; but, in my haste to get out of the stench, I forgot to measure that joint exactly. Its scut seemed to be about an inch long; the colour was a grizzly black; the mane about four inches long; the fore hoofs were upright and shapely, the hind flat and splayed. The spring before it was only two years old, so that most probably it was not then come to its growth. What a vast tall beast must a full grown stag be! I have been told some arrive at ten feet and a half! This poor creature had at first a female companion of the same species, which died the spring before. In the same garden was a young stag, or red deer, between whom and this moose it was hoped that there might have been a breed; but their inequality of height must have always been a bar to this[1]. I should have been glad to have examined the teeth, tongue, lips, hoofs, &c. minutely; but the putrefaction precluded all farther curiosity. This animal, the keeper told me, seemed to enjoy itself best in the extreme frost of the former winter. In the house they showed me the horn of a male moose, which had no front-antlers, but only a broad palm with some snags on the edge. The noble owner of the dead moose proposed to make a skeleton of her bones.

[1] They belong, moreover, to very distinct sections of the great and yet undivided genus *Cervus*. Independently of the peculiarities of form in the moose, described by Gilbert White, this is also indicated by its broadly palmated horns as opposed to the rounded stem and antlers of the red deer.—E. T. B.

Please to let me hear if my female moose corresponds with that you saw; and whether you think still that the American moose and European elk are the same creature.

I am,
With the greatest esteem, &c.

LETTER XXIX.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, May 12, 1770.

LAST month we had such a series of cold turbulent weather, such a constant succession of frost, and snow, and hail, and tempest, that the regular migration or appearance of the summer birds was much interrupted. Some did not show themselves (at least were not heard) till weeks after their usual time, as the blackcap and whitethroat; and some have not been heard yet, as the grasshopper lark and largest willow wren. As to the flycatcher, I have not seen it: it is indeed one of the latest, but should appear about this time: and yet, amidst all this meteorous strife and war of the elements, two swallows discovered themselves as long ago as the 11th of April, in frost and snow; but they withdrew quickly, and were not visible again for many days. House martins, which are always more backward than swallows, were not observed till May came in.

Among the monogamous birds several are to be found, after pairing-time, single, and of each sex: but whether this state of celibacy is matter of choice or necessity, is not so easily discoverable. When the house sparrows deprive my martins of their nests, as soon as I cause one to be shot, the other, be it cock or hen, presently procures a mate, and so for several times following.

I have known a dove-house infested by a pair of white owls, which made great havock among the young pigeons: one of the owls was shot as soon as possible; but the survivor readily found a mate, and the mischief went on. After some time the new pair were both destroyed, and the annoyance ceased.

Another instance I remember of a sportsman, whose zeal for the increase of his game being greater than his humanity, after pairing-time[1] he always shot the cock bird of every couple of partridges upon his grounds; supposing that the rivalry of many males[2] interrupted the breed: he used to say, that, though he had widowed

[1] The very beautiful, one may almost say poetical way, in which the male bird procures a mate by the power of his song, may be seen in the preface to Mr. Montagu's Ornithological Dictionary, p. xxx.; from which this corollary may be inferred, that if a confined bird had learned the song of another, without retaining any part of its natural notes, and was set at liberty, it is probable, that it would never find a mate of its own.—MITFORD.

[2] Mr. Montagu has observed that some birds exert themselves in rivalry of singing, as a mode of wooing the female. I think I have some reason to believe that the cocks very much predominate in number over the females, amongst the birds which are the most ardent songsters; and the females are most numerous amongst those which have vocal powers, but are sluggish in using them. Two of the most ardent songsters we have are the nightingale and the whitethroat: the whitethroat, whose song Mr. White strangely undervalues, appears to exert itself to the utmost, and perpetually. I have found the cocks very prevalent amongst the young of these two species. Indeed of seven whitethroats reared from the nest within the last few years, the whole number proved to be cocks. A nest of nightingales which I reared contained only cocks. On the other hand, of seventeen young whin chats only three were cocks, and I think the general average is quite as much in favour of the females. The whin chat reared under other birds will learn to sing from all, but in its wild state it seldom sings continuously or with variety. The males and females seem pretty equally divided in the nests of blackcaps; and they, though perpetually singing, are lazy, and rarely exert themselves to vary their strain as much as they are able to do. The bird-catchers reckon, when they take a cock nightingale which has a mate, that if they leave the hen she will have another mate in a few days, and sometimes they take five or six successive husbands from one female. Having taken a young stone chat, and caught the old cock which belonged to the brood, I found, three days after, that the hen had provided herself with another mate, and he was just as solicitous about the young as their own father had been.—W. H.

the same hen several times, yet he found she was still provided with a fresh paramour, that did not take her away from her usual haunt.

Again: I knew a lover of setting, an old sportsman, who has often told me that soon after harvest he has frequently taken small coveys of partridges, consisting of cock birds alone; these he pleasantly used to call old bachelors.

There is a propensity belonging to common house cats that is very remarkable; I mean their violent fondness for fish, which appears to be their most favourite food: and yet nature in this instance seems to have planted in them an appetite that, unassisted, they know not how to gratify: for of all quadrupeds cats are the least disposed towards water; and will not, when they can avoid it, deign to wet a foot, much less to plunge into that element.

Quadrupeds that prey on fish are amphibious: such is the otter, which by nature is so well formed for

THE OTTER.

diving, that it makes great havock among the inhabitants of the waters. Not supposing that we had any of those beasts in our shallow brooks, I was much

pleased to see a male otter brought to me, weighing twenty-one pounds, that had been shot on the bank of our stream below the Priory, where the rivulet divides the parish of Selborne from Harteley Wood.

LETTER XXX.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Aug. 1, 1770.

THE French, I think, in general are strangely prolix in their natural history. What Linnæus says with respect to insects, holds good in every other branch: "*Verbositas præsentis sæculi, calamitas artis.*"

Pray how do you approve of Scopoli's new work? as I admire his Entomologia, I long to see it.

I forgot to mention in my last letter (and had not room to insert in the former) that the male moose, in rutting time, swims from island to island, in the lakes and rivers of North America, in pursuit of the females. My friend, the chaplain, saw one killed in the water as it was on that errand in the river St. Lawrence: it was a monstrous beast, he told me; but he did not take the dimensions.

When I was last in town, our friend Mr. Barrington most obligingly carried me to see many curious sights. As you were then writing to him about horns, he carried me to see many strange and wonderful specimens. There is, I remember, at Lord Pembroke's, at Wilton, a horn room furnished with more than thirty different pairs: but I have not seen that house lately.

Mr. Barrington showed me many astonishing collections of stuffed and living birds from all quarters of the world. After I had studied over the latter for a time, I remarked that every species almost that came from

distant regions, such as South America, the coast of Guinea, &c. were thick-billed birds of the *Loxia* and *Fringilla* genera; and no *Motacillæ* or *Muscicapæ*, were to be met with. When I came to consider, the reason was obvious enough; for the hard-billed birds subsist on seeds which are easily carried on board; while the soft-billed birds, which are supported by worms and insects, or, what is a *succedaneum* for them, fresh raw meat, can meet with neither in long and tedious voyages. It is from this defect of food that our collections (curious as they are) are defective, and we are deprived of some of the most delicate and lively genera.

I am, &c.

LETTER XXXI.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Sept. 14, 1770.

YOU saw, I find, the ring-ousels again among their native crags; and are farther assured that they continue resident in those cold regions the whole year. From whence then do our ring-ousels migrate so regularly every September, and make their appearance again, as if in their return, every April? They are more early this year than common, for some were seen at the usual hill on the fourth of this month.

An observing Devonshire gentleman tells me that they frequent some parts of Dartmoor, and breed there; but leave those haunts about the end of September or beginning of October, and return again about the end of March.

Another intelligent person assures me that they breed in great abundance all over the Peak of Derby, and are called there Tor-ousels; withdraw in October and

November, and return in spring. This information seems to throw some light on my new migration.

Scopoli's new work[1] (which I have just procured) has its merit in ascertaining many of the birds of the Tyrol and Carniola. Monographers, come from whence they may, have, I think, fair pretence to challenge some regard and approbation from the lovers of natural history; for, as no man can alone investigate all the works of nature, these partial writers may, each in their department, be more accurate in their discoveries, and freer from errors, than more general writers; and so by degrees may pave the way to a universal correct natural history. Not that Scopoli is so circumstantial and attentive to the life and conversation of his birds as I could wish: he advances some false facts; as when he says of the *Hirundo urbica* that "*pullos extra nidum non nutrit.*" This assertion I know to be wrong from repeated observation this summer; for house martins do feed their young flying, though it must be acknowledged not so commonly as the house swallow; and the feat is done in so quick a manner as not to be perceptible to indifferent observers. He also advances some (I was going to say) improbable facts; as when he says of the woodcock that "*pullos rostro portat fugiens ab hoste.*" But candour forbids me to say absolutely that any fact is false, because I have never been witness to such a fact. I have only to remark that the long unwieldy bill of the woodcock is perhaps the worst adapted of any among the winged creation for such a feat of natural affection.

I am, &c.

[1] Annus Primus Historico-Naturalis.

LETTER XXXII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Oct. 29, 1770.

AFTER an ineffectual search in Linnæus, Brisson, &c. I begin to suspect that I discern my brother's *Hirundo hyberna* in Scopoli's new discovered *Hirundo rupestris*, p. 167. His description of "*Supra murina, subtus albida; rectrices maculâ ovali albâ in latere interno; pedes nudi, nigri; rostrum nigrum; remiges obscuriores quam plumæ dorsales; rectrices remigibus concolores; cauda emarginata nec forcipata;*" agrees very well with the bird in question; but when he comes to advance that it is "*statura Hirundinis urbicæ,*" and that "*definitio Hirundinis ripariæ Linnæi huic quoque convenit,*" he in some measure invalidates all he has said; at least he shows at once that he compares them to these species merely from memory: for I have compared the birds themselves, and find they differ widely in every circumstance of shape, size, and colour. However, as you will have a specimen, I shall be glad to hear what your judgment is in the matter[1].

Whether my brother is forestalled in his nondescript or not, he will have the credit of first discovering that

[1] It seems highly probable that Gilbert White's suspicion of the identity of his brother's Gibraltar swallow with the *Hirundo rupestris* was correct: indeed, if the Gibraltar bird exhibited a white spot on the inner barb of each of the tail feathers (except the two intermediate ones), it could have been no other than the bird first characterized by Scopoli, in his Annus Primus, under the name quoted. According to M. Temminck the rock swallow is abundant along the shores of the Mediterranean; common in Savoy and in Piedmont; less numerous in Switzerland; rare in Germany; and a bird of passage in some of the southern departments of France. He states that individuals from Africa and from South America [!] scarcely differ from each other.—E. T. B.

they spend their winters under the warm and sheltery shores of Gibraltar and Barbary[2].

Scopoli's characters of his ordines and genera are clear, just, and expressive, and much in the spirit of Linnæus. These few remarks are the result of my first perusal of Scopoli's Annus Primus.

The bane of our science is the comparing one animal to the other by memory: for want of caution in this particular Scopoli falls into errors: he is not so full with regard to the manners of his indigenous birds as might be wished, as you justly observe: his Latin is easy, elegant, and expressive, and very superior to Kramer's[3].

I am pleased to see that my description of the moose corresponds so well with yours.

I am, &c.

LETTER XXXIII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Nov. 26, 1770.

I WAS much pleased to see, among the collection of birds from Gibraltar, some of those short-winged English summer birds of passage, concerning whose depar-

[2] This remark is not to be understood as limiting the residence of the rock swallow at Gibraltar to the winter only; but merely as indicating that it does not quit the neighbourhood of that place, like the other swallows, during the colder months. It is, in fact, stationary throughout the year. M. Risso states it to be stationary also in the more northern locality of Nice; where all the other swallows are, as in England, birds of passage. They arrive, he remarks, about the fifth of March, and depart about the tenth of October: a general observation which, as it is applied equally to all the species that in England differ so considerably in the length of their summer residence, would seem to indicate that M. Risso is less given to make precise entries in the Naturalist's Journal than was Gilbert White.—E. T. B.

[3] See his Elenchus vegetabilium et animalium per Austriam inferiorem, &c.

ture we have made so much inquiry. Now, if these birds are found in Andalusia to migrate to and from Barbary, it may easily be supposed that those that come to us may migrate back to the continent, and spend their winters in some of the warmer parts of Europe. This is certain, that many soft-billed birds that come to Gibraltar appear there only in spring and autumn, seeming to advance in pairs towards the northward, for the sake of breeding during the summer months; and retiring in parties and broods towards the south at the decline of the year: so that the rock of Gibraltar is the great rendezvous, and place of observation, from whence they take their departure each way towards Europe or Africa. It is therefore no mean discovery, I think, to find that our small short-winged summer birds of passage are to be seen spring and autumn on the very skirts of Europe; it is a presumptive proof of their emigrations.

Scopoli seems to me to have found the *Hirundo Melba*[1], the great Gibraltar swift, in Tyrol, without knowing it. For what is his *Hirundo alpina* but the afore-mentioned bird in other words? Says he, "*Omnia prioris*" (meaning the swift); "*sed pectus album; paulo major priore.*" I do not suppose this to be a new species. It is true also of the *Melba*, that "*nidificat in excelsis Alpium rupibus.*" Vid. Annum Primum.

My Sussex friend, a man of observation and good sense, but no naturalist, to whom I applied on account of the stone curlew *(Œdicnemus)*, sends me the following account: "In looking over my Naturalist's Journal for the month of April, I find the stone curlews are first mentioned on the 17th and 18th, which date seems to me rather late. They live with us all the spring and summer, and at the beginning of autumn prepare to

[1] *Cypselus Melba*, ILL. (*Cyps. alpinus*, TEMM.) Stragglers of this species, the large white-bellied swift, have lately occurred, in three several instances, within the range of the British Fauna.—E. T. B.

take leave by getting together in flocks. They seem to me a bird of passage that may travel into some dry hilly country south of us, probably Spain, because of the abundance of sheep-walks in that country; for they spend their summers with us in such districts. This conjecture I hazard, as I have never met with any one that has seen them in England in the winter. I believe they are not fond of going near the water, but feed on earth-worms, that are common on sheep-walks and downs. They breed on fallows and lay-fields abounding with gray mossy flints, which much resemble their young in colour; among which they skulk and conceal themselves. They make no nest, but lay their eggs on the bare ground, producing in common but two at a time. There is reason to think their young run soon after they are hatched; and that the old ones do not feed them, but only lead them about at the time of feeding, which, for the most part, is in the night." Thus far my friend.



STONE CURLEW.

In the manners of this bird you see there is some-

thing very analogous to the bustard, whom it also somewhat resembles in aspect and make, and in the structure of its feet.

For a long time I have desired my relation to look out for these birds in Andalusia; and now he writes me word that, for the first time, he saw one dead in the market on the 3rd of September.

When the *Œdicnemus* flies it stretches out its legs straight behind, like a heron.

I am, &c.

LETTER XXXIV.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, March 30, 1771.

THERE is an insect with us, especially on chalky districts, which is very troublesome and teasing all the latter end of the summer, getting into people's skins, especially those of women and children, and raising tumours which itch intolerably. This animal (which we call a harvest bug) is very minute, scarce discernible to the naked eye, of a bright scarlet colour, and of the genus of *Acarus*. They are to be met with in gardens on kidney beans, or any legumens; but prevail only in the hot months of summer. Warreners, as some have assured me, are much infested by them on chalky downs; where these insects swarm sometimes to so infinite a degree as to discolour their nets, and to give them a reddish cast, while the men are so bitten as to be thrown into fevers[1].

[1] The harvest bug, as it is termed, is a very minute mite: it has been figured by Shaw in his Naturalist's Miscellany, and also by Professor Duméril in the Atlas of the Dictionnaire des Sciences Naturelles. On account of its possessing only six legs Latreille removed it, (as well as other mites which are similarly circumstanced,) from among the great genus *Acarus* of Linnæus: in his classification it is the *Leptus autumnalis*. It seems, from the account given of it by M. Duméril, to be as

There is a small long shining fly in these parts very troublesome to the housewife, by getting into the chimneys, and laying its eggs in the bacon while it is drying: these eggs produce maggots called jumpers, which, harbouring in the gammons and best parts of the hogs, eat down to the bone, and make great waste. This fly I suspect to be a variety of the *Musca putris* of Linnæus: it is to be seen in the summer in farm-kitchens on the bacon racks, and about the mantel-pieces, and on the ceilings[2].

common in France as it is in England. In the former country it is known by the names of *rouget*, derived from its colour, and of *bête d' Août*, and *pique-Août*, indicating the season of its appearance and the annoyance produced by it. It occurs there from the middle of July to the middle of September, and is most abundant in years of great drought and heat. The root of the hairs is its favourite place of attack, and the legs are naturally most apt to suffer from a creature that makes its first approach either from the ground or from low vegetables. They travel quickly on the skin, but are often stopped in their progress upwards by garters or other ligatures. The itching occasioned by their punctures is intolerable, and the large pimples produced by them are very apt to suppurate, if irritated by the scratching which they seem designed to provoke. Concentrated spirit or strong vinegar will destroy them; but such applications ought not, of course, to be resorted to if the skin has been broken. Similar annoyances, from similar causes, and even to a much greater extent than are here produced by the harvest mite, are recorded by Messrs. Kirby and Spence as occurring in South America and the West India Islands.

It has been suggested that as many of the mites are known to have, in the early stage of their existence, six legs only, and to acquire subsequently an additional pair, the harvest mite may perhaps be merely the young condition of a true *Acarus:* but of this no evidence has yet been adduced beyond the general analogy. The danger of reasoning from analogy in natural history has been hinted at by White in Letter XXVI.; but the consideration of analogous cases may sometimes be encouraged with the view of attracting attention to points in the history of animals which might otherwise be overlooked.—E. T. B.

[2] Are not these jumpers, and the fly that is produced from them, identical with the hoppers of the cheese, the maggots which become in their final state the *Tyrophaga Casei*, HERB.? Their leaping powers are thus described by Messrs. Kirby and Spence. "These maggots have long been celebrated for their saltatorious powers. They effect their tremendous leaps—laugh not at the term, for they are truly so when compared with what human force and agility can accomplish—in nearly the same manner as salmon are stated to do when they wish to pass over a cataract,

The insect that infests turnips and many crops in the garden (destroying often whole fields while in their seedling leaves) is an animal that wants to be better known. The country people here call it the turnip-fly and black dolphin; but I know it to be one of the *Coleoptera;* the "*Chrysomela oleracea, saltatoria, femoribus posticis crassissimis.*" In very hot summers they abound to an amazing degree, and as you walk in a field or in a garden, make a pattering like rain, by jumping on the leaves of the turnips or cabbages[3].

by taking their tail in their mouth and letting it go suddenly. When it prepares to leap, our larva first erects itself upon its anus, and then bending itself into a circle by bringing its head to its tail, it pushes forth its unguiform mandibles, and fixes them in two cavities in its anal tubercles. All being thus prepared, it next contracts its body into an oblong, so that the two halves are parallel to each other. This done, it lets go its hold with so violent a jerk that the sound produced by its mandibles may be readily heard, and the leap takes place. Swammerdam saw one, whose length did not exceed the fourth part of an inch, jump in this manner out of a box six inches deep; which is as if a man six feet high should raise himself in the air by jumping one hundred and forty-four feet! He had seen others leap a great deal higher."—E. T. B.

[3] In this work of destruction, although a share is taken by the *Haltica oleracea*, GEOFFR. (*Chrysomela oleracea*, LINN.) the most powerful agent is the *Halt. nemorum*, a still smaller beetle, about the twelfth part of an inch in length, black above, and having a yellowish stripe along the middle of each of its wing-cases. The injury inflicted on the turnip crops by these pigmy depredators is in some years immense: it has been calculated that in Devonshire alone, in 1786, the damage inflicted by them on the agriculturist amounted to not less than one hundred thousand pounds. The turnip-fly, or turnip-flea (as Messrs. Kirby and Spence propose to call it, not from its entomological affinities, but from its diminutive size and leaping powers) is the earliest enemy of the turnip crops. The instant that the plant appears above the ground, it is attacked by the little insect which destroys the seedling or smooth leaves, and the plant perishes in consequence. After the rough leaf has made its appearance, the crop may generally be regarded as safe from severe injury from this cause. This is the more fortunate, as the turnip-fly is always active during the summer, and is ever at hand prepared by regaling itself on its favourite food to ruin the hopes of the farmer. Rapid growth of the crop (and to secure rapid growth good cultivation and suitable manure are the effectual means,) is the most natural way of preserving it: while it is in the smooth leaf it is in jeopardy; when in the rough leaf its danger from this enemy may be looked upon as escaped.

But although the turnip may have assumed the rough leaf, and have

There is an *Œstrus*, known in these parts to every ploughboy; which, because it is omitted by Linnæus,

thus attained a stage of its existence when the attacks of the black fly are no longer to be dreaded, it is not even then to be regarded as absolutely safe. In some seasons, particularly in those when the summer is marked by a long continuance of drought, another pest is inflicted on the crop, which is to the full as destructive as the ordinary fly. In the summer of 1835, this enemy was active at Selborne, and many of the fields on the malm lands were laid waste by its ravages: the only good turnips to be seen in the district, in the autumn of that year, were in the neighbourhood of Oakwoods, on the sandy lands near the Forest. Here, as elsewhere, the crops on the chalky soils appear to have been most obnoxious to injury; although the damage was by no means limited to them.

Mr. Yarrell has given to the Zoological Society some account of the visitation of the black worm, as it was generally called, in 1835. Early in July, he says, the "yellow fly" was seen upon the young turnips. It was remembered by some that this was the fly which prevailed in 1818, and which was followed by the caterpillars known by the name of the "blacks." The appearance of the perfect insect was quickly succeeded by that of the black caterpillar, or turnip pest, feeding in myriads on the leaves of the turnips, but leaving their fibres untouched. So complete and so rapid was the destruction in some instances, that a whole field has been found, in two or three days, to present only an assemblage of skeletonized leaves; and this too when the plants had attained a considerable size. The destruction of the leaves caused, in most cases, the loss of the root also: and where the root did not altogether perish, it became pithy, and of little comparative value. A second and even a third sowing were necessary, in consequence of the destruction of the earlier crops; and, so extensive was the failure, that large importations from the continent were required to supply the deficiency. The caterpillar, finally casting its black skin and assuming a slaty appearance, buried itself in the ground, forming a cocoon from which the perfect fly quickly emerged, filled with eggs and prepared to renew the swarms of fresh depredators. By these repeated broods the devastation was successively continued, till it was at length put an end to on the occurrence of those heavy rains in September by which the unusually dry and lengthened summer was terminated.

The insect produced from the black caterpillar is a kind of saw-fly, or *Tenthredo*, little more than a quarter of an inch in length, of a pale yellow colour, with a black head and a black patch on each side of the thorax: it is believed to be the *Athalia Centifoliæ*, Leach; but the species of this genus resemble each other so nearly as to render the discrimination of them difficult.

A visitation of these pests in Norfolk, in 1782, was described by Mr. Marshall in the following year, in a paper contributed by him to the Philosophical Transactions. They are there spoken of under the name of the black canker caterpillar. Many thousands of acres, on which a

is also passed over by late writers; and that is the *Curvicauda* of old Mouffet, mentioned by Derham in his Physico-Theology, p. 250: an insect worthy of remark for depositing its eggs as it flies in so dexterous a manner on the single hairs of the legs and flanks of grass horses[4]. But then Derham is mistaken when he

fairer prospect for a crop of turnips had not been seen for many years, were ploughed up in consequence of their attacks. Their ravages were preceded by the appearance of the yellow fly in immense numbers; and it was believed, as they occurred most freely on the coast, that they arrived from across the ocean: some fishermen even declared that they saw them come in cloud-like flights. But there is no sufficient reason for attributing to them other than a home origin. They are seen here every summer; although it is only occasionally, when circumstances combine to favour an extraordinarily rapid growth and frequent broods among them, that they are so numerous as to become extensively destructive.

Against the attacks of the black caterpillar no preventive has yet been suggested. When it prevails the most effectual means of keeping it under is by freely sprinkling the infested fields with lime, and renewing the sprinkling as often as the fine powder may happen to be carried away by the wind. The same process appears also to have been the most successful that has yet been resorted to against the attacks of the little enemy of every season. It is strongly recommended in a report on the ordinary turnip-fly, published in 1834 by the Doncaster Agricultural Society, as the result of a very extensive correspondence, instituted with the especial view of collecting, from all parts of England, information on a subject of so much importance to the agriculturist.—E. T. B.

[4] It is by no means surprising that Gilbert White should have believed that the horse bot-fly had been omitted from his works by Linnæus; for it could scarcely have occurred to him to look for it, either in the Systema Naturæ or in the Fauna Suecica, under the very inappropriate name of *Œstrus Bovis:* yet by that name he would have found it described in both those works. The habitats assigned to it by Linnæus, the stomach of the horse and the back of kine, show that he confounded together two distinct insects, the maggots of which infest the several situations referred to by him. The maggots of the one, known by the names of wormals or warbles and sometimes by that of bots, are found beneath the skin of cattle: these are the larvæ of the true *Œstrus Bovis*, the perfect fly of which was probably unknown to the great Swedish naturalist. The maggots of the other, known, in common with those of some other species, by the name of bots, are found with the larvæ of those other bot-flies in the stomachs of horses. The one whose habits are described by White, may be called the spotted-winged bot-fly: it is described by Linnæus under the erroneous name of *Œstrus Bovis;* by Mr. Bracy Clark under the name of *Œstrus Equi;* and is, in modern

advances that this *Œstrus* is the parent of that wonderful star-tailed maggot which he mentions afterwards;

systems, the *Gasterophilus Equi*, LEACH; the generic appellation being founded on the aptitude of the maggots for residence in the stomachs of living animals.

Mr. Bracy Clark, who has well described the habits of these insects in his Observations on the Genus Œstrus, published in the third volume of the Linnean Transactions, and subsequently in an Essay on the Bots of Horses, dwells with more detail on the fact recorded in the text. Speaking of the spotted-winged bot-fly, he says, "The mode pursued by the parent fly to obtain for its young a situation in the stomach of the horse is truly singular, and is effected in the following manner:—When the female has been impregnated, and the eggs are sufficiently matured, she seeks among the horses a subject for her purpose, and approaching it on the wing, she holds her body nearly upright in the air, and her tail, which is lengthened for the purpose, curved inwards and upwards: in this way she approaches the part where she designs to deposit the egg; and suspending herself for a few seconds before it, suddenly darts upon it, and leaves the egg adhering to the hair: she hardly appears to settle, but merely touches the hair with the egg held out on the projected point of the abdomen. The egg is made to adhere by means of a glutinous liquor secreted with it. She then leaves the horse at a small distance, and prepares a second egg, and, poising herself before the part, deposits it in the same way. The liquor dries, and the egg becomes firmly glued to the hair: this is repeated by various flies, till four or five hundred eggs are sometimes placed on one horse.

"The inside of the knee is the part on which these flies are most fond of depositing their eggs, and next to this on the side and back part of the shoulder, and less frequently on the extreme ends of the hairs of the mane. But it is a fact worthy of attention, that the fly does not place them promiscuously about the body, but constantly on those parts which are most liable to be licked with the tongue; and the *ova* therefore are always scrupulously placed within its reach. Whether this be an act of reason or of instinct, it is certainly a very remarkable one. I should suspect, with Dr. Darwin, it cannot be the latter, as that ought to direct the performance of any act in one way only."

The eggs thus deposited are not, in Mr. Bracy Clark's opinion, removed from the hairs by the moisture of the horse's tongue, aided by its roughness, in the act of licking, and thus conveyed to the stomach: but remain, he conceives, attached to the hairs for four or five days until they have become "ripe, after which time the slightest application of warmth and moisture is sufficient to bring forth in an instant the latent *larva*. At this time, if the tongue of the horse touches the egg, its *operculum* is thrown open, and a small active worm is produced, which readily adheres to the moist surface of the tongue, and is from thence conveyed into the stomach." For the manner in which the larva affixes itself in the stomach by means of the two hooks with which it is furnished at its smaller extremity; its mode of growth; its detachment, when fully

for more modern entomologists have discovered that singular production to be derived from the egg of the *Musca Chamæleon*[5]: see Geoffroy, t. 17. f. 4.

A full history of noxious insects hurtful in the field, garden, and house, suggesting all the known and likely means of destroying them, would be allowed by the

grown, from the stomach; its passage through the intestines to remain, during its pupa state, in some convenient spot of dung or earth; some anatomical particulars respecting it; and many other facts relating to the fly in its various stages, as well as to other species; the reader is referred to the paper in the Linnean Transactions, from which the above extracts are taken. Interesting as they are, the explanation of them would extend this note to too great a length, and would carry it altogether away from the point to which it is chiefly directed,—the admirable provision adverted to in the text for securing for the bots the only habitation in which they could exist.

One other observation may, however, be permitted. Mr. Bracy Clark does not appear to regard these larvæ of the bot-fly as being productive of injurious effects to horses; but, on the contrary, he suggests that the local irritation produced by them may be useful in preventing the access of disease. The opinion expressed by him on this point in 1796 would seem to have been confirmed by his subsequent experience; for, nearly twenty years afterwards, in 1815, he gave the name of salutiferus to a species then discovered by him in a somewhat curious manner. Having observed in the stomachs of dead horses which he had examined several larvæ which appeared to him to be different from any that he had previously seen, he removed some of them and forced them down the throat of his own horse: two or three months afterwards the pupæ were received from the latter, and were placed on some light mould in a jar, in which they quickly buried themselves. This curious attempt at breeding a bot-fly, the first experiment of the kind on record, proved thoroughly successful; and Mr. Bracy Clark was rewarded for his sagacious discrimination, by obtaining, on the developement of the fly, specimens of a nondescript species of a genus which he had made especially his own.—E. T. B.

[5] The singular and highly interesting larva of the *Stratiomys Chamæleon*, De Geer, has been repeatedly figured and described, and the use of the star-like circle of feathered hairs appended to its tail, as a means of suspending that part and the orifice of the respiratory tube in their centre, has been often explained: it is among the most beautiful as well as the most curious contrivances resorted to for such a purpose by ever varying nature. The eggs from which these larvæ are produced are affixed by the parent fly to plants living in the water in which the developement of the maggot is to take place: those seen by Messrs. Kirby and Spence were "arranged like tiles on a roof one laid partly over another, on the under side of the leaves of the water-plantain."—E. T. B.

public to be a most useful and important work. What knowledge there is of this sort lies scattered, and wants to be collected; great improvements would soon follow of course. A knowledge of the properties, economy, propagation, and, in short, of the life and conversation of these animals, is a necessary step to lead us to some method of preventing their depredations.

As far as I am a judge, nothing would recommend entomology more than some neat plates that should well express the generic distinctions of insects according to Linnæus; for I am well assured that many people would study insects, could they set out with a more adequate notion of those distinctions than can be conveyed at first by words alone[6].

LETTER XXXV.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, 1771.

HAPPENING to make a visit to my neighbour's peacocks, I could not help observing that the trains of

[6] It is possible that the suggestion in the text may have had some share, through the intervention of Pennant, in encouraging the publication, which took place about ten years afterwards, of Barbut's Genera Insectorum of Linnæus, exemplified by figures taken exclusively from English specimens. But the genera adopted by Linnæus were so few in number that most of them included, of necessity, many variations of form; and as a single figure could give the representation but of one of those variations, no sufficient idea of the others could be thus obtained. Barbut's work remained, however, until of late years, the only English book usually had recourse to for illustrations of the genera of insects: but the English student has now, for such a purpose, in the British Entomology of Mr. Curtis, a work which will always be of standard excellence. It comprises already admirable representations of about six hundred insects, typical of so many forms, inhabiting the British islands; and furnishes, in the most accurate manner, those detailed dissections of the cibarian organs which are essential to a perfect knowledge of the economy of the several genera, and to their proper disposition in a natural series.—E. T. B.

those magnificent birds appear by no means to be their tails; those long feathers growing not from their *uropygium*, but all up their backs. A range of short brown stiff feathers, about six inches long, fixed in the *uropygium*, is the real tail, and serves as the *fulcrum* to prop the train, which is long and top-heavy, when set an end. When the train is up, nothing appears of the bird before but its head and neck; but this would not be the case were those long feathers fixed only in the rump, as may be seen by the turkey-cock when in a strutting attitude. By a strong muscular vibration these birds can make the shafts of their long feathers clatter like the swords of a sword dancer; they then trample very quick with their feet, and run backwards towards the females[1].

I should tell you that I have got an uncommon *calculus ægagropila*, taken out of the stomach of a fat ox; it is perfectly round, and about the size of a large Seville orange; such are, I think, usually flat.

LETTER XXXVI.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, Sept. 1771.

THE summer through I have seen but two of that large species of bat which I call *Vespertilio altivolans*, from

[1] In other birds, as well as in the pea-fowl, the feathers of different parts sometimes assume the appearance of a tail. In the elegant *Trogon resplendens*, which has been adopted by the United States of Central America as their national emblem, the beautiful flowing feathers that hang gracefully behind the bird and measure more than three times its total length, although they would popularly be termed the tail, belong in reality to the back. Again, in those cranes which belong to the genus *Anthropoides*, the lengthened feathers which, in the chastely elegant species dedicated by Mr. Vigors to Lord Stanley, sweep like a graceful train along the ground, are quite unconnected with the tail of the bird, and form actually a part of the wing.—E. T. B.

its manner of feeding high in the air: I procured one of them, and found it to be a male; and made no doubt, as they accompanied together, that the other was a female: but, happening in an evening or two to procure the other likewise, I was somewhat disappointed, when it appeared to be also of the same sex. This circumstance, and the great scarcity of this sort, at least in these parts, occasions some suspicions in my mind whether it is really a species, or whether it may not be the male part of the more known species, one of which may supply many females; as is known to be the case in sheep, and some other quadrupeds. But this doubt can only be cleared by a farther examination, and some attention to the sex, of more specimens: *Νῦν δὲ τῆτο μόνον σαφῶς ἐπίσαμαι, ἀμφοῖν ἀρσενικὰ τὰ αἰδοῖα Φανερῶς τετυχέναι· τὰ δὲ ὑὸς αἰδοιοις μάλιστα ἐοικασι.*

In the extent of their wings they measured fourteen inches and a half; and four inches and a half from the nose to the tip of the tail: their heads were large, their nostrils bilobated, their shoulders broad and muscular; and their whole bodies fleshy and plump. Nothing could be more sleek and soft than their fur, which was of a bright chestnut colour; their maws were full of food, but so macerated that the quality could not be distinguished; their livers, kidneys, and hearts were large, and their bowels covered with fat. They weighed each, when entire, full one ounce and one drachm. Within the ear there was somewhat of a peculiar structure that I did not understand perfectly; but refer it to the observation of the curious anatomist[1]. These creatures sent forth a very rancid and offensive smell.

[1] In the great tendency of the bats to produce foliaceous expansions of the skin resides the principal characteristic of the family. The spreading out of membranes between the lengthened bones of the fingers, and the extension of them from the fore to the hinder limbs, are common to all the species; and many of them have, in addition, another membrane interposed between the hinder limbs and enveloping the tail, either in whole or in part, when that organ exists. These expansions belong principally to the peculiar mode of locomotion for which the animals are con-

LETTER XXXVII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, 1771.

ON the twelfth of July I had a fair opportunity of contemplating the motions of the *Caprimulgus*, or fern-owl, as it was playing round a large oak that swarmed with

structed. The lateral membranes perform the functions of wings, and serve to propel the body through the air; while the interfemoral membrane acts, by its expansion, as a parachute, and prevents the bat from rapidly falling to the ground.

But although the larger membranes belong chiefly to locomotion, they contribute also to extend the means by which the animal is enabled to acquire a knowledge of the circumstances in which it is moving. The actions of the bat are confined to the darkness of the night, or at best to the uncertain glimmering of the dusky twilight; and the sense of vision is consequently comparatively inadequate to guide it in its flights and in the pursuit of its prey. To compensate for the imperfection of its vision, other senses should be rendered more acute; and this is effected by the exposure of a large extent of naked skin, and by the developement of processes adapted to direct the impulses of the air on the several organs which are destined to appreciate them.

Destitute almost entirely of hair, the flying membranes of the bats become organs of touch; and the great surface which they expose to atmospheric impulses must necessarily render them highly susceptible of the finest impressions to which that sense is liable. The perfection of the sense of smell also is, in many cases, aided by a peculiar arrangement; a membrane being frequently developed on the nose, which, by directing the air towards the nostrils, renders more assured the affecting of the olfactory organs by the scents with which the atmosphere may be impregnated. A somewhat similar arrangement adds to the efficiency of the sense of hearing: for the great expansion of the external ear which often occurs in bats, is equally adapted for directing towards the auditory passages the air charged with sounds; and even in those cases in which the external ears are not disproportionally large, the nakedness of these organs, qualifying them to act also as organs of touch, renders them so susceptible to the finer impulses of the atmosphere as to cause them quickly to assume the state of tension most fitted for directing sound. It would seem, indeed, that the quantity of sound forced occasionally into the ears of bats was so great as to render it necessary to provide the power of closing the auditory passage, by the folding down over it of a kind of internal or second ear; itself, like the outer or ordinary ear, a naked and membranous expansion of the skin, and of course equally

Scarabæi solstitiales[1], or fern-chafers. The powers of its wing were wonderful, exceeding, if possible, the various

susceptible of delicate impressions, and acted upon by them to the performance of its special functions with equal acuteness and rapidity. The tragus, which exists in man only as a small lobe projecting in front over the auditory opening, becomes in many of the bats a lengthened process, variously shaped, and evidently of considerable importance in the physiology of the organ with which it is connected. It is the tragus to which Gilbert White refers in the text as offering within the ear somewhat of a peculiar structure: and as its form, as well the form of the other cutaneous appendages of the bats, is of considerable importance in the distinguishing of these animals from each other; and as, moreover, the distinction of the several kinds of bats is highly desirable, in order to guide us to a more definite knowledge of these imperfectly understood animals, and especially of the habits peculiar to each, it may be well to refer to them as indicating, in most instances, specific characters for the British bats.

It is worthy of remark, however, before commencing this enumeration, that at the time when White first wrote to Pennant on this subject, he knew but two indigenous kinds: the long-eared and that which he regarded as the short-eared: these, in fact, being all that were even known to Linnæus as European. White subsequently became acquainted with another; the great bat of the text. Pennant knew and described a fourth, the horse-shoe bat. Many years subsequently elapsed without the addition of another. The four indigenous species known in 1771 have now been increased to at the least fourteen distinct kinds; so great have been the advances that have of late years been made in England in the search after animals and in the discrimination between them.

The presence or absence of a nose-leaf is generally regarded as of primary importance in the subdivision of the insectivorous bats. Of those that possess such an appendage we have in England only two kinds. These are the horse-shoe bats, forming part of the genus *Rhinolophus*, and readily distinguishable by their size into the greater (the head and body of which are two and a half inches long,) and the less (which does not measure in total length one inch and a half). Neither of these is very generally distributed throughout the country, although in some situations they are not uncommon: they chiefly frequent old houses and caves.

The remaining British bats are destitute of the nose-leaf, and may be distinguished into genera by characters derived from the expansion of the outer ear. In some of them the two ears meet in the middle of the forehead, and are united at their inner margins. Such is the case with the barbastelle, constituting the genus *Barbastellus* of Mr. Gray, in which the ears are shorter than the head; and the ears are also united on their inner edge in the long-eared bats, *Plecotus*, Geoff. in which the external ear is so largely and disproportionately developed as almost to equal in

[1] [*Amphimalla solstitialis*, Latr.]

evolutions and quick turns of the swallow genus. But the circumstance that pleased me most was, that I saw

length the entire body and head. The common long-eared bat, *Plecotus auritus*, GEOFF. is frequent in the vicinity of houses: the expansion of its wings is fully ten inches. A second long-eared bat, which has been suspected to be the young of the former, has been described by the Rev. L. Jenyns as differing from it in many particulars, and especially in the comparative shortness of its fingers, whence he has called it *brevimanus:* the expansion of its wings is less than seven inches. Of this latter the only individual that has yet occurred was taken from a tree.

All the other bats that have yet been captured in England have their ears distinct from each other, and belong to the genus *Vespertilio*, which is still an extensive one, notwithstanding the numerous dismemberments to which it has been subjected. Of these some have the ears as long as, or slightly longer than, the head: such are the *Vesp. Murinus*, DESM. and *Vesp. Bechsteinii*, LEISL., in which the tragus is about half the length of the auricle, is somewhat expanded on its outer side just above its base, and terminates in a point, the latter species being most readily distinguishable by its exceedingly slender thumb; and the *Vesp. Nattereri*, KUHL, in which the tragus is linear, and full two-thirds of the length of the auricle. Others, and these the more numerous, have the auricle not so long as the head. In *Vesp. mystacinus*, LEISL., the tragus is half as long as the auricle, and is lanceolate: in *Vesp. emarginatus*, GEOFF., the tragus is also half the length of the somewhat lengthened ear, but is subulate: in *Vesp. pygmæus*, LEACH, the tragus is of the same comparative length as in the two preceding, and is subulate; the species being distinguished (if, indeed, it be a species and not the young of some other, perhaps of the *Vesp. Serotinus*) by its very diminutive size, the expansion of its wings being scarcely more than five inches: in *Vesp. Serotinus*, GMEL., the tragus is also subulate, but is not half the length of the ear: in *Vesp. discolor*, NATT., the tragus is scarcely one-third the length of the ear, and of almost equal breadth throughout: in the pipistrelle, *Vesp. Pipistrellus*, GMEL., which is the bat of most frequent occurrence in England, (where, on account of its diminutive size as compared with the noctule, it is often called the mouse-bat,) the tragus is half the length of the ear, and is terminated by a rounded head; the expansion of its wings is rather more than eight inches: in the remaining two species, which are nearly of a uniform chestnut colour both above and below, the tragus has almost the same form as in the last, and in the *Vesp. Leisleri*, KUHL., is scarcely smaller than in the pipistrelle; while in the noctule, *Vesp. Noctula*, GMEL., it is much reduced in size, being little more than one quarter of the length of the ear, and consists of a rather broad base, becoming expanded towards the tip, especially on the outer side, so widely as to form a head about twice the breadth of the stem that supports it. The noctule is the largest of the English bats, except the rare *Vesp. Murinus*, its wings extending, when expanded, to the width of fourteen inches: it occurs more frequently than any of the others, with the exception of the pipistrelle (erroneously named *Vesp. Murinus* by all

it distinctly, more than once, put out its short leg while on the wing, and, by a bend of the head, deliver somewhat into its mouth. If it takes any part of its prey with its foot, as I have now the greatest reason to suppose it does these chafers, I no longer wonder at the use of its middle toe, which is curiously furnished with a serrated claw.

THE GOATSUCKER.

Swallows and martins, the bulk of them I mean, have forsaken us sooner this year than usual; for, on Sep-

British writers until very recently) and of the long-eared bat. A not unfrequent name for it, indicative of its superiority of size over the pipistrelle, is the rat-bat.

By this enumeration of the indigenous species some idea will have been obtained of the variations in form and developement of the curious structure within the ear referred to by the author, as they occur in the genus *Vespertilio*, to an extent so great as almost to afford characters for the distinction of every species. In *Plecotus* the tragus is also developed to an extent proportioned to the exceeding amplitude of the ears themselves. In *Barbastellus* it also exists in a marked degree. In the horse-shoe bats no such appendage is present; although in many exotic genera the additional leaflet of the ear coexists with that which is superadded to the nose.—E. T. B.

tember the twenty-second, they rendezvoused in a neighbour's walnut tree, where it seemed probable they had taken up their lodging for the night. At the dawn of the day, which was foggy, they rose all together in infinite numbers, occasioning such a rushing from the strokes of their wings against the hazy air, as might be heard to a considerable distance: since that no flock has appeared, only a few stragglers.

Some swifts stayed late, till the twenty-second of August—a rare instance! for they usually withdraw within the first week[2].

On September the twenty-fourth three or four ring-ousels appeared in my fields for the first time this season: how punctual are these visitors in their autumnal and spring migrations!

LETTER XXXVIII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, March 15, 1773.

By my journal for last autumn it appears that the house martins bred very late, and stayed very late in these parts; for, on the first of October, I saw young martins in their nest nearly fledged; and again, on the twenty-first of October, we had, at the next house, a nest full of young martins just ready to fly; and the old ones were hawking for insects with great alertness. The next morning the brood forsook their nest, and were flying round the village. From this day I never saw one of the swallow kind till November the third; when twenty, or perhaps thirty, house martins were playing all day long by the side of the hanging wood, and over my fields. Did these small weak birds, some of which were nestlings twelve days ago, shift their

[2] See Letter LII. to Mr. Barrington.

quarters at this late season of the year to the other side of the northern tropic? Or rather, is it not more probable that the next church, ruin, chalk cliff, steep covert, or perhaps sandbank, lake or pool (as a more northern naturalist would say), may become their hybernaculum, and afford them a ready and obvious retreat?

We now begin to expect our vernal migration of ring-ousels every week. Persons worthy of credit assure me that ring-ousels were seen at Christmas, 1770, in the forest of Bere, on the southern verge of this county. Hence we may conclude that their migrations are only internal, and not extended to the continent southward, if they do at first come at all from the northern parts of this island only, and not from the north of Europe. Come from whence they will, it is plain, from the fearless disregard that they show for men or guns, that they have been little accustomed to places of much resort. Navigators mention, that, in the Isle of Ascension, and other such desolate districts, birds are so little acquainted with the human form that they settle on men's shoulders; and have no more dread of a sailor than they would have of a goat that was grazing. A young man at Lewes, in Sussex, assured me that about seven years ago ring-ousels abounded so about that town in the autumn, that he killed sixteen himself in one afternoon: he added further, that some had appeared since in every autumn; but he could not find that any had been observed before the season in which he shot so many. I myself have found these birds in little parties in the autumn cantoned all along the Sussex downs, wherever there were shrubs and bushes, from Chichester to Lewes; particularly in the autumn of 1770.

I am, &c.

LETTER XXXIX.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Nov. 9, 1773.

As you desire me to send you such observations as may occur, I take the liberty of making the following remarks, that you may, according as you think me right or wrong, admit or reject what I here advance, in your intended new edition of the British Zoology[1].

The osprey[2] was shot about a year ago at Frinsham-pond, a great lake, at about six miles from hence, while it was sitting on the handle of a plough and devouring a fish: it used to precipitate itself into the water, and so take its prey by surprise.

A great ash-coloured[3] butcher-bird was shot last winter in Tisted Park, and a red-backed butcher-bird at Selborne: they are *raræ aves* in this county.

Crows[4] go in pairs the whole year round.

Cornish choughs[5] abound, and breed on Beechy Head and on all the cliffs of the Sussex coast.

The common wild pigeon[6], or stock dove, is a bird of passage in the south of England, seldom appearing till towards the end of November; is usually the latest winter bird of passage. Before our beechen woods were so much destroyed, we had myriads of them, reaching in strings for a mile together as they went out in a

[1] In the date of this Letter we have the fullest evidence of the earnest zeal with which Pennant prosecuted his design of giving to his country a complete British Zoology. It was in 1770 that the last volume of the second edition of his work was published; and in 1773 we find him already preparing for a new edition of it. This appeared in 1776, and among other additions and corrections had the advantage of possessing those forwarded by our author in this and the succeeding letter, most of which are embodied in its pages.—E. T. B.

[2] British Zoology, vol. i. p. 128. [3] p. 161. [4] p. 167.

[5] p. 197. [6] p. 216.

morning to feed. They leave us early in spring; where do they breed?

The people of Hampshire and Sussex call the missel-bird[7] the storm-cock, because it sings early in the spring in blowing showery weather; its song often commences with the year: with us it builds much in orchards.

A gentleman assures me he has taken the nests of ring-ousels[8] on Dartmoor: they build in banks on the sides of streams.

Titlarks[9] not only sing sweetly as they sit on trees, but also as they play and toy about on the wing; and particularly while they are descending, and sometimes as they stand on the ground[10].

Adanson's[11] testimony seems to me to be a very poor evidence that European swallows migrate during our winter to Senegal; he does not talk at all like an ornithologist; and probably saw only the swallows of that country, which I know build within Governor O'Hara's hall against the roof. Had he known European swallows, would he not have mentioned the species[12]?

[7] British Zoology, vol. i. p. 223. [8] p. 229. [9] Vol. ii. p. 236.

[10] It is a frequent habit with this sweet songster to mount high into the air from one tree, and to sing as it descends to another. It also frequently sings in descending from the top of a tree to a stake in a hedge or even to the ground.—G. D.

[11] British Zoology, vol. ii. p. 242.

[12] Concerning swallows, the reader will see, that Mr. White appears to incline more and more in favour of their torpidity, and against their migration. Mr. D. Barrington is still more positive on the same side of the question. See his Miscellanies, p. 225. The ancients generally mention this bird, as wintering in Africa. See Anacreon, λγ. ed. Brunck. p. 38. The Rhodians had a festival called χελιδόνια, when the boys brought about young swallows; the song which they sang may be seen in the works of Meursius, vol. iii. p. 974, fol.

Ἦλθε, Ἦλθε, χελιδὼν καλὰς,
Ὥρας ἄγουσα, καὶ καλοὺς Ἐνιαυτοὺς
Επι γάστερα λευκὰ κ' ἄπι νῶτα μέλαινα.

"He comes! he comes! who loves to bear
Soft sunny hours, and seasons fair;

The house swallow washes by dropping into the water as it flies: this species appears commonly about a week before the house martin, and about ten or twelve days before the swift.

In 1772 there were young house martins[13] in their nest till October the 23d.

The swift[14] appears about ten or twelve days later than the house swallow: viz. about the 24th or 26th of April.

Whin chats and stone chats[15] stay with us the whole year[16].

The swallow hither comes to rest
His sable wing, and snowy breast."

And alluding to this custom, Avienus (who may be considered only as a very bad translator of an excellent poem, the Periegesis of Dionysius), thus says, v. 705.

" Nam cum vere novo, tellus se dura relaxat
Culminibusque cavis, blandum strepit ales hirundo
Gens devota choros agitat!"

From a passage in the " Birds " of Aristophanes, we learn that among the Greeks, the crane pointed out the time of sowing; the arrival of the kite, the time of sheep-shearing; and of the swallow, the time to put on summer clothes. According to the Greek calendar of Flora, kept by Theophrastus at Athens, the Ornithian winds blow, and the swallow comes, between the 28th of February and the 12th of March: the kite and nightingale appear between the 11th and 26th of March: the cuckoo appears at the same time the young figs come out, thence his name. See Stillingfleet's Tracts on Natural History, p. 324.—MITFORD.

[13] British Zoology, vol. ii. p. 244. [14] p. 245. [15] p. 271, 272.

[16] A few whin chats and stone chats may remain the whole year in warm situations, but the greater number certainly leave the country, nor does the whin chat return to us early. It is very much more tender of cold than the nightingale, and requires a much higher temperature to keep it alive. It is very abundant in the neighbourhood of Spofforth, where it is called the grass chat, and breeds in almost every meadow and rough pasture. I saw one last year at the beginning of November, the weather having been unusually warm; but excepting an accidental straggler, they quit us entirely at the very beginning of September. The stone chats return to this neighbourhood about the middle of March. I have observed a stone chat two successive years on the 14th and 16th, the weather being frosty, in the hedge on the road side in the cultivated country, their usual

Some wheatears[17] continue with us the winter through.

Wagtails, all sorts, remain with us all the winter.

Bullfinches[18] when fed on hempseed, often become wholly black[19].

haunts being at that time too cold for them. They breed very early. In the neighbourhood of London the young are out of the nest in the second week of May, after which they continue for near three weeks skulking under furze bushes, though able when disturbed to fly a hundred yards at once, and they do not show themselves openly till they are able to do without the old ones. Most of our books of ornithology state erroneously that the whin chat is a more rare bird than the stone chat: the latter is found only on heath and furze; the whin chat is abundant in enclosures as well as on wastes. Its young are produced much later than those of the stone chat. The whin chat reared from the nest by hand will learn the song of every bird it hears, and becomes a fine songster. It may be fed on ground hempseed and egg scalded, with some hard yolk of egg, and occasionally a very little meat. The stone chat is equally imitative in confinement, but not so easily preserved in health. Le Vaillant mentions an African chat allied to the wheatear *(Traquet imitateur)* which imitates the notes of every bird in its vicinity in its wild state, and this faculty appears to belong to the whole genus *Saxicola*. I have heard a whin chat, breeding in a meadow adjoining to my garden, sing very like the blackcap. There seems to be an enormous predominancy of females amongst the young whin chats. (See the note on page 137.)

I have observed a fresh caught whin chat void with its dung a small but entire snail shell of the long spiral kind. They will swallow greedily a wasp maggot, but are very indifferent about eating a fly. The support therefore of those which remain late with us is, amongst other things, small shell snails and cockchafer grubs, and they are less affected than many other warblers by the failure of winged insects. The stone chat eats a few whortle berries in its wild state, and both species will occasionally eat a currant in confinement.—W. H.

[17] British Zoology, vol. ii. p. 269. [18] p. 300.

[19] In using this observation of our author Pennant gives to it the extension with which we have already seen it stated in Letter XX. He adds, "Mr. Morton, in his History of Northamptonshire, gives another instance of such a change, with this addition, that the year following, after moulting, the bird recovered its native colours."—E. T. B.

This is not peculiar to the bullfinch. I have seen a woodlark nearly black from living on dry bread and hemp. The oil of the hemp has probably this effect on the plumage. I have never found bread and hemp scalded affect the colours of birds; probably the oil so diluted loses its power.

I believe that no attention has been paid to the effects of different kinds of food on the colours of birds. The beautiful nonpareil or painted finch, of the Southern States of North America, in its glory, has the head

We have vast flocks of female chaffinches[20] all the winter, with hardly any males among them.

of a rich blue, all the under parts of a brilliant red, the wings, tail, and upper part of the back, green, and the lower part of the back and the rump of a changeable coppery red. When fed upon seed in confinement it loses its brilliancy after the first moult; the red of the under parts degenerates to a dull pale yellow, the blue of the head becomes less intense, and all the upper parts are of a dull green. Under the same treatment these birds often moult with difficulty, and die. If, in addition to their usual supply of seed, they have melting pears and elder berries given to them, they will moult freely and their natural colours will reappear, on the new feathers, in full brilliancy. Flies and other insects are also essential to them occasionally.

The linnet and redpole in confinement lose after the first moult their red colour, and it does not return. Is this owing to the want of the peculiar food they would take in the spring, if at liberty, or to their being less exposed to the sunshine? I once saw the English white water lily blow of a pale rose colour after a week of unusual heat in July.

Birds that change their colours at different seasons, usually put on their bright garb in the warm season. I have repeatedly observed, in a splendid bird (*Loxia Madagascariensis*, Linn.) which I possess, that, although it moults partially twice in the year, the colour of the larger feathers on the wings and back changes gradually from yellowish brown to scarlet, and fades again at the approach of winter. In this bird, the change to red is very clearly occasioned by the increase of temperature. I have observed in the spring that the supervention of cold weather stops its progress In the Whidah bird, the mutation of dress is rapid, accompanying the moult in June and July. The American blue bird pushes brown feathers in its summer moult, which are very suddenly turned to blue. There is a mystery in these mutations which we do not understand, but they certainly depend in some degree upon temperature. The Whidah bird acquires usually its long tail and fine colours at the vernal moult and loses them in the autumn. It happened one year that the months of August and September had been very cold, and the temperature was unusually high in October and the beginning of November, so that with the addition of a fire my room was much warmer at the moment of the autumnal moult than it had been for some time before, and the consequence was that the Whidah bird produced a long tail and coloured plumage again at that season, and continued in beauty for the space of a year and a half. Food has also appeared to me to affect the brilliancy of the plumage, for the nonpareils which had had elder berries or soft pears to peck acquired a deeper red on the breast.

The *Loxia Madagascariensis* has been ten years in my room and is still in perfect health. It belongs to a genus quite distinct from *Loxia*, to which *Lox. Oryx* (the Cape grenadier bird), *Lox. Phillippina*, and *Lox. pensilis* belong also, as well as two splendid species which have been

[20] British Zoology, vol. ii. p. 306.

When you say that in breeding time the cock snipes[21] make a bleating noise, and I a drumming (perhaps I should have rather said a humming), I suspect we mean the same thing. However, while they are playing about on the wing they certainly make a loud piping with their mouths: but whether that bleating or humming is ventriloquous, or proceeds from the motion of their wings, I cannot say; but this I know, that when this noise happens the bird is always descending, and his wings are violently agitated[22].

Soon after the lapwings[23] have done breeding, they congregate, and, leaving the moors and marshes, betake themselves to downs and sheep-walks.

Two years ago last spring the little auk[24] was found

several years living in my room, known in the London shops by the name of bishop birds. Numbers of one of these two species have been imported of late years, and in the summer time they become orange and black, but the imported skins have invariably the plumage scarlet and black. If they are kept in a very cool and airy room in this country, they do not acquire their perfect plumage, which they retain five or six months in a warmer situation. The different colour of the foreign specimens I attribute chiefly to a higher temperature. The second sort, which is rarely imported, is of a bright yellow and black, but quite a different species.—W. H.

[21] British Zoology, vol. ii. p. 358.

[22] I have observed the drumming of snipes in bright days at the beginning of April, and I could very clearly discern the manner in which the sound is produced. After rising high and crying *peet, peet, peet*, which is the snipe's vernal note, it lets itself drop obliquely through the air, keeping the wings motionless, but turning by some muscular contraction each individual quill sideways in the same manner that the bars of a Venetian blind are turned to admit more light, and having descended to the customary point, it readjusts its feathers and rises again obliquely without sound. They will continue for hours together amusing themselves in this manner upon a mild day, and when they are in this mood the sportsman has very little chance of getting near them. The cushat has a sportive movement a little similar, in the summer time, in the narrow wooded valleys amongst the hills: it is less observed in flat countries. It descends obliquely without any motion of the wings, and when it has dived to the usual point of descent, flaps its wings with a loud noise and towers again obliquely to the other side of the valley. —W. H.

[23] British Zoology, vol. ii. p. 360. [24] p. 409.

alive and unhurt, but fluttering and unable to rise, in a lane a few miles from Alresford, where there is a great lake: it was kept awhile, but died.

I saw young teals[25] taken alive in the ponds of Wolmer Forest in the beginning of July last, along with flappers, or young wild ducks.

Speaking of the swift[26] that page says "its drink the dew;" whereas it should be "it drinks on the wing;" for all the swallow kind sip their water as they sweep over the face of pools or rivers: like Virgil's bees, they drink flying; "*flumina summa libant.*" In this method of drinking perhaps this genus may be peculiar.

Of the sedge-bird[27] be pleased to say it sings most part of the night; its notes are hurrying, but not unpleasing, and imitative of several birds; as the sparrow, swallow, skylark. When it happens to be silent in the night, by throwing a stone or clod into the bushes where it sits, you immediately set it a singing; or, in other words, though it slumbers sometimes, yet as soon as it is awakened it reassumes its song.

LETTER XL.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Sept. 2, 1774.

BEFORE your letter arrived, and of my own accord, I had been remarking and comparing the tails of the male and female swallow, and this ere any young broods appeared; so that there was no danger of confounding the dams with their *pulli:* and besides, as they were then always in pairs, and busied in the employ of nidification, there could be no room for mistaking the sexes, nor the individuals of different chimneys the one for the other. From all my observations, it constantly appeared that each sex has the long feathers in its tail

[25] British Zoology, vol. ii. p. 475. [26] Vol. iv. p. 15. [27] p. 16.

that give it that forked shape; with this difference, that they are longer in the tail of the male than in that of the female.

Nightingales, when their young first come abroad, and are helpless, make a plaintive and a jarring noise; and also a snapping or cracking, pursuing people along the hedges as they walk: these last sounds seem intended for menace and defiance.

The grasshopper-lark chirps all night in the height of summer.

Swans turn white the second year, and breed the third.

Weasels prey on moles, as appears by their being sometimes caught in mole-traps.

Sparrow-hawks sometimes breed in old crows' nests, and the kestril in churches and ruins.

There are supposed to be two sorts of eels in the island of Ely[1]. The threads sometimes discovered in eels are perhaps their young: the generation of eels is very dark and mysterious[2].

Hen-harriers breed on the ground, and seem never to settle on trees.

[1] Three species of eels are now pretty clearly made out. Descriptions and figures of them, with other particulars, have been given in the History of British Fishes.—W. Y.

[See the note on page 50.]

[2] Eels are infested by several kinds of intestinal worms, which are doubtless the thread-like bodies referred to. But the situation in which the parasites are found will generally be sufficient to indicate their true nature: filiform bodies within the intestines of an eel can be nothing but worms. Bodies of that form occurring externally to the intestines and within the abdomen may still be regarded as worms that have escaped from the intestinal cavity. And other worms, internal parasites, occur naturally within the serous cavity of the abdomen.

The reproduction of eels has been sedulously attended to for several years by Mr. Yarrell, whose observations, recorded in the Zoological Society's Proceedings, in Jesse's Gleanings in Natural History, and in his own History of British Fishes, leave little doubt that these fishes spawn in a manner similar to most of their class, the roe of the female being filled with countless myriads of ova which are deposited in the winter months.—E. T. B.

When redstarts shake their tails they move them horizontally, as dogs do when they fawn: the tail of a wagtail, when in motion, bobs up and down like that of a jaded horse.

Hedge-sparrows have a remarkable flirt with their wings in breeding time: as soon as frosty mornings come they make a very piping plaintive noise.

Many birds which become silent about Midsummer reassume their notes again in September; as the thrush, blackbird, woodlark, willow wren, &c.; hence August is by much the most mute month, the spring, summer, and autumn through. Are birds induced to sing again because the temperament of autumn resembles that of spring[3]?

Linnæus ranges plants geographically; palms inhabit the tropics, grasses the temperate zones, and mosses and lichens the polar circles: no doubt animals may be classed in the same manner with propriety.

House sparrows build under eaves in the spring; as the weather becomes hotter they get out for coolness, and nest in plum-trees and apple-trees. These birds have been known sometimes to build in rooks' nests, and sometimes in the forks of boughs under rooks' nests.

As my neighbour was housing a rick he observed that his dogs devoured all the little red mice that they could catch, but rejected the common mice; and that his cats ate the common mice, refusing the red.

Redbreasts sing all through the spring, summer, and autumn. The reason that they are called autumn songsters is, because in the two first seasons their voices are drowned and lost in the general chorus; in the latter their song becomes distinguishable. Many songsters of the autumn seem to be the young cock redbreasts of that year: notwithstanding the prejudices

[3] There can be little doubt that the autumnal song is that of the young males of the year.—G. D.

in their favour, they do much mischief in gardens to the summer fruits[4].

The titmouse, which early in February begins to make two quaint notes, like the whetting of a saw, is the marsh titmouse: the great titmouse sings with three cheerful joyous notes, and begins about the same time[5].

Wrens sing all the winter through, frost excepted.

House martins came remarkably late this year both

[4] They eat also the berries of the ivy, the honeysuckle, and the *Euonymus Europæus*, or spindle-tree.

[I have seen a robin feed its young, which were reared in a conservatory, entirely upon red currants. It used to alight on the ledge of the window, and always brought one red currant in its bill. I do not think they eat any other fruit, but they are troublesome in the hothouse. They devoured last year every seed of *Hæmanthus multiflorus* and *Griffinia hyacinthina* just as they were ripening; and it is very difficult to save the berries of any *Daphne* from them.—W. H.]

[A redbreast which I had in a cage greedily devoured the berries of *Solanum Dulcamara*, but would not touch those of privet.—RENNIE.]

[5] Several species of the genus *Parus* congregate in clusters when at roost. I have observed *Par. ater* and *Par. caudatus* in this state. On a cold wintry night, if the moon is bright, they may be seen in the hedges clinging together, having the appearance of a bundle of dried leaves. *Par. ater* is said by Dr. Fleming to be a rare bird in England, but it appears to be common in Hampshire. I have received a great number of specimens from that county at various times; and once had nine sent to me, that were taken from a cluster by bird-batting, many having escaped from the nets.

The bottle tit sometimes builds near houses, though rarely. A pair built in a large willow tree in a garden at Bayswater, close to the house, and brought out a numerous brood of young that swarmed in at the bedroom windows on the first day of their coming out from the nest: they were very diminutive pretty creatures. It is to be remarked, that this garden was sacred to birds: there were many nests in it, no one being permitted to disturb them, and no cat being kept.

Par. cæruleus is a very bold bird, and very destructive, particularly to bees; sitting on the stool, and tapping with its bill against the hive, as soon as the bee comes forth to ascertain the cause of the disturbance, the bird swallows it.

I kept the *Par. biarmicus* in a cage last year. There were several males and females together, which were exceedingly gentle and affectionate; caressing and feeding each other in the same manner as doves. This was the more remarkable, as the period of incubation was past.

Both *Par. cæruleus* and *Par. major* occasionally visit London.—G. D.

in Hampshire and Devonshire: is this circumstance for or against either hiding or migration?

Most birds drink sipping at intervals; but pigeons take a long continued draught, like quadrupeds.

Notwithstanding what I have said in a former letter, no gray crows were ever known to breed on Dartmoor; it was my mistake.

The appearance and flying of the *Scarabæus solstitialis*, or fern-chafer, commence with the month of July, and cease about the end of it. These scarabs are the constant food of *Caprimulgi*, or fern-owls, through that period. They abound on the chalky downs and in some sandy districts, but not in the clays.

In the garden of the Black Bear Inn in the town of Reading is a stream or canal running under the stables and out into the fields on the other side of the road: in this water are many carps, which lie rolling about in sight, being fed by travellers, who amuse themselves by tossing them bread: but as soon as the weather grows at all severe these fishes are no longer seen, because they retire under the stables, where they remain till the return of spring. Do they lie in a torpid state? if they do not, how are they supported?

The note of the whitethroat, which is continually repeated, and often attended with odd gesticulations on the wing, is harsh and displeasing. These birds seem of a pugnacious disposition; for they sing with an erected crest and attitudes of rivalry and defiance; are shy and wild in breeding time[6], avoiding neighbourhoods, and haunting lonely lanes and commons; nay, even the very tops of the Sussex Downs, where there are bushes and covert; but in July and August they

[6] So far from being wild and shy in the breeding season, the whitethroat frequents at that period the vicinity of London, and forms part even of the Fauna of St. Marylebone, covered as that parish now is with buildings. I have a nest taken by myself from a bramble-bush, by the side of a foot-path, just beyond the houses in the Avenue Road, Regent's Park.—G. D.

bring their broods into gardens and orchards, and make great havock among the summer fruits[7].

[7] The whole of this passage is founded in error. There are no birds less shy and less pugnacious than whitethroats. They are amicable in the highest degree, and having kept four or five cocks together in the same cage I never saw an instance of the least dispute among them. They were extremely fond of each other; and one of them having been taken from the nest to try if it would breed with a hen blackcap, died the next day, having, from vexation at finding itself separated from them, neglected to feed itself. I have seen the eldest of a nest give victuals to the youngest, when they were just beginning to feed themselves. Those which are caught become tame very quickly, but such as are raised from the nest are the very perfection of amiability, and will come out gently the moment their cage door is opened, and not have the least fear of being handled. The blackcap, however tame while it requires to be fed, becomes very mistrustful as soon as it can shift for itself, especially the cocks, which are very wary, and in the wild state cannot in general be taken with a trap. I have taken many hen blackcaps in the cherry-trees with a limed rod, but never a cock. It is very difficult to get a sight of the cock blackcap while it is singing: it is always on the watch and shifting its place so as to avoid being seen: but the whitethroat sings boldly close to a person looking at it, and although Mr. White depreciates its song, I think it is only surpassed by the blackbird and thrush, excepting of course the matchless nightingale, with whose song all comparison of melody in this world is idle. In a room the song of the whitethroat is very pleasing, and the young ones will sometimes learn some of the nightingale's notes; and their excessive familiarity and gentleness, and their healthy constitution, make them to my mind the most pleasing bird that can be kept in a cage. Their general food should be ground hempseed and bread scalded together, and a little German paste given dry. Insects, and almost any thing which is not salt that a man eats, may be given to them in small quantities as a treat, but much variety only makes them grow too fat.

This pleasing little bird appears to have been very much out of favour with Mr. White, who accuses it of making great ravages in gardens. I never have seen a single instance of the whitethroat attacking the cherries, and it comes very little into walled gardens, unless there be a thick whitethorn fence in them, or very thick bushes which attract it, and in such vicinities they will sometimes attack the green peas. They are very fond of ripe pears in confinement, but our pears are scarcely ripe enough for them before they leave us, and they always abide about low thick covert.

Mr. White's mistake about the fruit has probably arisen from his confounding two different birds. *Sylvia silviella* of English writers, the lesser whitethroat or blue-gray, breeds in our pleasure gardens and haunts the little garths and gardens of villages, and in company with the blackcaps and pettychaps it sometimes attacks cherries, though its attacks are not so determined, and it is very fond of small caterpillars and flies. It is

The blackcap has, in common, a full, sweet, deep, loud, and wild pipe; yet that strain is of short continu-

very singular that so common a bird as this should have been so much overlooked. I make no doubt of its being a regular inmate of Selborne parish. It is abundant near London. At Spofforth I often see them about the skirts of the village; sometimes a solitary individual sitting almost asleep upon an exposed branch of a thorn bush, when its pure white breast is very conspicuous. There never fails to be a nest of them in my garden in Yorkshire: at the moment that I write this, they have a nest within five yards of my chair in a double white rose bush close to my window: yet Mr. Selby has omitted this species in the first edition of his British Ornithology, published in 1825, saying that he is aware such a bird has been found in the southern counties, but he could never meet with one.

W. H. Herbert, del.

NEST AND EGGS OF THE LESSER WHITETHROAT.

Its song is pleasing, but not so strong and varied as that of the whitethroat. It is quite distinct in form, colour, and habits. It builds in gardens like the blackcaps, and with them attacks the fruit, though less pertinaciously, as it is very fond of flies and small caterpillars, and probably on the whole does more good than harm in a garden. Gardeners indeed are too apt to destroy little birds that pick a few of their cherries or currants, without considering the great good they do in destroying the insects which would perhaps have made the fruit abortive. Its nest is very small and slender, so that it may actually be seen through, and it is placed in the fork of a rose bush or thorn, sometimes eight or nine feet from the ground, sometimes in a low brier. It does not lay, as far as I

ance, and his motions are desultory; but when that bird sits calmly and engages in song in earnest, he pours

have seen, above four eggs. The colour of its upper parts is a bluish gray, and it has none of the mahogany tint of the common whitethroat. The throat and under parts are of a much purer white, and its legs dark lead colour, whereas those of the whitethroat are yellowish. It is a smaller bird and looks rather less slender and fuller of feathers about the neck. It has a little the manners of the titmice, often running along the wires at the top of its cage suspended by the feet, which is not usual with birds of the genus *Sylvia*. It is of a remarkably tame nature; I have taken a cock bird with its young, and the day after it was taken it fed them with bread and hemp, and reared them; and some months after it would even perch upon my hand to feed itself. If fed too richly, with much meat or milk, they will be subject to fits which are sure to be soon fatal. They are fond of the seeds of the broad-leaved plantain.

It is remarkable that the British name of the bird is noticed by no continental writer, and that it is entirely overlooked in Temminck's ornithology of Europe as if he had never heard of it; though there is a plate of the bird, nest, and eggs (certainly a very bad one) in Latham's supplemental volume, which he might be expected to have seen. It cannot, however, be doubted that the species must be as common on the continent as it is here; it is a more delicate bird than the whitethroat, and it cannot easily escape notice, because it lives in the gardens and close to the abodes of men. On comparing the various contradictory descriptions of different authors of *Sylvia Curruca*, la fauvette babillarde of the French, I am quite satisfied of its identity with the *Sylv. silviella* of English writers. In the first place *Sylv. Curruca* is said to extend from Italy into Sweden, yet has never been noticed in Great Britain; secondly, *Sylv. silviella* has been noticed in England only. I cannot doubt Scopoli's bianchetto, abundant in the gardens of Italy, being our *silviella;* the pure white of its under parts deserves the name bianchetto; their habits correspond exactly, and bianchetto is quoted as a synonym to *Sylv. Curruca*. Temminck says that *Sylv. Curruca* has greenish white eggs with bluish and brownish spots. Latham says greenish spotted with brown, but in a note he quotes from Linnæus' Fauna Suecica ash colour spotted with ferruginous, which accords with the eggs of *Sylv. silviella*. Bewick says that the eggs of our lesser whitethroat (*Sylv. silviella* of Turton, Sweet, Stephens in Shaw's Zoology, &c.) are "white spotted with brown, intermixed with other spots of a pale bluish ash colour." This description agrees very closely with Temminck's account of the eggs of *Sylv. Curruca*. On close inspection of the eggs of the blue-gray or lesser whitethroat, which are of a dirty white spotted with ferruginous, it appears that some of the spots are strong, others deep-seated and dim, as if covered over by a film, and seen through the ash colour or dirty white of the general surface. This dimness of the spots is what Temminck and Bewick call bluish without much reason, but no name of a colour is so frequently misapplied as blue. The colour of the legs of *Sylv. Curruca* is not mentioned by Temminck; Latham says brown; in Werner's engraving they

forth very sweet, but inward melody, and expresses great variety of soft and gentle modulations, superior

are made of a dirty flesh colour, being probably very incorrect, as having been evidently drawn from a stuffed specimen, in which the natural colour of the leg would not remain. Those of the lesser whitethroat are of a dark and blackish lead colour, by which the bird may be at once distinguished from the whitethroat, of which the legs are yellowish. In other respects Werner's specimen of *Sylv. Curruca* accords sufficiently with our *Sylv. silviella*, though the shape and attitude are very ill given, but this is the fault (and the usual fault) of the stuffer, not of the artist. On the whole I am confident that *Sylv. silviella* is to be struck out of the ranks in books of ornithology, and set down as a synonym to *Sylv. Curruca;* and that *Sylv. Curruca*, the blue-gray or lesser whitethroat, must take its place as a British bird common in the neighbourhood of gardens; or rather, as *Curruca* will probably be adopted as the generic name for the fruit-eating *Sylviadæ*, it must be called *Curruca silviella*.

The division of the genus *Sylvia* to which this bird belongs, and which eat fruit and vegetables, are not in general pugnacious like those that live upon insects; but the blue-gray, although the smallest species, is more quarrelsome than the rest. It likes to have undisputed possession of the pan of victuals, when disposed to feed. I have seen the little tyrant seize a large pettychaps by the neck and actually throw it behind him by a jerk of the head: but, as bullies generally are, it was very cowardly when resisted or attacked by another; when persecuted a little by a redstart which it had offended, it would make its escape, screaming as if in the last agonies.

I may take this opportunity of mentioning that birds have their resentments, and treasure up the memory of an offence, and that some of them are as fond of practical jokes as monkeys are. The redstart above mentioned, preserved its antipathy to the little blue-gray, which arose from its having once presumed to be saucy, as long as they lived together. I have noticed the commencement of a feud between two birds which has lasted for months, and rendered it necessary to separate them, originating in the one having a feather in its bill which the other wished to take from it, before which offence they had lived in perfect amity. Pulling tails is the most usual practical joke amongst them. I have a nonpareil, or painted finch, which often sits demurely upon a perch behind the other birds, and from thence makes excursions to pull their tails, poising itself upon the wing like the kestril or windhover hawk, underneath another bird, while it pulls its tail, and almost drags it from the perch, regaining its own post before the other can steady itself or look round. It is very fond of molesting, in this manner, a beautiful red bird, which had lost a foot before it reached this country, and to whom the joke is on that account particularly inconvenient; and I have been amused at observing, when the nonpareil went down soon after to feed, the red bird look down upon it with an aspect that spoke as plain as words could express it, You rascal, you are the fellow that pulled my tail. It is very singular that birds of the genus *Sylvia* reared from the nest, in confinement, are very

perhaps to those of any of our warblers, the nightingale excepted.

troublesome to each other in plucking out feathers from their companions, and swallowing the small ones. Those which are grown up before they are caught have not the same mischievous propensity.

I cannot close this note without protesting against the injudicious manner in which the genus *Sylvia* has been subdivided in the supplement to Shaw's Zoology. What can be said in favour of a system that confines the name *Sylvia* to the redbreast, bluebreast, and two European redstarts, which have no sylvan habits, and lumps the nightingale and all the aquatic warblers with the fruit-eating birds, under the name *Curruca?* There is a broad line of distinction which no ornithologist has noticed, separating the fruit-eating Sylviæ (*Curruca*, Bechst.) from the aquatic warblers and the nightingale. In all the true *Currucæ*, which live mainly on vegetable food, the inside of the mouth and throat is of a fine red: in the others of a yellow orange. Show me a nest of *Sylviadæ*, just hatched, and by that feature I shall instantly determine to which family they belong. I have seen no engravings or descriptions of birds, in which due attention has been paid to the aperture of the bill. In the true *Currucæ*, or fruit-eating birds, it descends with a curvature below the eye: in the wrens (I do not mean the troglodyte or common wren) and in the aquatic warblers or sedge birds, it is straight and anterior to the eye, the bill being in the wrens, or *Reguli*, slender and weak; in the sedge birds, of which I should make a genus *Schœnia*, strong and dilated at the base. The incomparable nightingale has a very peculiar bill, and I suspect that its two species, the larger and lesser, form a genus by themselves, unless the little known *Sylv. sericea* belong to them. But I should think it belonged to the true *Currucæ* as well as *Sylv. Nissoria*, *Orphea*, *melanocephala*, *Sarda*, *conspicillata*, and *subalpina*. If *Sylv. Provincialis* does not belong to them, but forms a separate genus as in Shaw's supplement, *Sylv. passerina* will probably be found to belong to it. It is quite an error of Bewick to give the passerine warbler as an English bird; that which he represents is not the passerine warbler, but a repetition of the *Sylv. hortensis*, with which he appears to have been imperfectly acquainted, and calls erroneously *Motacilla Hippolais*. It varies much in colour according to the age of the bird.

Three years ago I saw, beside a wide green lane in the parish of East Woodhay, in Hampshire, a pair of *Sylviæ* of the fruit-eating division, or *Currucæ*, being individuals of a species which has never been described. Mr. Sweet mentions, in his British Warblers, that he saw several one summer attacking the fruit in the garden of Mr. Bright, near Bristol, exactly answering to the description of my birds, and he adds that he never saw any of them but in that one season. Those which I saw were formed much like a whitethroat, but as large as a nightingale, the upper parts rufous, with a dark line over the eye, the under parts of a glossy silver colour, which shone very conspicuously in the sun. My attention was first attracted at a considerable distance by one of these birds sitting on a low branch of the hedge with its breast towards me. It did not stir

Blackcaps mostly haunt orchards and gardens: while they warble, their throats are wonderfully distended.

The song of the redstart is superior, though somewhat like that of the whitethroat: some birds have a few more notes than others. Sitting very placidly on the top of a tall tree in a village, the cock sings from morning to night: he affects neighbourhoods, and avoids solitude, and loves to build in orchards and about houses; with us he perches on the vane of a tall maypole.

The flycatcher is of all our summer birds the most mute and the most familiar; it also appears the last of

till I came close to it, when I perceived that there were a pair exactly alike in colour and size. They were not in the least shy, but sat very still either on a low branch of an oak tree, or on some part of the fence, and were quite mute. I remained examining them above a quarter of an hour, being at times very close to them. It was in the month of May, at which time the foliage was thin in the hedges, and very little on the oaks. They were undoubtedly breeding in the neighbourhood, but I left the country the next morning and could not investigate their habits any further. There were gardens at a very short distance from the spot where I saw them. I propose to call the species *Sylvia* (or rather *Curruca*) *Bidehensis*.—W. H.

EAST WOODHAY WARBLER.

any. It builds in a vine, or a sweetbriar, against the wall of a house, or in the hole of a wall, or on the end of a beam or plate, and often close to the post of a door where people are going in and out all day long. This bird does not make the least pretension to song, but uses a little inward wailing note when it thinks its young in danger from cats or other annoyances: it breeds but once, and retires early[8].

Selborne parish alone can and has exhibited at times more than half the birds that are ever seen in all Sweden; the former has produced more than one hundred and twenty species, the latter only two hundred and twenty-one. Let me add also that it has shown near half the species that were ever known in Great Britain[9].

On a retrospect, I observe that my long letter carries with it a quaint and magisterial air, and is very sententious; but, when I recollect that you requested stricture and anecdote, I hope you will pardon the didactic manner for the sake of the information it may happen to contain.

LETTER XLI.

TO THE SAME.

It is matter of curious inquiry to trace out how those species of soft-billed birds, that continue with us the winter through, subsist during the dead months. The imbecility of birds seems not to be the only reason

[8] I have known the spotted flycatcher build and breed twice.—W. Y.

[9] Sweden two hundred and twenty-one, Great Britain two hundred and fifty-two species.

[Ray enumerated, in 1678, one hundred and ninety species of British birds. The number at present known is about three hundred; exclusive of upwards of twenty presumed stragglers of doubtful authority.—E. T. B.]

why they shun the rigour of our winters; for the robust wryneck (so much resembling the hardy race of wood-peckers) migrates, while the feeble little golden-crowned wren, that shadow of a bird, braves our severest frosts without availing himself of houses or villages, to which most of our winter birds crowd in distressful seasons, while this keeps aloof in fields and woods; but perhaps this may be the reason why they may often perish, and why they are almost as rare as any bird we know[1].

I have no reason to doubt but that the soft-billed birds, which winter with us, subsist chiefly on insects in their aurelia state. All the species of wagtails in severe weather haunt shallow streams near their spring-

[1] I think it worthy of remark that this bird abounds in Scotland. I saw many hundreds there last autumn. In Kent I have observed only a few pairs.—RENNIE.

The golden-crested wren and the common brown wren are both very impatient of cold. In confinement, the least frost is immediately fatal to them. In a wild state, they keep themselves warm by constant active motion in the day, and at night they secrete themselves in places where the frost cannot reach them; but I apprehend that numbers do perish in severe winters. I once caught half a dozen golden wrens at the beginning of winter, and they lived extremely well upon egg and meat, being exceedingly tame. At roosting time there was always a whimsical conflict amongst them for the inside places as being the warmest, which ended of course by the weakest going to the wall. The scene began with a low whistling call amongst them to roost, and the two birds on the extreme right and left flew on the backs of those in the centre, and squeezed themselves into the middle. A fresh couple from the flanks immediately renewed the attack upon the centre, and the conflict continued till the light began to fail them. A severe frost in February killed all but one of them in one night, though in a furnished drawing-room. The survivor was preserved in a little cage by burying it every night under the sofa cushions; but having been, one sharp morning, taken from under them before the room was sufficiently warmed by the fire, though perfectly well when removed, it was dead in ten minutes. The nightingale is not much more tender of cold than a canary-bird. The golden-crowned wren very much frequents spruce fir trees and cedars, and hangs its nest under their branches: it is also fond of the neighbourhood of furze bushes, under which it probably finds warm refuge from the cold. The brown wren is very apt, in frosty weather, to roost in cowhouses, where the cattle keep it warm.—W. H.

heads, where they never freeze; and, by wading, pick out the aurelias of the genus of *Phryganeæ*, &c.[2]

Hedge sparrows frequent sinks and gutters in hard weather, where they pick up crumbs and other sweepings: and in mild weather they procure worms, which are stirring every month in the year, as any one may see that will only be at the trouble of taking a candle to a grass-plot on any mild winter's night. Redbreasts and wrens in the winter haunt out-houses, stables, and barns, where they find spiders and flies that have laid themselves up during the cold season. But the grand support of the soft-billed birds in winter is that infinite profusion of aureliæ of the *Ordo Lepidoptera*, which is fastened to the twigs of trees and their trunks; to the pales and walls of gardens and buildings; and is found in every cranny and cleft of rock or rubbish, and even in the ground itself.

Every species of titmouse winters with us; they have what I call a kind of intermediate bill between the hard and the soft, between the Linnæan genera of *Fringilla* and *Motacilla*. One species alone spends its whole time in the woods and fields, never retreating for succour in the severest seasons to houses and neighbourhoods; and that is the delicate long-tailed titmouse, which is almost as minute as the golden-crowned wren: but the blue titmouse, or nun (*Parus cæruleus*), the colemouse (*Parus ater*), the great black-headed titmouse (*Fringillago*[3]), and the marsh titmouse, (*Parus palustris*), all resort, at times, to buildings; and in hard weather particularly. The great titmouse, driven by stress of weather, much frequents houses, and, in deep snows, I have seen this bird, while it hung with its back downwards (to my no small delight and admiration), draw straws lengthwise from out the eaves of thatched houses, in order to pull out the flies that were concealed be-

[2] See Derham's Physico-Theology, p. 235.

[3] [*Parus major*, LINN.]

tween them, and that in such numbers that they quite defaced the thatch, and gave it a ragged appearance.

The blue titmouse, or nun, is a great frequenter of houses, and a general devourer. Besides insects, it is very fond of flesh; for it frequently picks bones on dunghills: it is a vast admirer of suet, and haunts butchers' shops. When a boy, I have known twenty in a morning caught with snap mousetraps, baited with tallow or suet. It will also pick holes in apples left on the ground, and be well entertained with the seeds on the head of a sunflower. The blue, marsh, and great titmice will, in very severe weather, carry away barley and oat straws from the sides of ricks.

How the wheatear and whin chat support themselves in winter cannot be so easily ascertained, since they spend their time on wild heaths and warrens; the former especially, where there are stone quarries: most probable it is, that their maintenance arises from the aureliæ of the *Ordo Lepidoptera*, which furnish them with a plentiful table in the wilderness.

I am, &c.

LETTER XLII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, March 9, 1775.

SOME future *Faunist*, a man of fortune, will, I hope, extend his visits to the kingdom of Ireland; a new field, and a country little known to the naturalist. He will not, it is to be wished, undertake that tour unaccompanied by a botanist, because the mountains have scarcely been sufficiently examined; and the southerly counties of so mild an island may possibly afford some plants little to be expected within the British dominions. A person of a thinking turn of mind will draw

many just remarks from the modern improvements of that country, both in arts and agriculture, where premiums obtained long before they were heard of with us. The manners of the wild natives, their superstitions, their prejudices, their sordid way of life, will extort from him many useful reflections. He should also take with him an able draughtsman; for he must by no means pass over the noble castles and seats, the extensive and picturesque lakes and waterfalls, and the lofty stupendous mountains, so little known, and so engaging to the imagination when described and exhibited in a lively manner: such a work would be well received.

As I have seen no modern map of Scotland, I cannot pretend to say how accurate or particular any such may be; but this I know, that the best old maps of that kingdom are very defective.

The great obvious defect that I have remarked in all maps of Scotland that have fallen in my way is, a want of a coloured line, or stroke, that shall exactly define the just limits of that district called the Highlands. Moreover, all the great avenues to that mountainous and romantic country want to be well distinguished. The military roads formed by General Wade are so great and Roman-like an undertaking that they well merit attention. My old map, Moll's Map, takes notice of Fort William; but could not mention the other forts that have been erected long since: therefore a good representation of the chain of forts should not be omitted.

The celebrated zigzag up the Coryarich must not be passed over: Moll takes notice of Hamilton and Drumlanrig, and such capital houses; but a new survey, no doubt, should represent every seat and castle remarkable for any great event, or celebrated for its paintings, &c. Lord Breadalbane's seat and beautiful policy are too curious and extraordinary to be omitted.

The seat of the Earl of Eglintoun, near Glasgow, is worthy of notice. The pine plantations of that nobleman are very grand and extensive indeed.

I am, &c.

LETTER XLIII.

TO THE SAME.

A PAIR of honey buzzards (*Buteo apivorus* sive *vespivorus*, RAII), built them a large shallow nest, composed of twigs and lined with dead beechen leaves, upon a tall slender beech near the middle of Selborne Hanger, in the summer of 1780. In the middle of the month of June a bold boy climbed this tree, though standing on so steep and dizzy a situation, and brought down an egg, the only one in the nest, which had been sat on for some time, and contained the embryo of a young bird. The egg was smaller, and not so round as those of the common buzzard; was dotted at each end with small red spots, and surrounded in the middle with a broad bloody zone.

The hen bird was shot, and answered exactly to Mr. Ray's description of that species; had a black cere, short thick legs, and a long tail. When on the wing this species may be easily distinguished from the common buzzard by its hawk-like appearance, small head, wings not so blunt, and longer tail. This specimen contained in its craw some limbs of frogs and many gray snails without shells. The *irides* of the eyes of this bird were of a beautiful bright yellow colour.

About the 10th of July in the same summer a pair of sparrow hawks bred in an old crow's nest on a low beech in the same Hanger; and as their brood, which was numerous, began to grow up, became so daring and ravenous, that they were a terror to all the dames

in the village that had chickens or ducklings under their care. A boy climbed the tree, and found the young so fledged that they all escaped from him; but discovered that a good house had been kept: the larder was well stored with provisions; for he brought down a young blackbird, jay, and house-martin, all clean picked, and some half devoured. The old birds had been observed to make sad havock for some days among the new-flown swallows and martins, which, being but lately out of their nests, had not acquired those powers and command of wing that enable them, when more mature, to set such enemies at defiance.

LETTER XLIV.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Nov. 30, 1780.

EVERY incident that occasions a renewal of our correspondence will ever be pleasing and agreeable to me.

As to the wild wood-pigeon, the *Œnas* or *Vinago* of Ray[1], I am much of your mind; and see no reason for making it the origin of the common house-dove: but suppose those that have advanced that opinion may have been misled by another appellation, often given to the *Œnas*, which is that of stock-dove[2].

[1] [*Columba Œnas*, LINN.]

[2] It is curious to observe Gilbert White declaring himself much of the same mind as Pennant in the matter of the stock-dove and the house-dove, and stating subsequently that he readily concurs with his correspondent in supposing that house-doves are derived from the small blue rock pigeon. There is no reason to imagine that White ever thought otherwise: but Pennant, whom he seems willing to allow to lead him where, in truth, he had never gone astray, was so far from this view of the subject in 1776 that he then stated expressly that the "small sort that is frequent on most of our cliffs is only a variety of the wild pigeon;" and that "the tame pigeon, and all its beautiful varieties, derive their origin from one species, the stock dove: the English name implying its being the stock or stem from which the other domestic kinds sprung."

Unless the stock-dove in the winter varies greatly in manners from itself in summer, no species seems more unlikely to be domesticated, and to make a house-dove. We very rarely see the latter settle on trees at all, nor does it ever haunt the woods; but the former, as long as it stays with us, from November perhaps to February, lives the same wild life with the ring-dove (*Palumbus torquatus*); frequents coppices and groves, supports itself chiefly by mast, and delights to roost in the tallest beeches. Could it be known in what manner stock-doves build, the doubt would be settled with me at once, provided they construct their nests on trees, like the ring-dove, as I much suspect they do[3].

You received, you say, last spring a stock-dove from Sussex; and are informed that they sometimes breed in that county. But why did not your correspondent determine the place of its nidification, whether on rocks, cliffs, or trees? If he was not an adroit ornithologist, I should doubt the fact, because people with us perpetually confound the stock-dove with the ring-dove.

For my own part, I readily concur with you in supposing that house-doves are derived from the small blue rock-pigeon, for many reasons. In the first place,

Pennant thus evidently confounded the stock-dove with the small blue rock-pigeon, and blended into one presumed species the *Columba Œnas* and *Col. Livia*.

The view taken by White is the correct one: that these two races are distinct species; and that the blue rock-pigeon is the parent of all the domesticated varieties. But it is only very recently that ornithologists have fully concurred in so regarding it: and it is possible that from time to time the stock-dove will accidentally be referred to as the origin of the domesticated pigeon by Englishmen, for to them will the error from this source be confined. The two meanings of the word stock will still occasionally mislead, and it will sometimes be forgotten that in this instance it refers only to the trees which the bird haunts.—E. T. B.

[3] The stock-dove, *Columba Œnas*, Linn., builds in trees: they are called stock-doves because they make their nests in the *stocks* or rough tops of trees that have been headed down. In default of trees to build in, they take to rabbit burrows or other holes in the ground. The rock-dove, *Columba Livia*, Temm. is the origin of the domestic breeds and is never seen to settle in a tree, unless wounded.—W. Y.

the wild stock-dove is manifestly larger than the common house-dove, against the usual rule of domestication, which generally enlarges the breed. Again, those two remarkable black spots on the remiges of each wing of the stock-dove, which are so characteristic of the species, would not, one should think, be totally lost by its being reclaimed; but would often break out among its descendants. But what is worth a hundred arguments is, the instance you give in Sir Roger Mostyn's house-doves in Caernarvonshire; which, though tempted by plenty of food and gentle treatment, can never be prevailed on to inhabit their cote for any time; but, as soon as they begin to breed, betake themselves to the fastnesses of Ormshead, and deposit their young in safety amidst the inaccessible caverns and precipices of that stupendous promontory.

"Naturam expellas furcâ, tamen usque recurret."

I have consulted a sportsman, now in his seventy-eighth year, who tells me that fifty or sixty years back, when the beechen woods were much more extensive than at present, the number of wood-pigeons was astonishing; that he has often killed near twenty in a day; and that, with a long wildfowl piece, he has shot seven or eight at a time on the wing, as they came wheeling over his head: he moreover adds, which I was not aware of, that often there were among them little parties of small blue doves, which he calls rockiers. The food of these numberless emigrants was beech mast and some acorns; and particularly barley, which they collected in the stubbles. But, of late years, since the vast increase of turnips, that vegetable has furnished a great part of their support in hard weather; and the holes they pick in these roots greatly damage the crop. From this food their flesh has contracted a rancidness which occasions them to be rejected by nicer judges of eating, who thought them before a delicate dish. They were shot not only as they were feeding in the fields,

and especially in snowy weather, but also at the close of the evening, by men who lay in ambush among the woods and groves to kill them as they came in to roost[4]. These are the principal circumstances relating to this wonderful internal migration, which with us takes place towards the end of November, and ceases early in the spring. Last winter we had, in Selborne high wood, about a hundred of these doves; but in former times the flocks were so vast, not only with us but all the district round, that on mornings and evenings they traversed the air, like rooks, in strings, reaching for a mile together. When they thus rendezvoused here by thousands, if they happened to be suddenly roused from their roost trees on an evening,

> "Their rising all at once was like the sound
> Of thunder heard remote."

It will by no means be foreign to the present purpose to add, that I had a relation in this neighbourhood who made it a practice, for a time, whenever he could procure the eggs of a ring-dove, to place them under a pair of doves that were sitting in his own pigeon-house; hoping thereby, if he could bring about a coalition, to enlarge his breed, and teach his own doves to beat out into the woods and to support themselves by mast: the plan was plausible, but something always interrupted the success; for though the birds were usually hatched, and sometimes grew to half their size, yet none ever arrived at maturity. I myself have seen these foundlings in their nest displaying a strange ferocity of nature, so as scarcely to bear to be looked at, and snapping with their bills by way of menace. In short, they always died, perhaps for want of proper sustenance: but the owner thought that by their fierce and wild demeanor they frighted their foster-mothers, and so were starved.

[4] Some old sportsmen say that the main part of these flocks used to withdraw as soon as the heavy Christmas frosts were over.

Virgil, as a familiar occurrence, by way of simile, describes a dove haunting the cavern of a rock, in such engaging numbers, that I cannot refrain from quoting the passage: and John Dryden has rendered it so happily in our language, that without further excuse I shall add his translation also.

"Qualis speluncâ subitò commota Columba,
Cui domus et dulces latebroso in pumice nidi,
Fertur in arva volans, plausumque exterrita pennis
Dat tecto ingentem—mox aëre lapsa quieto,
Radit iter liquidum, celeres neque commovet alas."

"As when a dove her rocky hold forsakes,
Roused, in a fright her sounding wings she shakes;
The cavern rings with clattering:—out she flies,
And leaves her callow care, and cleaves the skies:
At first she flutters:—but at length she springs
To smoother flight, and shoots upon her wings."

I am, &c.

LETTER I.

TO THE HONOURABLE DAINES BARRINGTON[1].

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, June 30, 1769.

WHEN I was in town last month I partly engaged that I would some time do myself the honour to write to you on the subject of natural history: and I am the more ready to fulfil my promise, because I see you are a gentleman of great candour, and one that will make allowances; especially where the writer professes to be an outdoor naturalist, one that takes his observations from the subject itself, and not from the writings of others.

The following is a list of summer birds of passage which I have discovered in this neighbourhood, ranged somewhat in the order in which they appear:

	RAII NOMINA.	USUALLY APPEARS ABOUT
1. Wryneck,	*Jynx sive torquilla:*	The middle of March: harsh note.
2. Smallest willow wren,	*Regulus non cristatus:*	March 23: chirps till September.
3. Swallow,	*Hirundo domestica:*	April 13.
4. Martin,	*Hirundo agrestis:*	Ditto.
5. Sand martin,	*Hirundo riparia:*	Ditto.
6. Blackcap,	*Atricapilla:*	Ditto: a sweet wild note.

[1] Daines Barrington, honourable by birth and respected for his talents, was well suited by the pursuits to which from choice he had devoted himself, to become the favourite correspondent of an observer like Gilbert White. The legal studies which he had originally cultivated as a professional duty, and in which he had been so successful as to have merited the office of recorder of Bristol, and to have become subsequently a Welsh

7. Nightingale,	*Luscinia:*	Beginning of April.
8. Cuckoo,	*Cuculus:*	Middle of April.
9. Middle willow wren,	*Regulus non cristatus:*	Ditto: a sweet plaintive note.
10. Whitethroat,	*Ficedulæ affinis:*	Ditto: mean note; sings on till September.
11. Redstart,	*Ruticilla:*	Middle of April: more agreeable song.
12. Stone curlew?	*Œdicnemus:*	End of March: loud nocturnal whistle.
13. Turtle-dove?	*Turtur:*	

judge, were eventually laid aside by him: although not until after they had fostered in him an attachment to antiquarian pursuits which he retained through life so strongly as to entitle him to be distinguished among his fellow students in that department of knowledge as a vice president of the Antiquarian Society. To the transactions of that body he was a frequent contributor. He also made numerous communications to the Royal Society, which were printed in the Philosophical Transactions. Many of them were afterwards republished by himself in a separate form, under the title of Miscellanies; a work alluded to with satisfaction by our historian in his Letter LI. In his essays Barrington availed himself freely of the information imparted to him by White, whose authority he repeatedly quotes, and whose merits as a "well read, ingenious, and observant" naturalist he is ever ready to acknowledge.

A large proportion of the essays in the Miscellanies are on subjects of natural history; and in many of them Daines Barrington was the advocate of views directly opposed to those of our author's other correspondent, Pennant. Thus, for instance, while Pennant felt a full conviction as to the migration of many birds, Barrington was most sceptical on the subject; and it is scarcely to be doubted that his letters to Gilbert White tended to keep alive and to increase the suspicions which the historian of Selborne always entertained that the little creatures whose presence delighted him during the summer, were still at hand, though hidden from him, in the winter. Another point on which his two correspondents disagreed was as to the authority which they attributed to Ray and to Linnæus; and White was evidently quite aware of the difference of their feelings on this subject, and humoured them so far as to accommodate himself to the wishes of each when addressing him in particular. When sending to Pennant, in his Letter XVI, a list of the summer birds of passage, the Latin names which he uses are "Linnæi nomina:" in his correspondence with Barrington, in this Letter I. and elsewhere, he designates his birds, scientifically, by "Raii Nomina." Barrington argued so warmly against the deficiencies of the Linnæan characters, and advocated so strongly the excellencies of our countryman John Ray, that he is carried on by the discussion in which he was engaged to inquire, no doubt in his estimation triumphantly, "After this comparison can there be a doubt whether the English botanist should consult Ray or Linnæus for an English plant?"—E. T. B.

14. Grasshopper lark,	*Alauda minima locustæ voce:*	Middle of April: a small sibilous note, till the end of July.
15. Swift,	*Hirundo apus:*	About April 27.
16. Less reed sparrow,	*Passer arundinaceus minor:*	A sweet polyglot, but hurrying: it has the notes of many birds.
17. Land-rail,	*Ortygometra:*	A loud harsh note, crex, crex.
18. Largest willow wren,	*Regulus non cristatus:*	"*Cantat voce stridulâ locustæ:*" end of April, on the tops of high beeches.
19. Goatsucker, or fern owl,	*Caprimulgus:*	Beginning of May: chatters by night with a singular noise.
20. Flycatcher,	*Stoparola:*	May 12. A very mute bird: this is the latest summer bird of passage.

This assemblage of curious and amusing birds belongs to ten several genera of the Linnæan system: and are all of the *Ordo* of *Passeres*, save the *Jynx* and *Cuculus*, which are *Picæ*, and the *Charadrius (Œdicnemus)* and *Rallus (Ortygometra)*, which are *Grallæ*.

These birds, as they stand numerically, belong to the following Linnæan genera:

1.	*Jynx:*	13.	*Columba:*
2, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 16, 18.	*Motacilla:*	17.	*Rallus:*
3, 4, 5, 15.	*Hirundo:*	19.	*Caprimulgus:*
8.	*Cuculus:*	14.	*Alauda:*
12.	*Charadrius:*	20.	*Muscicapa:*

Most soft-billed birds live on insects, and not on grain and seeds; and therefore at the end of summer they retire: but the following soft-billed birds, though insect-eaters, stay with us the year round:

RAII NOMINA.

Redbreast, Wren,	*Rubecula:* *Passer Troglodytes:*	These frequent houses; and haunt out-buildings in the winter: eat spiders.
Hedge sparrow,	*Curruca:*	Haunt sinks for crumbs and other sweepings.
White wagtail, Yellow wagtail, Gray wagtail,	*Motacilla alba:* *Motacilla flava:* *Motacilla cinerea:*	These frequent shallow rivulets near the spring heads, where they never freeze: eat the aureliæ of Phryganeæ. The smallest birds that walk.
Wheatear	*Œnanthe:*	Some of these are to be seen with us the winter through.
Whin chat	*Œnanthe secunda:*	

	RAII NOMINA.	
Stone chat,	*Œnanthe tertia:*	
Golden-crowned wren,	*Regulus cristatus:*	This is the smallest British bird: haunts the tops of tall trees; stays the winter through.

A list of the winter birds of passage round this neighbourhood, ranged somewhat in the order in which they appear:

1. Ring-ousel,	*Merula torquata:*	This is a new migration, which I have lately discovered, about Michaelmas week, and again about the 14th of March.
2. Redwing,	*Turdus iliacus:*	About old Michaelmas.
3. Fieldfare,	*Turdus pilaris:*	Though a percher by day, roosts on the ground.
4. Royston crow,	*Cornix cinerea:*	Most frequent on downs.
5. Woodcock,	*Scolopax:*	Appears about old Michaelmas.
6. Snipe,	*Gallinago minor:*	Some snipes constantly breed with us.
7. Jack snipe,	*Gallinago minima:*	
8. Wood-pigeon,	*Œnas:*	Seldom appears till late: not in such plenty as formerly.
9. Wild swan,	*Cygnus ferus:*	On some large waters.
10. Wild goose,	*Anser ferus:*	
11. Wild duck,	*Anas torquata minor:*	On our lakes and streams.
12. Pochard,	*Anas fera fusca:*	
13. Wigeon,	*Penelope:*	
14. Teal, breeds with us in Wolmer Forest,	*Querquedula:*	
15. Grosbeak,	*Coccothraustes:*	These are only wanderers that appear occasionally, and are not observant of any regular migration.
16. Crossbill,	*Loxia:*	
17. Silktail,	*Garrulus Bohemicus:*	

These birds, as they stand numerically, belong to the following Linnæan genera:

1, 2, 3.	*Turdus:*	9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14.	*Anas:*
4.	*Corvus:*	15, 16.	*Loxia:*
5, 6, 7.	*Scolopax:*	17.	*Ampelis.*
8.	*Columba:*		

Birds that sing in the night are but few.

Nightingale,	*Luscinia:*	"In shadiest covert hid." MILTON.
Woodlark,	*Alauda arborea:*	Suspended in mid air.
Less reed sparrow,	*Passer arundinaceus minor:*	Among reeds and willows.

I should now proceed to such birds as continue to sing after Midsummer, but as they are rather numerous, they would exceed the bounds of this paper: besides, as this is now the season for remarking on that subject, I am willing to repeat my observations on some birds concerning the continuation of whose song I seem at present to have some doubt.

I am, &c.

LETTER II.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Nov. 2, 1769.

WHEN I did myself the honour to write to you about the end of last June on the subject of natural history, I sent you a list of the summer birds of passage which I have observed in this neighbourhood; and also a list of the winter birds of passage: I mentioned besides those soft-billed birds that stay with us the winter through in the south of England, and those that are remarkable for singing in the night.

According to my proposal, I shall now proceed to such birds (singing birds strictly so called) as continue in full song till after Midsummer; and shall range them somewhat in the order in which they first begin to open as the spring advances.

	RAII NOMINA.	
1. Woodlark,	*Alauda arborea:*	In January, and continues to sing through all the summer and autumn.
2. Song-thrush,	*Turdus simpliciter dictus:*	In February, and on to August; reassume their song in autumn.
3. Wren,	*Passer troglodytes:*	All the year, hard frost excepted.
4. Redbreast,	*Rubecula:*	Ditto.
5. Hedge sparrow,	*Curruca:*	Early in February, to July the 10th.
6. Yellowhammer,	*Emberiza flava:*	Early in February, and on through July to August the 21st.

	RAII NOMINA.	
7. Skylark,	*Alauda vulgaris:*	In February, and on to October.
8. Swallow,	*Hirundo domestica:*	From April to September.
9. Blackcap,	*Atricapilla:*	Beginning of April to July 13th.
10. Titlark,	*Alauda pratorum:*	From middle of April to July the 16th.
11. Blackbird,	*Merula vulgaris:*	Sometimes in February and March, and so on to July the 23d; reassumes in autumn.
12. Whitethroat,	*Ficedulæ affinis:*	In April, and on to July 23.
13. Goldfinch,	*Carduelis:*	April, and through to September 16.
14. Greenfinch,	*Chloris:*	On to July and August 2.
15. Less reed sparrow,	*Passer arundinaceus minor:*	May, on to the beginning of July.
16. Common linnet,	*Linaria vulgaris:*	Breeds and whistles on till August; reassumes its note when they begin to congregate in October, and again early before the flocks separate.

Birds that cease to be in full song, and are usually silent at or before Midsummer:

17. Middle willow wren,	*Regulus non cristatus:*	Middle of June: begins in April.
18. Redstart,	*Ruticilla:*	Ditto: begins in May.
19. Chaffinch,	*Fringilla:*	Beginning of June: sings first in February.
20. Nightingale,	*Luscinia:*	Middle of June: sings first in April.

Birds that sing for a short time, and very early in the spring:

21. Missel-bird,	*Turdus viscivorus:*	January the 2nd, 1770, in February. Is called in Hampshire and Sussex the storm-cock, because its song is supposed to forebode windy wet weather: is the largest singing bird we have.
22. Great titmouse or ox-eye,	*Fringillago:*	In February, March, April: reassumes for a short time in September.

Birds that have somewhat of a note or song, and yet are hardly to be called singing birds:

23. Golden-crowned wren,	*Regulus cristatus:*	Its note as minute as its person; frequents the tops of high oaks and firs: the smallest British bird.

	RAII NOMINA.	
24. Marsh titmouse,	*Parus palustris:*	Haunts great woods: two harsh sharp notes.
25. Small willow-wren,	*Regulus non cristatus:*	Sings in March, and on to September.
26. Largest ditto,	*Ditto:*	*Cantat voce stridulâ locustæ;* from end of April to August.
27. Grasshopper-lark,	*Alauda minima voce locustæ:*	Chirps all night, from the middle of April to the end of July.
28. Martin,	*Hirundo agrestis:*	All the breeding time; from May to September.
29. Bullfinch,	*Pyrrhula:*	
30. Bunting,	*Emberiza alba:*	From the end of January to July.

All singing birds, and those that have any pretensions to song, not only in Britain, but perhaps the world through, come under the Linnæan *Ordo* of *Passeres.*

The abovementioned birds, as they stand numerically, belong to the following Linnæan genera:

1, 7, 10, 27.	*Alauda:*	8, 28.	*Hirundo:*
2, 11, 21.	*Turdus:*	13, 16, 19.	*Fringilla:*
3, 4, 5, 9, 12, 15. 17, 18, 20, 23, 25, 26,	*Motacilla:*	22, 24.	*Parus:*
		14, 29.	*Loxia.*
6, 30.	*Emberiza:*		

Birds that sing as they fly are but few:

Skylark,	*Alauda vulgaris:*	Rising, suspended, and falling.
Titlark,	*Alauda pratorum:*	In its descent; also sitting on trees, and walking on the ground.
Woodlark,	*Alauda arborea:*	Suspended; in hot summer nights all night long.
Blackbird,	*Merula vulgaris:*	Sometimes from bush to bush.
Whitethroat,	*Ficedulæ affinis:*	Uses when singing on the wing odd jerks and gesticulations.
Swallow,	*Hirundo domestica:*	In soft sunny weather.
Wren,	*Passer troglodytes:*	Sometimes from bush to bush.

Birds that breed most early in these parts:

Raven,	*Corvus:*	Hatches in February and March.
Song-thrush,	*Turdus:*	In March.
Blackbird,	*Merula vulgaris:*	In March.
Rook,	*Cornix frugilega:*	Builds the beginning of March.
Woodlark,	*Alauda arborea:*	Hatches in April.
Ring dove,	*Palumbus torquatus:*	Lays the beginning of April.

All birds that continue in full song till after Midsummer appear to me to breed more than once.

Most kinds of birds seem to me to be wild and shy somewhat in proportion to their bulk; I mean in this island, where they are much pursued and annoyed: but in Ascension Island, and many other desolate places, mariners have found fowls so unacquainted with a human figure, that they would stand still to be taken; as is the case with boobies, &c. As an example of what is advanced, I remark that the golden crested wren (the smallest British bird) will stand unconcerned till you come within three or four yards of it, while the bustard *(Otis)*, the largest British land fowl, does not care to admit a person within so many furlongs[1].

BUSTARD.

[1] The bustard is extinct in Scotland; and as it is now so scarce in England, owing to population and enclosures, it becomes interesting to

LETTER III.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Jan. 15, 1770.

IT was no small matter of satisfaction to me to find that you were not displeased with my little *Methodus* of birds. If there was any merit in the sketch, it must be owing to its punctuality. For many months I carried a list in my pocket of the birds that were to be remarked, and, as I rode or walked about my business, I noted each day the continuance or omission of each bird's song; so that I am as sure of the certainty of my facts as a man can be of any transaction whatsoever.

I shall now proceed to answer the several queries which you put in your two obliging letters, in the best manner that I am able. Perhaps Eastwick, and its environs, where you heard so very few birds, is not a woodland country, and therefore not stocked with such songsters. If you will cast your eye on my last letter, you will find that many species continued to warble after the beginning of July.

The titlark and yellowhammer breed late, the latter very late; and therefore it is no wonder that they protract their song: for I lay it down as a maxim in ornithology, that as long as there is any incubation going on there is music. As to the redbreast and wren, it is well known to the most incurious observer that they

remark, that two birds of this kind (male and female) have been kept in the garden ground belonging to the Norwich Infirmary, and have but lately been sold by the owner of them. The male bird was very beautiful and courageous, apparently afraid of nothing, seizing any one that came near him by the coat; yet on the appearance of any small hawk, high in the air, he would squat close to the ground expressing strong marks of fear. The female was very shy. A tolerably good resemblance of the male is in Pennant's British Zoology, vol i. p. 281.—MITFORD.

whistle the year round, hard frost excepted; especially the latter.

It was not in my power to procure you a blackcap, or a less reed-sparrow, or sedge bird, alive. As the first is undoubtedly, and the last, as far as I can yet see, a summer bird of passage, they would require more nice and curious management in a cage than I should be able to give them[1]: they are both distinguished songsters. The note of the former has such a wild sweetness that it always brings to my mind those lines in a song in "As You Like It."

> "And tune his merry note
> Unto the *wild* bird's throat."
>
> SHAKSPEARE.

The latter has a surprising variety of notes resembling the song of several other birds; but then it has

[1] [In the preceding edition several Notes by the late Mr. Sweet, introduced in various parts of the volume, were principally directed to the supplying of information on the habits in confinement of many of the more delicate birds, and on the care and treatment necessary for them in captivity: the success of that well-known horticulturist in preserving these interesting creatures has never been exceeded. His observations bring many of them before us under circumstances in which they were not studied by Gilbert White, and convey to us, in consequence, additional knowledge respecting them: while to those who may be desirous of retaining in captivity any of these sweetest of songsters, it will be advantageous to be made acquainted with the plan which he pursued with respect to those that were from time to time under his care. His Notes are here subjoined in a single series; preceded by his general observations on the]

FOOD OF SOFT-BILLED BIRDS *(Sylviadæ)*.—The birds of this sort, though the finest songsters and most interesting of all the feathered tribe, have been less known or noticed than others, probably owing to the greater number only visiting us in summer, when the trees are so densely clothed with foliage, that birds are not easily seen, and when heard sing, are generally considered by those who hear them, to be either blackbirds or thrushes, or some of the more common singing birds. When they are seen the greater number of them receive the general appellation of whitethroats without distinction, though this is rather singular, since they are all very distinct when examined, and their songs are all very different. If you speak to a bird-fancier or bird-catcher about any of them, you might as well talk of a bird in the wilds of America, for they know nothing of them. Many of them are therefore difficult to be procured in the neighbourhood of London, though most of them are plentiful there.

With care, the whole of them may be preserved in good health through the year, and many of them will sing through the greater part of the

also a hurrying manner, not at all to its advantage: it is notwithstanding a delicate polyglot.

winter, if properly managed. They require to be kept warm: the room in which they are should never be allowed to be below temperate, or they will suffer from it, particularly the tender sorts; at first, the cold will make them lose their sight, after which they seldom recover. The redstart and nightingale are most subject to this; it sometimes also happens to the greater pettychaps or garden warbler, and also to the whin chat.

When in a wild state, the birds of this sort feed principally on insects, or fruit and berries of various kinds. None of them are seed birds, so that they must be managed accordingly. The general food which I give them is hempseed bruised up in boiling water, as small as it can be made. I then put to this about the same quantity, or rather more, of bread, on which is also poured boiling water; and then the whole is bruised up together into a moist paste, particular care being required that there be little or no salt in the bread, for should there be rather much, it will kill the whole of the birds. The food should also be mixed up fresh every morning, as it soon spoils and turns sour, in which case the birds will not touch it, and sometimes it will make them go off their food altogether. When given to the birds, some fresh, raw, lean meat ought to be cut up small enough for them to swallow, and mixed with it. I generally put about the same quantity of meat as paste, and sometimes they will peck out the meat and leave the paste; at other times they will eat the paste and leave the meat; but in general they eat it all up together, particularly where several different species are kept together in the same large cage; a plan which I consider by far the best, as they amuse each other, and keep one another warm in cold weather. Besides the above food, an egg should be boiled very hard, the yolk taken out and crumbled or cut in small pieces for them: the white they will not eat. One egg I consider enough for twenty birds for one day, with their other food, it being only intended as a change of diet, without which they will not continue well in health.

Some of the sorts which feed on insects when wild should have some of these preserved for them through the winter, except where they can be procured at all seasons. At a baker's shop, for instance, there are always plenty of mealworms, crickets, and cockroaches, of which most of these birds are very fond. When those are not to be procured, a good substitute is the large white caterpillar that produces the cockchafer, which in some years is very plentiful, and may be kept in pots of turfy earth through the winter; as may also the maggots of the blue bottle fly, if procured late in autumn; and they may be generally had as late as December. A quantity of these kept in a pot of turfy earth in a cellar or any other cool place, where they may not turn into flies too soon, is I think one of the best sorts of insects, and easiest kept and procured, for such birds through the winter. They will not touch them until they are well cleaned in the mould, but are then very fond of them, and a few every day keeps them in excellent health, and provokes them to sing.

The Nightingale, (Philomela Luscinia, SWAINS.)—One of the finest songsters of the feathered race, generally visiting us, about London, the be-

It is new to me that titlarks in cages sing in the night; perhaps only caged birds do so. I once knew a

ginning of April; in Somersetshire it seldom arrives till the middle or latter end of that month, and sometimes not till the beginning of May: Devonshire, and Cornwall, and some other counties, it does not visit at all: it generally leaves us again the beginning of September. Its song, when wild, is very fine, but lasts but a few weeks: to have it in the greatest perfection is, to have a good bird in a cage, where, if it be a very kindly one, it will begin singing the beginning of December, and continue till June. I had a very fine one that only left off singing the latter end of June last; it began again a little in September, and on the 1st of December it was in full song, and continued to sing through the whole of the month, and nearly all day long, as fine as if at Midsummer, and would have continued on had not the frost set in so severe: when singing in a cage none of the soft notes are lost; they are all heard quite clear, which is not the case when heard in the woods or hedges.

The best way to be certain of a good nightingale is to get one that is just caught in spring; for there is no dependance on a young one bred up from the nest, or a young brancher, except it be kept with a good old bird, to learn its proper notes from; a young one being apt to catch all it hears, good or bad, and to be deficient of many of its natural ones. I had one three years, and it never sang worth any thing: the year before last I turned it out, and it continued in the gardens round the house until it left the country in autumn; it returned back to the same place last spring, where I recognised it by its bad song, and it continued about the same place all the summer, and bred up a nest of young ones. A female that I had also been keeping for six years, to see if she would breed, I also turned out with him, but whether she came back and was partner in the nest, I cannot say, as I had no mark to know her by: this female I kept four years, and it never attempted to sing; the fifth year it sang frequently, a pretty soft nightingale's note. I have found that the case with several female birds, they do not sing till they become aged; but it is not a rule universally applicable, as I have had a female willow wren that sang when quite young.

I treat my nightingales in exactly the same manner as is before mentioned, which is at variance with the bird-fanciers' method, who feed them on grated beef and egg, and German paste; but I have never heard of any being kept many years on that food: the German paste I do not approve at all, as the maw-seeds, honey, sugar, and such out of the way ingredients, I am convinced must be very injurious to their health. The best thing to keep them in good health and spirits is, to give them as much insect food as possible, and there are scarcely any insects they will refuse except hairy caterpillars: they are particularly fond of ants and their eggs, for which they will leave any other food; they are also very partial to all sorts of smooth caterpillars, earwigs, crickets, grasshoppers, cockroaches, common maggots, and meal-worms: but there is nothing that all the birds of this tribe are so fond of, as the young larvæ in the combs of wasps and hornets; they will even eat them after they become winged. I have, when a boy, kept nightingales, blackcaps, the greater pettychaps, and whitethroats, for two months at a time on nothing else.

tame redbreast in a cage that always sang as long as candles were in the room; but in their wild state no one supposes they sing in the night.

The Blackcap, (Curruca Atricapilla, Bechst.)—Of all the birds that reside in or visit the British islands, there is none that can come up to the present for song, except the nightingale; and by some persons it is more admired than even that bird. Its earliest arrival in this country is generally about the first week in April, and the earliest that ever I saw was on the 25th of March: they leave us again about the end of September; sometimes a straggling one may be seen the beginning of October; the latest I ever saw in a wild state was on the 15th of that month. When it first arrives in this country, its chief food is the early ripened berries of the ivy; and where those are, there the blackcaps are first to be heard, singing their melodious and varied song. By the time the ivy berries are over, the little green larvæ of the small moths will be getting plentiful, rolled up in the young shoots and leaves; these then become their chief food, until the strawberries and cherries are ripe: after that, there is no want of fruit or berries till their return, and there is no sort of fruit or berry that is eatable, or wholesome, that they will refuse. After they have cleared the elder-berries in autumn, they immediately leave us.

This is certainly by far the most desirable of all birds for the cage, and there is none that can be more easily kept; their general food is the same as that for the other birds already mentioned, bruised hempseed and bread, with some fresh, lean, raw meat mixed up in it; they do not even refuse a bit of fat, and a little yolk of egg: occasionally a few insects may be given, particularly if the birds appear not well; now and then a fly, a green or brown caterpillar from a cabbage, or a spider: they care very little for insects if they have plenty of fruit, and other changes of food; although, like other birds of this tribe, they are particularly fond of the larva in the wasp and hornet combs*.

---

* The blackcaps are very vivacious in a cage, if well taken care of. Milk, which Mr. Sweet recommends, I have found very fatal to many of the soft billed birds, and I never give it; but the blackcaps do not seem to suffer from it†. They are very fond of a boiled carrot mashed and moistened, or beet root boiled and mashed. A boiled carrot will keep fresh many days in a basin of cold water, and is an excellent substitute for fruit in feeding them. Boiled cabbage, cauliflower, and green peas, are good for them: as well as all sorts of puddings. A very little roast meat minced, I give them every day; and a little yolk of egg when it suits, but it is not necessary. The standard food is hempseed ground in a coffee mill, and bread crumbs scalded and mashed up together, and fresh every day. They are very fond of ripe pears and elder-berries (but elder-berries stain the cage very much), currants, cherries, honeysuckle, and privet berries.—W. H.

† I have more than once given the blackcap and other birds a little milk by way of medicine, when they appeared drooping or sickly, and with manifest advantage.—Rennie.

I should be almost ready to doubt the fact, that there are to be seen much fewer birds in July than in any

What makes the blackcap more desirable is, that it is more hardy than any of the other species, except the whitethroat, which is also a delightful bird. The blackcap sings almost the whole of the year, if kept in good health, only stopping a few weeks while moulting, and even then I have known it break out into song. If bred up from the nest, they may be taught any tune, or the song of one or more sorts of birds ; the nightingale's song they learn readily, also that of the blackbird, thrush, greater pettychaps, redstart, and most probably any other; but without learning those, their own song is delightful: but it is impossible to keep some of them from mocking other birds, as they even do so when flying wild, and they have generally a favourite note which they repeat more frequently than any other.

The Whitethroat, (Curruca cinerea, BECHST.)—One of the most delightful and pleasing birds that can be imagined if kept in a large cage with other birds: it is so full of anticks in flying and frisking about, and erecting its crest, generally singing all the time, that certainly nothing can be more amusing. It is also quite as hardy as the blackcap, and if a good one be procured it is little inferior in song; but in this they all vary considerably, the wild ones as well as those in a cage. I have had one in my possession about eleven years, which is in as good health, and sings as well as ever, being now in full song while writing this account, and certainly no song need be louder, sweeter, or more varied: it is of the same temper as a nightingale, never suffering itself to be outdone. The following is an account of the same bird, published in the first number of my British Warblers in 1823. "One that I at present possess will sing for hours together against a nightingale, now in the beginning of January, and it will not suffer itself to be outdone; when the nightingale raises its voice it also does the same, and tries its utmost to get above it; sometimes in the midst of its song it will run up to the nightingale and stretch out its neck as if in defiance, and whistle as loud as it can, staring it in the face: if the nightingale attempts to peck it, away it is in an instant, flying round the aviary and singing all the time."

In a wild state, the present species is generally to be found in hedges and gardens, and is the most common of our British warblers, visiting us the beginning or middle of April, and leaving us towards the end of September: sometimes a solitary one may be seen in October, but not frequently. The same sort of food as recommended for the two last species is perfectly suitable to the present, with occasionally the addition of a few flies, of which it is particularly fond, or a rose branch covered with *Aphides* will please very much.

The lesser Whitethroat, (Curruca Sylviella, BECHST.)—A handsome little lively species, nearly related to the whitethroat, but more elegant, smaller, and of a purer colour, its throat being as white as snow: it generally visits us the beginning or middle of April, and leaves us again the end of August or beginning of September. Its song is not so agreeable as most of the other species; it is however soft and pretty, and very different from any other: it is also more valuable by being much more rare; in some

former month, notwithstanding so many young are hatched daily. Sure I am, that it is far otherwise with

seasons very few visit us, in others they are sufficiently plentiful. Its habits are somewhat similar to the whitethroat, but it is much more quarrelsome; sometimes so much so that it must be taken from the other birds, or it will worry them to death, even if they are double its size.

In confinement, it will soon become tame and familiar, and will readily take to feed on bread and milk, and also on bruised hemp-seed and bread. One that I bred up from the nest became so attached to its cage, that it could not be prevailed upon to quit it for any length of time; when the door of it was set open, it would generally come out quickly and first perch on the door, then mount to the top of the cage, from which it would fly to any other cages that were in the room, and catch such flies as came within its reach; sometimes it would descend to the floor, or perch on a table or chair, and would fly up and take a fly out of the hand, or drink milk out of a spoon if invited: of this it was very fond. As soon as it was the least frightened it would fly immediately to its cage, first on the top, thence to the door, and would enter in exactly as it came out. I have often hung it out at the window perched on the top of its cage, with the door open, and it would never attempt to fly away; sometimes if a fly should happen to pass near it, it would fly off and catch it, and return with it to the top of the cage; after remaining there a considerable time it would either return into it, or fly in at the window and perch on the cages of the other birds.

It requires precisely the same treatment as the whitethroat, in food and management, but is rather more tender.

The Garden Warbler, (Curruca hortensis, Bechst.)—This interesting species is scarcely inferior to the blackcap in song, and by some persons is even preferred; its song is certainly very loud and musical, its notes very deep and harmonious, but it wants the blackcap's lively, shrill, and cheerful song. It is, however, a great favourite with me, as its song is so different from any other bird, and has a sort of foreign sound with it; the song is of great length and very variable in the notes, which it raises and lowers in a curious manner. Although so fine a songster, and in some years very plentiful, it is quite unknown amongst the bird-catchers and bird fanciers; but it is, since my publication on the British Warblers with figures, becoming to be known a little about London, where it is now known by the name of garden warbler. It is more tender than the blackcap, and will not succeed well, if the room in which it is kept be allowed to get below temperate in winter. Its food is entirely the same as the blackcap, fruit and berries being its favourite food; but it is also very partial to some sorts of insects, particularly caterpillars, moths, and butterflies, of which it will swallow enormously large ones: grasshoppers and crickets it is also fond of, and it is very curious to see what very large ivy-berries it will swallow whole. There is no occasion to make the least difference between the food of the present and the blackcap; and when in a cage they will agree very well together, and succeed much better than when kept separate.

It is one of the latest visitants to this country, seldom arriving till

respect to the swallow tribe, which increases prodigiously as the summer advances: and I saw, at the

towards the middle of May, when it is readily detected by its loud song, which I believe is often mistaken for that of the blackbird; this it continues the greater part of the time it stops with us, which is seldom later than the beginning of September, sometimes not later than the end of August. While in this country its food is chiefly fruit and berries: when none can be procured, caterpillars, moths, and butterflies are substituted instead, and with those it chiefly brings up its young. I have had a bird of this species in perfect health in a cage for seven years.

The Grasshopper Warbler, (Salicaria Locustella, Selb.)—The present species is known amongst bird-catchers by the name of the grasshopper lark, as it was originally placed amongst the larks by ornithologists: but it has been very properly removed from them by later authors, as it wants the most characteristic mark of that family, namely, its long claw. It is a very rare bird in the neighbourhood of London*, and I have never been able to procure but one of them, which I lost the first winter by letting it wash too much: in confinement it requires the same sort of management as recommended for the last species, and it will succeed very well. I am not acquainted with their song, never having lived in any neighbourhood where they visit, but I have been credibly informed that they have none, but a note like the chirping of the grasshopper: this may probably be the case; but I have often heard the same report of some of our finest songsters, which people had confused with very common birds, there being very few who do not confuse under the general name of whitethroats the common flycatcher, both whitethroats, the greater pettychaps, the blackcaps when young, and many even confuse with these the willow wren, the wood wren, and lesser pettychaps. This tribe of birds, being only summer visitants, are less known than any others.

These birds are not uncommon in several parts of England, they are said to be plentiful on Malmesbury Common, Wiltshire, in summer, where they breed; they are also frequently seen in Norfolk and Suffolk, and in various other parts, where they build their nest among some high

* I have seen a great number of these birds on a furzy piece of ground in Acton near London, by the side of the Paddington Canal. The furze was thick and tall at the lower end of the common near the water, and the male birds continued uttering their singular song on all sides of me, sitting on the tallest branches of the furze; but, the moment I tried to approach any one of them, it secreted itself in the thicket underneath. They frequented no other part of the common, and the furze in that quarter having been since cut down, not one was to be seen there the following year. When it shall have grown to a sufficient height, the birds will probably resort there again in the breeding season. They must be sought where the ground is rather spongy and covered with thick furze in the neighbourhood of water. I have seen a nest of the young brought into London. Those who wish to discover the nest, must attack the furze thicket, where it is carefully secreted.—W. H.

time mentioned, many hundreds of young wagtails on the banks of the Cherwell, which almost covered the

grass or sedge, in which it is so concealed that it is with difficulty found, except by watching the old birds carrying food to their young ones; or when building, they may be seen carrying materials to construct their nest.

In a wild state these birds feed entirely on insects, such as flies, moths, butterflies, spiders, ants, and their eggs, small beetles, and numerous other sorts, so that in confinement they will frequently require insect food.

The Reed Warbler, (Salicaria arundinacea, Selb.)—This is a very variable bird in its colours, some being of a very pale colour, and others altogether as dark, and those that are pale one season, frequently become dark the ensuing one. It is a curious little lively bird, often known by the name of reed wren. It generally makes its appearance with us in the beginning of April, and leaves us in September, its early or late departure seeming to depend a good deal on the warmth or coolness of the season. It is a very merry bird, almost continually singing, and will sing by night as well as day, sitting amongst the reeds, or in some bush or tree near the water, where it feeds on the gnats and other insects that frequent moist situations. It is very fond of flies, spiders, small caterpillars, moths, grasshoppers, crickets, and many other insects, and will swallow a larger one than could be imagined for so small a bird.

In confinement, it will feed readily on the general food, but is also very fond of the yolk of an egg boiled hard, so that it may be crumbled on the top of the other food, or put in the cage in an empty egg-shell; it should also be occasionally supplied with a few insects, such as flies, spiders, small caterpillars, moths, or butterflies. Being an inhabitant of the sides of ditches and rivers, it is very partial to washing, which it must not be allowed to do in winter, or it will wash itself until it is so weak that it may never recover.

The Sedge Warbler, (Salicaria Phragmitis, Selb.)—In habit and manner, the present species approaches to the former, but is a much handsomer bird, though not so rare. It frequents the sides of ditches, ponds, and rivers, like the last species, where it pours forth its variable diurnal and nocturnal song almost incessantly, on its first arrival to this country, which is generally the beginning of April. It leaves us again about the middle of September. It builds its nest in a thicket of reeds, or other tall water-grass, on which it is fastened with the webs of caterpillars, similar to that of the former, which is bound to the branches of trees so that no wind or storm can move it.

The song of the present species is somewhat similar to that of the last, but is more shrill and chattering. Some people prefer it to that of the reed bird, but I do not, as it wants several fine deep notes that the other possesses. It is also an imitative bird, its song being intermixed with the call of the sparrow, and parts of the songs of other birds. Its food is precisely the same as that of the last species, and in confinement the treatment for both must be exactly alike.

meadows. If the matter appears as you say in the other species, may it not be owing to the dams being

The Yellow Wren, (Sylvia Trochilus, LATH.)—This is another little favourite songster, and a most deserving one it is. It visits us the latter end of March, or beginning of April, and leaves us again the end of September or beginning of October. On its first arrival it enlivens our woods and groves with its lively piercing song, and gay frolics, flying about from tree to tree, catching the small gnats and flies that come in its way. It builds its nest on the ground in a thicket amongst dead leaves and moss, with a dome-formed covering, of the same materials as those lying all around, so that it is impossible to find it without watching one of the old ones to the nest, which in general consists of six or seven young ones. They may either be brought up from the nest, or caught wild, being easily tamed. When first put in the cage with a tame bird, the general food, bread and milk, and eggs, should be stuck full of small flies, *Aphides*, small caterpillars, or other small insects, in picking out which it will taste the other food, and take to eat it readily. It soon becomes very tame in confinement. One that I caught in September was, in three days afterwards, let out of the aviary into the room to catch the flies, which were numerous at that season: after amusing itself for some time in catching flies, it began singing; it did the same several other times when it was let out, and in a few days began to sing in the aviary. It soon became so familiar that it would take flies out of the hand, and when out in the room, if a fly was held towards it, it would fly up and take it immediately.

Although the present species is so small a bird, it is very courageous, being generally the master of the cage; and as it is so fine a songster, and almost continually in song, no little bird can be more desirable in a cage with other birds, its note when in full song being so loud and shrill, that its voice is plainly heard above the nightingale when both are in full song.

The Wood Wren, (Sylvia sibilatrix, BECHST.)—This elegant and beautiful little species ranks itself among my list of favourites. It visits this country in the beginning of April, and leaves it in August or the beginning of September. It is generally found in summer amongst tall trees in woods and plantations, where it is readily detected on its arrival by a shrill shaking sort of note, that may be heard at a great distance, and cannot be confounded with that of any other bird. On its first arrival it sings the greater part of the day, and continues its song more or less through the summer, except at the time it is engaged in feeding its young. Its nest is built on the ground in a thicket among moss and dead leaves, so that it is impossible to find it without watching one of the old ones to the nest, which is easily done when they have young. They may either be tamed or reared from the nest, and are not difficult to be caught when young with a little birdlime at the end of a fishing rod, as may several other species of this interesting tribe.

As the present species feeds entirely upon insects when wild, the greater part of which it catches on the wing, it will be useless to give it

engaged in incubation, while the young are concealed by the leaves ?

any sort of fruit or berry; but bread and milk, bruised hempseed and bread, with bits of fresh lean meat cut very small and mixed up in it, should be its general food. It is also very fond of the yolk of an egg boiled hard, and crumbled small, or stirred up with the point of a knife that it may peck it out of the shell as it likes. Sometimes they are apt to go off their other food, and will live on egg several days. At such times, if a few flies could be procured for them it would be the most likely means to restore them to their appetite.

In the cages of all the birds already mentioned, I generally keep a pan of water, that they may wash when they please, which they are very fond of doing: but the present, like the reed and sedge warblers and the whitethroat, should not be allowed to wash much in winter, or they will kill themselves with it. A little cup just large enough for them to get their head in filled with water is sufficient in the winter season, but they may be allowed to wash frequently in summer.

The Wheatear, (Saxicola Œnanthe, Bechst.)—The present interesting species generally arrives in this country about the middle of March, and mostly leaves it again the latter end of September or the beginning of October; I, one year, saw a pair in Hyde Park as late as the 17th of November. In a wild state they are generally to be found on downs and commons, and in Sussex some hundred dozens are caught annually by the shepherds, who sell them for the sake of their flesh, which is very delicious, particularly in autumn, when they become very fat.

This is a very interesting bird in confinement, and is almost continually singing; it will also sing by night, as well as by day, if there is a light in the room where it is kept: it has a very pleasant, variable, agreeable song, different from all other birds, which, in confinement, it continues all the winter. When a pair of them are kept together in a large cage or aviary it is very amusing to see them at play with each other, flying up and down, and spreading open their long wings in a curious manner, dancing and singing at the same time. I have very little doubt but a young bird, brought up from the nest, might be taught to talk, as they are very imitative.

When wild, the present species feeds entirely on insects, so that the more it has given it when in confinement the better: there are very few sorts that it will refuse; small beetles, cockroaches, crickets, grasshoppers, most sorts of caterpillars, butterflies, moths, earwigs, woodlice, the common maggots, and almost all other sorts of insects, it is very fond of, and the more that is given it, the finer will be its song. Its common food is bruised hempseed and bread, intermixed with fresh, raw, lean meat, as mentioned in the general observations, to be the general food of the whole tribe; also a little of the yolk of an egg boiled hard occasionally for a change.

The Whin Chat, (Saxicola Rubetra, Bechst.)—This pretty species is known by the name of furze chat and whin chat, and is also often confounded with the stone chat, which is a very different species. It gene-

Many times have I had the curiosity to open the stomachs of woodcocks and snipes; but nothing ever

rally visits this country in the beginning of April, and leaves us towards the end of September: all the fore part of the season visiting commons, where it may be seen on the furze bushes, flying backwards and forwards after the insects that pass. It builds its nest on the ground in a thicket, which it covers up with dry grass, so that it is impossible to find it without watching the old ones, either in carrying materials to build, or food to their young. I have generally found them with six or seven young ones, which with care are easily bred up from the nest, keeping them warm, dry, and clean, and feeding them with the same sort of food as recommended for the old ones: they should not be taken till quite fledged, and should at first be placed in a little basket with a cover, as they will then readily open their mouths for food. I consider those reared from the nest much the best, or at least such as are caught very young, as they may then be taught any tune, or will learn the song of any bird they hear, their own song not being a very good one.

This bird may be considered as one of the tenderest of the tribe, being very susceptible of cold. It is one of my greatest favourites. One that I bred from the nest by hand learned the song of the whitethroat, the redstart, willow wren, nightingale, and also that of a missel thrush, which it frequently heard singing in a garden near; of this latter song it was so fond, that we were frequently obliged to put our favourite out of the room, not being able to bear its loud tune. It was certainly the best bird I ever kept of any kind, singing nearly the whole year through, and varying its song continually; the only fault was its strong voice. At last our favourite was turned out of its cage by a mischievous servant on a cold winter day, when we were from home for about an hour, and we could not entice it back: it most probably died from the cold, or took its flight to a warmer region. I scarcely entertain any hopes of ever getting such another.

The food of the present species is precisely the same as the last.

The Stone Chat, (Saxicola rubicola, Bechst.)—This, like the preceding, is generally to be found on hills and commons, harbouring chiefly amongst the furze bushes. It feeds, as far as I have observed, entirely on insects. It is not so tender as the whin chat; for some few of them occasionally stay in this country all the winter. Its food is precisely the same as the last, feeding, when wild, on small beetles, flies, ants, and their eggs, as also all sorts of butterflies, moths, caterpillars, woodlice, and various other insects. In confinement the food must be the same as that of the last species.

They soon become very tame, and if bred up from the nest will learn the notes of other birds, which are in general better than their own: their own song, though loud, is very short, but they have a strong voice to repeat the notes of another bird.

The Redstart, (Phœnicura Ruticilla, Swains.)—This is one of the handsomest of the British species of *Sylviadæ*, visiting us the latter end of March or beginning of April: the earliest arrival I ever noticed was the

occurred that helped to explain to me what their subsistence might be: all that I could ever find was a soft mucus, among which lay many pellucid small gravels.

I am, &c.

25th of March. They generally leave us the beginning of September. When they first arrive, they mostly frequent old buildings or outhouses, for the sake of flies and small insects that often abound there. They build their nest in a hole or crevice of a wall, or in a hollow tree. They frequently ascend to the top of the highest tree within their haunt, and there sit, sometimes for a considerable time, pouring out their quick and sort of fretful song. When kept in confinement, I consider it the most sensible, and if brought up from the nest, the most attached, of all small birds; but it may be considered the most tender of the whole tribe. It is a real mocker, and if bred up from the nest, will learn the note or call of almost any other bird. It will also learn a tune that is whistled or sung to it, and will sing by night as well as by day, if a light be kept in the room where it is.

I was in possession of a handsome male bird of this species, which I kept more than six years. It became very tame: though an old wild bird when first caught, it was so attached to its cage, that one day having got its liberty, it flew away into the gardens, where it staid six or seven hours, after which it returned to its cage again. In the year 1825, I saw a female bird of this species so late as the 21st of November, flying about as lively as at Midsummer: it had probably escaped or been turned out of a cage. The same sort of food as is recommended for the yellow wren is equally applicable to the present: when in confinement it is particularly partial to ants and their eggs, and to the common maggots.—Sweet.

[Birds kept in confinement are doubtless more subject to ailments than those that have the free range of their natural haunts: and a knowledge of the afflictions to which they are liable is important to those who charge themselves with the care of such pets. Mr. Herbert has entered largely into this subject in the subjoined note on the]

Diseases of Birds.—In a note concerning the instinct of birds (p. 61,) I have had occasion to mention that some kinds are subject to a paralytic stroke depriving them instantaneously of the use of their legs at a tender age, and when a little older to a succession of epileptic fits which usually prove fatal at last, in consequence of washing in cold water in confinement under a low temperature. Such epileptic fits are also frequently brought on by fear; blackcaps, and blue-grays or lesser whitethroats, and pettychaps, which have been fed in a cage through the wires by the old birds, are very subject to be attacked, when they grow up, with epilepsy; which appears to arise from the agitation of nerve which has existed while the old birds were with them, and timidity after they are withdrawn; and, when the system is so affected, a bird flying across the room, or close to the window, will occasion a fit by the sudden alarm it creates. The titmouse tribe, which are in constant activity when at liberty, are particularly subject to apoplexy and epilepsy when confined

LETTER IV.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Feb. 19, 1770.

YOUR observation, that "the cuckoo[1] does not deposit its egg indiscriminately in the nest of the first bird that

in cages, and can only be kept in health by a very meagre diet. The beautiful bearded titmice, which are brought to us from Holland, where they abound in the beds of reed, are fond of meat and egg, but they die of apoplexy, if they have any better diet than crumbs of bread and hemp or mawseed. The same is the case with the little blue titmouse; and the larger black-headed titmouse or oxbird, being of a stronger constitution, will be attacked by epilepsy, if well fed while in a cage.

I had one of these, which was taken out of a nest the gardener was about to destroy, and reared by hand. It continued quite healthy while growing, but soon after it came to its size it was affected with epilepsy, the fits gradually becoming more frequent till at last it had above a dozen in a day, each of which was expected to prove fatal. In consequence of its illness I had frequently shut it out of window, being desirous of giving it the chance of recovering health and liberty together, but it never would go away, but continued pecking at the glass for admittance, or, if

---

[1] Since this letter of Mr. White's, much has been added to our knowledge of the cuckoo, by the patient attention of Dr. Jenner.

Concerning the singing of the cuckoo, mentioned by Mr. White at p. 235, I will add the following curious memoranda from the seventh volume of the Transactions of the Linnean Society. "The cuckoo begins early in the season with the interval of a *minor third*, the bird then proceeds to a *major third*, next to a *fourth*, then a *fifth*, after which the voice breaks without attaining a *minor sixth*." This curious circumstance was, however, observed very long ago; and it forms the subject of an epigram, in that scarce black-letter volume, the "Epigrams of John Heywood, 1587."

OF USE, 95.

"Use maketh maistry, this hath been said alway,
But all is not alway, as all men do say,
In April, the koocoo can sing her song by rote,
In June, of tune, she cannot sing a note;
At first, koo coo, koo coo sing still can she do;
At last, kooke, kooke, kooke; six kookes to one koo!"

MITFORD.

comes in its way, but probably looks out a nurse in some degree congenerous, with whom to intrust its young," is perfectly new to me; and struck me so forcibly, that I naturally fell into a train of thought that led me to consider whether the fact was so, and what reason there was for it. When I came to recollect and

it went a little off, speedily returning, so that it had been several times taken in again; for when admission was positively refused, it found its way into a bedchamber by some open window, and was found there half starved. It was at last turned loose in my room, where it established itself on the top of one of two large cages which were in different parts of the room, and some food was placed there for it. It continued more than a twelvemonth there, frequently flying round the room, but never perching on any thing but the top of one of the two cages, which, much to my convenience, it seemed to think the only fit position for a bird to occupy. While at liberty to exercise itself by flight round the room it was perfectly free from fits, but if replaced in a cage, the fits returned if it had any thing richer than bread to eat, even the difference of a roll instead of the household loaf brought on symptoms of illness. After it had continued for above a year to be a very agreeable inmate of my room occasioning no inconvenience, it acquired a habit of ensconcing itself at roosting time in a small pan of glazed white earthenware, or in an open tin box which happened to be on the top of the cage; and after some time it was discovered that this was an artifice to escape the molestation of a swarm of little bird-bugs, which had been introduced into the cage unobserved with some foreign birds from London, and had bred rapidly in the hot weather in the crevices of the cage. Before they could be effectually destroyed, these bloodsuckers had made his quarters so uncomfortable, that one evening he fled to roost where he espied the place of a book vacant in the bookcase, and from that moment, having acquired the knowledge, that birds could securely live elsewhere than either in or on a bird-cage, he became the greatest possible nuisance, hammering at the unbound books with his bill and picking them to pieces. I had long hoped in vain that he would avail himself of the open window to depart, till one day having flown rapidly round the room three or four times in the swing of his flight, he dashed out at the window, and having gone forward into the high trees he was seen no more.

Having once indulged a nightingale with milk to drink instead of water, it drank it with such avidity for a week, that it brought on an attack of plethora, in consequence of which it sat immoveable and as if stupefied on its perch for three days without tasting food, and died.

Salad oil I have found to be the best physic that can be given to a bird in illness.

I had some years ago a bird which I am quite confident was a maniac, and that such intellect as those little creatures enjoy, was in it deranged. The bird was a whitethroat, which had been reared from the nest, and had been extremely tame and familiar, living in company with several

inquire, I could not find that any cuckoo had ever been seen in these parts, except in the nest of the wagtail, the hedge-sparrow, the titlark, the whitethroat, and the redbreast, all soft-billed insectivorous birds. The excellent Mr. Willughby mentions the nest of the ring-dove *(Palumbus)*, and of the chaffinch *(Fringilla)*, birds that subsist on acorns and grains, and such hard food: but

other birds, two of which were of its own species. The first ailment was exhibited by sudden unaccountable fits of fear without any apparent cause, greater than the motion of some other bird, when it would dash itself about in the greatest trepidation, and hide itself under the pans, where it continued in the greatest alarm. This increased so much upon it that it was necessary to put it in a small cage by itself. There also it showed frequent attacks of terror, and soon after it became so fierce, that, quite contrary to the habit of the species, it would attack any person that touched its cage, and even myself who had reared it. In this state of strange nervous excitement it continued, till at the end of about six months it died, its bodily health having gradually declined.

The power of disgorging what would be noxious is not confined to the birds that prey on flesh and insects, though seed birds have not the same occasion to exercise it. I had brought by the mail-coach with me from London a foreign bird, which appeared soon after the journey's end to be very ill, till it was relieved by disgorging near a teaspoonful of entire millet seed which it had swallowed without cracking the husk, in consequence of the jolting of the carriage.

One of the most singular derangements of the system in birds, is the loathing of their artificial food and the impossibility of subsisting on it, which some species, especially the stone chat and redstart show, soon after they become full grown, though they had thriven well upon it and with appetite while younger and advancing in growth. It may possibly arise from the same want of exercise which causes plethora in the titmice; it has not been found practicable to keep the stone chat many months in a cage, though it thrives well for a time. I do not know that it has been tried in a spacious aviary.

I have not been in the habit of rearing thrushes, but I have been told by a person who reared them for sale, that they frequently dropped in a fit from the perch through fear, on seeing a stranger approach their cage, and died in consequence. Young canaries, if disturbed before they are ready to leave the nest, fly suddenly out in terror, and lose the use of their legs by a paralytic stroke similar to that which is occasioned in the *Sylviadæ* by washing unseasonably, and the affection is absolutely incurable. The general health does not seem in either case to be injured; the appetite continues with a cheerful look of the eye, but the bird being utterly helpless and unable to keep itself clean, cannot survive long. I have kept them a fortnight in that state, trying whether a cure was practicable. Superficial observers fancy that the young canaries break their legs in flying too soon from the nest; but it is simply paralysis induced by fear.—W. H.

then he does not mention them as of his own knowledge; but says afterwards that he saw himself a wagtail feeding a cuckoo. It appears hardly possible that a soft-billed bird should subsist on the same food with the hard-billed: for the former have thin membranaceous stomachs suited to their soft food; while the latter, the granivorous tribe, have strong muscular gizzards, which, like mills, grind, by the help of small gravels and pebbles, what is swallowed. This proceeding of the cuckoo, of dropping its eggs as it were by chance, is such a monstrous outrage on maternal affection, one of the first great dictates of nature; and such a violence on instinct; that, had it only been related of a bird in the Brazils, or Peru, it would never have merited our belief. But yet, should it farther appear that this simple bird, when divested of that natural στοργη` that seems to raise the kind in general above themselves, and inspire them with extraordinary degrees of cunning and address, may be still endued with a more enlarged faculty of discerning what species are suitable and congenerous nursing-mothers for its disregarded eggs and young, and may deposit them only under *their* care, this would be adding wonder to wonder, and instancing, in a fresh manner, that the methods of Providence are not subjected to any mode or rule, but astonish us in new lights, and in various and changeable appearances.

What was said by a very ancient and sublime writer concerning the defect of natural affection in the ostrich, may be well applied to the bird we are talking of:

"She is hardened against her young ones, as though they were not her's:

"Because God hath deprived her of wisdom, neither hath he imparted to her understanding[2]."

Query. Does each female cuckoo lay but one egg in a season, or does she drop several in different nests according as opportunity offers?

I am, &c.

[2] Job, xxxix, 16, 17.

LETTER V.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, April 12, 1770.

I HEARD many birds of several species sing last year after midsummer; enough to prove that the summer solstice is not the period that puts a stop to the music of the woods. The yellowhammer, no doubt, persists with more steadiness than any other; but the woodlark, the wren, the redbreast, the swallow, the whitethroat, the goldfinch, the common linnet, are all undoubted instances of the truth of what I advanced.

If this severe season does not interrupt the regularity of the summer migrations, the blackcap will be here in two or three days. I wish it was in my power to procure you one of those songsters; but I am no bird-catcher; and so little used to birds in a cage, that I fear if I had one it would soon die for want of skill in feeding.

Was your reed sparrow, which you kept in a cage, the thick-billed reed sparrow of the Zoology, p. 320; or was it the less reed sparrow of Ray, the sedge-bird of Mr. Pennant's last publication, p. 16?

As to the matter of long-billed birds growing fatter in moderate frosts, I have no doubt within myself what should be the reason. The thriving at those times appears to me to arise altogether from the gentle check which the cold throws upon insensible perspiration. The case is just the same with blackbirds, &c.; and farmers and warreners observe, the first, that their hogs fat more kindly at such times, and the latter that their rabbits are never in such good case as in a gentle frost. But when frosts are severe, and of long continuance, the case is soon altered; for then a want of food soon overbalances the repletion occasioned by a checked per-

spiration. I have observed, moreover, that some human constitutions are more inclined to plumpness in winter than in summer.

When birds come to suffer by severe frost, I find that the first that fail and die are the redwing fieldfares, and then the song-thrushes.

You wonder, with good reason, that the hedge sparrows, &c. can be induced at all to sit on the egg of the cuckoo without being scandalized at the vast disproportioned size of the supposititious egg: but the brute creation, I suppose, have very little idea of size, colour, or number. For the common hen, I know, when the fury of incubation is on her, will sit on a single shapeless stone instead of a nest full of eggs that have been withdrawn; and, moreover, a hen-turkey, in the same circumstances, would sit on in the empty nest till she perished with hunger.

I think the matter might easily be determined whether a cuckoo lays one or two eggs, or more, in a season, by opening a female during the laying-time. If more than one was come down out of the ovary, and advanced to a good size, doubtless then she would that spring lay more than one.

I will endeavour to get a hen, and to examine[1].

[1] In a female cuckoo, dissected at the breeding season, I found only one ovum in the oviduct, situated in the calcifying segment, or uterus as it is termed, and with the shell partially formed: the rest of the oviduct was disposed in close transverse folds, and not exceeding two lines in diameter. The ovary, besides a cluster of small ova, contained one ovum about half an inch in diameter, and no doubt ready to pass into the oviduct, when disburthened of the egg which it was then perfecting. The ovum or yelk, next in size, was about three lines in diameter, but whether its further development would have been progressive or retrograde can only be conjectured. As only one empty and collapsed calyx existed in the ovary, the egg in the oviduct must have been the first which the cuckoo would have laid this year. The appearances generally bespoke a bird that produced more than one egg in the season; but whether one, two, or more additional ova would have passed into the oviduct I am unable to determine.

I am not aware that more than one ovum is ever contained in the oviduct at one time in any bird.—R. O.

Your supposition that there may be some natural obstruction in singing birds while they are mute, and that when this is removed the song recommences, is new and bold; I wish you could discover some good grounds for this suspicion.

I was glad you were pleased with my specimen of the *Caprimulgus*, or fern owl; you were, I find, acquainted with the bird before.

When we meet, I shall be glad to have some conversation with you concerning the proposal you make of my drawing up an account of the animals in this neighbourhood. Your partiality towards my small abilities persuades you, I fear, that I am able to do more than is in my power: for it is no small undertaking for a man unsupported and alone to begin a natural history from his own autopsia! Though there is endless room for observation in the field of nature, which is boundless, yet investigation (where a man endeavours to be sure of his facts) can make but slow progress; and all that one could collect in many years would go into a very narrow compass.

Some extracts from your ingenious "Investigations of the Difference between the present Temperature of the Air in Italy," &c. have fallen in my way; and gave me great satisfaction: they have removed the objections that always arose in my mind whenever I came to the passages which you quote. Surely the judicious Virgil, when writing a didactic poem for the region of Italy, could never think of describing freezing rivers, unless such severity of weather pretty frequently occurred!

P. S. Swallows appear amidst snows and frost.

LETTER VI.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, May 21, 1770.

THE severity and turbulence of last month so interrupted the regular process of summer migration, that some of the birds do but just begin to show themselves, and others are apparently thinner than usual; as the whitethroat, the blackcap, the redstart, the flycatcher. I well remember that after the very severe spring in the year 1739-40, summer birds of passage were very scarce. They come probably hither with a south-east wind, or when it blows between those points; but in that unfavourable year the winds blowed the whole spring and summer through from the opposite quarters. And yet amidst all these disadvantages two swallows, as I mentioned in my last, appeared this year as early as the 11th of April, amidst frost and snow; but they withdrew again for a time.

I am not pleased to find that some people seem so little satisfied with Scopoli's new publication[1]; there is room to expect great things from the hands of that man, who is a good naturalist: and one would think that a history of the birds of so distant and southern a region as Carniola would be new and interesting. I could wish to see that work, and hope to get it sent down[2]. Dr. Scopoli is physician to the wretches that work in the quicksilver mines of that district.

When you talked of keeping a reed sparrow, and giving it seeds, I could not help wondering: because

[1] This work he calls his Annus Primus Historico Naturalis.

[2] Later in the same year the author procured the work here spoken of. His observations on it will be found in his Letters to Pennant, numbered XXXI. and XXXII., as well as incidentally in others. See also the following Letter.—E. T. B.

the reed sparrow which I mentioned to you (*Passer arundinaceus minor*, RAII[3]) is a soft-billed bird, and most probably migrates hence before winter; whereas the bird you kept (*Passer torquatus*, RAII[4]) abides all the year, and is a thick-billed bird. I question whether the latter be much of a songster; but in this matter I want to be better informed[5]. The former has a variety of hurrying notes, and sings all night. Some part of the song of the former, I suspect, is attributed to the latter. We have plenty of the soft-billed sort; which Mr. Pennant had entirely left out of his British Zoology, till I reminded him of his omission. See British Zoology last published, p. 16[6].

I have somewhat to advance on the different manners in which different birds fly and walk; but as this is a subject that I have not enough considered, and is of such a nature as not to be contained in a small space, I shall say nothing farther about it at present[7].

No doubt the reason why the sex of birds in their first plumage is so difficult to be distinguished is, as you say, "because they are not to pair and discharge their parental functions till the ensuing spring." As colours seem to be the chief external sexual distinction in many birds, these colours do not take place till sexual attachments begin to obtain. And the case is the same in quadrupeds; among whom, in their younger days, the sexes differ but little: but, as they advance to maturity, horns and shaggy manes, beards and brawny necks, &c. &c. strongly discriminate the male from the female. We may instance still farther in our own species, where a beard and stronger features are

[3] [The sedge warbler, *Salicaria Phragmitis*, SELB.]

[4] [The reed bunting, *Emberiza Schœniclus*, LINN.]

[5] See on this subject Mr. Herbert's note on Letter XXIV. to Pennant. —E. T. B.

[6] See Letter XXV. to Mr. Pennant. [See also Letters XXIV. and XXVI.]

[7] See Letter XLII. to Mr. Barrington.

usually characteristic of the male sex: but this sexual diversity does not take place in earlier life; for a beautiful youth shall be so like a beautiful girl that the difference shall not be discernible;

> "Quem si puellarum insereres choro,
> Mirè sagaces falleret hospites
> Discrimen obscurum, solutis
> Crinibus, ambiguoque vultu."—Hor.

LETTER VII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, RINGMER, near LEWES, Oct. 8, 1770.

I AM glad to hear that Kuckahn[1] is to furnish you with the birds of Jamaica; a sight of the *Hirundines* of that hot and distant island would be a great entertainment to me.

The *Anni* of Scopoli are now in my possession; and I have read the *Annus Primus* with satisfaction: for though some parts of this work are exceptionable, and he may advance some mistaken observations; yet the ornithology of so distant a country as Carniola is very curious. Men that undertake only one district are much more likely to advance natural knowledge than those that grasp at more than they can possibly be

[1] Kuckahn is only known to me by a paper, published in the Philosophical Transactions for 1770, on the preservation of dead birds. It discusses with judgment the various modes that had been adopted by others, most of which the writer states that he has himself tried; and then describes minutely the proceeding which he regards as the most advantageous. He also speaks of the care to be bestowed on the keeping of a collection, after it is formed. His allusions to the attention that should be paid to attitude are almost poetical; and the fervour with which he insists on the necessity of making each part of the action correspond with all the other evidences of it in the position of the bird, even to the ruffling of its feathers when excited, is quite in accordance with thorough devotion to the Horatian maxim—"Qualis ab incepto processerit servetur ad imum."—E. T. B.

acquainted with: every kingdom, every province, should have its own monographer.

The reason, perhaps, why he mentions nothing of Ray's Ornithology may be the extreme poverty and distance of his country, into which the works of our great naturalist may have never yet found their way. You have doubts, I know, whether this Ornithology is genuine, and really the work of Scopoli: as to myself, I think I discover strong tokens of authenticity; the style corresponds with that of his Entomology; and his characters of his ordines and genera are many of them new, expressive, and masterly. He has ventured to alter some of the Linnæan genera with sufficient show of reason.

It might, perhaps, be mere accident that you saw so many swifts, and no swallows, at Staines; because, in my long observation of those birds, I never could discover the least degree of rivalry or hostility between the species.

Ray remarks that birds of the *Gallinæ* order, as cocks and hens, partridges, and pheasants, &c. are *pulveratrices*, such as dust themselves, using that method of cleansing their feathers, and ridding themselves of their vermin. As far as I can observe, many birds that dust themselves never wash: and I once thought that those birds that wash themselves would never dust; but here I find myself mistaken; for common house sparrows are great *pulveratrices*, being frequently seen grovelling and wallowing in dusty roads; and yet they are great washers. Does not the skylark dust?

Query. Might not Mahomet and his followers take one method of purification from these *pulveratrices?* because I find, from travellers of credit, that if a strict Mussulman is journeying in a sandy desert where no water is to be found, at stated hours he strips off his clothes, and most scrupulously rubs his body over with sand or dust.

A countryman told me he had found a young fern

owl in the nest of a small bird on the ground; and that it was fed by the little bird. I went to see this extraordinary phenomenon, and found that it was a young cuckoo hatched in the nest of a titlark: it was become vastly too big for its nest, appearing

> ——————— in tenui re
> Majores pennas nido extendisse——

and was very fierce and pugnacious, pursuing my finger, as I teased it, for many feet from the nest, and sparring and buffeting with its wings like a game-cock. The dupe of a dam appeared at a distance, hovering about with meat in its mouth, and expressing the greatest solicitude.

In July I saw several cuckoos skimming over a large pond; and found, after some observation, that they were feeding on the *Libellulæ*, or dragon-flies; some of which they caught as they settled on the weeds, and some as they were on the wing. Notwithstanding what Linnæus says, I cannot be induced to believe that they are birds of prey.

This district affords some birds that are hardly ever heard of at Selborne. In the first place considerable flocks of crossbeaks *(Loxiæ curvirostræ)* have appeared this summer in the pine-groves belonging to this house; the water-ousel is said to haunt the mouth of the Lewes river, near Newhaven; and the Cornish chough builds, I know, all along the chalky cliffs of the Sussex shore.

I was greatly pleased to see little parties of ring-ousels (my newly discovered migraters) scattered, at intervals, all along the Sussex downs from Chichester to Lewes. Let them come from whence they will, it looks very suspicious that they are cantoned along the coast in order to pass the channel when severe weather advances. They visit us again in April, as it should seem, in their return; and are not to be found in the dead of winter. It is remarkable that they are very

tame, and seem to have no manner of apprehensions of danger from a person with a gun. There are bustards on the wide downs near Brighthelmstone. No doubt you are acquainted with the Sussex downs: the prospects and rides round Lewes are most lovely!

As I rode along near the coast I kept a very sharp look-out in the lanes and woods, hoping I might, at this time of the year, have discovered some of the summer short-winged birds of passage crowding towards the coast in order for their departure: but it was very extraordinary that I never saw a redstart, whitethroat, blackcap, uncrested wren, flycatcher, &c. And I remember to have made the same remark in former years, as I usually come to this place annually about this time. The birds most common along the coast at present are the stonechatters, whinchats, buntings, linnets, some few wheatears, titlarks, &c. Swallows and house martins abound yet, induced to prolong their stay by this soft, still, dry season.

A land tortoise, which has been kept for thirty years in a little walled court belonging to the house where I now am visiting, retires under ground about the middle of November, and comes forth again about the middle of April. When it first appears in the spring it discovers very little inclination towards food; but in the height of summer grows voracious: and then as the summer declines, its appetite declines; so that for the last six weeks in autumn it hardly eats at all. Milky plants, such as lettuces, dandelions, sowthistles, are its favourite dish. In a neighbouring village one was kept till by tradition it was supposed to be a hundred years old. An instance of vast longevity in such a poor reptile!

LETTER VIII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Dec. 20, 1770.

THE birds that I took for Aberdavines were reed sparrows *(Passeres torquati)*.

There are, doubtless, many home internal migrations within this kingdom that want to be better understood; witness those vast flocks of hen chaffinches that appear with us in the winter without hardly any cocks among them. Now, was there a due proportion of each sex, it should seem very improbable that any one district should produce such numbers of these little birds; and much more when only one half of the species appears: therefore we may conclude that the chaffinches *(Fringillæ cælebes)*, for some good purposes, have a peculiar migration of their own in which the sexes part. Nor should it seem so wonderful that the intercourse of sexes in this species of birds should be interrupted in winter; since in many animals, and particularly in bucks and does, the sexes herd separately, except at the season when commerce is necessary for the continuance of the breed. For this matter of the chaffinches see Fauna Suecica, p. 85, and Systema Naturæ, p. 318. I see every winter vast flights of hen chaffinches, but none of cocks[1].

[1] Amongst our vernal birds of passage, the cock birds generally arrive about a fortnight before the hens, a circumstance well known to the bird-catchers, who are certain that all which are caught out of the first flight will prove males. The cock nightingales generally appear in the neighbourhood of London on the 12th of April. They are sometimes taken a few days earlier, but that is the day upon which those who make a trade of catching them depend upon their arrival.

It is very difficult to understand the reason of this precession of the males. It has been supposed by some writers, that the females were delayed by the care of a young brood; but it seems to me nearly certain

Your method of accounting for the periodical motions of the British singing birds, or birds of flight, is a very probable one; since the matter of food is a great regulator of the actions and proceedings of the brute creation: there is but one that can be set in competition with it, and that is love. But I cannot quite acquiesce with you in one circumstance, when you advance that, "when they have thus feasted, they again separate into small parties of five or six, and

that our summer birds do not breed again when they visit Africa during our winter months. Those who have been accustomed to keep nightingales in confinement, know that one which has been taken from the nest before it could fly, and reared in a cage, will never sing the true song of its species, unless it have the advantage of hearing an old nightingale sing throughout the autumn and winter; that a young nightingale caught in the summer after the old birds have begun to moult and have ceased singing, will sing rather more correctly than that which was taken from the nest, because it has had the advantage of hearing the notes of its parent longer; but that, without further education under an old male in autumn and winter, it will only be able to execute parts of the nightingale's beautiful melody, and will repeat too often some of the loud notes, and harp upon them in a manner that is quite disagreeable. These two classes of young birds seldom become true songsters in confinement; because, unless a considerable number of old nightingales are kept in the same room with them, they have not the same opportunity of hearing and learning that they would have had in the woods; and if any other birds are kept within hearing, they will imitate their notes and retain the habit of singing them. The old nightingales cease to sing in England for the most part towards the end of June, and after that time the young ones can have no farther opportunity of learning their song while they remain in Europe; they merely record, or practise in the throat, what they can recollect. It is therefore certain, that on their arrival in Africa, they must consist of two classes—old experienced songsters, and half-taught young ones. Including the time consumed in the passage, the nightingales spend pretty exactly an equal portion of the year in the north and in the south. Therefore if they were to breed again in Africa, there would be a flight of young half-educated birds on their return to us in April, as there is on their return to the south in September; but that is not the case. The birds which return to England are all fully educated (though, as in other species, some individuals will be more skilful songsters than others), and none of them are liable to take the notes of other birds in confinement. These observations appear to me to afford conclusive proof that they do not breed while they are in the south. The same result will be drawn from the examination of the plumage of other species, such as the redstart, &c. of which the young have not acquired the colours of the adult when they leave us in

get the best fare they can within a certain district, having no inducement to go in quest of fresh turned earth." Now, if you mean that the business of congregating is quite at an end from the conclusion of wheat sowing to the season of barley and oats, it is not the case with us; for larks and chaffinches, and particularly linnets, flock and congregate as much in the very dead of winter as when the husbandman is busy with his ploughs and harrows.

autumn, but of which all return in their perfect plumage. It seems, therefore, that the periodical dispersion of migratory birds towards the north is not occasioned merely by a failure of food, but by some inaptitude of the climate in which they spend our winter months, to the propagation of their kind. If they were induced to migrate only on account of the inconvenience of the heavy tropical rains, and the failure of winged insects, which are probably beaten down and destroyed by their violence, I conceive no reason why they should not breed in the half of the year which is favourable to their existence in the southern latitudes. I suspect the solution of the point in question to be this: their residence in our winter months is near the equator, where the days are comparatively short and the nights long; even in our longest days, the redstart, who rears six or seven young, and commences feeding them at three o'clock in the morning, and continues her toil till sunset, has difficulty to maintain itself well and furnish its young with food; and if the feeding hours were reduced from seventeen to twelve, the little family would not be so easily reared. If I have disposed of the reason usually assigned for the earlier arrival of the males, it remains to suggest another. It is evident that the migratory impulse is instinctive, and not merely the result of example and education, because young birds which have been brought up in confinement show strong symptoms of uneasiness when the time of departure arrives. Upon dissection of the males when they arrive in the spring, an organic excitement and enlargement is perceptible; and if the effect of that excitement, in its commencement, creates a restless uneasiness and an instinctive desire to seek the proper breeding quarters, the same general law of nature which makes the male solicit what the female hesitates to permit, would make the cocks precede in the act of migration, while the hens with more tardy excitement do not immediately follow them.

I may take this opportunity of making some further remarks on the acquisition of song or peculiar notes by young birds. The nightingale, which far surpasses all other birds in the natural modulation and variety of its notes, and cannot be equalled by any in execution, even if they have learned its song, is peculiarly apt in its first year, when confined, to learn the song of any other bird that it hears. Its beautiful song is the result of long attention to the melody of the older birds of its species. The young whin chat, wheatear, and others of the genus *Saxicola*, which

Sure there can be no doubt but that woodcocks and fieldfares leave us in the spring, in order to cross the seas, and to retire to some districts more suitable to the purpose of breeding. That the former pair before they retire, and that the hens are forward with egg, I myself, when I was a sportsman, have often experienced. It cannot indeed be denied but that now and then we hear of a woodcock's nest, or young birds, discovered in some part or other of this island: but then they are

have little natural variety of song, are no less ready in confinement to learn from other species, and become as much better songsters as the nightingale degenerates, by borrowing from others. The bullfinch, whose natural notes are weak, harsh, and insignificant, has a greater facility than any other bird of learning human music. It is pretty evident that the Germans, who bring vast numbers of them to London which they have taught to pipe, must have instructed them more by whistling to them, than by an organ; and that their instructions have been accompanied by a motion of the head and body in accordance with the time; which habit the birds also acquire, and is no doubt of great use to them in regulating their song. In the same manner, that wonderful bird, Colonel O'Kelly's green parrot, which I had the satisfaction of seeing and hearing (about the year 1799, if I recollect rightly), beat the time always with its foot; turning round upon the perch while singing, and marking the time as it turned. This extraordinary creature sang perfectly about fifty different tunes of every kind—God save the King, solemn psalms, and humorous or low ballads, of which it articulated every word as distinctly as a man could do, without ever making a mistake. If a bystander sang any part of the song, it would pause and take up the song where the person had left off, without repeating what he had said. When moulting and unwilling to sing, it would answer all solicitations by turning its back and repeatedly saying, " Poll's sick." I am persuaded that its instructor had taught it to beat time. The canary-bird, whose song, in its artificial state in Europe, is a compound of notes acquired from other birds, is able to learn the song of the nightingale, but not to execute it with the same power as the nightingale itself. I have never heard one that sung it quite correctly, but I have heard it approach near enough to prove that with more careful education it might learn it right. Those who have taken the most pains about it have been contented with placing, under nightingales, young canaries, as soon as they could feed themselves; but such will necessarily have learned part at least of their parents' song. The linnet and linnet mule is said to be able to come nearer the execution of the nightingale, when properly instructed. The best way would be to use an experienced hen canary-bird who will rear her young without the cock, and to take the cock away before the young are hatched: or to set the canary-eggs under a hen paired with a goldfinch, which, kept in a darkish situation, will pro-

always mentioned as rarities, and somewhat out of the common course of things: but as to redwings and fieldfares, no sportsman or naturalist has ever yet, that I could hear, pretended to have found the nest or young of those species in any part of these kingdoms. And I the more admire at this instance as extraordinary, since, to all appearance, the same food in summer as well as in winter might support them here which maintains their congeners, the blackbirds and thrushes, did they choose to stay the summer through. From hence it appears that it is not food alone which determines some species of birds with regard to their stay or departure. Fieldfares and redwings disappear sooner or later according as the warm weather comes on earlier or later. For I well remember, after that dreadful winter, 1739-40, that cold north-east winds continued

bably not sing; to remove the cock, at all events, if it sings, as soon as possible; to place the young birds very close to the singing nightingale, and as soon as practicable to remove the hen canary also. The rearing of a canary-bird by hand, even from the egg, has been accomplished by artificial heat and unremitting care. Birds learn the song of others most readily when they are not in song themselves, and when they are darkened and covered, so that their attention is not distracted: for birds are amused by what they see as much as we are, when not alarmed by it. I had once a tame whitethroat which, when let out of its cage, appeared to take the greatest pleasure in minutely examining the figured patterns of the chair-covers, perhaps expecting to find something eatable amongst the leaves and branches of the pattern. I reared a blackcap and some whitethroats, taken when a fortnight old, under a singing nightingale, and removed all other singing birds: they did not, however, learn a single note from the nightingale, but sang their wild note pretty truly; on the other hand, a blackcap two years old, from hearing a nightingale sing a great deal, acquired two passages from its song and executed them correctly, though not very powerfully. I understand that the robin reared in a cage is not observed to learn from other birds, but sings the wild note pretty accurately. I can at present suggest no key to these diversities; nor do I understand why the young nightingale, taken when the old birds cease to sing, will in confinement learn the note of other birds and retain them, although it may hear its own species sing again as soon as they recommence in the autumn; and yet, at liberty, with the same cessation of the parental song, it would have learned nothing else; unless it be that from want of other amusement it listens more when it is confined.—W. H.

to blow on through April and May, and that these kinds of birds (what few remained of them) did not depart as usual, but were seen lingering about till the beginning of June.

The best authority that we can have for the nidification of the birds above-mentioned in any district, is the testimony of faunists that have written professedly the natural history of particular countries. Now, as to the fieldfare, Linnæus, in his Fauna Suecicâ, says of it, that "*maximis in arboribus nidificat:*" and of the redwing he says, in the same place, that "*nidificat in mediis arbusculis, sive sepibus: ova sex cæruleo-viridia maculis nigris variis.*" Hence we may be assured that fieldfares and redwings breed in Sweden. Scopoli says, in his Annus Primus, of the woodcock, that "*nupta ad nos venit circa æquinoctium vernale:*" meaning in Tyrol, of which he is a native. And afterwards he adds, "*nidificat in paludibus alpinis: ova ponit* 3—5." It does not appear from Kramer that woodcocks breed at all in Austria: but he says, "*Avis hæc septentrionalium provinciarum æstivo tempore incola est; ubi plerumque nidificat. Appropinquante hyeme, australiores provincias petit: hinc circa plenilunium mensis Octobris plerumque Austriam transmigrat. Tunc rursus circa plenilunium potissimum mensis Martii per Austriam matrimonio juncta ad septentrionales provincias redit.*" For the whole passage (which I have abridged) see Elenchus, &c. p. 351. This seems to be a full proof of the migration of woodcocks; though little is proved concerning the place of breeding.

P. S. There fell in the county of Rutland, in three weeks of this present very wet weather, seven inches and a half of rain, which is more than has fallen in any three weeks for these thirty years past in that part of the world. A mean quantity in that county for one year is twenty inches and a half.

LETTER IX.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, FYFIELD, near ANDOVER, Feb. 12, 1771.

YOU are, I know, no great friend to migration; and the well attested accounts from various parts of the kingdom seem to justify you in your suspicions, that at least many of the swallow kind do not leave us in the winter, but lay themselves up like insects and bats, in a torpid state, and slumber away the more uncomfortable months till the return of the sun and fine weather awakens them.

But then we must not, I think, deny migration in general; because migration certainly does subsist in some places, as my brother in Andalusia has fully informed me. Of the motions of these birds he has ocular demonstration, for many weeks together, both spring and fall: during which periods myriads of the swallow kind traverse the Straits from north to south, and from south to north, according to the season. And these vast migrations consist not only of *Hirundines*, but of bee-birds, hoopoes, *Oro pendolos*, or golden thrushes, &c. &c. and also of many of our soft-billed summer birds of passage; and, moreover, of birds which never leave us, such as all the various sorts of hawks and kites. Old Belon, two hundred years ago, gives a curious account of the incredible armies of hawks and kites which he saw in the spring time traversing the Thracian Bosphorus from Asia to Europe. Besides the above-mentioned, he remarks that the procession is swelled by whole troops of eagles and vultures.

Now, it is no wonder that birds residing in Africa should retreat before the sun as it advances, and retire to milder regions, and especially birds of prey, whose blood being heated with hot animal food, are more

impatient of a sultry climate: but then I cannot help wondering why kites and hawks, and such hardy birds as are known to defy all the severity of England, and even of Sweden and all north Europe, should want to migrate from the south of Europe, and be dissatisfied with the winters of Andalusia[1].

It does not appear to me that much stress may be laid on the difficulty and hazard that birds must run in their migrations, by reason of vast oceans, cross winds, &c.; because, if we reflect, a bird may travel from England to the equator without launching out and exposing itself to boundless seas, and that by crossing the water at Dover, and again at Gibraltar. And I with the more confidence advance this obvious remark, because my brother has always found that some of his birds, and particularly the swallow kind, are very sparing of their pains in crossing the Mediterranean: for when arrived at Gibraltar, they do not

> ——— "Ranged in figure wedge their way,
> ——— ——— and set forth
> Their airy caravan high over seas
> Flying, and over lands with mutual wing
> Easing their flight." MILTON.

but scout and hurry along in little detached parties of six or seven in a company; and sweeping low, just over the surface of the land and water, direct their course to the opposite continent at the narrowest passage they can find. They usually slope across the bay

[1] May not the migration of the kites and hawks, and other birds of prey, at these seasons, be determined by that of the smaller birds in whose company they are found? The swallows and other ordinary birds of migration pass northwards, impelled by the stimulus that urges them to seek their usual breeding places: their enemies travel with them, probably because they are assured of full banquets from among the armies of small and defenceless creatures which are on such occasions congregated. The stragglers in such a multitude, and those that weary in their flight and drop off from the main body, must alone be almost innumerable.—E. T. B.

to the south-west, and so pass over opposite to Tangier, which, it seems, is the narrowest space.

In former letters we have considered whether it was probable that woodcocks in moonshiny nights cross the German ocean from Scandinavia. As a proof that birds of less speed may pass that sea, considerable as it is, I shall relate the following incident, which, though mentioned to have happened so many years ago, was strictly matter of fact:—As some people were shooting in the parish of Trotton, in the county of Sussex, they killed a duck in that dreadful winter, 1708-9, with a silver collar about its neck[2], on which were engraven the arms of the king of Denmark. This anecdote the rector of Trotton at that time has often told to a near relation of mine; and, to the best of my remembrance, the collar was in the possession of the rector.

At present I do not know any body near the seaside that will take the trouble to remark at what time of the moon woodcocks first come: if I lived near the sea myself, I would soon tell you more of the matter. One thing I used to observe when I was a sportsman, that there were times in which woodcocks were so sluggish and sleepy that they would drop again when flushed just before the spaniels, nay, just at the muzzle of a gun that had been fired at them: whether this strange laziness was the effect of a recent fatiguing journey, I shall not presume to say.

Nightingales not only never reach Northumberland and Scotland, but also, as I have been always told, Devonshire and Cornwall. In those two last counties we cannot attribute the failure of them to the want of warmth: the defect in the west is rather a presumptive argument that these birds come over to us from the continent at the narrowest passage, and do not stroll so far westward[3].

[2] I have read a like anecdote of a swan.

[3] In a western direction the nightingale visits Dorsetshire and the eastern part only of Devonshire; is never heard in Cornwall; visits

Let me hear from your own observation whether skylarks do not dust. I think they do: and if they do, whether they wash also.

The titlark, or *Alauda pratensis* of Ray, was the poor dupe that was educating the booby of a cuckoo mentioned in my letter of October last.

Your letter came too late for me to procure a ring-ousel for Mr. Tunstal during their autumnal visit; but I will endeavour to get him one when they call on us again in April. I am glad that you and that gentleman saw my Andalusian birds; I hope they answered your expectation. Royston, or gray crows, are winter birds, that come much about the same time with the woodcock: they, like the fieldfare and redwing, have no apparent reason for migration: for as they fare in the winter like their congeners, so might they, in all appearance, in the summer. Was not Tenant, when a boy, mistaken? did he not find a missel-thrush's nest, and take it for the nest of a fieldfare?

The stock-dove, or wood-pigeon[4], (*Œnas*, RAII), is

Somersetshire; and goes northwards on the western side of England as high as Carlisle. On the eastern side it is never heard beyond the city of York, yet visits much higher latitudes on the European continent. Linnæus includes it in his Fauna Suecica. Great pains were taken by (I think) Sir John Sinclair to establish the nightingale in Scotland, but without success. An old notion, referred to by Montagu, that the nightingale possibly might not be found in any part but where cowslips grow plentifully, seems incorrect: cowslips grow in great luxuriance in Glamorganshire, and also north of Carlisle. A gentleman of Gower, which is the peninsula beyond Swansea, procured from Norfolk and Surrey, a few years back, some scores of young nightingales, hoping that an acquaintance with his beautiful woods and their mild climate would induce a second visit; but the law of Nature was too strong for him, and not a single bird returned. Dyer, in his Grongar Hill, makes the nightingale a companion of his muse in the vale of Towey or Carmarthen, but this is a poetical license, as this bird is not heard there.—W. Y.

[4] Here, as in a previous passage, the author has spoken of the wood-pigeon as synonymous with the stock-dove. It is more usual to apply that name to the ring-dove. Perhaps, indeed, with the view of avoiding confusion, it would be better that the use of the name wood-pigeon should be altogether abandoned.—E. T. B.

the last winter bird of passage which appears with us; and is not seen till towards the end of November: about twenty years ago they abounded in the district of Selborne; and strings of them were seen morning and evening that reached a mile or more: but since the beechen woods have been greatly thinned, they are much decreased in number[5]. The ring-dove, (*Palumbus*, RAII), stays with us the whole year, and breeds several times through the summer.

Before I received your letter of October last I had just remarked in my journal that the trees were unusually green. This uncommon verdure lasted on late into November; and may be accounted for from a late spring, a cool and moist summer; but more particularly from vast armies of chafers, or tree-beetles, which, in many places, reduced whole woods to a leafless naked state. These trees shot again at Midsummer, and then retained their foliage till very late in the year.

My musical friend, at whose house I am now visiting, has tried all the owls that are his near neighbours with a pitch-pipe set at concert-pitch, and finds they all hoot in B flat. He will examine the nightingales next spring.

I am, &c. &c.

LETTER X.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Aug. 1, 1771.

FROM what follows, it will appear that neither owls nor cuckoos keep to one note. A friend remarks that many (most) of his owls hoot in B flat; but that one

[5] This subject is treated of, in more detail, in Letter XLIV. to Pennant.—E. T. B.

went almost half a note below A. The pipe he tried their notes by was a common half-crown pitch-pipe, such as masters use for tuning of harpsichords; it was the common London pitch.

A neighbour of mine, who is said to have a nice ear, remarks that the owls about this village hoot in three different keys, in G flat, or F sharp, in B flat and A flat. He heard two hooting to each other, the one in A flat, and the other in B flat. Query: Do these different notes proceed from different species, or only from various individuals? The same person finds upon trial that the note of the cuckoo (of which we have but one species) varies in different individuals; for, about Selborne wood, he found they were mostly in D: he heard two sing together, the one in D, the other in D sharp, who made a disagreeable concert: he afterwards heard one in D sharp, and about Wolmer Forest some in C. As to nightingales, he says that their notes are so short, and their transitions so rapid, that he cannot well ascertain their key. Perhaps in a cage and in a room, their notes may be more distinguishable. This person has tried to settle the notes of a swift, and of several other small birds, but cannot bring them to any criterion.

As I have often remarked that redwings are some of the first birds that suffer with us in severe weather, it is no wonder at all that they retreat from Scandinavian winters: and much more the *Ordo* of *Grallæ*, who all, to a bird, forsake the northern parts of Europe at the approach of winter. "*Grallæ tanquam conjuratæ unanimiter in fugam se conjiciunt; ne earum unicam quidem inter nos habitantem invenire possimus; ut enim æstate in australibus degere nequeunt ob defectum lumbricorum, terramque siccam; ita nec in frigidis ob eandem causam.*" says Ekmarck, the Swede, in his ingenious little treatise called *Migrationes Avium*, which by all means you ought to read while your thoughts run on the

subject of migration. See *Amœnitates Academicæ*, vol. iv. p. 565[1].

Birds may be so circumstanced as to be obliged to migrate in one country and not in another: but the *Grallæ* (which procure their food from marshes and boggy grounds) must in winter forsake the more northerly parts of Europe, or perish for want of food.

I am glad you are making inquiries from Linnæus concerning the woodcock: it is expected of him that he should be able to account for the motions and manner of life of the animals of his own *Fauna*.

Faunists, as you observe, are too apt to acquiesce in bare descriptions, and a few synonyms: the reason is plain: because all that may be done at home in a man's study; but the investigation of the life and conversation of animals is a concern of much more trouble and difficulty, and is not to be attained but by the active and inquisitive, and by those that reside much in the country.

Foreign systematics are, I observe, much too vague in their specific differences; which are almost universally constituted by one or two particular marks, the rest of the description running in general terms. But our countryman, the excellent Mr. Ray, is the only describer that conveys some precise idea in every term or word, maintaining his superiority over his followers and imitators in spite of the advantages of fresh discoveries and modern information.

At this distance of years it is not in my power to recollect at what periods woodcocks used to be sluggish or alert when I was a sportsman: but, upon my mentioning this circumstance to a friend, he thinks he has observed them to be remarkably listless against snowy

[1] Turnstones leave our eastern coast the last week in May, and are back again with their young by the first week in August. Mr. Hewitson found them breeding in Norway. Dr. Fleming says that they are stationary in Zetland.—W. Y.

foul weather: if this should be the case, then the inaptitude for flying arises only from an eagerness for food; as sheep are observed to be very intent on grazing against stormy wet evenings.

I am, &c. &c.

LETTER XI.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Feb. 8, 1772.

WHEN I ride about in the winter, and see such prodigious flocks of various kinds of birds, I cannot help admiring at these congregations, and wishing that it was in my power to account for those appearances almost peculiar to the season. The two great motives which regulate the proceedings of the brute creation are love and hunger; the former incites animals to perpetuate their kind, the latter induces them to preserve individuals: whether either of these should seem to be the ruling passion in the matter of congregating is to be considered. As to love, that is out of the question at a time of the year when that soft passion is not indulged; besides, during the amorous season, such a jealousy prevails between the male birds, that they can hardly bear to be together in the same hedge or field. Most of the singing and elation of spirits of that time seems to me to be the effect of rivalry and emulation: and it is to this spirit of jealousy that I chiefly attribute the equal dispersion of birds in the spring over the face of the country.

Now as to the business of food: as these animals are actuated by instinct to hunt for necessary food, they should not, one would suppose, crowd together in pursuit of sustenance at a time when it is most likely to fail; yet such associations do take place in hard weather

chiefly, and thicken as the severity increases. As some kind of self-interest and self-defence is no doubt the motive for the proceeding, may it not arise from the helplessness of their state in such rigorous seasons; as men crowd together, when under great calamities, though they know not why? Perhaps approximation may dispel some degree of cold; and a crowd may make each individual appear safer from the ravages of birds of prey and other dangers[1].

If I admire when I see how much congenerous birds love to congregate, I am the more struck when I see incongruous ones in such strict amity. If we do not much wonder to see a flock of rooks usually attended by a train of daws, yet it is strange that the former should so frequently have a flight of starlings for their satellites[2]. Is it because rooks have a more discerning scent than their attendants, and can lead them to spots more productive of food? Anatomists say that rooks, by reason of two large nerves which run down between the eyes into the upper mandible, have a more delicate feeling in their beaks than other round-billed birds, and can grope for their meat when out of sight. Perhaps,

[1] Is not the flocking together of birds in seasons of scarcity occasioned chiefly by hunger? For though it is true that a multitude of feeders will speedily exhaust a limited spot, and that a hungry bird consequently ought not, if aware of this indisputable fact, to resort to a place where numbers of its race are already engaged in search of food: yet the bird, urged on like its brethren by the cravings of appetite, has an object in common with them; it knows, by their actions, of what they are in pursuit; it perceives, among so many, some at least that are successful; it is thus assured that food exists in the spot resorted to; and it joins in the search in the hope that where the wished-for morsel is, it may not be an unsuccessful competitor in the scramble for it.

The congregation of rooks, and the following in their train of daws and starlings, noticed in the succeeding paragraph of the text, seem to be referred to a similar cause, and, indeed, to be altogether dependent on it.—E. T. B.

[2] Mr. White says it is strange, that rooks and starlings accompany each other: but this is the case with other birds: the short-eared owl often accompanies flights of woodcocks in this country. See Pennant's Scotland, vol. i. p. 11. In Greece, the cuckoo migrates with the turtle-flocks, thence they call him trigonokracti, or turtle leader.—Mitford.

then, their associates attend them on the motive of interest, as greyhounds wait on the motions of their finders; and as lions are said to do on the yelpings of jackals. Lapwings and starlings sometimes associate.

LETTER XII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, March 9, 1772.

As a gentleman and myself were walking on the 4th of last November round the sea-banks at Newhaven, near the mouth of the Lewes river, in pursuit of natural knowledge, we were surprised to see three house swallows gliding very swiftly by us. That morning was rather chilly, with the wind at north-west; but the tenor of the weather for some time before had been delicate, and the noons remarkably warm. From this incident, and from repeated accounts which I meet with, I am more and more induced to believe that many of the swallow kind do not depart from this island; but lay themselves up in holes and caverns; and do, insectlike and batlike, come forth at mild times, and then retire again to their *latebræ*. Nor make I the least doubt but that, if I lived at Newhaven, Seaford, Brighthelmstone, or any of those towns near the chalk-cliffs of the Sussex coast, by proper observations, I should see swallows stirring at periods of the winter, when the noons were soft and inviting, and the sun warm and invigorating. And I am the more of this opinion from what I have remarked during some of our late springs, that though some swallows did make their appearance about the usual time, viz. the 13th or 14th of April, yet, meeting with a harsh reception, and blustering cold north-east winds, they immediately withdrew, absconding for several days, till the weather gave them better encouragement.

LETTER XIII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, April 12, 1772.

WHILE I was in Sussex last autumn, my residence was at the village near Lewes, from whence I had formerly the pleasure of writing to you. On the 1st of November, I remarked that the old tortoise, formerly mentioned, began first to dig the ground in order to the forming its hybernaculum, which it had fixed on just beside a great tuft of hepaticas. It scrapes out the ground with its fore feet, and throws it up over its back with its hind; but the motion of its legs is ridiculously slow, little exceeding the hour-hand of a clock[1]; and suitable to the composure of an animal said to be a whole month in performing one feat of copulation. Nothing can be more assiduous than this creature night and day in scooping the earth, and forcing its great body into the cavity; but, as the noons of that season proved unusually warm and sunny, it was continually interrupted, and called forth, by the heat in the middle of the day; and though I continued there till the 13th of November, yet the work remained unfinished. Harsher weather, and frosty mornings, would have quickened its operations. No part of its behaviour ever struck me more than the extreme timidity it always expresses with regard to rain; for though it has a shell that would secure it against the wheel of

[1] The motion of the tortoise's legs being, as Mr. White remarks, ridiculously slow, is taken notice of in Homer's Hymn to Hermes, v. 28.

> Βοσκομένη προπάροιθε δομών, ἐριθήλεα ποιὴν
> Σαυλὰ ποσὶν βαινοῦσα.
>
> "Feeding far off from man, the flowery herb
> Slow moving with his feet."—MITFORD.

a loaded cart, yet does it discover as much solicitude about rain as a lady dressed in all her best attire, shuffling away on the first sprinklings, and running its head up in a corner. If attended to, it becomes an excellent weather-glass; for as sure as it walks elate, and as it were on tiptoe, feeding with great earnestness in a morning, so sure will it rain before night. It is totally a diurnal animal, and never pretends to stir after it becomes dark. The tortoise, like other reptiles, has an arbitrary stomach as well as lungs; and can refrain from eating as well as breathing for a great part of the year. When first awakened it eats nothing; nor again in the autumn before it retires; through the height of the summer it feeds voraciously, devouring all the food that comes in its way. I was much taken with its sagacity in discerning those that do it kind offices: for, as soon as the good old lady comes in sight who has waited on it for more than thirty years, it hobbles towards its benefactress with awkward alacrity; but remains inattentive to strangers. Thus not only "the ox knoweth his owner, and the ass his master's crib[2]," but the most abject reptile and torpid of beings distinguishes the hand that feeds it, and is touched with the feelings of gratitude!

I am, &c. &c.

P. S. In about three days after I left Sussex the tortoise retired into the ground under the hepaticas.

[2] Isaiah, i. 3.

LETTER XIV.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, March 26, 1773.

THE more I reflect on the στοργη of animals, the more I am astonished at its effects. Nor is the violence of this affection more wonderful than the shortness of its duration. Thus every hen is in her turn the virago of the yard, in proportion to the helplessness of her brood; and will fly in the face of a dog or a sow in defence of those chickens, which in a few weeks she will drive before her with relentless cruelty.

This affection sublimes the passions, quickens the invention, and sharpens the sagacity of the brute creation. Thus a hen, just become a mother, is no longer that placid bird she used to be, but with feathers standing on end, wings hovering, and clocking note, she runs about like one possessed. Dams will throw themselves in the way of the greatest danger in order to avert it from their progeny. Thus a partridge will tumble along before a sportsman in order to draw away the dogs from her helpless covey. In the time of nidification the most feeble birds will assault the most rapacious. All the *Hirundines* of a village are up in arms at the sight of a hawk, whom they will persecute till he leaves that district. A very exact observer has often remarked that a pair of ravens nesting in the rock of Gibraltar would suffer no vulture or eagle to rest near their station, but would drive them from the hill with an amazing fury: even the blue thrush at the season of breeding would dart out from the clefts of the rocks to chase away the kestril, or the sparrow hawk. If you stand near the nest of a bird that has young, she will not be induced to betray them by an inadvertent fond-

ness, but will wait about at a distance with meat in her mouth for an hour together.

Should I farther corroborate what I have advanced above by some anecdotes which I probably may have mentioned before in conversation, yet you will, I trust, pardon the repetition for the sake of the illustration.

The flycatcher of the Zoology (the *Stoparola* of Ray) builds every year in the vines that grow on the walls of my house. A pair of these little birds had one year inadvertently placed their nest on a naked bough, perhaps in a shady time, not being aware of the inconvenience that followed. But a hot sunny season coming on before the brood was half fledged, the reflection of the wall became insupportable, and must inevitably have destroyed the tender young, had not affection suggested an expedient, and prompted the parent birds to hover over the nest all the hotter hours, while with wings expanded, and mouths gaping for breath, they screened off the heat from their suffering offspring.

A farther instance I once saw of notable sagacity in a willow wren, which had built in a bank in my fields. This bird a friend and myself had observed as she sat in her nest; but were particularly careful not to disturb her, though we saw she eyed us with some degree of jealousy. Some days after, as we passed that way, we were desirous of remarking how this brood went on; but no nest could be found, till I happened to take up a large bundle of long green moss, as it were, carelessly thrown over the nest, in order to dodge the eye of any impertinent intruder.

A still more remarkable mixture of sagacity and instinct occurred to me one day as my people were pulling off the lining of a hotbed, in order to add some fresh dung. From out of the side of this bed leaped an animal with great agility that made a most grotesque figure; nor was it without great difficulty that it could be taken; when it proved to be a large white-bellied field mouse with three or four young clinging to her teats by

their mouths and feet. It was amazing that the desultory and rapid motions of this dam should not oblige her litter to quit their hold, especially when it appeared that they were so young as to be both naked and blind!

To these instances of tender attachment, many more of which might be daily discovered by those that are studious of nature, may be opposed that rage of affection, that monstrous perversion of the στοργή, which induces some females of the brute creation to devour their young because their owners have handled them too freely, or removed them from place to place! Swine, and sometimes the more gentle race of dogs and cats, are guilty of this horrid and preposterous murder[1]. When I hear now and then of an abandoned mother that destroys her offspring, I am not so much amazed; since reason perverted, and the bad passions let loose, are capable of any enormity: but why the parental feelings of brutes, that usually flow in one most uniform tenor, should sometimes be so extravagantly diverted, I leave to abler philosophers than myself to determine.

I am, &c.

LETTER XV.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, July 8, 1773.

SOME young men went down lately to a pond on the verge of Wolmer Forest to hunt flappers, or young wild-ducks, many of which they caught, and, among the rest, some very minute yet well fledged wild-fowls

[1] Professor Coleman's opinion that want of milk causes mothers to destroy their offspring, is not sufficient to account for the female killing and eating those young which have been handled or moved, and which she had previously suckled.—W. Y.

alive, which upon examination I found to be teals. I did not know till then that teals ever bred in the south of England, and was much pleased with the discovery: this I look upon as a great stroke in natural history.

We have had, ever since I can remember, a pair of white owls that constantly breed under the eaves of this church. As I have paid good attention to the manner of life of these birds during their season of breeding, which lasts the summer through, the following remarks may not perhaps be unacceptable:—About an hour before sunset (for then the mice begin to run) they sally forth in quest of prey, and hunt all round the hedges of meadows and small enclosures for them, which seem to be their only food. In this irregular country we can stand on an eminence and see them beat the fields over like a setting-dog, and often drop down in the grass or corn. I have minuted these birds with my watch for an hour together, and have found that they return to their nest, the one or the other of them, about once in five minutes[1]; reflecting at the same time on the adroitness that every animal is possessed of as far as regards the well-being of itself and offspring. But a piece of address, which they show when they return loaded, should not, I think, be passed over in silence. As they take their prey with their claws, so they carry it in their claws to their nest: but, as the feet are necessary in their ascent under the tiles, they constantly perch first on the roof of the chancel, and shift the mouse from their claws to their bill, that the feet may be at liberty to take hold of the plate on the wall as they are rising under the eaves.

[1] Colonel Montagu has observed, that the wren returns once in *two* minutes, or upon an average thirty-six times in an hour: and this continued full sixteen hours in a day, which, if equally divided between eight young ones, each would receive seventy-two feeds in the day, the whole amounting to five hundred and seventy-six. See Ornithological Dict. p. 35. To this I will add, that the swallow never fails to return to its nest at the expiration of every second or third minute.—MITFORD.

White owls seem not (but in this I am not positive) to hoot at all; all that clamorous hooting appears to me to come from the wood kinds. The white owl does indeed snore and hiss in a tremendous manner; and these menaces well answer the intention of intimidating: for I have known a whole village up in arms on such an occasion, imagining the churchyard to be full of goblins and spectres. White owls also often scream horribly as they fly along; from this screaming probably arose the common people's imaginary species of screech-owl, which they superstitiously think attends the windows of dying persons. The plumage of the remiges of the wings of every species of owl that I have yet examined is remarkably soft and pliant. Perhaps it may be necessary that the wings of these birds should not make much resistance or rushing, that they may be enabled to steal through the air unheard upon a nimble and watchful quarry.

While I am talking of owls, it may not be improper to mention what I was told by a gentleman of the county of Wilts. As they were grubbing a vast hollow pollard-ash that had been the mansion of owls for centuries, he discovered at the bottom a mass of matter that at first he could not account for. After some examination, he found that it was a congeries of the bones of mice (and perhaps of birds and bats) that had been heaping together for ages, being cast up in pellets out of the crops of many generations of inhabitants. For owls cast up the bones, fur, and feathers of what they devour, after the manner of hawks. He believes, he told me, that there were bushels of this kind of substance.

When brown owls hoot, their throats swell as big as a hen's egg. I have known an owl of this species live a full year without any water. Perhaps the case may be the same with all birds of prey. When owls fly they stretch out their legs behind them as a balance to their large heavy heads: for as most nocturnal birds have

large eyes and ears they must have large heads to contain them. Large eyes I presume are necessary to collect every ray of light, and large concave ears to command the smallest degree of sound or noise.

I am, &c.

It will be proper to premise here that the sixteenth, eighteenth, twentieth, and twenty-first letters have been published already in the Philosophical Transactions: but as nicer observation has furnished several corrections and additions, it is hoped that the republication of them will not give offence; especially as these sheets would be very imperfect without them, and as they will be new to many readers who had no opportunity of seeing them when they made their first appearance.

The *Hirundines* are a most inoffensive, harmless, entertaining, social, and useful tribe of birds: they touch no fruit in our gardens; delight, all except one species, in attaching themselves to our houses; amuse us with their migrations, songs, and marvellous agility: and clear our outlets from the annoyances of gnats and other troublesome insects. Some districts in the South Seas, near Guayaquil[2], are desolated, it seems, by the infinite swarms of venomous mosquitoes, which fill the air, and render those coasts insupportable. It would be worth inquiring whether any species of *Hirundines* is found in those regions. Whoever contemplates the myriads of insects that sport in the sunbeams of a summer evening in this country, will soon be convinced to what a degree our atmosphere would be choked with them was it not for the friendly interposition of the swallow tribe.

Many species of birds have their peculiar lice; but the *Hirundines* alone seem to be annoyed with *Dipterous* insects, which infest every species, and are so large, in proportion to themselves, that they must be

[2] See Ulloa's Travels.

extremely irksome and injurious to them. These are the *Hippoboscæ Hirundinis*[3], with narrow subulated wings, abounding in every nest; and are hatched by the warmth of the bird's own body during incubation, and crawl about under its feathers[4].

A species of them is familiar to horsemen in the south of England under the name of forest-fly; and to some of side-fly, from its running sideways like a crab. It creeps under the tails, and about the groins, of horses, which, at their first coming out of the north, are rendered half frantic by the tickling sensation; while our own breed little regards them[5].

[3] [*Craterina Hirundinis*, OLF.]

[4] The *Hippobosca Hirundinis* of the text is now referred by entomologists to a genus established by Olfers for its reception; it stands in the systematic lists under the name of *Craterina Hirundinis*, OLF. The house-swallow is principally and seriously infested by its annoyance:

The swift, differing in genus from the swallows, appears to have its peculiar genus of insects appropriated to it; that which has been named by the Rev. W. Kirby, *Oxypterum:*

The forest-fly again, attached to a different race of animals, belongs also to its own genus in the insect class; and for this has been retained the name of *Hippobosca*, originally applied to the whole of these pests:

The sheep, and perhaps the deer, are obnoxious to the attacks of another genus of the same family of insects; the *Melophagus* of Latreille:

The black grouse, the crow, and other birds are infested by yet another genus of the same group; *Ornithomyia*, LATR.:

And an allied genus, *Nycteribia*, LATR., is appropriated to the *Bats*.

The genera enumerated, with the addition of that named by Mr. Curtis *Hæmobora*, the precise habitat of which has not yet been ascertained, constitute the class *Omaloptera* of Dr. Leach, so far as it has yet been observed in this country. This class has been removed from among the *Diptera*, chiefly on account of the nature of its metamorphosis: the larvæ, instead of being free, as in the *Diptera*, and seeking their own nourishment, being in the *Omaloptera* nourished within the abdomen of the mother: when fully grown they are passed in the form of a pupa covered with the indurated skin of the maggot.

Besides the several species of swallows and the swift, it will thus be seen that other birds are annoyed with insects which have been, until recently, considered as *Dipterous:* such have been found on the crow, the black grous, the tit-pippit, the yellow hammer, &c. &c.—E. T. B.

[5] In the New Forest in Hampshire, whence its name of forest fly, the *Hippobosca equina*, LINN., abounds in such profusion that Mr. Samouelle states, in his Entomologist's Useful Compendium, that he has

The curious Reaumur discovered the large eggs, or rather *pupæ*, of these flies, as big as the flies themselves, which he hatched in his own bosom. Any person that will take the trouble to examine the old nests of either species of swallows may find in them the black shining cases or skins of the *pupæ* of these insects: but for other particulars, too long for this place, we refer the reader to L'Histoire des Insectes of that admirable entomologist, tom. iv. pl. 11.

LETTER XVI.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Nov. 20, 1773.

In obedience to your injunctions I sit down to give you some account of the house martin or martlet; and, if my monography of this little domestic and familiar bird should happen to meet with your approbation, I may probably soon extend my inquiries to the rest of the British *Hirundines*—the swallow, the swift, and the bank martin.

A few house martins begin to appear about the 16th of April; usually some few days later than the swallow. For some time after they appear, the *Hirundines* in general pay no attention to the business of nidification, but play and sport about either to recruit from the fatigue of their journey, if they do migrate at all, or else that their blood may recover its true tone and texture after it has been so long benumbed by the severities of

obtained from the flanks of one horse six handfuls, which consisted of upwards of a hundred specimens. He adds, "Mr. Bentley informs me, from observations he made in the summer of 1818, while in Hampshire, that the *Hippoboscæ* are found in a considerably greater abundance on white and light-coloured horses than on those of a black and dark colour; and this observation was confirmed by the stable-keepers in the vicinity of the Forest."—E. T. B.

winter. About the middle of May, if the weather be fine, the martin begins to think in earnest of providing a mansion for its family. The crust or shell of this nest seems to be formed of such dirt or loam as comes most readily to hand, and is tempered and wrought together with little bits of broken straws to render it tough and tenacious. As this bird often builds against a perpendicular wall without any projecting ledge under, it requires its utmost efforts to get the first foundation firmly fixed, so that it may safely carry the superstructure. On this occasion the bird not only clings with its claws, but partly supports itself by strongly inclining its tail against the wall, making that a fulcrum; and thus steadied, it works and plasters the materials into the face of the brick or stone. But then, that this work may not, while it is soft and green, pull itself down by its own weight, the provident architect has prudence and forbearance enough not to advance her work too fast; but by building only in the morning, and by dedicating the rest of the day to food and amusement, gives it sufficient time to dry and harden. About half an inch seems to be a sufficient layer for a day. Thus careful workmen when they build mud walls (informed at first perhaps by this little bird) raise but a moderate layer at a time, and then desist; lest the work should become top-heavy, and so be ruined by its own weight. By this method in about ten or twelve days is formed an hemispheric nest with a small aperture towards the top, strong, compact, and warm; and perfectly fitted for all the purposes for which it was intended. But then nothing is more common than for the house sparrow, as soon as the shell is finished, to seize on it as its own, to eject the owner, and to line it after its own manner.

After so much labour is bestowed in erecting a mansion, as Nature seldom works in vain, martins will breed on for several years together in the same nest, where it happens to be well sheltered and secure from the injuries of weather. The shell or crust of the nest

is a sort of rustic-work full of knobs and protuberances on the outside: nor is the inside of those that I have examined smoothed with any exactness at all; but is rendered soft and warm, and fit for incubation, by a lining of small straws, grasses, and feathers; and sometimes by a bed of moss interwoven with wool. In this nest they tread, or engender, frequently during the time of building; and the hen lays from three to five white eggs.

At first when the young are hatched, and are in a naked and helpless condition, the parent birds, with tender assiduity, carry out what comes away from their young. Was it not for this affectionate cleanliness the nestlings would soon be burnt up, and destroyed in so deep and hollow a nest, by their own caustic excrement. In the quadruped creation, the same neat precaution is made use of; particularly among dogs and cats, where the dams lick away what proceeds from their young. But in birds there seems to be a particular provision, that the dung of nestlings is enveloped in a tough kind of jelly, and therefore is the easier conveyed off without soiling or daubing[1]. Yet, as Nature is cleanly in all her ways, the young perform this office for themselves, in a little time, by thrusting their tails out at the aperture of their nest. As the young of small birds presently arrive at their ἡλικία, or full growth, they

[1] It is a very curious provision made by nature, that the dung of all nestlings is enclosed in a thin membrane, which enables the old birds to carry it away in their bills, which they do regularly each time they bring food to the nest. The young instinctively, even before they can see, protrude their hind quarters to eject the dung from the nest; but if the parent did not carry it away, there would be a congeries of dirt under the nest, which would not only be uncleanly, but would attract attention and discover their retreat. As long as young birds are kept to their nest in a basket or box, the membranous covering continues; if they are let out to perch, it ceases; if they are shut down again in the nest or basket, it reappears. The warmth and quiescence of the nest certainly occasion it, and principally the quiescence; but how it should have that effect I cannot pretend to understand. It is a marvellous provision of Almighty wisdom.—W. H.

soon become impatient of confinement, and sit all day with their heads out at the orifice, where the dams, by clinging to the nest, supply them with food from morning to night. For a time, the young are fed on the wing by their parents; but the feat is done by so quick and almost imperceptible a slight, that a person must have attended very exactly to their motions before he would be able to perceive it. As soon as the young are able to shift for themselves, the dams immediately turn their thoughts to the business of a second brood: while the first flight, shaken off and rejected by their nurses, congregate in great flocks, and are the birds that are seen clustering and hovering on sunny mornings and evenings round towers and steeples, and on the roofs of churches and houses. These congregatings usually begin to take place about the first week in August; and therefore we may conclude that by that time the first flight is pretty well over. The young of this species do not quit their abodes all together; but the more forward birds get abroad some days before the rest. These, approaching the eaves of buildings, and playing about before them, make people think that several old ones attend one nest. They are often capricious in fixing on a nesting-place, beginning many edifices, and leaving them unfinished; but when once a nest is completed in a sheltered place, it serves for several seasons. Those which breed in a ready-finished house get the start, in hatching, of those that build new, by ten days or a fortnight. These industrious artificers are at their labours in the long days before four in the morning: when they fix their materials, they plaster them on with their chins, moving their heads with a quick vibratory motion. They dip and wash as they fly sometimes in very hot weather, but not so frequently as swallows. It has been observed that martins usually build to a north-east or north-west aspect, that the heat of the sun may not crack and destroy their nests: but instances are also remembered where they bred for many years in

vast abundance in a hot stifled inn-yard, against a wall facing to the south.

Birds in general are wise in their choice of situation: but in this neighbourhood, every summer, is seen a strong instance to the contrary at a house without eaves in an exposed district, where some martins build year by year in the corners of the windows. But, as the corners of these windows (which face to the south-east and south-west) are too shallow, the nests are washed down every hard rain; and yet these birds drudge on to no purpose from summer to summer, without changing their aspect or house. It is a piteous sight to see them labouring when half their nest is washed away, and bringing dirt—"*generis lapsi sarcire ruinas.*" Thus is instinct a most wonderfully unequal faculty: in some instances so much above reason, in other respects so far below it! Martins love to frequent towns, especially if there are great lakes and rivers at hand; nay, they even affect the close air of London. And I have not only seen them nesting in the Borough, but even in the Strand and Fleet Street; but then it was obvious from the dinginess of their aspect that their feathers partook of the filth of that sooty atmosphere. Martins are by far the least agile of the four species; their wings and tails are short, and therefore they are not capable of such surprising turns and quick and glancing evolutions as the swallow. Accordingly, they make use of a placid easy motion in a middle region of the air, seldom mounting to any great height, and never sweeping long together over the surface of the ground or water. They do not wander far for food, but affect sheltered districts, over some lake, or under some hanging wood, or in some hollow vale, especially in windy weather. They breed the latest of all the swallow kind: in 1772 they had nestlings on to October the 21st, and are never without unfledged young as late as Michaelmas.

As the summer declines, the congregating flocks increase in numbers daily, by the constant accession of

the second broods; till at last they swarm in myriads upon myriads round the villages on the Thames, darkening the face of the sky as they frequent the aits of that river, where they roost. They retire, the bulk of them I mean, in vast flocks together, about the beginning of October: but have appeared of late years in a considerable flight in this neighbourhood, for one day or two, as late as November the 3d and 6th, after they were supposed to have been gone for more than a fortnight. They therefore withdraw with us the latest of any species. Unless these birds are very short-lived indeed, or unless they do not return to the district where they are bred, they must undergo vast devastations somehow, and somewhere; for the birds that return yearly bear no manner of proportion to the birds that retire.

House martins are distinguished from their congeners by having their legs covered with soft downy feathers down to their toes. They are no songsters; but twitter in a pretty inward soft manner in their nests. During the time of breeding, they are often greatly molested with fleas.

I am, &c.

LETTER XVII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, RINGMER, near LEWES, Dec. 9, 1773.

I RECEIVED your last favour just as I was setting out for this place; and am pleased to find that my monography met with your approbation. My remarks are the result of many years' observation; and are, I trust, true in the whole: though I do not pretend to say that they are perfectly void of mistake, or that a more nice observer might not make many additions, since subjects of this kind are inexhaustible.

If you think my letter worthy the notice of your respectable society, you are at liberty to lay it before them; and they will consider it, I hope, as it was intended, as an humble attempt to promote a more minute inquiry into natural history; into the life and conversation of animals. Perhaps hereafter I may be induced to take the house swallow under consideration; and from that proceed to the rest of the British *Hirundines*.

Though I have now travelled the Sussex downs upwards of thirty years, yet I still investigate that chain of majestic mountains with fresh admiration year by year; and think I see new beauties every time I traverse it. This range, which runs from Chichester eastward as far as East-Bourn, is about sixty miles in length, and is called the South Downs, properly speaking, only round Lewes. As you pass along you command a noble view of the wild, or weald, on one hand, and the broad downs and sea on the other. Mr. Ray used to visit a family[1] just at the foot of these hills, and was so ravished with the prospect from Plumpton Plain, near Lewes, that he mentions those scapes in his "Wisdom of God in the Works of the Creation" with the utmost satisfaction, and thinks them equal to any thing he had seen in the finest parts of Europe.

For my own part, I think there is somewhat peculiarly sweet and amusing in the shapely figured aspect of chalk hills, in preference to those of stone, which are rugged, broken, abrupt, and shapeless.

Perhaps I may be singular in my opinion, and not so happy as to convey to you the same idea; but I never contemplate these mountains without thinking I perceive somewhat analogous to growth in their gentle swellings and smooth fungus-like protuberances, their fluted sides, and regular hollows and slopes, that carry at once the air of vegetative dilatation and expansion. ——Or was there ever a time when these immense

[1] Mr. Courthope, of Danny.

masses of calcareous matter were thrown into fermentation by some adventitious moisture; were raised and leavened into such shapes by some plastic power; and so made to swell and heave their broad backs into the sky so much above the less animated clay of the wild below?

By what I can guess from the admeasurements of the hills that have been taken round my house, I should suppose that these hills surmount the wild at an average at about the rate of five hundred feet.

One thing is very remarkable as to the sheep: from the westward till you get to the river Adur all the flocks have horns, and smooth white faces, and white legs; and a hornless sheep is rarely to be seen: but as soon as you pass that river eastward, and mount Beeding Hill, all the flocks at once become hornless, or, as they call them, poll sheep; and have moreover black faces, with a white tuft of wool on their foreheads, and speckled and spotted legs: so that you would think that the flocks of Laban were pasturing on one side of the stream, and the variegated breed of his son-in-law Jacob were cantoned along on the other. And this diversity holds good respectively on each side from the valley of Bramber and Beeding to the eastward, and westward all the whole length of the downs. If you talk with the shepherds on this subject, they tell you that the case has been so from time immemorial; and smile at your simplicity if you ask them whether the situation of these two different breeds might not be reversed. However, an intelligent friend of mine near Chichester is determined to try the experiment; and has this autumn, at the hazard of being laughed at, introduced a parcel of black-faced hornless rams among his horned western ewes. The black-faced poll sheep have the shortest legs and the finest wool[2].

[2] To assert that the black-faced, hornless race of sheep, known as South Downs, can exist westward of the river Adur, would be superfluous: they are not only to be seen on the downs to the west of Bramber,

As I had hardly ever before travelled these downs at so late a season of the year, I was determined to keep as sharp a look out as possible so near the southern coast, with respect to the summer short-winged birds of passage. We make great inquiries concerning the withdrawing of the swallow kind, without examining enough into the causes why this tribe is never to be seen in winter; for, *entre nous*, the disappearing of the latter is more marvellous than that of the former, and much more unaccountable. The *Hirundines*, if they please, are certainly capable of migration; and yet, no doubt, are often found in a torpid state: but redstarts, nightingales, whitethroats, blackcaps, &c. &c. are very ill provided for long flights; have never been once found, as I ever heard of, in a torpid state; and yet can never be supposed, in such troops, from year to year to dodge and elude the eyes of the curious and inquisitive, which from day to day discern the other small birds that are known to abide our winters. But, notwithstanding all my care, I saw nothing like a summer bird of passage: and, what is more strange, not one wheatear, though they abound so in the autumn as to be a considerable perquisite to the shepherds that take them; and though many are to be seen to my knowledge all the winter through in many parts of the south of England. The most intelligent shepherds tell me that some few of these birds appear on the downs in March, and then withdraw to breed, probably, in warrens and stone-quarries: now and then a nest is ploughed up in a fallow on the downs under a furrow, but it is thought a rarity. At the time of wheat harvest, they begin to be taken in great numbers; are sent for

but every where throughout England; so strongly have they been recommended to general favour by their short legs and their fine wool. The Dorsets, as they are called, the horned sheep with smooth white faces and white legs, now occur much more rarely than the rival breed. Yet the observation in the text is curious, as indicating the rapid advance that has taken place, in little more than half a century, in the knowledge and power of the agriculturist.—E. T. B.

sale in vast quantities to Brighthelmstone and Tunbridge; and appear at the tables of all the gentry that entertain with any degree of elegance. About Michaelmas they retire, and are seen no more till March. Though these birds are, when in season, in great plenty on the South downs round Lewes, yet at East-Bourn, which is the eastern extremity of those downs, they abound much more. One thing is very remarkable—that though in the height of the season so many hundreds of dozens are taken, yet they never are seen to flock; and it is a rare thing to see more than three or four at a time: so that there must be a perpetual flitting and constant progressive succession. It does not appear that any wheatears are taken to the westward of Houghton Bridge, which stands on the river Arun[3].

I did not fail to look particularly after my new migration of ring-ousels; and to take notice whether they continued on the downs to this season of the year; as I had formerly remarked them in the month of October all the way from Chichester to Lewes wherever there were any shrubs and covert: but not one bird of this sort came within my observation. I only saw a few larks and whinchats, some rooks, and several kites and buzzards.

About Midsummer a flight of crossbills comes to the pine-groves about this house, but never makes any long stay.

The old tortoise, that I have mentioned in a former letter, still continues in this garden; and retired under ground about the 20th of November, and came out again for one day on the 30th: it lies now buried in a wet swampy border under a wall facing to the south, and is enveloped at present in mud and mire!

Here is a large rookery round this house, the inha-

[3] Mr. White says, that no wheatears are taken to the westward of Houghton Bridge, on the river Arun; it appears, however, this is not the case. See the note to Mrs. Charlotte Smith's Poems, 1807, p. 168.—MITFORD.

bitants of which seem to get their livelihood very easily; for they spend the greatest part of the day on their nest-trees when the weather is mild. These rooks retire every evening all the winter from this rookery, where they only call by the way, as they are going to roost in deep woods: at the dawn of day they always revisit their nest-trees, and are preceded a few minutes by a flight of daws, that act, as it were, as their harbingers.

I am, &c.

LETTER XVIII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Jan. 29, 1774.

THE house swallow, or chimney swallow, is, undoubtedly, the first comer of all the British *Hirundines;* and appears in general on or about the 13th of April, as I have remarked from many years observation. Not but now and then a straggler is seen much earlier: and, in particular, when I was a boy, I observed a swallow for a whole day together on a sunny warm Shrove Tuesday; which day could not fall out later than the middle of March, and often happened early in February.

It is worth remarking that these birds are seen first about lakes and mill-ponds; and it is also very particular, that if these early visiters happen to find frost and snow, as was the case of the two dreadful springs of 1770 and 1771, they immediately withdraw[1] for a time. A circumstance this, much more in favour of hiding than migration; since it is much more probable that a bird should retire to its hybernaculum just at

[1] It appears to me very doubtful whether the swallows which appear unseasonably for a few days do not perish when they are said to withdraw. I do not see how they are identified when they are supposed to reappear in due time.—W. H.

hand, than return for a week or two only to warmer latitudes.

The swallow, though called the chimney-swallow, by no means builds altogether in chimneys, but often within barns and outhouses against the rafters; and so she did in Virgil's time.

——————— "Ante
Garrula quàm tignis nidos suspendat hirundo."

In Sweden she builds in barns, and is called *ladu swala*, the barn-swallow. Besides, in the warmer parts of Europe there are no chimneys to houses except they are English-built: in these countries she constructs her nest in porches, and gateways, and galleries, and open halls.

Here and there a bird may affect some odd, peculiar place; as we have known a swallow build down the shaft of an old well, through which chalk had been formerly drawn up for the purpose of manure: but in general with us this *Hirundo* breeds in chimneys; and loves to haunt those stacks where there is a constant fire, no doubt for the sake of warmth. Not that it can subsist in the immediate shaft where there is a fire; but prefers one adjoining to that of the kitchen, and disregards the perpetual smoke of that funnel, as I have often observed with some degree of wonder.

Five or six or more feet down the chimney, does this little bird begin to form her nest about the middle of May, which consists, like that of the house martin, of a crust or shell composed of dirt or mud, mixed with short pieces of straw, to render it tough and permanent; with this difference, that whereas the shell of the martin is nearly hemispheric, that of the swallow is open at the top, and like half a deep dish: this nest is lined with fine grasses, and feathers which are often collected as they float in the air.

Wonderful is the address which this adroit bird shows all day long in ascending and descending with

security through so narrow a pass. When hovering over the mouth of the funnel, the vibrations of her wings acting on the confined air occasion a rumbling like thunder. It is not improbable that the dam submits to this inconvenient situation so low in the shaft, in order to secure her broods from rapacious birds, and particularly from owls, which frequently fall down chimneys, perhaps in attempting to get at these nestlings.

The swallow lays from four to six white eggs, dotted with red specks; and brings out her first brood about the last week in June, or the first week in July. The progressive method by which the young are introduced into life is very amusing: first, they emerge from the shaft with difficulty enough, and often fall down into the rooms below: for a day or so they are fed on the chimney-top, and then are conducted to the dead leafless bough of some tree, where, sitting in a row, they are attended with great assiduity, and may then be called perchers. In a day or two more they become flyers, but are still unable to take their own food; therefore they play about near the place where the dams are hawking for flies; and, when a mouthful is collected, at a certain signal given, the dam and the nestling advance, rising towards each other, and meeting at an angle; the young one all the while uttering such a little quick note of gratitude and complacency, that a person must have paid very little regard to the wonders of Nature that has not often remarked this feat.

The dam betakes herself immediately to the business of a second brood as soon as she is disengaged from her first; which at once associates with the first broods of house martins; and with them congregates, clustering on sunny roofs, towers, and trees. This *Hirundo* brings out her second brood towards the middle and end of August.

All the summer long is the swallow a most instructive pattern of unwearied industry and affection; for, from

morning to night, while there is a family to be supported, she spends the whole day in skimming close to the ground, and exerting the most sudden turns and quick evolutions. Avenues, and long walks under hedges, and pasture fields, and mown meadows where cattle graze, are her delight, especially if there are trees interspersed; because in such spots insects most abound. When a fly is taken a smart snap from her bill is heard, resembling the noise at the shutting of a watchcase; but the motion of the mandibles is too quick for the eye.

The swallow, probably the male bird, is the *excubitor* to house martins, and other little birds, announcing the approach of birds of prey. For as soon as a hawk appears, with a shrill alarming note he calls all the swallows and martins about him; who pursue in a body, and buffet and strike their enemy till they have driven him from the village, darting down from above on his back, and rising in a perpendicular line in perfect security. This bird also will sound the alarm, and strike at cats when they climb on the roofs of houses, or otherwise approach the nests. Each species of *Hirundo* drinks as it flies along, sipping the surface of the water; but the swallow alone, in general, *washes* on the wing, by dropping into a pool for many times together: in very hot weather house martins and bank martins dip and wash a little.

The swallow is a delicate songster, and in soft sunny weather sings both perching and flying; on trees in a kind of concert, and on chimney tops: is also a bold flyer, ranging to distant downs and commons even in windy weather, which the other species seem much to dislike; nay, even frequenting exposed seaport towns, and making little excursions over the salt water. Horsemen on wide downs are often closely attended by a little party of swallows for miles together, which plays before and behind them, sweeping around, and collecting all the skulking insects that are roused by

the trampling of the horses' feet: when the wind blows hard, without this expedient, they are often forced to settle to pick up their lurking prey.

This species feeds much on little *Coleoptera*, as well as on gnats and flies; and often settles on dug ground, or paths, for gravels to grind and digest its food. Before they depart, for some weeks, to a bird, they forsake houses and chimneys, and roost in trees; and usually withdraw about the beginning of October; though some few stragglers may appear on at times till the first week in November.

Some few pairs haunt the new and open streets of London next the fields, but do not enter, like the house martin, the close and crowded parts of the city.

Both male and female are distinguished from their congeners by the length and forkedness of their tails. They are undoubtedly the most nimble of all the species; and when the male pursues the female in amorous chase, they then go beyond their usual speed, and exert a rapidity almost too quick for the eye to follow.

After this circumstantial detail of the life and discerning στοργὴ of the swallow, I shall add, for your farther amusement, an anecdote or two not much in favour of her sagacity:—

A certain swallow built for two years together on the handles of a pair of garden shears, that were stuck up against the boards in an outhouse, and therefore must have her nest spoiled whenever that implement was wanted: and, what is stranger still, another bird of the same species built its nest on the wings and body of an owl that happened by accident to hang dead and dry from the rafter of a barn. This owl, with the nest on its wings, and with eggs in the nest, was brought as a curiosity worthy the most elegant private museum in Great Britain. The owner, struck with the oddity of the sight, furnished the bringer with a large shell, or conch, desiring him to fix it just where the owl hung: the person did as he was ordered, and the following

year a pair, probably the same pair, built their nest in the conch, and laid their eggs[2].

The owl and the conch make a strange grotesque appearance, and are not the least curious specimens in that wonderful collection of art and nature[3].

Thus is instinct in animals, taken the least out of its way, an undistinguishing, limited faculty; and blind to every circumstance that does not immediately respect self-preservation, or lead at once to the propagation or support of their species[4].

I am,

With all respect, &c. &c.

[2] This anecdote is related, almost in the same words, and evidently originally from the same pen, in Barrington's Miscellanies, p. 240.—E. T. B.

The identical specimen is still preserved in the collection of one of my friends.—W. Y.

[3] Sir Aston Lever's Museum: [since entirely sold off, and variously distributed.]

[4] Two or three days after the flight of swallows had departed from this country in September, I found a swallow sitting on the gravel walk before the house door. When I had taken it up it sat on my finger, and appeared to have been shot in the body near the base of the wing; it was quite emaciated, and looked most piteously at me, so that in compassion I brought it on my finger into the house, and held it to a pane of the window where there were some flies, which it snapped at and devoured greedily. I then offered it some nightingale's food on the point of a pen, which it ate with equal satisfaction. In pity I found myself compelled to take care of it, and I preserved it through the winter upon moist meat and egg with a mixture of bread crumbs and fig dust, the nightingale's food being too laxative for it. I had hoped that, if it survived, it would recover the power of flight by the spring, when I had intended to give it its liberty, but the injury had been too severe, and it was never able to rise above a foot from the ground. I did not find it suffer from the susceptibility of cold in its feet, which has been mentioned by a gentleman, who stated himself to have been unable to preserve swallows without covering the perches with flannel. It was kept in the same situation as some foreign birds, in a warmly furnished and inhabited room, and seemed quite healthful, though weak from its wound. I observed some peculiarities in its habits; that it had no notion of turning its back to the light to spring up on a higher perch; the consequence of which was, that in a cage with a wooden back or hung against a wall, it would continue always sitting on the lowest perch, which was nearer the light than the upper one. It usually sat at the end of the perch furthest from the light,

LETTER XIX.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Feb. 14, 1774.

I RECEIVED your favour of the eighth, and am pleased to find that you read my little history of the swallow with your usual candour: nor was I the less pleased to find that you made objections where you saw reason.

As to the quotations, it is difficult to say precisely which species of *Hirundo* Virgil might intend in the lines in question, since the ancients did not attend to specific differences like modern naturalists; yet somewhat may be gathered, enough to incline me to suppose that in the two passages quoted, the poet had his eye on the swallow.

In the first place the epithet *garrula* suits the swallow well, who is a great songster; and not the martin, which is rather a mute bird; and when it sings is so

and, when hungry, slided itself along the perch towards its food, which it seized with a sudden snap, and then returned to its place. On removing it into a larger cage which was light on all sides, the only way to make it sit on a high perch was to turn the ends of the perches to the light; and it appeared to want the common sense of other little birds in another respect, that unless its pan of food was placed within convenient reach of a perch on which it was disposed to sit, it would starve, and not move from its perch to seek it. In a wild state it has the habit of taking its food on the wing, and when it settles on a tree or rail, it remains there for rest till it takes wing again, and has no habit of moving from twig to twig, either in search of food or for recreation. Imprisonment, where it has not space to take exercise on the wing, must therefore be very grievous to it. The bird, which I had preserved through the winter, died in the month of June, while I was absent from home. Its crippled state must have added much to the unhealthiness of confinement without due exercise. In a room large enough to admit of their flight, I make no doubt that swallows might be very easily preserved through the winter, placing their food conveniently by some perch on which they would willingly alight.—W. H.

inward as scarce to be heard. Besides, if *tignum* in that place signifies a rafter rather than a beam, as it seems to me to do, then I think it must be the swallow that is alluded to, and not the martin; since the former does frequently build within the roof against the rafters; while the latter always, as far as I have been able to observe, builds without the roof against eaves and cornices.

As to the simile, too much stress must not be laid on it; yet the epithet *nigra* speaks plainly in favour of the swallow, whose back and wings are very black; while the rump of the martin is milk-white, its back and wings blue, and all its under part white as snow. Nor can the clumsy motions (comparatively clumsy) of the martin well represent the sudden and artful evolutions and quick turns which Juturna gave to her brother's chariot, so as to elude the eager pursuit of the enraged Æneas. The verb *sonat* also seems to imply a bird that is somewhat loquacious[1].

We have had a very wet autumn and winter, so as to raise the springs to a pitch beyond any thing since 1764; which was a remarkable year for floods and high waters. The land springs, which we call *lavants*, break out much on the downs of Sussex, Hampshire, and Wiltshire. The country people say when the *lavants* rise, corn will always be dear; meaning that when the earth is so glutted with water as to send forth springs on the downs and uplands, that the corn vales must be drowned; and so it has proved for these ten or eleven years past. For land springs have never obtained more since the memory of man than during that period; nor has there been known a greater scarcity of all sorts of

[1] "*Nigra* velut magnas domini cum divitis ædes
Pervolat, et pennis alta atria lustrat hirundo,
Pabula parva legens, nidisque loquacibus escas:
Et nunc porticibus vacuis, nunc humida circum
Stagna *sonat*."

grain, considering the great improvements of modern husbandry. Such a run of wet seasons a century or two ago, would, I am persuaded, have occasioned a famine. Therefore pamphlets and newspaper letters, that talk of combinations, tend to inflame and mislead; since we must not expect plenty till Providence sends us more favourable seasons.

The wheat of last year, all round this district, and in the county of Rutland, and elsewhere, yields remarkably bad: and our wheat on the ground, by the continual late sudden vicissitudes from fierce frost to pouring rains, looks poorly; and the turnips rot very fast.

I am, &c.

LETTER XX.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Feb. 26, 1774.

THE sand martin, or bank martin, is by much the least of any of the British *Hirundines;* and, as far as we have ever seen, the smallest known *Hirundo:* though Brisson asserts that there is one much smaller, and that is the *Hirundo esculenta.*

But it is much to be regretted that it is scarce possible for any observer to be so full and exact as he could wish in reciting the circumstances attending the life and conversation of this little bird, since it is *fera naturâ*, at least in this part of the kingdom, disclaiming all domestic attachments, and haunting wild heaths and commons where there are large lakes; while the other species, especially the swallow and house martin, are remarkably gentle and domesticated, and never seem to think themselves safe but under the protection of man.

Here are in this parish, in the sand pits and banks of the lakes of Wolmer Forest, several colonies of these

SAND MARTINS' COLONY AT OAKHANGER.

birds; and yet they are never seen in the village; nor do they at all frequent the cottages that are scattered about in that wild district. The only instance I ever remember where this species haunts any building is at the town of Bishop's Waltham, in this county, where many sand martins nestle and breed in the scaffold holes of the back wall of William of Wykeham's stables: but then this wall stands in a very sequestered and retired enclosure, and faces upon a large and beautiful lake. And indeed this species seems so to delight in large waters, that no instance occurs of their abounding, but near vast pools or rivers: and in particular it has been remarked that they swarm in the banks of the Thames in some places below London Bridge.

It is curious to observe with what different degrees of architectonic skill Providence has endowed birds of the same genus, and so nearly correspondent in their general mode of life! for while the swallow and the house martin discover the greatest address in raising

and securely fixing crusts or shells of loam as *cunabula* for their young, the bank martin terebrates a round and regular hole in the sand or earth, which is serpentine, horizontal, and about two feet deep. At the inner end of this burrow does this bird deposit, in a good degree of safety, her rude nest, consisting of fine grasses and feathers, usually goose feathers, very inartificially laid together.

SAND MARTIN'S NEST.

Perseverance will accomplish any thing: though at first one would be disinclined to believe that this weak bird, with her soft and tender bill and claws, should ever be able to bore the stubborn sand bank without entirely disabling herself; yet with these feeble instruments have I seen a pair of them make great dispatch: and could remark how much they had scooped that day by the fresh sand which ran down the bank, and was of a different colour from that which lay loose and bleached in the sun.

In what space of time these little artists are able to mine and finish these cavities I have never been able to discover, for reasons given above; but it would be a matter worthy of observation, where it falls in the way of any naturalist to make his remarks. This I have often taken notice of, that several holes of different depths are left unfinished at the end of summer. To

imagine that these beginnings were intentionally made in order to be in the greater forwardness for next spring, is allowing perhaps too much foresight and *rerum prudentia* to a simple bird. May not the cause of these *latebræ* being left unfinished arise from their meeting in those places with strata too harsh, hard, and solid, for their purpose, which they relinquish, and go to a fresh spot that works more freely? Or may they not in other places fall in with a soil as much too loose and mouldering, liable to founder, and threatening to overwhelm them and their labours?

One thing is remarkable—that, after some years, the old holes are forsaken and new ones bored; perhaps because the old habitations grow foul and fetid from long use, or because they may so abound with fleas as to become untenantable. This species of swallow moreover is strangely annoyed with fleas: and we have seen fleas, bed fleas (*Pulex irritans*[1]), swarming at the mouths of these holes, like bees on the stools of their hives.

The following circumstance should by no means be omitted—that these birds do not make use of their

[1] The flea of the sand martin, although to the unassisted eye so exceedingly similar to the bed flea as to be scarcely distinguishable from it, is altogether distinct. It appears even to be distinct from the flea of the swallow, named by Mr. Stephens *Pulex Hirundinis;* and has been indicated by Mr. Curtis under the appellation of *bifasciatus*. By the latter the sand martin's flea is referred to a genus separated by him from the ordinary flea, *Pulex*, Linn., and distinguished by the name of *Ceratophyllus:* he having discovered that the antennæ of the numerous insects referrible to this last-named group have four or more joints; while in *Pulex irritans* and its congeners those organs are only two-jointed.

Although it was stated by Latreille, so long since as the date of the publication of his Genera Crustaceorum et Insectorum, that fleas possess antennæ, which he described as being situated on each side in a cavity behind the eye, the minuteness of these little creatures rendering their examination difficult, obstructed until about three years since the verification of the fact by others: Latreille himself appearing, in 1829, to have hesitated in averring it with the same certainty that he had expressed upwards of twenty years before. In 1832, however, Mr. Haliday and Mr. Curtis in England, and later in the year, M. Dugès in France, rediscovered these organs: and figures of them, as they were observed in several species, were given in the British Entomology and in the Annales

caverns by way of hybernacula, as might be expected; since banks so perforated have been dug out with care in the winter, when nothing was found but empty nests.

The sand martin arrives much about the same time with the swallow, and lays, as she does, from four to six white eggs. But as this species is cryptogame, carrying on the business of nidification, incubation, and the support of its young in the dark, it would not be so easy to ascertain the time of breeding, were it not for the coming forth of the broods, which appear much about the time, or rather somewhat earlier than those of the swallow. The nestlings are supported, in common like those of their congeners, with gnats and other small insects; and sometimes they are fed with *Libellulæ* (dragon-flies) almost as long as themselves. In the last week in June we have seen a row of these sitting on a rail near a great pool as perchers; and so young and helpless, as easily to be taken by hand: but whether the dams ever feed them on the wing, as swallows and house martins do, we have never yet been able to determine: nor do we know whether they pursue and attack birds of prey.

When they happen to breed near hedges and enclosures, they are dispossessed of their breeding holes by

des Sciences Naturelles. It may aid those who may be disposed to search for so minute an organ on so small a creature to be informed that, according to M. Dugès, there is behind each eye a shallow but broad cavity, ending below in a cleft and covered by a kind of operculum which is triangular and immoveable: an arrangement which he compares to the orbit, the temporal fossa, and the zygoma of the human skull. Under the operculum and within the cleft is hidden a small flat body, which is raised, at times, briskly into the uncovered portion of the depression. This is the antenna, of larger size than is well adapted to the small space in which it is lodged, and rendered capable of being contained in so limited a cavity only by the flexures of its joints. The number or the form of these joints appears to differ in almost every one of the indigenous fleas, nearly twenty of which have now been discovered infesting various quadrupeds and birds; each of them being generally appropriated to its peculiar species.

The sand martin's flea remains in considerable numbers in the deserted nests after the departure of the bird.—E. T. B.

the house sparrow, which is on the same account a fell adversary to house martins.

These *Hirundines* are no songsters, but rather mute, making only a little harsh noise when a person approaches their nests. They seem not to be of a sociable turn, never with us congregating with their congeners in the autumn. Undoubtedly they breed a second time, like the house martin and swallow; and withdraw about Michaelmas.

Though in some particular districts they may happen to abound, yet in the whole, in the south of England at least, is this much the rarest species. For there are few towns or large villages but what abound with house martins; few churches, towers, or steeples, but what are haunted by some swifts; scarce a hamlet or single cottage chimney that has not its swallow; while the bank martins, scattered here and there, live a sequestered life among some abrupt sand hills, and in the banks of some few rivers.

These birds have a peculiar manner of flying; flitting about with odd jerks and vacillations, not unlike the motions of a butterfly. Doubtless the flight of all *Hirundines* is influenced by, and adapted to, the peculiar sort of insects which furnish their food. Hence it would be worth inquiry to examine what particular genus of insects affords the principal food of each respective species of swallow.

Notwithstanding what has been advanced above, some few sand martins, I see, haunt the skirts of London, frequenting the dirty pools in St. George's Fields, and about Whitechapel. The question is where these build, since there are no banks or bold shores in that neighbourhood: perhaps they nestle in the scaffold holes of some old or new deserted building. They dip and wash as they fly sometimes, like the house martin and swallow.

Sand martins differ from their congeners in the diminutiveness of their size and in their colour, which is

what is usually called a mouse colour. Near Valencia, in Spain, they are taken, says Willughby, and sold in the markets for the table; and are called by the country people, probably from their desultory jerking manner of flight, *Papilion di Montagna*[2].

LETTER XXI.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Sept. 28, 1774.

As the swift or black martin is the largest of the British *Hirundines*, so is it undoubtedly the latest comer. For I remember but one instance of its appearing before the last week in April: and in some of our late frosty, harsh springs, it has not been seen till the beginning of May. This species usually arrives in pairs.

The swift, like the sand martin, is very defective in architecture, making no crust, or shell, for its nest: but forming it of dry grasses and feathers, very rudely and inartificially put together. With all my attention to these birds, I have never been able once to discover one in the act of collecting or carrying in materials: so that I have suspected (since their nests are exactly the same) that they sometimes usurp upon the house-sparrows, and expel them, as sparrows do the house and sand martin; well remembering that I have seen them squabbling together at the entrance of their holes; and the sparrows up in arms, and much disconcerted at these intruders. And yet I am assured by a nice ob-

[2] As connected with the question of migration it should be remembered that Willughby was at Valencia about the end of September, or rather, according to the new style, after the beginning of October. At the same time there were also great numbers of swallows in the markets for sale.—E. T. B.

server in such matters, that they do collect feathers for their nests in Andalusia; and that he has shot them with such materials in their mouths.

Swifts, like sand martins, carry on the business of nidification quite in the dark, in crannies of castles, and towers, and steeples, and upon the tops of the walls of churches under the roof; and therefore cannot be so narrowly watched as those species that build more openly: but, from what I could ever observe, they begin nesting about the middle of May; and I have remarked, from eggs taken, that they have sat hard by the 9th of June. In general they haunt tall buildings, churches, and steeples, and breed only in such: yet in this village some pairs frequent the lowest and meanest cottages, and educate their young under those thatched roofs. We remember but one instance where they breed out of buildings; and that is in the sides of a deep chalk-pit near the town of Odiham, in this county, where we have seen many pairs entering the crevices, and skimming and squeaking round the precipices.

As I have regarded these amusive birds with no small attention, if I should advance something new and peculiar with respect to them, and different from all other birds, I might perhaps be credited; especially as my assertion is the result of many years exact observation. The fact that I would advance is, that swifts pair on the wing: and I would wish any nice observer, that is startled at this supposition, to use his own eyes, and I think he will soon be convinced. In another class of animals, viz. the insect, nothing is so common as to see the different species of many genera pairing as they fly. The swift is almost continually on the wing; and as it never settles on the ground, on trees, or roofs, would seldom find opportunity for pairing except in the air. If any person would watch these birds of a fine morning in May, as they are sailing round at a great height from the ground, he would see, every

now and then, two meet, and both of them sink down together for many fathoms with a loud piercing shriek.

As the swift eats, drinks, collects materials for its nest, and, as it seems, propagates on the wing; it appears to live more in the air than any other bird, and to perform all functions there save those of sleeping and incubation.

This *Hirundo* differs widely from its congeners in laying invariably but two eggs at a time, which are milk-white, long, and peaked at the small end; whereas the other species lay at each brood from four to six. It is a most alert bird, rising very early, and retiring to roost very late; and is on the wing in the height of summer at least sixteen hours. In the longest days it does not withdraw to rest till a quarter before nine in the evening, being the latest of all day birds. Just before they retire, whole groups of them assemble high in the air, and squeak, and shoot about with wonderful rapidity. But this bird is never so much alive as in sultry thundry weather, when it expresses great alacrity, and calls forth all its powers. In hot mornings, several, getting together in little parties, dash round the steeples and churches, squeaking as they go in a very clamorous manner: these, by nice observers, are supposed to be males serenading their sitting hens; and not without reason, since they seldom squeak till they come close to the walls or eaves, and since those within utter at the same time a little inward note of complacency.

When the hen has sat hard all day, she rushes forth just as it is almost dark, and stretches and relieves her weary limbs, and snatches a scanty meal for a few minutes, and then returns to her duty of incubation. Swifts, when wantonly and cruelly shot while they have young, discover a little lump of insects in their mouths, which they pouch and hold under their tongue[1]. In

[1] An example of the bird, shot under these circumstances, was exhibited by Dr. Heming to the Zoological Society in 1834. The collection

general they feed in a much higher district than the other species: a proof that gnats and other insects do also abound to a considerable height in the air: they also range to vast distances; since locomotion is no labour to them, who are endowed with such wonderful powers of wing. Their powers seem to be in proportion to their levers; and their wings are longer in proportion than those of almost any other bird. When they mute, or ease themselves in flight, they raise their wings, and make them meet over their backs.

At some certain times in the summer I had remarked that swifts were hawking very low for hours together over pools and streams; and could not help inquiring into the object of their pursuit that induced them to descend so much below their usual range. After some trouble, I found that they were taking *Phryganeæ*, *Ephemeræ*, and *Libellulæ* (cadew-flies, may-flies, and dragon-flies), that were just emerged out of their aurelia state. I then no longer wondered that they should be so willing to stoop for a prey that afforded them such plentiful and succulent nourishment.

They bring out their young about the middle or latter end of July: but as these never become perchers, nor, that ever I could discern, are fed on the wing by their dams, the coming forth of the young is not so notorious as in the other species.

On the 30th of last June I untiled the eaves of a house where many pairs build, and found in each nest only two squab, naked *pulli:* on the 8th of July I repeated the same inquiry, and found they had made very little progress towards a fledged state, but were

of insects, in this instance, was much larger than would have been anticipated from the notice in the text, and formed a considerable lump at the base of the lower jaw and upper part of the throat, of a rounded form, and measuring in length eleven lines and in depth half an inch: the skin was so distended over it as to show distinctly and widely separated the insertion of each of the small feathers. It was ascertained, by opening it, to be merely a dilatation of the throat, and not a distinct pouch or cavity. —E. T. B.

still naked and helpless. From whence we may conclude that birds whose way of life keeps them perpetually on the wing would not be able to quit their nest till the end of the month. Swallows and martins, that have numerous families, are continually feeding them every two or three minutes; while swifts, that have but two young to maintain, are much at their leisure, and do not attend on their nests for hours together.

Sometimes they pursue and strike at hawks that come in their way; but not with that vehemence and fury that swallows express on the same occasion. They are out all day long in wet days, feeding about, and disregarding still rain: from whence two things may be gathered; first, that many insects abide high in the air, even in rain; and next, that the feathers of these birds must be well preened to resist so much wet. Windy, and particularly windy weather with heavy showers, they dislike; and on such days withdraw, and are scarce ever seen.

There is a circumstance respecting the colour of swifts, which seems not to be unworthy our attention. When they arrive in the spring they are all over of a glossy, dark soot colour, except their chins, which are white; but by being all day long in the sun and air, they become quite weather-beaten and bleached before they depart[2], and yet they return glossy again in the spring. Now, if they pursue the sun into lower latitudes, as some suppose, in order to enjoy a perpetual summer, why do they not return bleached? Do they not rather, perhaps, retire to rest for a season, and at that juncture moult and change their feathers, since all other birds are known to moult soon after the season of breeding.

Swifts are very anomalous in many particulars, dissenting from all their congeners not only in the number

[2] Our swift departs before its moult, and when its plumage is at the worst from wear and tear. Our summer visiters generally complete their moult before they leave us, but not the *Hirundinidæ*.—W. Y.

of their young, but in breeding but once in a summer; whereas all the other British *Hirundines* breed invariably twice. It is past all doubt that swifts can breed but once, since they withdraw in a short time after the flight of their young, and some time before their congeners bring out their second broods. We may here remark, that, as swifts breed but once in a summer, and only two at a time, and the other *Hirundines* twice, the latter, who lay from four to six eggs, increase at an average five times as fast as the former.

But in nothing are swifts more singular than in their early retreat. They retire, as to the main body of them, by the 10th of August, and sometimes a few days sooner: and every straggler invariably withdraws by the 20th, while their congeners, all of them, stay till the beginning of October; many of them all through that month, and some occasionally to the beginning of November. This early retreat is mysterious and wonderful, since that time is often the sweetest season in the year. But, what is more extraordinary, they begin to retire still earlier in the most southerly parts of Andalusia, where they can be no ways influenced by any defect of heat; or, as one might suppose, defect of food. Are they regulated in their motions with us by a failure of food, or by a propensity to moulting, or by a disposition to rest after so rapid a life, or by what? This is one of those incidents in natural history that not only baffles our researches, but almost eludes our guesses!

These *Hirundines* never perch on trees or roofs, and so never congregate with their congeners. They are fearless while haunting their nesting places, and are not to be scared with a gun; and are often beaten down with poles and cudgels as they stoop to go under the eaves. Swifts are much infested with those pests to the genus called *Hippoboscæ Hirundinis;* and often wriggle and scratch themselves, in their flight, to get rid of that clinging annoyance.

Swifts are no songsters, and have only one harsh screaming note: yet there are ears to which it is not displeasing, from an agreeable association of ideas, since that note never occurs but in the most lovely summer weather.

They never settle on the ground but through accident; and when down can hardly rise, on account of the shortness of their legs and the length of their wings: neither can they walk, but only crawl; but they have a strong grasp with their feet, by which they cling to walls. Their bodies being flat they can enter a very narrow crevice; and where they cannot pass on their bellies they will turn up edgewise.

The particular formation of the foot discriminates the swift from all the British *Hirundines;* and indeed from all other known birds, the *Hirundo Melba,* or great white-bellied swift of Gibraltar, excepted; for it is so disposed as to carry "*omnes quatuor digitos anticos,*" all its four toes forward; besides, the least toe, which should be the back toe, consists of one bone alone, and the other three only of two apiece: a construction most rare and peculiar, but nicely adapted to the purposes in which their feet are employed. This, and some peculiarities attending the nostrils and under mandible, have induced a discerning naturalist[3] to suppose that this species might constitute a genus *per se*[4].

[3] John Antony Scopoli, of Carniola, M. D.

[4] The genus suggested by Scopoli has been adopted by modern zoologists, and has been made to include all the species of swifts: but the name which he gave to it has been superseded by that of *Cypselus,* applied to it by Illiger and adopted from Aristotle, which is considered as indicating the habit of hiding their nests in holes. And not only has the generic name been altered, but an attempt, and apparently a successful one, in one instance at least, has been made to change the trivial appellations also of the two European species; the *Hirundo Apus* of Linnæus being the *Cypselus murarius* of M. Temminck, and the *Hirundo Melba* the *Cyps. Alpinus* of the author last quoted. Both these birds are now included in the British list.—E. T. B.

Three examples of the great white-bellied swift of Gibraltar have been killed in the British islands since the days of Gilbert White: one

In London a party of swifts frequents the Tower, playing and feeding over the river just below the bridge: others haunt some of the churches of the Borough next the fields; but do not venture, like the house martin, into the close crowded part of the town.

The Swedes have bestowed a very pertinent name on this swallow, calling it ring-swala, from the perpetual rings or circles that it takes round the scene of its nidification.

Swifts feed on *Coleoptera*, or small beetles with hard cases over their wings, as well as on the softer insects; but it does not appear how they can procure gravel[5] to grind their food, as swallows do, since they never settle on the ground. Young ones, overrun with *Hippoboscæ*, are sometimes found, under their nests, fallen to the ground; the number of vermin rendering their abode insupportable any longer. They frequent in this village several abject cottages; yet a succession still

on the south coast of Ireland, recorded by Mr. Selby, to whom the bird was sent; one in Norfolk; and one by the gardener of R. Holford, Esq. at Kingsgate on the coast of Kent.—W. Y.

[5] Very few of the soft billed birds eat gravel; the nightingale never, nor does the redstart. The whin chat in confinement will sometimes swallow stones as large as swan shot, which pass through very soon; but he seems to eat them like a fool, taking them for victuals, in the same manner as I have seen tame redstarts, which do not naturally choose vegetable food, swallow green peas, after passing several minutes in trying to kill them. But these birds have the power of regurgitating the shells and hard parts of insects which they cannot digest, throwing them off in little oval balls. Young birds before they feed themselves appear to be endowed with powers of digestion which they do not afterwards retain. There is no difficulty in rearing any young *Sylviæ* till they are full grown; but after this period the difficulty of preserving them by artificial food commences. The redstart gives to its young such beetles as the aged bird is afraid of swallowing. It feeds its young entirely with flies, and coleopterous insects. The brown wren does the same, often giving them the large lambda moth. The yellow wren gives aphides and small green caterpillars. Full grown whitethroats, which have been reared in a cage, at the sight of a green caterpillar, immediately perk up their heads, and cry etchat, etchat. Tame *Sylviæ* are such fools, that if the floor of their cage is cleaned by a flannel rubber or woollen mop, they eat the woollen hairs, which form an indigestible ball in their stomach, which they cannot regurgitate, and which is sure to kill them.—W. H.

haunts the same unlikely roofs: a good proof this that the same birds return to the same spots. As they must stoop very low to get up under these humble eaves, cats lie in wait, and sometimes catch them on the wing.

On the 5th of July, 1775, I again untiled part of a roof over the nest of a swift. The dam sat in the nest; but so strongly was she affected by natural στοργη for her brood, which she supposed to be in danger, that, regardless of her own safety, she would not stir, but lay sullenly by them, permitting herself to be taken in hand. The squab young we brought down and placed on the grass-plot, where they tumbled about, and were as helpless as a new-born child. While we contemplated their naked bodies, their unwieldy disproportioned *abdomina*, and their heads, too heavy for their necks to support, we could not but wonder when we reflected that these shiftless beings in a little more than a fortnight would be able to dash through the air almost with the inconceivable swiftness of a meteor; and, perhaps, in their emigration, must traverse vast continents and oceans as distant as the equator. So soon does Nature advance small birds to their ἡλικία, or state of perfection; while the progressive growth of men and large quadrupeds is slow and tedious[6]!

I am, &c.

[6] On the 15th of July I observed some children tossing up a full grown young swift which could not fly, and had fallen down from its nest in the lofty tower of the church. It was full feathered. I took it from them and brought it into my room, and fed it, thinking it might possibly be able to fly away after taking some food, and so rejoin its parents. I crammed it with some nightingale's food, and before long it took victuals willingly, snapping it sharply off the end of a pen; but though completely feathered it was quite inert, unable to fly, and not desirous of moving. Having taken it in from charity, I could not now get rid of it. The food of the nightingale was too laxative for it, but a little meat and hard egg mixed with bread, and a good deal of finely sifted fig dust (which is, I believe, oatmeal, from oats which have not been kiln-dried), and given, not very moist, in little pellets, agreed with it perfectly. It never cried like other little birds for food, but when left too long without, it would get under way and crawl round the room.

I was much surprised at finding that, although quite mute in the day-

LETTER XXII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Sept. 13, 1774.

By means of a straight cottage chimney I had an opportunity this summer of remarking, at my leisure, how swallows ascend and descend through the shaft: but my pleasure in contemplating the address with which this feat was performed to a considerable depth in the

time, it made a singular singing noise at night when the candles were lit, though covered over with a sheet of paper. The noise was like the chirping of a cricket, or rather like the singing of a teakettle. I cannot doubt that the old ones do likewise by night.

Finding its scrambling about the room inconvenient, I made it an enclosure in the embrasure of one of the windows, where some sheets of paper had been spread to prevent its dirtying the room, and which I fenced in by a row of quarto books. It lived in general in a small square box which had something soft at the bottom for it to lie upon, and two opposite apertures near the top which accommodated its head and tail. The bottom of the apertures was two inches and a half from the ground, and it showed some skill in climbing into the box, which it did by means of its bill, using it like a parrot.

After I had had it a week I tried to practise it in flying; it could not, unless lifted, rise many inches above the carpet, but it improved gradually. During the first week it appeared to take very little notice of me, seizing the victuals offered to it at the point of a quill as if mechanically with a sudden snap of the bill; but after that time it began to look up to me for food, when I approached: when hungry it descended from the box in which it was usually placed, and began scrambling about its enclosure, giving no notice of its wants but by the rustling of the paper as it moved. I judged from this that its parents had probably been shot, and that hunger made it quit the nest: when I had had it ten days, if tossed up gently it could fly once round the room and then dropped, but it could not surmount the quarto volumes by which it was penned in. It could however climb well up the wires of a cage, and would cling fast to them. On the last day of July it flew three times round the room before it fell, but the next day it did not succeed so well.

It seemed now to listen when the swifts out of doors were screaming, and tried much to get out of its bounds by climbing up on the plinth, and trying from thence to get over the books, which it effected once. On the 4th of August in the evening I thought of taking it down into a large level pasture and practising it in flying there, for the swifts had not many

chimney, was somewhat interrupted by apprehensions lest my eyes might undergo the same fate with those of Tobit[1].

Perhaps it may be some amusement to you to hear at what times the different species of *Hirundines* arrived this spring in three very distant counties of this kingdom. With us the swallow was seen first on April the 4th, the swift on April the 24th, the bank martin on April the 12th, and the house martin not till April the 30th. At South Zele, Devonshire, swallows did not arrive till April the 25th; swifts in plenty, on May the 1st; and house martins not till the middle of May. At Blackburne, in Lancashire, swifts were seen April the 28th; swallows, April the 29th; house martins, May the 1st. Do these different dates in such distant districts, prove any thing for or against migration?

A farmer, near Weyhill, fallows his land with two teams of asses; one of which works till noon, and the other in the afternoon. When these animals have done their work, they are penned all night, like sheep, on the fallow. In the winter they are confined and foddered in a yard, and make plenty of dung.

Linnæus says, that hawks "*paciscuntur inducias cum avibus, quamdiu cuculus cuculat:*" but it appears to me

more days to remain in England, and I feared they would depart without my nursling. I had carried it through two or three rooms lying on the palm of my hand, and had just passed the threshold of the house door, and was in the act of stroking its head with my fingers, when, upon the swifts screaming in the air, it suddenly sprang out of my hand and flew low round the carriage drive, as it had been accustomed to fly round the room; and, passing over my head as it came round, it rose high in the air to join the wild swifts, and was never seen by us again. Three days after, the swifts had all departed; and I make little doubt that in less than a week after its vain attempts to surmount Johnson's Dictionary, my young friend was flying sky high in the heart of Africa. I know nothing more marvellous, than such an abrupt transition from a state of the most imbecile helplessness and sloth, to such etherial activity.

A solitary swift was seen by me flying high near the church tower on the 21st of August, being near a fortnight after the general migration. This might have been the bird which I had brought up.—W. H.

[1] Tobit, ii. 10.

that, during that period, many little birds are taken and destroyed by birds of prey, as may be seen by their feathers left in lanes and under hedges.

The missel-thrush is, while breeding, fierce and pugnacious, driving such birds as approach its nest, with great fury, to a distance. The Welsh call it *pen y llwyn*, the head or master of the coppice. He suffers no magpie, jay, or blackbird, to enter the garden where he haunts; and is, for the time, a good guard to the new sown legumens. In general he is very successful in the defence of his family: but once I observed in my garden, that several magpies came determined to storm the nest of a missel-thrush: the dams defended their mansion with great vigour, and fought resolutely *pro aris et focis;* but numbers at last prevailed, they tore the nest to pieces, and swallowed the young alive.

In the season of nidification the wildest birds are comparatively tame. Thus the ring-dove breeds in my fields, though they are continually frequented; and the missel-thrush, though most shy and wild in the autumn and winter, builds in my garden close to a walk where people are passing all day long.

Wall fruit abounds with me this year; but my grapes, that used to be forward and good, are at present backward beyond all precedent: and this is not the worst of the story; for the same ungenial weather, the same black cold solstice, has injured the more necessary fruits of the earth, and discoloured and blighted our wheat. The crop of hops promises to be very large.

Frequent returns of deafness incommode me sadly, and half disqualify me for a naturalist; for, when those fits are upon me I lose all the pleasing notices and little intimations arising from rural sounds; and May is to me as silent and mute with respect to the notes of birds, &c. as August. My eyesight is, thank God, quick and good; but with respect to the other sense, I am, at times, disabled:

"And Wisdom at one entrance quite shut out."

LETTER XXIII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, June 8, 1775.

ON September the 21st, 1741, being then on a visit, and intent on field diversions, I rose before daybreak: when I came into the enclosures, I found the stubbles and clover-grounds matted all over with a thick coat of cobweb, in the meshes of which a copious and heavy dew hung so plentifully that the whole face of the country seemed, as it were, covered with two or three setting-nets drawn one over another. When the dogs attempted to hunt, their eyes were so blinded and hoodwinked that they could not proceed, but were obliged to lie down and scrape the incumbrances from their faces with their fore feet, so that, finding my sport interrupted, I returned home musing in my mind on the oddness of the occurrence.

As the morning advanced the sun became bright and warm, and the day turned out one of those most lovely ones which no season but the autumn produces; cloudless, calm, serene, and worthy of the south of France itself.

About nine an appearance very unusual began to demand our attention, a shower of cobwebs falling from very elevated regions, and continuing, without any interruption till the close of the day. These webs were not single filmy threads, floating in the air in all directions, but perfect flakes or rags; some near an inch broad, and five or six long, which fell with a degree of velocity, that showed they were considerably heavier than the atmosphere.

On every side as the observer turned his eyes might he behold a continual succession of fresh flakes falling

into his sight, and twinkling like stars as they turned their sides towards the sun.

How far this wonderful shower extended would be difficult to say; but we know that it reached Bradley, Selborne, and Alresford, three places which lie in a sort of a triangle, the shortest of whose sides is about eight miles in extent.

At the second of those places there was a gentleman (for whose veracity and intelligent turn we have the greatest veneration) who observed it the moment he got abroad; but concluded that, as soon as he came upon the hill above his house, where he took his morning rides, he should be higher than this meteor, which he imagined might have been blown, like thistle-down, from the common above: but, to his great astonishment, when he rode to the most elevated part of the down, three hundred feet above his fields, he found the webs in appearance still as much above him as before; still descending into sight in a constant succession, and twinkling in the sun, so as to draw the attention of the most incurious.

Neither before nor after was any such fall observed; but on this day the flakes hung in the trees and hedges so thick, that a diligent person sent out might have gathered baskets full.

The remark that I shall make on these cobweblike appearances, called gossamer, is, that, strange and superstitious as the notions about them were formerly, nobody in these days doubts but that they are the real production of small spiders, which swarm in the fields in fine weather in autumn, and have a power of shooting out webs from their tails so as to render themselves buoyant and lighter than air. But why these apterous insects should *that day* take such a wonderful aërial excursion, and why their webs should at once become so gross and material as to be considerably more weighty than air, and to descend with precipitation, is a matter beyond my skill. If I might be allowed to hazard a

supposition, I should imagine that those filmy threads, when first shot, might be entangled in the rising dew, and so drawn up, spiders and all, by a brisk evaporation into the regions where clouds are formed: and if the spiders have a power of coiling and thickening their webs in the air, as Dr. Lister says they have [see his Letters to Mr. Ray], then, when they were become heavier than the air, they must fall.

Every day in fine weather, in autumn chiefly, do I see those spiders shooting out their webs and mounting aloft: they will go off from your finger if you will take them into your hand. Last summer one alighted on my book as I was reading in the parlour; and, running to the top of the page, and shooting out a web, took its departure from thence. But what I most wondered at was, that it went off with considerable velocity in a place where no air was stirring; and I am sure that I did not assist it with my breath: so that these little crawlers seem to have, while mounting, some locomotive power without the use of wings, and to move in the air faster than the air itself.

LETTER XXIV[1].

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Aug. 15, 1775.

THERE is a wonderful spirit of sociality in the brute creation, independent of sexual attachment: the congregating of gregarious birds in the winter is a remarkable instance.

Many horses, though quiet with company, will not stay one minute in a field by themselves: the strongest

[1] Barrington has inserted this Letter in his Miscellanies, p. 251; prefacing it thus: "I shall here, on this head, subjoin part of a letter which I have received from my often-mentioned correspondent, the Rev. Mr. White, of Selborne, in Hampshire."—E. T. B.

fences cannot restrain them. My neighbour's horse will not only not stay by himself abroad, but he will not bear to be left alone in a strange stable without discovering the utmost impatience, and endeavouring to break the rack and manger with his fore feet: he has been known to leap out at a stable window, through which dung was thrown, after company; and yet in other respects is remarkably quiet. Oxen and cows will not fatten by themselves; but will neglect the finest pasture that is not recommended by society. It would be needless to instance in sheep, which constantly flock together.

But this propensity seems not to be confined to animals of the same species; for we know a doe, still alive, that was brought up from a little fawn with a dairy of cows; with them it goes afield, and with them it returns to the yard. The dogs of the house take no notice of this deer, being used to her; but, if strange dogs come by, a chase ensues; while the master smiles to see his favourite securely leading her pursuers over hedge, or gate, or stile, till she returns to the cows, who, with fierce lowings and menacing horns, drive the assailants quite out of the pasture.

Even great disparity of kind and size does not always prevent social advances and mutual fellowship. For a very intelligent and observant person has assured me that, in the former part of his life, keeping but one horse, he happened also on a time to have but one solitary hen. These two incongruous animals spent much of their time together in a lonely orchard, where they saw no creature but each other. By degrees an apparent regard began to take place between these two sequestered individuals. The fowl would approach the quadruped with notes of complacency, rubbing herself gently against his legs; while the horse would look down with satisfaction, and move with the greatest caution and circumspection, lest he should trample on his diminutive companion. Thus, by mutual good offices, each

seemed to console the vacant hours of the other: so that Milton, when he puts the following sentiment in the mouth of Adam, seems to be somewhat mistaken:

> "Much less can bird with beast, or fish with fowl,
> So well converse; nor with the ox the ape."

I am, &c.

LETTER XXV.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Oct. 2, 1775.

WE have two gangs or hordes of gipsies which infest the south and west of England, and come round in their circuit two or three times in the year. One of these tribes calls itself by the noble name of Stanley, of which I have nothing particular to say; but the other is distinguished by an appellative somewhat remarkable. As far as their harsh gibberish can be understood, they seem to say that the name of their clan is Curleople: now the termination of this word is apparently Grecian: and as Mezeray and the gravest historians all agree that these vagrants did certainly migrate from Egypt and the East, two or three centuries ago, and so spread by degrees over Europe, may not this family-name, a little corrupted, be the very name they brought with them from the Levant? It would be matter of some curiosity, could one meet with an intelligent person among them, to inquire whether, in their jargon, they still retain any Greek words: the Greek radicals will appear in hand, foot, head, water, earth, &c. It is possible that amidst their cant and corrupted dialect many mutilated remains of their native language might still be discovered.

With regard to those peculiar people, the gipsies, one thing is very remarkable, and especially as they

came from warmer climates; and that is, that while other beggars lodge in barns, stables, and cow-houses, these sturdy savages seem to pride themselves in braving the severities of winter, and in living *sub dio* the whole year round. Last September was as wet a month as ever was known; and yet during those deluges did a young gipsy girl lie-in in the midst of one of our hop gardens, on the cold ground, with nothing over her but a piece of a blanket extended on a few hazel rods bent hoop fashion, and stuck into the earth at each end, in circumstances too trying for a cow in the same condition: yet within this garden there was a large hop-kiln, into the chambers of which she might have retired, had she thought shelter an object worthy her attention.

Europe itself, it seems, cannot set bounds to the rovings of these vagabonds: for Mr. Bell, in his return from Pekin, met a gang of these people on the confines of Tartary, who were endeavouring to penetrate those deserts and try their fortune in China[1].

Gipsies are called in French, Bohemiens, in Italian and modern Greek, Zingari.

I am, &c.

LETTER XXVI.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Nov. 1, 1775.

"Hîc —— tædæ pingues, hic plurimus ignis
Semper, et assiduâ postes fuligine nigri."

I SHALL make no apology for troubling you with the detail of a very simple piece of domestic economy, being satisfied that you think nothing beneath your attention that tends to utility: the matter alluded to is the use of rushes instead of candles, which I am well

[1] See Bell's Travels in China.

aware prevails in many districts besides this; but as I know there are countries also where it does not obtain, and as I have considered the subject with some degree of exactness, I shall proceed in my humble story, and leave you to judge of the expediency.

The proper species of rush for this purpose seems to be the *Juncus conglomeratus*, or common soft rush, which is to be found in most moist pastures, by the sides of streams, and under hedges. These rushes are in best condition in the height of summer; but may be gathered so as to serve the purpose well, quite on to autumn. It would be needless to add that the largest and longest are best. Decayed labourers, women, and children, make it their business to procure and prepare them. As soon as they are cut they must be flung into water, and kept there; for otherwise they will dry and shrink, and the peel will not run. At first a person would find it no easy matter to divest a rush of its peel or rind, so as to leave one regular, narrow, even rib from top to bottom that may support the pith: but this, like other feats, soon becomes familiar even to children; and we have seen an old woman, stone-blind, performing this business with great dispatch, and seldom failing to strip them with the nicest regularity. When these *Junci* are thus far prepared, they must lie out on the grass to be bleached, and take the dew for some nights, and afterwards be dried in the sun.

Some address is required in dipping these rushes in the scalding fat or grease; but this knack also is to be attained by practice[1]. The careful wife of an industrious Hampshire labourer obtains all her fat for nothing; for she saves the scummings of her bacon pot for this use; and if the grease abounds with salt, she causes the salt to precipitate to the bottom, by setting the scummings in a warm oven. Where hogs are not much in use, and especially by the seaside, the coarser animal

[1] The fat is usually melted in a dripping-pan, and in this the dipping is performed.—E. T. B.

oils will come very cheap. A pound of common grease may be procured for four pence; and about six pounds of grease will dip a pound of rushes; and one pound of rushes may be bought for one shilling: so that a pound of rushes, medicated and ready for use, will cost three shillings. If men that keep bees will mix a little wax with the grease, it will give it a consistency, and render it more cleanly, and make the rushes burn longer: mutton suet would have the same effect.

A good rush, which measured in length two feet four inches and a half, being minuted, burned only three minutes short of an hour: and a rush still of greater length has been known to burn one hour and a quarter.

These rushes give a good clear light. Watch-lights (coated with tallow), it is true, shed a dismal one, "darkness visible;" but then the wick of those has two ribs of the rind, or peel, to support the pith, while the wick of the dipped rush has but one. The two ribs are intended to impede the progress of the flame and make the candle last.

In a pound of dry rushes, avoirdupois, which I caused to be weighed and numbered, we found upwards of one thousand six hundred individuals. Now, suppose each of these burns, one with another, only half an hour, then a poor man will purchase eight hundred hours of light, a time exceeding thirty-three entire days, for three shillings. According to this account each rush, before dipping, costs one-thirty-third of a farthing, and one-eleventh afterwards. Thus a poor family will enjoy five hours and a half of comfortable light for a farthing. An experienced old housekeeper assures me that one pound and a half of rushes completely supplies his family the year round; since working people burn no candle in the long days, because they rise and go to bed by daylight.

Little farmers use rushes much in the short days, both morning and evening, in the dairy and kitchen; but the very poor, who are always the worst economists,

and therefore must continue very poor, buy a halfpenny candle every evening, which, in their blowing, open rooms, does not burn much more than two hours. Thus have they only two hours light for their money instead of eleven.

While on the subject of rural economy, it may not be improper to mention a pretty implement of housewifery that we have seen no where else; that is, little neat besoms which our foresters make from the stalks of the *Polytrichum commune*, or great golden maiden-hair, which they call silk-wood, and find plenty in the bogs. When this moss is well combed and dressed, and divested of its outer skin, it becomes of a beautiful bright chestnut colour; and, being soft and pliant, is very proper for the dusting of beds, curtains, carpets, hangings, &c. If these besoms were known to the brush-makers in town, it is probable they might come much in use for the purpose above mentioned[2].

I am, &c.

LETTER XXVII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Dec. 12, 1775.

WE had in this village, more than twenty years ago, an idiot boy, whom I well remember, who, from a child, showed a strong propensity to bees; they were his food, his amusement, his sole object: and as people of this cast have seldom more than one point in view, so this lad exerted all his few faculties on this one pursuit. In the winter he dozed away his time, within his father's house, by the fireside, in a kind of torpid state, seldom departing from the chimney-corner; but in the summer he was all alert, and in quest of his game in the

[2] A besom of this sort [was] to be seen in Sir Ashton Lever's Museum.

fields, and on sunny banks. Honey bees, humble bees, and wasps, were his prey wherever he found them: he had no apprehensions from their stings, but would seize them *nudis manibus*, and at once disarm them of their weapons, and suck their bodies for the sake of their honey-bags. Sometimes he would fill his bosom between his shirt and his skin with a number of these captives: and sometimes would confine them in bottles. He was a very *Merops Apiaster*, or bee-bird; and very injurious to men that kept bees; for he would slide into their bee-gardens, and, sitting down before the stools, would rap with his finger on the hives, and so take the bees as they came out. He has been known to overturn hives for the sake of honey, of which he was passionately fond. Where metheglin was making he would linger round the tubs and vessels, begging a draught of what he called bee-wine. As he ran about he used to make a humming noise with his lips, resembling the buzzing of bees. This lad was lean and sallow, and of a cadaverous complexion; and, except in his favourite pursuit, in which he was wonderfully adroit, discovered no manner of understanding. Had his capacity been better, and directed to the same object, he had perhaps abated much of our wonder at the feats of a more modern exhibiter of bees; and we may justly say of him now,

> ——— "Thou,
> Had thy presiding star propitious shone,
> Shouldst *Wildman* be."

When a tall youth, he was removed from hence to a distant village, where he died, as I understand, before he arrived at manhood.

I am, &c.

LETTER XXVIII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Jan. 8, 1776.

It is the hardest thing in the world to shake off superstitious prejudices: they are sucked in, as it were, with our mother's milk; and, growing up with us at a time when they take the fastest hold and make the most lasting impressions, become so interwoven into our very constitutions, that the strongest good sense is required to disengage ourselves from them. No wonder, therefore, that the lower people retain them their whole lives through, since their minds are not invigorated by a liberal education, and therefore not enabled to make any efforts adequate to the occasion.

Such a preamble seems to be necessary before we enter on the superstitions of this district, lest we should be suspected of exaggeration in a recital of practices too gross for this enlightened age.

But the people of Tring, in Hertfordshire, would do well to remember, that no longer ago than the year 1751, and within twenty miles of the capital, they seized on two superannuated wretches, crazed with age, and overwhelmed with infirmities, on a suspicion of witchcraft; and, by trying experiments, drowned them in a horse-pond.

In a farm-yard, near the middle of this village, stands at this day, a row of pollard-ashes, which, by the scams and long cicatrices down their sides, manifestly show that, in former times, they have been cleft asunder. These trees, when young and flexible, were severed and held open by wedges, while ruptured children, stripped naked, were pushed through the apertures, under a persuasion that, by such a process, the poor babes would be cured of their infirmity. As soon as the operation

was over, the tree, in the suffering part, was plastered with loam, and carefully swathed up. If the parts coalesced and soldered together, as usually fell out, where the feat was performed with any adroitness at all, the party was cured; but where the cleft continued to gape, the operation, it was supposed, would prove ineffectual. Having occasion to enlarge my garden not long since, I cut down two or three such trees, one of which did not grow together.

We have several persons now living in the village, who, in their childhood, were supposed to be healed by this superstitious ceremony, derived down, perhaps, from our Saxon ancestors, who practised it before their conversion to Christianity[1].

At the south corner of the *Plestor*, or area near the church, there stood, about twenty years ago, a very old grotesque hollow pollard-ash, which, for ages, had been looked on with no small veneration as a shrew-ash. Now a shrew-ash is an ash whose twigs or branches, when gently applied to the limbs of cattle, will immediately relieve the pains which a beast suffers from the running of a shrew-mouse over the part affected: for it is supposed that a shrew-mouse is of so baneful and deleterious a nature, that wherever it creeps over a beast, be it horse, cow, or sheep, the suffering animal is

[1] Much nearer to the metropolis than Selborne, and in days later than those alluded to by White, the ceremony described by him has been practised. The ash resorted to for the charm, in the instance referred to, is in the hedge of an orchard belonging to a house near Enfield, in which some of my earlier years were spent: a man living in the neighbourhood, and at the time when I was best acquainted with it (1810) about sixty years of age, was indicated as the individual on whose behalf recourse had been had to the observance. The tree had healed, and the cure had, of course, been performed.

Is it worth the remark that as ashes seem seldom to fail to grow togegether after having been split, so also does it rarely happen that infants affected with umbilical hernia fail to be relieved from it at a very early age; and that, consequently, the charm-tree would, almost beyond the probability of an exception, accord in its healing with that of the infant whose fate was thus supposed to have been mysteriously connected with it?—E. T. B.

afflicted with cruel anguish, and threatened with the loss of the use of the limb. Against this accident, to which they were continually liable, our provident forefathers always kept a shrew-ash at hand, which, when once medicated, would maintain its virtue for ever. A shrew-ash was made thus[2]:—Into the body of the tree a deep hole was bored with an auger, and a poor devoted shrew-mouse was thrust in alive, and plugged in, no doubt with several quaint incantations long since forgotten. As the ceremonies necessary for such a consecration are no longer understood, all succession is at an end, and no such tree is known to subsist in the manor or hundred.

As to that on the Plestor,

"The late vicar stubb'd and burn'd it,"

when he was way-warden, regardless of the remonstrances of the bystanders, who interceded in vain for its preservation, urging its power and efficacy, and alleging that it had been

"Religione patrum multos servata per annos."

I am, &c.

[2] For a similar practice, see Plot's Staffordshire.

[Dr. Plot relates that two workmen, on sawing the trunk of a solid oak, cut through the body of "a *Hardishrew* or *Nursrow* (as they here call them,) i. e. a *field-mouse*," and that "the case remains an inexplicable riddle to all those about to this very day. But me-thinks, to any one that considers the superstitious custom they have in this country of making *Nursrow-trees* for the cure of unaccountable swellings in their cattle, the thing should not seem strange. For to make any tree, whether oak, ash, or elm, it being indifferent which, a *Nursrow-tree*, they catch one or more of these mice (which they fancy bite their cattle, and make them swell), and having bored a hole to the centre in the body of the tree, they put the mice in, and then drive a peg in after them of the same wood, where they starving at last, communicate forsooth such a virtue to the tree, that cattle thus swoln being whipped with the boughs of it, presently recover: of which trees they have not so many (though so easily made) but that at some places they go eight or ten miles to procure this remedy."—E. T. B.]

LETTER XXIX.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Feb. 7, 1776.

In heavy fogs, on elevated situations especially, trees are perfect alembics: and no one, that has not attended to such matters, can imagine how much water one tree will distil in a night's time, by condensing the vapour, which trickles down the twigs and boughs, so as to make the ground below quite in a float. In Newton Lane, in October, 1775, on a misty day, a particular oak in leaf dropped so fast that the cartway stood in puddles and the ruts ran with water, though the ground in general was dusty.

In some of our smaller islands in the West Indies, if I mistake not, there are no springs or rivers: but the people are supplied with that necessary element, water, merely by the dripping of some large tall trees, which, standing in the bosom of a mountain, keep their heads constantly enveloped with fogs and clouds, from which they dispense their kindly never-ceasing moisture; and so render those districts habitable by condensation alone.

Trees in leaf have such a vast proportion more of surface than those that are naked, that, in theory, their condensations should greatly exceed those that are stripped of their leaves; but, as the former imbibe also a great quantity of moisture, it is difficult to say which drip most: but this I know, that deciduous trees that are entwined with much ivy seem to distil the greatest quantity. Ivy leaves are smooth, and thick, and cold, and therefore condense very fast; and besides, evergreens imbibe very little. These facts may furnish the intelligent with hints concerning what sorts of trees they should plant round small ponds that they would

wish to be perennial: and show them how advantageous some trees are in preference to others.

Trees perspire profusely, condense largely, and check evaporation so much, that woods are always moist: no wonder therefore that they contribute much to pools and streams.

That trees are great promoters of lakes and rivers, appears from a well known fact in North America; for, since the woods and forests have been grubbed and cleared, all bodies of water are much diminished; so that some streams, that were very considerable a century ago, will not now drive a common mill[1]. Besides, most woodlands, forests, and chases, with us, abound with pools and morasses; no doubt, for the reason given above.

To a thinking mind, few phenomena are more strange than the state of little ponds on the summits of chalk-hills, many of which are never dry in the most trying droughts of summer. On chalk-hills I say, because in many rocky and gravelly soils, springs usually break out pretty high on the sides of elevated grounds and mountains; but no person acquainted with chalky districts will allow that they ever saw springs in such a soil, but in valleys and bottoms, since the waters of so pervious a stratum as chalk all lie on one dead level, as well-diggers have assured me again and again.

Now, we have many such little round ponds in this district; and one in particular on our sheep-down, three hundred feet above my house; which, though never above three feet deep in the middle, and not more than thirty feet in diameter, and containing perhaps not more than two or three hundred hogsheads of water, yet never is known to fail, though it affords drink for three hundred or four hundred sheep, and for at least twenty head of large cattle beside. This pond, it is true, is overhung with two moderate beeches, that, doubtless,

[1] Vide Kalm's Travels to North America.

at times, afford it much supply: but then we have others as small, that, without the aid of trees, and in spite of evaporation from sun and wind, and perpetual consumption by cattle, yet constantly maintain a moderate share of water, without overflowing in the wettest seasons, as they would do if supplied by springs. By my journal of May, 1775, it appears that "the small and even considerable ponds in the vales are now dried up, while the small ponds on the very tops of hills are but little affected." Can this difference be accounted for from evaporation alone, which certainly is more prevalent in bottoms? or rather, have not those elevated pools some unnoticed recruits, which in the night-time counterbalance the waste of the day; without which, the cattle alone must soon exhaust them? And here it will be necessary to enter more minutely into the cause. Dr. Hales, in his Vegetable Statics, advances, from experiment, that "the moister the earth is, the more dew falls on it in a night: and more than a double quantity of dew falls on a surface of water than there does on an equal surface of moist earth." Hence we see that water, by its coolness, is enabled to assimilate to itself a large quantity of moisture nightly, by condensation; and that the air, when loaded with fogs and vapours, and even with copious dews, can alone advance a considerable and never-failing resource. Persons that are much abroad, and travel early and late, such as shepherds, fishermen, &c. can tell what prodigious fogs prevail in the night on elevated downs, even in the hottest parts of summer; and how much the surfaces of things are drenched by those swimming vapours, though, to the senses, all the while, little moisture seems to fall.

I am, &c.

LETTER XXX.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, April 3, 1776.

MONSIEUR HERISSANT, a French anatomist, seems persuaded that he has discovered the reason why cuckoos do not hatch their own eggs: the impediment, he supposes, arises from the internal structure of their parts, which incapacitates them for incubation. According to this gentleman, the crop, or craw, of a cuckoo does not lie before the sternum at the bottom of the neck, as in poultry *(Gallinæ)*, pigeons *(Columbæ)*, &c. but immediately behind it, on and over the bowels, so as to make a large protuberance in the belly[1].

Induced by this assertion, we procured a cuckoo; and, cutting open the breast-bone, and exposing the intestines to sight, found the crop lying as mentioned above. This stomach was large and round, and stuffed hard, like a pincushion, with food, which, upon nice examination, we found to consist of various insects; such as small scarabs, spiders, and dragon-flies; the last of which, as they were just emerging out of the aurelia state, we have seen cuckoos catching on the wing. Among this farrago also were to be seen maggots, and many seeds, which belonged either to gooseberries, currants, cranberries, or some such fruit; so that these birds apparently subsist on insects and fruits: nor was there the least appearance of bones, feathers, or fur, to support the idle notion of their being birds of prey.

The sternum in this bird seemed to us to be remarkably short, between which and the anus lay the crop,

[1] Histoire de l'Académie Royale, 1752.

or craw, and immediately behind that, the bowels against the backbone.

It must be allowed, as this anatomist observes, that the crop placed just upon the bowels must, especially when full, be in a very uneasy situation during the business of incubation; yet the test will be, to examine whether birds that are actually known to sit for certain are not formed in a similar manner. This inquiry I proposed to myself to make with a fern-owl, or goat-sucker, as soon as opportunity offered: because if their formation proves the same, the reason for incapacity in the cuckoo will be allowed to have been taken up somewhat hastily.

Not long after a fern-owl was procured, which, from its habit and shape, we suspected might resemble the cuckoo in its internal construction. Nor were our suspicions ill grounded; for, upon the dissection, the crop, or craw, also lay behind the sternum, immediately on the viscera, between them and the skin of the belly. It was bulky, and stuffed hard with large *Phalænæ* of several sorts, and their eggs, which, no doubt, had been forced out of those insects by the action of swallowing.

Now, as it appears that this bird, which is so well known to practise incubation, is formed in a similar manner with cuckoos, Monsieur Herissant's conjecture, that cuckoos are incapable of incubation from the disposition of their intestines, seems to fall to the ground; and we are still at a loss for the cause of that strange and singular peculiarity in the instance of the *Cuculus canorus*[2].

[2] The cuckoo has no true crop, and the position of its proventriculus does not differ from that of other scansorial birds; the œsophagus descends along the posterior or dorsal part of the thorax, inclining to the left side, and, when opposite to the lower margin of the left lung, it begins to expand into the glandular cavity or proventriculus. The gizzard, which is neither large nor strong, is in immediate contact with the abdominal parietes, not separated from them by an intervening stratum of intestines; but this position cannot be supposed to interfere with the power of incubation, since it occurs also in other birds that do incubate, as the owl and *Caryocatactes*.—R. O.

We found the case to be the same with the ring-tail hawk, in respect to formation; and, as far as I can recollect, with the swift; and probably it is so with many more sorts of birds that are not granivorous.

I am, &c.

LETTER XXXI.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, April 29, 1776.

On August the 4th, 1775, we surprised a large viper, which seemed very heavy and bloated, as it lay in the grass basking in the sun. When we came to cut it up, we found that the abdomen was crowded with young, fifteen in number; the shortest of which measured full seven inches, and were about the size of full grown earthworms. This little fry issued into the world with the true viper spirit about them, showing great alertness as soon as disengaged from the belly of the dam: they twisted and wriggled about, and set themselves up, and gaped very wide when touched with a stick, showing manifest tokens of menace and defiance, though as yet they had no manner of fangs that we could find, even with the help of our glasses.

To a thinking mind nothing is more wonderful than that early instinct which impresses young animals with the notion of the situation of their natural weapons, and of using them properly in their own defence, even before those weapons subsist or are formed. Thus a young cock will spar at his adversary before his spurs are grown; and a calf or lamb will push with its head before its horns are sprouted. In the same manner did these young adders attempt to bite before their fangs were in being. The dam, however, was furnished with very formidable ones, which we lifted up (for they fold

down when not used) and cut them off with the point of our scissars.

There was little room to suppose that this brood had ever been in the open air before; and that they were taken in for refuge, at the mouth of the dam, when she perceived that danger was approaching: because then probably we should have found them somewhere in the neck, and not in the abdomen.

LETTER XXXII.

TO THE SAME.

CASTRATION has a strange effect: it emasculates both man, beast, and bird, and brings them to a near resemblance of the other sex. Thus eunuchs have smooth unmuscular arms, thighs, and legs; and broad hips, and beardless chins, and squeaking voices. Gelt stags and bucks have hornless heads, like hinds and does. Thus wethers have small horns, like ewes; and oxen large bent horns, and hoarse voices when they low, like cows: for bulls have short straight horns; and though they mutter and grumble in a deep tremendous tone, yet they low in a shrill high key. Capons have small combs and gills, and look pallid about the head like pullets; they also walk without any parade, and hover chickens like hens[1]. Barrow-hogs have also small tusks like sows.

Thus far it is plain that it puts a stop to the growth of those appendages that are looked upon as its insignia. But the ingenious Mr. Lisle, in his book on husbandry, carries it much farther; for he says that the loss of those insignia alone has sometimes a strange effect: he had a boar so fierce and amorous, that, to

[1] Reaumur trained capons to nurse the chickens which he hatched by artificial heat. They clucked exactly like a hen, and proved as good nurses as a real mother could have been.—RENNIE.

prevent mischief, orders were given for his tusks to be broken off. No sooner had the beast suffered this injury than his powers forsook him, and he neglected those females to whom before he was passionately attached, and from whom no fences could restrain him.

LETTER XXXIII.

TO THE SAME.

THE natural term of a hog's life is little known, and the reason is plain—because it is neither profitable nor convenient to keep that turbulent animal to the full extent of its time: however, my neighbour, a man of substance, who had no occasion to study every little advantage to a nicety, kept a half-bred Bantam sow, who was as thick as she was long, and whose belly swept on the ground, till she was advanced to her seventeenth year; at which period she showed some tokens of age by the decay of her teeth and the decline of her fertility.

For about ten years this prolific mother produced two litters in the year, of about ten at a time, and once above twenty at a litter; but, as there were near double the number of pigs to that of teats, many died. From long experience in the world this female was grown very sagacious and artful: when she found occasion to converse with a boar she used to open all the intervening gates, and march, by herself, up to a distant farm where one was kept; and when her purpose was served would return by the same means. At the age of about fifteen her litters began to be reduced to four or five; and such a litter she exhibited when in her fatting pen. She proved when fat, good bacon, juicy, and tender; the rind, or sward, was remarkably thin.

At a moderate computation she was allowed to have been the fruitful parent of three hundred pigs: a prodigious instance of fecundity in so large a quadruped! She was killed in spring, 1775.

I am, &c.

LETTER XXXIV.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, May 9, 1776.

"——————— admôrunt ubera tigres."

WE have remarked in a former letter how much incongruous animals, in a lonely state, may be attached to each other from a spirit of sociality; in this it may not be amiss to recount a different motive which has been known to create as strange a fondness.

My friend had a little helpless leveret brought to him, which the servants fed with milk in a spoon, and about the same time his cat kittened, and the young were dispatched and buried. The hare was soon lost, and supposed to be gone the way of most fondlings,—to be killed by some dog or cat. However, in about a fortnight, as the master was sitting in his garden in the dusk of the evening, he observed his cat, with tail erect, trotting towards him, and calling with little short inward notes of complacency, such as they use towards their kittens, and something gambolling after, which proved to be the leveret that the cat had supported with her milk, and continued to support with great affection.

Thus was a graminivorous animal nurtured by a carnivorous and predaceous one[1]!

[1] An additional instance, which fell under the author's notice, is given in the Observations on various Parts of Nature, printed in a subsequent part of this volume: it is there headed Cat and Squirrels.—E. T. B.

Why so cruel and sanguinary a beast as a cat, of the ferocious genus of *Feles*, the *murium leo*, as Linnæus calls it, should be affected with any tenderness towards an animal which is its natural prey, is not so easy to determine.

This strange affection probably was occasioned by that *desiderium*, those tender maternal feelings, which the loss of her kittens had awakened in her breast; and by the complacency and ease she derived to herself from the procuring her teats to be drawn, which were too much distended with milk, till, from habit, she became as much delighted with this foundling as if it had been her real offspring [2].

This incident is no bad solution of that strange circumstance which grave historians as well as the poets assert, of exposed children being sometimes nurtured

[2] It is an almost every day occurrence in young dogs to take upon themselves the office of relieving the domestic cat, with whom they have contracted an intimacy by residing at the same hearth, from the inconvenience which the presence of milk occasions them on the destruction of their kittens. It is equally common to witness the cat of a former litter acting under similar circumstances the part of a sucking kitten; an overgrown but an indulged and happy bantling. In these instances, however, there is not that marked distinction in the habits of the animal performing the office of mother from those of her nursling which belongs to the one noticed by Gilbert White, and which has not unfrequently been paralleled.

All these cases, it may be remarked, bear with no small degree of force on the nutrition of the young cuckoo by its foster parent. In the higher classes of animals the parental stimulus to nourish after the young have been produced strongly prevails: her own offspring are those towards whom the care of the mother is first extended, as it is for their advantage that the desire is implanted in her: but, failing these, the desire still remains powerful, and will be gratified in favour of any object that will accommodate itself to her views. The gaping mouths and craving cries of her nestlings add to the stimulus which impels the dam to provide for their wants; and the young cuckoo in the hedge sparrow's nest will not, it is presumed, be less craving than its natural inmates. Its greater bulk and more rapid growth soon enable it to acquire strength enough to remove all rival claimants for any portion of the food provided by the industrious dam, and the destroyer of her progeny thus becomes the sole inheritor of the cares which would have been equally shared among the entire brood. It is not in the hedge sparrow's nest alone that importunity and selfishness are thus successful, to the extinction of stronger and more natural ties.—E. T. B.

by female wild beasts that probably had lost their young. For it is not one whit more marvellous that Romulus and Remus, in their infant state, should be nursed by a she-wolf, than that a poor little sucking leveret should be fostered and cherished by a bloody grimalkin.

> "———————— viridi fœtam Mavortis in antro
> Procubuisse lupam: geminos huic ubera circum
> Ludere pendentes pueros, et lambere matrem
> Impavidos: illam tereti cervice reflexam
> Mulcere alternos, et corpora fingere linguâ."

LETTER XXXV.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, May 20, 1777.

LANDS that are subject to frequent inundations are always poor; and probably, the reason may be because the worms are drowned. The most insignificant insects and reptiles are of much more consequence, and have much more influence in the economy of Nature, than the incurious are aware of; and are mighty in their effect, from their minuteness, which renders them less an object of attention; and from their numbers and fecundity. Earthworms, though in appearance a small and despicable link in the chain of Nature, yet, if lost, would make a lamentable chasm. For to say nothing of half the birds, and some quadrupeds which are almost entirely supported by them, worms seem to be great promoters of vegetation, which would proceed but lamely without them, by boring, perforating, and loosening the soil, and rendering it pervious to rains and the fibres of plants; by drawing straws and stalks of leaves and twigs into it; and, most of all, by throwing up such infinite numbers of lumps of earth called worm

casts, which, being their excrement, is a fine manure for grain and grass[1]. Worms probably provide new soil for hills and slopes where the rain washes the earth away; and they affect slopes, probably, to avoid being flooded. Gardeners and farmers express their detestation of worms; the former because they render their walks unsightly, and make them much work: and the latter because, as they think, worms eat their green corn. But these men would find that the earth without worms would soon become cold, hard-bound, and void of fermentation; and consequently sterile: and besides, in favour of worms, it should be hinted that green corn, plants, and flowers, are not so much injured by them as by many species of *Coleoptera* (scarabs) and *Tipulæ* (long-legs), in their larva, or grub state; and by unnoticed myriads of small shell-less snails, called slugs, which silently and imperceptibly make amazing havock in the field and garden. Farmer Young, of Norton Farm, says that this spring (1777) about four acres of his wheat in one field was entirely destroyed by slugs, which swarmed on the blades of corn, and devoured it as fast as it sprang.

These hints we think proper to throw out in order to set the inquisitive and discerning to work.

A good monography of worms would afford much entertainment and information at the same time, and would open a large and new field in natural history. Worms work most in the spring; but by no means lie

[1] If the earth of worm-casts be really useful as manure, it would be difficult to account for its fertilizing effects unless by supposing them to result from the friable condition and fine state of subdivision into which the soil has been brought by the process it has undergone within the intestines of the animal. In its passage through the digestive canal it has been deprived of those animal and vegetable substances, which, as derived from organized nature, are adapted to support organic life; and the worm, having secured for itself all the nutritious particles mixed up with the soil swallowed by it while making its perforations, rejects the inert mass at the hole by which it commenced its boring, forming with it a kind of outwork for the protection of its citadel of retreat.—E. T. B.

torpid in the dead months; are out every mild night in the winter, as any person may be convinced that will take the pains to examine his grassplots with a candle; are hermaphrodites, and very prolific.

I am, &c.

LETTER XXXVI[1].

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Nov. 22, 1777.

YOU cannot but remember, that the 26th and 27th of last March were very hot days; so sultry that everybody complained and were restless under those sensations to which they had not been reconciled by gradual approaches.

This sudden summer-like heat was attended by many summer coincidences: for on those two days the thermometer rose to 66° in the shade; many species of insects revived and came forth; some bees swarmed in this neighbourhood; the old tortoise, near Lewes, in Sussex, awakened and came forth out of its dormitory; and, what is most to my present purpose, many house swallows appeared and were very alert in many places, and particularly at Cobham, in Surrey.

But as that short warm period was succeeded, as well as preceded, by harsh severe weather, with frequent frosts and ice, and cutting winds, the insects withdrew, the tortoise retired again into the ground, and the swallows were seen no more until the 10th of April, when, the rigour of the spring abating, a softer season began to prevail.

[1] This Letter was first printed in Barrington's Miscellanies, (1781), p. 225. "I shall here," he says, "subjoin a letter which I have received from that ingenious and observant naturalist, the Rev. Mr. White, of Selborne, Hampshire."—E. T. B.

Again: it appears by my journals for many years past, that house martins retire, to a bird, about the beginning of October; so that a person not very observant of such matters would conclude that they had taken their last farewell: but then it may be seen in my diaries also, that considerable flocks have discovered themselves again in the first week of November, and often on the fourth day of that month only for one day; and that not as if they were in actual migration, but playing about at their leisure and feeding calmly, as if no enterprise of moment at all agitated their spirits. And this was the case in the beginning of this very month; for, on the 4th of November, more than twenty house martins, which, in appearance, had all departed about the 7th of October, were seen again, for that one morning only, sporting between my fields and the Hanger, and feasting on insects which swarmed in that sheltered district. The preceding day was wet and blustering, but the 4th was dark and mild, and soft, the wind at south-west, and the thermometer at 58½°; a pitch not common at that season of the year. Moreover, it may not be amiss to add in this place, that whenever the thermometer is above 50°, the bat comes flitting out in every autumnal and winter month.

From all these circumstances laid together, it is obvious that torpid insects, reptiles, and quadrupeds, are awakened from their profoundest slumbers by a little untimely warmth; and therefore that nothing so much promotes this death-like stupor as a defect of heat. And farther, it is reasonable to suppose that two whole species, or at least many individuals of those two species, of British *Hirundines*, do never leave this island at all, but partake of the same benumbed state: for we cannot suppose that, after a month's absence, house martins can return from southern regions to appear for one morning in November, or

that house swallows should leave the districts of Africa to enjoy, in March, the transient summer of a couple of days[2].

I am, &c.

LETTER XXXVII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Jan. 8, 1778.

THERE was in this village, several years ago, a miserable pauper, who, from his birth, was afflicted with a leprosy, as far as we are aware, of a singular kind, since it affected only the palms of his hands and the soles of his feet. This scaly eruption usually broke out twice in the year, at the spring and fall; and, by peeling away, left the skin so thin and tender, that neither his hands nor feet were able to perform their functions; so that the poor object was half his time on crutches, incapable of employ, and languishing in a tiresome state of indolence and inactivity. His habit was lean, lank, and cadaverous. In this sad plight he dragged on a miserable existence, a burthen to himself and his parish, which was obliged to support him till he was relieved by death, at more than thirty years of age.

The good women, who love to account for every defect in children by the doctrine of longing, said that his mother felt a violent propensity for oysters, which she was unable to gratify; and that the black rough scurf on his hands and feet were the shells of that fish. We knew his parents, neither of which were lepers;

[2] It appears a more obvious explanation of the appearance of the swallows in November, that they are late broods from the north; and that those seen in March, are early arrived birds on their way to the north. When on their migratory journeys, they always hawk for flies, as Mr. White describes.—RENNIE.

his father, in particular, lived to be far advanced in years.

In all ages the leprosy has made dreadful havock among mankind. The Israelites seem to have been greatly afflicted with it, from the most remote times; as appears from the peculiar and repeated injunctions given them in the Levitical law[1]. Nor was the rancour of this foul disorder much abated in the last period of their commonwealth, as may be seen in many passages of the New Testament.

Some centuries ago, this horrible distemper prevailed all Europe over; and our forefathers were by no means exempt, as appears by the large provision made for objects labouring under this calamity. There was an hospital for female lepers in the diocese of Lincoln, a noble one near Durham, three in London and Southwark, and perhaps many more in or near our great towns and cities. Moreover, some crowned heads, and other wealthy and charitable personages, bequeathed large legacies to such poor people as languished under this hopeless infirmity.

It must, therefore, in these days, be, to a humane and thinking person, a matter of equal wonder and satisfaction, when he contemplates how nearly this pest is eradicated, and observes that a leper now is a rare sight. He will, moreover, when engaged in such a train of thought, naturally inquire for the reason. This happy change perhaps may have originated and been continued from the much smaller quantity of salted meat and fish now eaten in these kingdoms; from the use of linen next the skin; from the plenty of better bread; and from the profusion of fruits, roots, legumes, and greens, so common in every family. Three or four centuries ago, before there were any enclosures, sown-grasses, field-turnips, or field-carrots, or hay, all the cattle which had grown fat in summer, and were not

[1] See Leviticus, chap. xiii. and xiv.

killed for winter use, were turned out soon after Michaelmas to shift as they could through the dead months; so that no fresh meat could be had in winter or spring. Hence the marvellous account of the vast stores of salted flesh found in the larder of the eldest Spencer[2] in the days of Edward the Second, even so late in the spring as the 3d of May. It was from magazines like these that the turbulent barons supported in idleness their riotous swarms of retainers, ready for any disorder or mischief. But agriculture is now arrived at such a pitch of perfection, that our best and fattest meats are killed in the winter; and no man needs eat salted flesh, unless he prefers it, that has money to buy fresh.

One cause of this distemper might be, no doubt, the quantity of wretched fresh and salt fish consumed by the commonalty at all seasons as well as in Lent; which our poor now would hardly be persuaded to touch.

The use of linen changes, shirts or shifts, in the room of sordid and filthy woollen, long worn next the skin, is a matter of neatness comparatively modern; but must prove a great means of preventing cutaneous ails. At this very time, woollen instead of linen prevails among the poorer Welsh, who are subject to foul eruptions[3].

The plenty of good wheaten bread that now is found among all ranks of people in the south, instead of that miserable sort which used in old days to be made of

[2] Viz. six hundred bacons, eighty carcasses of beef, and six hundred muttons.

[3] Mr. Rennie has remarked that the prevalent practice of wearing flannel next the skin, is, in a medical point of view, liable to all the judicious objections made by Mr. White: but the objections seem rather to sordid and filthy woollen, long worn. If the skin be not unusually irritable, the increased activity of the cutaneous circulation occasioned by the wearing of frequent changes of flannel will rarely be productive of the minor evil of eruptions; while, against the heavier inflictions of visceral inflammations and rheumatism, and the other serious consequences of checked perspiration, it furnishes the most approved preventive that our variable climate admits of.—E. T. B.

barley or beans, may contribute not a little to the sweetening their blood and correcting their juices; for the inhabitants of mountainous districts, to this day, are still liable to the itch and other cutaneous disorders, from a wretchedness and poverty of diet.

As to the produce of a garden, every middle-aged person of observation may perceive, within his own memory, both in town and country, how vastly the consumption of vegetables is increased. Green-stalls in cities now support multitudes in a comfortable state, while gardeners get fortunes. Every decent labourer also has his garden, which is half his support, as well as his delight; and common farmers provide plenty of beans, peas, and greens, for their hinds to eat with their bacon; and those few that do not, are despised for their sordid parsimony, and looked upon as regardless of the welfare of their dependants. Potatoes have prevailed in this little district, by means of premiums, within these twenty years only; and are much esteemed here now by the poor, who would scarce have ventured to taste them in the last reign.

Our Saxon ancestors certainly had some sort of cabbage, because they call the month of February *sprout-cale*[4]; but long after their days, the cultivation of gardens was little attended to. The religious, being men of leisure, and keeping up a constant correspondence with Italy, were the first people among us that had gardens and fruit-trees in any perfection, within the walls of their abbeys[5] and priories. The barons

[4] As our Saxon ancestors called the month of February 'Sprout-cale,' so the names of many other months were equally significant; viz. *March*, stormy month; *May*, Thrimilchi, the cows then being milked three times a day; *June*, dig and weed month; *September*, barley month, &c.—MITFORD.

[5] "In monasteries, the lamp of knowledge continued to burn, however dimly. In them, men of business were formed for the state: the art of writing was cultivated by the monks; they were the only proficients in mechanics, gardening, and architecture." See Dalrymple's Annals of Scotland.

neglected every pursuit that did not lead to war or tend to the pleasure of the chase.

It was not till gentlemen took up the study of horticulture themselves, that the knowledge of gardening made such hasty advances. Lord Cobham, Lord Ila, and Mr. Waller of Beaconsfield, were some of the first people of rank that promoted the elegant science of ornamenting without despising the superintendence of the kitchen quarters and fruit walls.

A remark made by the excellent Mr. Ray, in his Tour of Europe, at once surprises us, and corroborates what has been advanced above; for we find him observing, so late as his days, that "the Italians use several herbs for sallets, which are not yet or have not been but lately used in England, viz. *selleri* (celery) which is nothing else but the sweet smallage; the young shoots whereof, with a little of the head of the root cut off, they eat raw with oil and pepper." And farther, he adds, "curled endive blanched is much used beyond seas; and, for a raw sallet, seemed to excel lettuce itself." Now, this journey was undertaken no longer ago than in the year 1663.

I am, &c.

LETTER XXXVIII.

TO THE SAME.

"Fortè puer, comitum seductus ab agmine fido,
Dixerat, ecquis adest? et, adest, responderat echo.
Hic stupet; utque aciem partes divisit in omnes,
Voce, veni, clamat magnâ. Vocat illa vocantem."

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Feb. 12, 1778.

In a district so diversified as this, so full of hollow vales and hanging woods, it is no wonder that echoes should abound. Many we have discovered that return the cry of a pack of dogs, the notes of a hunting horn,

a tunable ring of bells, or the melody of birds, very agreeably: but we were still at a loss for a polysyllabical, articulate echo, till a young gentleman, who had parted from his company in a summer evening walk, and was calling after them, stumbled upon a very curious one in a spot where it might least be expected. At first he was much surprised, and could not be persuaded but that he was mocked by some boy; but, repeating his trials in several languages, and finding his respondent to be a very adroit polyglot, he then discerned the deception.

This echo, in an evening, before rural noises cease, would repeat ten syllables most articulately and distinctly, especially if quick dactyls were chosen. The last syllables of

"Tityre, tu patulæ recubans——"

were as audibly and intelligibly returned as the first: and there is no doubt, could trial have been made, but that at midnight, when the air is very elastic, and a dead stillness prevails, one or two syllables more might have been obtained; but the distance rendered so late an experiment very inconvenient.

Quick dactyls, we observed, succeeded best; for when we came to try its powers in slow, heavy, embarrassed spondees of the same number of syllables,

"Monstrum horrendum, informe, ingens——"

we could perceive a return but of four or five.

All echoes have some one place to which they are returned stronger and more distinct than to any other; and that is always the place that lies at right angles with the object of repercussion, and is not too near, nor too far off. Buildings, or naked rocks, re-echo much more articulately than hanging woods or vales; because in the latter the voice is, at it were, entangled and embarrassed in the covert, and weakened in the rebound.

The true object of this echo, as we found by various

experiments, is the stone-built, tiled hop-kiln in Gally Lane, which measures in front forty feet, and from the ground to the eaves twelve feet. The true *centrum phonicum*, or just distance, is one particular spot in the King's Field, in the path to Nore Hill, on the very brink of the steep balk above the hollow cart-way. In this case there is no choice of distance; but the path, by mere contingency, happens to be the lucky, the identical spot, because the ground rises or falls so immediately, if the speaker either retires or advances, that his mouth would at once be above or below the object.

We measured this polysyllabical echo with great exactness, and found the distance to fall very short of Dr. Plot's rule for distinct articulation: for the Doctor, in his History of Oxfordshire, allows one hundred and twenty feet for the return of each syllable distinctly: hence this echo, which gives ten distinct syllables, ought to measure four hundred yards, or one hundred and twenty feet to each syllable; whereas our distance is only two hundred and fifty-eight yards, or near seventy-five feet, to each syllable. Thus our measure falls short of the Doctor's, as five to eight: but then it must be acknowledged that this candid philosopher was convinced afterwards, that some latitude must be admitted of in the distance of echoes according to time and place[1].

When experiments of this sort are making it should always be remembered that weather and the time of day have a vast influence on an echo; for a dull, heavy, moist air deadens and clogs the sound; and hot sunshine renders the air thin and weak, and deprives it of all its springiness; and a ruffling wind quite defeats the whole. In a still, clear, dewy evening, the air is most elastic; and perhaps the later the hour the more so.

[1] It is evident too, from the previous statement of the different number of syllables returned by the echo, according to whether they were quick dactyls or heavy spondees, that some allowance must be made on this account also.—E. T. B.

Echo has always been so amusing to the imagination, that the poets have personified her; and in their hands she has been the occasion of many a beautiful fiction. Nor need the gravest man be ashamed to appear taken with such a phenomenon, since it may become the subject of philosophical or mathematical inquiries.

One should have imagined that echoes, if not entertaining, must at least have been harmless and inoffensive; yet Virgil advances a strange notion, that they are injurious to bees. After enumerating some probable and reasonable annoyances, such as prudent owners would wish far removed from their bee-gardens, he adds,

"——— aut ubi concava pulsu
Saxa sonant, vocisque offensa resultat imago."

This wild and fanciful assertion will hardly be admitted by the philosophers of these days; especially as they all now seem agreed that insects are not furnished with any organs of hearing at all[2]. But, if it should

[2] The opinion advanced in the text as one in which the philosophers of the author's day seemed all agreed, was shared by Linnæus and Bonnet, naturalists of the highest authority. But repeated observations and experiments have since shown that many insects, and among these it is highly probable that bees are to be included, possess the sense of hearing. Without the aid of experiment it might, indeed, almost be regarded as established, that in those cases in which the faculty of producing sound is possessed by one sex of an animal, that of hearing it should belong to the other sex; and it would seem rather preposterous to grant the existence of a sense in one sex of an insect and deny it to the other. Gilbert White, in his Letter respecting the field cricket (XLVI.), although in the earlier part of it he seems to guard himself from admitting that these insects hear by assuming that they feel "a person's footsteps as he advances," must be regarded as insinuating the possession of that sense when he subsequently remarks that "the males only make that shrilling noise, perhaps out of rivalry and emulation"—a rivalry and emulation which could not be excited in others by a sound unheard by them.

But reasoning and conjecture are both equally unnecessary in a case in which direct observation may be adduced in proof. Brunelli's experiments seem on this point altogether satisfactory, and to prove that both the males and the females possess the sense of hearing. He kept several males of the large green grasshopper in a closet, where they were very merry and continued singing all the day: but a tap at the door would

be urged, that though they cannot hear, yet perhaps they may feel the repercussion of sounds, I grant it is possible they may. Yet that these impressions are distasteful or hurtful, I deny; because bees, in good summers, thrive well in my outlet, where the echoes are very strong: for this village is another Anathoth, a place of responses or echoes. Besides, it does not appear from experiment that bees are in any way capable of being affected by sounds: for I have often tried my own with a large speaking-trumpet held close to their hives, and with such an exertion of voice as would have hailed a ship at the distance of a mile, and still these insects pursued their various employments undisturbed, and without showing the least sensibility or resentment.

Some time since its discovery, this echo is become totally silent, though the object or hop-kiln remains: nor is there any mystery in this defect; for the field between is planted as a hop-garden, and the voice of the speaker is totally absorbed and lost among the poles and entangled foliage of the hops. And when the poles are removed in autumn, the disappointment is the same; because a tall quickset hedge, nurtured up for the purpose of shelter to the hop-ground, entirely interrupts the impulse and repercussion of the voice: so that, till those obstructions are removed, no more of its garrulity can be expected.

immediately silence them. In this instance they might, perhaps, have been affected by the concussion of the air; and the result might rather have been owing to acuteness of touch than to hearing. But his subsequent experiments were not open to such an objection. He learned to imitate the chirping of these grasshoppers: and when he did this at the door of the closet in which they were kept, they soon began to answer him; at first by the gentle chirpings of a few, and then by a full chorus of the whole of them. He afterwards enclosed a male grasshopper in a box, and placed it in one part of his garden, leaving a female at liberty in a distant part of it: as soon as the male began to sing, the female immediately hopped away towards him. This latter experiment was frequently repeated, and in every case the female, as soon as the male began to chirp, hastened to join him.—E. T. B.

Should any gentleman of fortune think an echo in his park, or outlet, a pleasing incident, he might build one at little or no expense. For whenever he had occasion for a new barn, stable, dog-kennel, or the like structure, it would be only needful to erect this building on the gentle declivity of a hill, with a like rising opposite to it, at a few hundred yards' distance; and perhaps success might be the easier ensured, could some canal, lake, or stream intervene. From a seat at the *centrum phonicum*, he and his friends might amuse themselves sometimes of an evening with the prattle of this loquacious nymph; of whose complacency and decent reserve more may be said than can with truth of every individual of her sex; since she is

" ——— quæ nec *reticere* loquenti,
Nec *prior* ipsa *loqui* didicit resonabilis echo."

I am, &c.

P. S. The classic reader will, I trust, pardon the following lovely quotation, so finely describing echoes, and so poetically accounting for their causes from popular superstition:

" Quæ benè quom videas, rationem reddere possis
Tute tibi atque aliis, quo pacto per loca sola
Saxa pareis formas verborum ex ordine reddant,
Palanteis comites quom monteis inter opacos
Quærimus, et magnâ dispersos voce ciemus.
Sex etiam, aut septem loca vidi reddere voces
Unam quom jaceres: ita colles collibus ipsis
Verba repulsantes iterabant dicta referre.
Hæc loca capripedes Satyros, Nymphasque tenere
Finitimi fingunt, et Faunos esse loquuntur;
Quorum noctivago strepitu, ludoque jocanti
Adfirmant volgo taciturna silentia rumpi,
Chordarumque sonos fieri, dulceisque querelas,
Tibia quas fundit digitis pulsata canentum:
Et genus agricolûm latè sentiscere, quom Pan
Pinea semiferi capitis velamina quassans,
Unco sæpe labro calamos percurrit hianteis,
Fistula silvestrem ne cesset fundere musam."

Lucretius, lib. iv. l. 576.

LETTER XXXIX.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, May 13, 1778.

AMONG the many singularities attending those amusing birds the swifts, I am now confirmed in the opinion that we have every year the same number of pairs invariably; at least, the result of my inquiry has been exactly the same for a long time past. The swallows and martins are so numerous, and so widely distributed over the village, that it is hardly possible to recount them; while the swifts, though they do not all build in the church, yet so frequently haunt it, and play and rendezvous round it, that they are easily enumerated. The number that I constantly find are eight pairs; about half of which reside in the church, and the rest build in some of the lowest and meanest thatched cottages. Now, as these eight pairs, allowance being made for accidents, breed yearly eight pairs more, what becomes annually of this increase; and, what determines every spring which pairs shall visit us, and reoccupy their ancient haunts[1]?

[1] Mr. White observes also, in a former letter, that there must be a great destruction of swallows somewhere; because they do not return in the same numbers that departed from hence. But this is the case with all birds in a wild state, unless under particular circumstances; the numbers are not greater each successive spring, however prolific the parents may be, but the supply is fitted to the consumption. It may be readily conceived, that large numbers of our birds of passage may be destroyed by birds of prey in the interior of Africa, and it is more difficult to account for the annual consumption of the small birds that remain the whole year with us, where the hawks are much destroyed and few in number. Nightingales live nine or ten years in confinement, and there is no reason to believe swallows to be more short-lived. Chaffinches and yellowhammers have few enemies but the sparrow hawk; and yet, supposing each pair to raise but six young, which if they breed twice must be a low average,

Ever since I have attended to the subject of ornithology, I have always supposed that that sudden reverse of affection, that strange *αντιστοργη* which immediately succeeds in the feathered kind to the most passionate fondness, is the occasion of an equal dispersion of birds over the face of the earth. Without this provision, one favourite district would be crowded with inhabitants, while others would be destitute and forsaken. But the parent birds seem to maintain a jealous superiority, and to oblige the young to seek for new abodes: and the rivalry of the males in many kinds prevents their crowding the one on the other.

Whether the swallows and house martins return in the same exact number annually is not easy to say, for reasons given above: but it is apparent, as I have remarked before in my Monographies, that the numbers returning bear no manner of proportion to the numbers retiring.

three out of every four birds must perish before the next summer, or the numbers would increase annually.

I have been even more puzzled to understand the enormous consumption of toads, for I scarcely know what will eat them. If a hungry pike seizes one, he disgorges it again in disgust, though he eats a frog greedily. I have observed the flat margin of a small pond very near to me, in Yorkshire, on the 22d of June, swarming with innumerable millions of young toads, which make a black belt for a yard or two round it, so crowded in some places that a pin could not be pushed in between any of them. After a while, these climb the higher ground and disperse in the woods and fields. On the 10th of July not one remained near the pond; they were scattered about the upland grounds. But what becomes of them ultimately? for the longevity of toads is known to be very great: they are liable to few accidents: if a man tread upon an old toad with his whole weight, it is not injured by it; and even when stunned at the first, it soon recovers; nor can I find how they are consumed. Do the rooks, jays, and magpies eat them? Mr. White says that ducks, buzzards, owls, stone curlews, and snakes, eat toads: but there are no ducks, buzzards, or stone curlews in the quarter where these myriads are produced, and snakes and owls are very rare in the neighbourhood; yet, through some unascertained channel of consumption, the whole of this enormous increase of toads disappears before the next summer.—W. H.

LETTER XL.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, June 2, 1778.

THE standing objection to botany has always been, that it is a pursuit that amuses the fancy and exercises the memory, without improving the mind or advancing any real knowledge: and, where the science is carried no farther than a mere systematic classification, the charge is but too true. But the botanist that is desirous of wiping off this aspersion, should be by no means content with a list of names; he should study plants philosophically, should investigate the laws of vegetation, should examine the powers and virtues of efficacious herbs, should promote their cultivation; and graft the gardener, the planter, and the husbandman, on the phytologist. Not that system is by any means to be thrown aside; without system the field of Nature would be a pathless wilderness; but system should be subservient to, not the main object of, pursuit.

Vegetation is highly worthy of our attention; and in itself is of the utmost consequence to mankind, and productive of many of the greatest comforts and elegancies of life. To plants we owe timber, bread, beer, honey, wine, oil, linen, cotton, &c. what not only strengthens our hearts, and exhilarates our spirits, but what secures us from inclemencies of weather and adorns our persons. Man, in his true state of nature, seems to be subsisted by spontaneous vegetation: in middle climes, where grasses prevail, he mixes some animal food with the produce of the field and garden: and it is towards the polar extremes only that, like his kindred bears and wolves, he gorges himself with flesh alone, and is driven, to what hunger has never been

known to compel the very beasts, to prey on his own species[1].

The productions of vegetation have had a vast influence on the commerce of nations, and have been the great promoters of navigation, as may be seen in the articles of sugar, tea, tobacco, opium, ginseng, betel, paper, &c. As every climate has its peculiar produce, our natural wants bring on a mutual intercourse; so that by means of trade each distant part is supplied with the growth of every latitude. But, without the knowledge of plants and their culture, we must have been content with our hips and haws, without enjoying the delicate fruits of India and the salutiferous drugs of Peru.

Instead of examining the minute distinctions of every various species of each obscure genus, the botanist should endeavour to make himself acquainted with those that are useful. You shall see a man readily ascertain every herb of the field, yet hardly know wheat from barley, or at least one sort of wheat or barley from another.

But of all sorts of vegetation the grasses seem to be most neglected; neither the farmer nor the grazier seem to distinguish the annual from the perennial, the hardy from the tender, nor the succulent and nutritive from the dry and juiceless.

The study of grasses would be of great consequence to a northerly and grazing kingdom. The botanist that could improve the sward of the district where he lived, would be a useful member of society: to raise a thick turf on a naked soil would be worth volumes of systematic knowledge; and he would be the best commonwealth's man that could occasion the growth of "two blades of grass where one alone was seen before[2]."

I am, &c.

[1] See the late voyages to the South Seas.

[2] A contribution worthy of a nobleman of the highest rank and most extensive possessions, has been made to the knowledge of the agriculturist and to the wants of civilized man, by the Duke of Bedford, whose

LETTER XLI.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, July 3, 1778.

IN a district so diversified with such a variety of hill and dale, aspects and soils, it is no wonder that great choice of plants should be found. Chalks, clays, sands, sheep-walks and downs, bogs, heaths, woodlands, and

experiments, instituted at Woburn Abbey and conducted under the superintendence of the late George Sinclair, have furnished data for judging how most probably two blades of grass may be made to grow where one only was before found. The object of the experiments was to ascertain what kinds of grass yielded the largest crops and the greatest quantity of nutriment; and to determine the soils which were best adapted to each of them. Their consequence was the publication, in 1816, of the Hortus Gramineus Woburnensis, or an Account of the Results of the Experiments on the Produce and nutritive Qualities of different Grasses and other Plants, used as the Food of the more valuable domestic Animals. "Spots of ground, each containing four square feet, were enclosed by boards in such a manner that there was no lateral communication between the earth enclosed by the boards and that of the garden: the soil was removed in these enclosures, and new soils supplied, or mixtures of soils were made in them, to furnish, as far as possible, to the different grasses those soils which seem most favourable to their growth, a few varieties being adopted for the purpose of ascertaining the effects of different soils on the same plant." Most of the species were grown on sandy, clayey, loamy, and peaty soils, of various proportions, the chemical composition of each being ascertained by Sir Humphry Davy; and the quantity of nutritive matter afforded by the crop was also determined by a simple process indicated by the same eminent chemist. "The grass, in its green or dry state, was submitted to the action of hot water till all its soluble parts were taken up: the liquor was then separated from the woody fibre of the grass by means of blotting paper: it was then evaporated to dryness. The product, or solid matter, is the nutritive matter of the grass," consisting, "for the most part, of five distinct vegetable substances, viz. mucilaginous, saccharine, albuminous, bitter extractive, and saline matters."

Under this treatment, as an instance, it may be mentioned that it was found that the cock's foot grass, when cultivated on a peat soil, produced one-sixth more in weight than on a sandy loam; but that the grass was of an inferior quality as to nutriment; so that the crop, although heavier, was of less value in the proportion of nine to eight: that the first leaves

champaign fields, cannot but furnish an ample Flora. The deep rocky lanes abound with *Filices*, and the pastures and moist woods with *Fungi*. If in any branch of botany we may seem to be wanting, it must be in the large aquatic plants, which are not to be expected on a spot far removed from rivers, and lying up amidst the hill country at the spring heads. To enumerate all the plants that have been discovered within our limits would be a needless work; but a short list of the more rare, and the spots where they are to be found, may be neither unacceptable nor unentertaining:—

of the spring are more nutritive than those of the end of the year, sixty-four drachms at the beginning of April affording sixty-nine grains of nutritive matter, while the same quantity in the month of November yielded only thirty-nine grains: that the herbage, when suffered to grow rank or old from want of sufficient stocking, contains nearly one half less nourishment than that which is of recent growth, the quantity in sixty-four drachms being in the one case only twenty grains, and in the other thirty-six: and that the leaves and stalks are equally nutritious. The deduction is, that the cock's foot grass is more valuable for pasture than for hay; and that it is necessary to pasture or cut it closely in order to derive the greatest advantage from it. As a farther and general deduction, it is stated that the cock's foot grass appears to have a greater variety of merits for alternate husbandry than almost any other kind: and it is recommended that it should constitute three parts at least of a mixture of grasses for such cultivation, the remainder of the combination consisting of such kinds as possess in a greater degree the qualities in which it is deficient, such as *Poa trivialis*, *Phleum pratense*, *Lolium perenne*, &c.

From this instance a slight idea may be obtained of the valuable results brought under the observation of those who may be disposed to profit by them for the improvement of their fields. Every ordinary grass, even those that offered but little promise of advantage, was submitted to experiment; and a record of each was equally kept. To aid in the determination of the several kinds of grasses, dried specimens of each accompanied, in the original edition, the account given of it; and thus supplied the most tangible means of ascertaining the object meant: and specimens of the seeds being also included in the work, those errors which might have occurred, had descriptions only been given, were guarded against by obvious and simple means, and in the most effectual manner.

A second edition of the Hortus Gramineus Woburnensis, published in 1825, has coloured representations of the several grasses experimented on, as well as some additional facts of interest to the agriculturist: to whose advantage it is a contribution of the highest value, offered by one of the most enlightened of the proprietors and cultivators of the soil of England.—E. T. B.

Helleborus fœtidus, stinking hellebore, bear's foot, or setterwort,—all over the High Wood and Coney Croft Hanger: this continues a great branching plant the winter through, blossoming about January, and is very ornamental in shady walks and shrubberies. The good women give the leaves powdered to children troubled with worms; but it is a violent remedy, and ought to be administered with caution.

Helleborus viridis, green hellebore—in the deep stony lane on the left hand just before the turning to Norton Farm, and at the top of Middle Dorton under the hedge: this plant dies down to the ground early in autumn, and springs again about February, flowering almost as soon as it appears above ground.

Vaccinium Oxycoccus, creeping bilberries, or cranberries,—in the bogs of Bin's pond;

Vaccinium myrtillus, whortle, or bilberries,—on the dry hillocks of Wolmer Forest;

Drosera rotundifolia, round-leaved sundew,—in the bogs of Bin's Pond;

Drosera longifolia, long-leaved sundew,—in the bogs of Bin's Pond;

Comarum palustre, purple comarum, or marsh cinquefoil,—in the bogs of Bin's Pond;

Hypericum Androsæmum, Tutsan St. John's Wort,—in the stony, hollow lanes;

Vinca minor, less periwinkle,—in Selborne Hanger and Shrub Wood;

Monotropa Hypopitys, yellow monotropa, or bird's nest,—in Selborne Hanger under the shady beeches, to whose roots it seems to be parasitical—at the north west end of the Hanger;

Chlora perfoliata, *Blackstonia perfoliata*, HUDSONI, perfoliated yellow wort,—on the banks in the King's Field;

Paris quadrifolia, herb Paris, true love, or one berry, —in the Church Litten Coppice;

Chrysosplenium oppositifolium, opposite golden saxifrage,—in the dark and rocky hollow lanes;

Gentiana Amarella, autumnal gentian, or fellwort,—on the Zigzag and Hanger;

Lathræa Squamaria, toothwort,—in the Church Litten Coppice under some hazels near the foot bridge, in Trimming's garden hedge, and on the dry wall opposite Grange Yard;

Dipsacus pilosus, small teasel,—in the Short and Long Lith;

Lathyrus sylvestris, narrow-leaved, or wild lathyrus,—in the bushes at the foot of the Short Lith, near the path;

Ophrys spiralis, ladies' traces,—in the Long Lith, and towards the south corner of the common;

Ophrys Nidus Avis, bird's nest ophrys,—in the Long Lith under the shady beeches among the dead leaves, in Great Dorton among the bushes, and on the Hanger plentifully[1];

[1] Until I had discovered the extraordinary manner in which this plant propagates itself, I was much puzzled how a plant of such rapid and vigorous growth, bearing seed like the finest particles of dust, could spring up in a few weeks in the spring, where there had been no intimation of its existence the preceding season, and without ever being seen in a young state; and I had observed that after disappearing for a few years, it would rise again in vigour and abundance in the same place.

Many years ago, being amongst beech woods in the winter where the plant abounded and where the dead flower-stalks were still standing, I determined to investigate its secret history. The plant sometimes grows in the earth, but oftener in masses of dead beech leaves. On removing the leaves or mould to the bottom of the dead stalks, it appeared to have grown out of a bundle of fleshy fibres, about as thick as a crow-quill, diverging every way. The heart of the bundle, where they were connected, adjoining to the flower stem, was decaying; the fibres were falling apart, and the plant appeared to have died as an annual after flowering. On stirring the leaves further, at a small distance, I discovered a live bundle of similar fibres, with a very strong white shoot or eye, like the dormant shoot of a perennial herbaceous plant, which was evidently to produce a flowering stem in the next summer. Pursuing my researches, I soon discovered other similar bundles of different sizes, which were clearly immature and not ready to sprout in the following spring. On examination of the smallest, I found that it grew from the end of a half dead fibre; and recurring to the dead plant which I had first taken up, I perceived that its several fibres, or at least many of them, though dead at the base or end, which had been attached to the old plant, were alive at the other

Serapias latifolia, helleborine,—in the High Wood under the shady beeches;

Daphne Laureola, spurge laurel,—in Selborne Hanger and the High Wood;

Daphne Mezereum, the mezereon,—in Selborne Hanger among the shrubs at the south-east end above the cottages;

Lycoperdon tuber, truffles,—in the Hanger and High Wood;

Sambucus Ebulus, dwarf elder, wallwort, or Danewort,—among the rubbish and ruined foundations of the Priory.

Of all the propensities of plants none seem more strange than their different periods of blossoming. Some produce their flowers in the winter, or very first dawnings of spring; many when the spring is established; some at midsummer; and some not till autumn. When we see the *Helleborus fœtidus* and *Helleborus niger* blowing at Christmas, the *Helleborus hyemalis* in January,

end, and beginning to bristle or protrude young fibres near the extremity. By further research, I clearly ascertained that the plant dies after flowering, but is capable of reproducing a new plant from the point of each of its fibres, after they have fallen apart, the extreme point becoming the eye or shoot, which increases in size till its maturity, and the lateral bristles becoming the fibres by which the plant is to be nourished, and afterwards propagated. The young roots continue thus to increase in bulk under ground till they come to the flowering age, when they push up vigorously, die, and spawn again in the same extraordinary manner. The disappearance and reappearance of the plant is thus completely accounted for. I did not make a memorandum of the number of different sizes, but if I recollect right they are five years old when they flower. I potted several of them, which flowered in the green-house, but all that were left in pots out of doors were killed by frost. Though ophrys and orchis roots abide the severest winter in their native situation, if planted in pots and left out, they will certainly be destroyed by frost. The dead leaves or turf protect them where they grow.

It is not generally known that the *Orchis bifolia*, or white butterfly orchis, is the most fragrant of flowers, and that two or three of them in a pot will perfume a whole house at night. They are scentless by day. They will flourish in any part of London, if the pots are kept in the room with little water in the winter, and the plants allowed a little air at the window when growing.—W. H.

and the *Helleborus viridis* as soon as ever it emerges out of the ground, we do not wonder, because they are kindred plants that we expect should keep pace the one with the other. But other congenerous vegetables differ so widely in their time of flowering, that we cannot but admire. I shall only instance at present in the *Crocus sativus*, the vernal, and the autumnal crocus, which have such an affinity, that the best botanists only make them varieties of the same genus, of which there is only one species; not being able to discern any difference in the *corolla*, or in the internal structure[2]. Yet the vernal crocus expands its flowers by the beginning of March at farthest, and often in very rigorous weather; and cannot be retarded but by some violence offered:—while the autumnal (the Saffron) defies the influence of the spring and summer, and will not blow till most plants begin to fade and run to seed. This circumstance is one of the wonders of the creation, little noticed, because a common occurrence; yet ought not to be overlooked on account of its being familiar, since it would be as difficult to be explained as the most stupendous phenomenon in nature.

> Say, what impels, amidst surrounding snow
> Congeal'd, the crocus' flamy bud to glow?

---

[2] The more minute analysis that has obtained since the time of Gilbert White has produced an immense change in the views of botanists with respect to this genus. *Crocus* now consists not of one species, but of thirty at the least; and no fewer than four distinct kinds are included among the plants of Britain. Yet this more strict definition of the crocuses scarcely tends to diminish the wonder expressed above, why, among plants so extremely similar in appearance, differences so striking should exist in their seasons of developement. Another singularity in the genus would doubtless have excited the admiration of our author, had he been acquainted with it: he would have viewed with the interest that attaches to a problem requiring solution the peculiarity of the naked-flowering saffron, and would probably have spoken of it also as of one of the wonders of the creation, on account of its producing its flowers, like the meadow-saffron, unaccompanied by the protection or the presence of leaves, which in these instances instead of preceding the bloom do not appear until after the flower has faded.—E. T. B.

Say, what retards, amidst the summer's blaze,
The' autumnal bulb, till pale, declining days?
The GOD of SEASONS; whose pervading power
Controls the sun, or sheds the fleecy shower:
He bids each flower his quickening word obey;
Or to each lingering bloom enjoins delay.

LETTER XLII.

TO THE SAME.

"Omnibus animalibus reliquis certus et uniusmodi et in suo cuique genere incessus est: aves solæ vario meatu feruntur et in terrâ et in aëre." PLIN. Hist. Nat. lib. x. cap. 38.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Aug. 7, 1778.

A GOOD ornithologist should be able to distinguish birds by their air as well as by their colours and shape; on the ground as well as on the wing, and in the bush as well as in the hand. For, though it must not be said that every species of birds has a manner peculiar to itself, yet there is somewhat in most genera at least, that at first sight discriminates them, and enables a judicious observer to pronounce upon them with some certainty. Put a bird in motion

"—— et vera incessu patuit ——."

Thus kites and buzzards sail round in circles with wings expanded and motionless; and it is from their gliding manner that the former are still called in the north of England gleads, from the Saxon verb *glidan*, to glide. The kestril or wind-hover, has a peculiar mode of hanging in the air in one place, his wings all the while being briskly agitated. Hen harriers fly low over heaths or fields of corn, and beat the ground regularly like a pointer or setting-dog. Owls move in a buoyant manner, as if lighter than the air; they seem to want ballast. There is a peculiarity belonging to ravens that must draw the attention even of the most incurious—

they spend all their leisure time in striking and cuffing each other on the wing in a kind of playful skirmish; and when they move from one place to another, frequently turn on their backs with a loud croak, and seem to be falling to the ground. When this odd gesture betides them, they are scratching themselves with one foot, and thus lose the centre of gravity. Rooks sometimes dive and tumble in a frolicsome manner; crows and daws swagger in their walk; woodpeckers fly *volatu undoso*, opening and closing their wings at every stroke, and so are always rising or falling in curves. All of this genus use their tails, which incline downward, as a support while they run up trees. Parrots, like all other hooked-clawed birds, walk awkwardly, and make use of their bill as a third foot, climbing and descending with ridiculous caution. All the *Gallinæ* parade and walk gracefully, and run nimbly; but fly with difficulty, with an impetuous whirring, and in a straight line. Magpies and jays flutter with powerless wings, and make no dispatch; herons seem encumbered with too much sail for their light bodies; but these vast hollow wings are necessary in carrying burthens, such as large fishes, and the like; pigeons, and particularly the sort called smiters, have a way of clashing their wings the one against the other over their backs with a loud snap; another variety called tumblers turn themselves over in the air. Some birds have movements peculiar to the season of pairing: thus ring-doves, though strong and rapid at other times, yet in the spring hang about on the wing in a toying and playful manner; thus the cock-snipe, while breeding, forgetting his former flight, fans the air like the wind-hover; and the greenfinch in particular exhibits such languishing and faltering gestures as to appear like a wounded and dying bird; the kingfisher darts along like an arrow; fern-owls, or goat-suckers, glance in the dusk over the tops of trees like a meteor; starlings, as it were, swim along, while missel-thrushes use a wild and

desultory flight; swallows sweep over the surface of the ground and water, and distinguish themselves by rapid turns and quick evolutions; swifts dash round in circles; and the bank martin moves with frequent vacillations like a butterfly. Most of the small birds fly by jerks, rising and falling as they advance. Most small birds hop; but wagtails and larks walk, moving their legs alternately. Skylarks rise and fall perpendicularly as they sing; woodlarks hang poised in the air; and titlarks rise and fall in large curves, singing in their descent. The whitethroat uses odd jerks and gesticulations over the tops of hedges and bushes. All the duck kind waddle; divers and auks walk as if fettered, and stand erect on their tails: these are the *compedes* of Linnæus. Geese and cranes, and most wild fowls, move in figured flights, often changing their position. The secondary *remiges* of *Tringæ*, wild ducks, and some others, are very long, and give their wings, when in motion, a hooked appearance[1]. Dabchicks, moorhens, and coots, fly erect, with their legs hanging down, and hardly make any dispatch; the reason is plain, their wings are placed too forward out of the true centre of gravity; as the legs of auks and divers are situated too backward.

LETTER XLIII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, Sept. 9, 1778.

FROM the motion of birds, the transition is natural enough to their notes and language, of which I shall say something. Not that I would pretend to understand their language like the vizier, who, by the re-

[1] The elongated feathers are the tertials: the secondaries are short.—W. Y.

cital of a conversation which passed between two owls, reclaimed a sultan, before delighting in conquest and devastation[1]; but I would be thought only to mean that many of the winged tribes have various sounds and voices adapted to express their various passions, wants, and feelings; such as anger, fear, love, hatred, hunger, and the like. All species are not equally eloquent; some are copious and fluent, as it were, in their utterance, while others are confined to a few important sounds: no bird, like the fish kind, is quite mute, though some are rather silent. The language of birds is very ancient, and, like other ancient modes of speech, very elliptical; little is said, but much is meant and understood.

The notes of the eagle kind are shrill and piercing; and about the season of nidification much diversified, as I have been often assured by a curious observer of Nature who long resided at Gibraltar, where eagles abound. The notes of our hawks much resemble those of the king of birds. Owls have very expressive notes; they hoot in a fine vocal sound, much resembling the *vox humana*, and reducible by a pitch-pipe to a musical key[2]. This note seems to express complacency and rivalry among the males: they use also a quick call and a horrible scream; and can snore and hiss when they mean to menace. Ravens, beside their loud croak,

[1] See Spectator, vol. vii. No. 512.

[2] The brown owl hoots; the white owl screams.

It appears that amongst the various caprices of St. Patrick, he admitted the screech-owl into Ireland, but excluded the hooters. To counteract his machinations, some years ago a gentleman took over to Ireland some brown owls to turn out by the lake of Killarney, where he was not satisfied with the screeching generation. I never heard whether he was successful in establishing a colony of hooters.—W. H.

Sir William Jardine says the white or barn owl hoots, and he has shot it in the act of hooting. Mr. Waterton is disposed to deny this, and says the tawny owl is the only owl which hoots: "About an hour before day-break," he adds, "I hear with extreme delight its loud, clear, and sonorous notes, resounding far and near through hill and dale. Very different from these is the screech of the barn owl."—RENNIE.

can exert a deep and solemn note that makes the woods to echo; the amorous sound of a crow is strange and ridiculous; rooks, in the breeding season, attempt sometimes, in the gaiety of their hearts, to sing, but with no great success; the parrot kind have many modulations of voice, as appears by their aptitude to learn human sounds; doves coo in an amorous and mournful manner, and are emblems of despairing lovers; the woodpecker sets up a sort of loud and hearty laugh; the fern-owl or goat-sucker, from the dusk till daybreak, serenades his mate with the clattering of castanets. All the tuneful *Passeres* express their complacency by sweet modulations, and a variety of melody. The swallow, as has been observed in a former letter, by a shrill alarm, bespeaks the attention of the other *Hirundines*, and bids them be aware that the hawk is at hand. Aquatic and gregarious birds, especially the nocturnal, that shift their quarters in the dark, are very noisy and loquacious; as cranes, wild geese, wild ducks, and the like: their perpetual clamour prevents them from dispersing and losing their companions.

In so extensive a subject, sketches and outlines are as much as can be expected: for it would be endless to instance in all the infinite variety of the feathered nation. We shall therefore confine the remainder of this letter to the few domestic fowls of our yards, which are most known and therefore best understood. And first the peacock, with his gorgeous train, demands our attention; but, like most of the gaudy birds, his notes are grating and shocking to the ear: the yelling of cats, and the braying of an ass, are not more disgustful. The voice of the goose is trumpetlike, and clanking; and once saved the Capitol at Rome, as grave historians assert: the hiss also of the gander is formidable and full of menace, and "protective of his young." Among ducks the sexual distinction of voice is remarkable; for while the quack of the female is loud and sonorous, the voice of the drake is inward and harsh, and feeble,

and scarce discernible. The cock turkey struts and gobbles to his mistress in a most uncouth manner; he hath also a pert and petulant note when he attacks his adversary. When a hen turkey leads forth her young brood she keeps a watchful eye; and if a bird of prey appear, though ever so high in the air, the careful mother announces the enemy with a little inward moan, and watches him with a steady and attentive look; but, if he approach, her note becomes earnest and alarming, and her outcries are redoubled.

No inhabitants of a yard seem possessed of such a variety of expression and so copious a language as common poultry. Take a chicken of four or five days old, and hold it up to a window where there are flies, and it will immediately seize its prey, with little twitterings of complacency; but if you tender it a wasp or a bee, at once its note becomes harsh and expressive of disapprobation and a sense of danger. When a pullet is ready to lay, she intimates the event by a joyous and easy soft note. Of all the occurrences of their life that of laying seems to be the most important; for no sooner has a hen disburthened herself, than she rushes forth with a clamorous kind of joy, which the cock and the rest of his mistresses immediately adopt. The tumult is not confined to the family concerned, but catches from yard to yard, and spreads to every homestead within hearing, till at last the whole village is in an uproar. As soon as a hen becomes a mother, her new relation demands a new language; she then runs clocking and screaming about, and seems agitated as if possessed. The father of the flock has also a considerable vocabulary: if he finds food, he calls a favourite concubine to partake; and if a bird of prey passes over, with a warning voice he bids his family beware. The gallant chanticleer has, at command, his amorous phrases and his terms of defiance. But the sound by which he is best known is his crowing: by this he has been distinguished in all ages as the countryman's

clock or larum, as the watchman that proclaims the divisions of the night. Thus the poet elegantly styles him

> " ——— the crested cock, whose clarion sounds
> The silent hours."

A neighbouring gentleman one summer had lost most of his chickens by a sparrow hawk, that came gliding down between a faggot pile and the end of his house to the place where the coops stood. The owner, inwardly vexed to see his flock thus diminishing, hung a setting net adroitly between the pile and the house, into which the caitiff dashed, and was entangled. Resentment suggested the law of retaliation: he therefore clipped the hawk's wings, cut off his talons, and fixing a cork on his bill, threw him down among the brood-hens. Imagination cannot paint the scene that ensued; the expressions that fear, rage, and revenge inspired were new, or at least such as had been unnoticed before: the exasperated matrons upbraided, they execrated, they insulted, they triumphed. In a word, they never desisted from buffeting their adversary till they had torn him in a hundred pieces.

LETTER XLIV.

TO THE SAME.

SELBORNE.

> " . . . . . monstrent
> . . . . . .
> Quid tantùm Oceano properent se tingere soles
> Hyberni; vel quæ tardis mora noctibus obstet."

GENTLEMEN who have outlets might contrive to make ornament subservient to utility: a pleasing eyetrap might also contribute to promote science: an obelisk in a garden or park might be both an embellishment and a heliotrope.

Any person that is curious, and enjoys the advantage of a good horizon, might, with little trouble, make two heliotropes; the one for the winter, the other for the summer solstice: and these two erections might be constructed with very little expense; for two pieces of timber framework, about ten or twelve feet high, and four feet broad at the base, and close lined with plank, would answer the purpose.

The erection for the former should, if possible, be placed within sight of some window in the common sitting parlour; because men, at that dead season of the year, are usually within doors at the close of the day; while that for the latter might be fixed for any given spot in the garden or outlet: whence the owner might contemplate, in a fine summer's evening, the utmost extent that the sun makes to the northward at the season of the longest days. Now nothing would be necessary but to place these two objects with so much exactness, that the westerly limb of the sun, at setting, might but just clear the winter heliotrope to the west of it on the shortest day; and that the whole disc of the sun, at the longest day, might exactly at setting also clear the summer heliotrope to the north of it.

By this simple expedient it would soon appear that there is no such thing, strictly speaking, as a solstice: for, from the shortest day, the owner would, every clear evening, see the disc advancing, at its setting, to the westward, of the object; and, from the longest day, observe the sun retiring backwards every evening at its setting towards the object westward, till, in a few nights, it would set quite behind it, and so by degrees to the west of it: for when the sun comes near the summer solstice, the whole disc of it would at first set behind the object; after a time the northern limb would first appear, and so every night gradually more, till at length the whole diameter would set northward of it for about three nights; but on the middle night of the three, sensibly more remote than the former or following. When

beginning its recess from the summer tropic, it would continue more and more to be hidden every night, till at length it would descend quite behind the object again; and so nightly more and more to the westward.

LETTER XLV.

TO THE SAME.

SELBORNE.

" . . . . . . Mugire videbis
Sub pedibus terram, et descendere montibus ornos."

WHEN I was a boy I used to read, with astonishment and implicit assent, accounts in Baker's Chronicle of walking hills and travelling mountains. John Philips, in his Cider, alludes to the credit that was given to such stories with a delicate but quaint vein of humour peculiar to the author of the Splendid Shilling.

"I nor advise, nor reprehend, the choice
Of Marcley Hill; the apple no where finds
A kinder mould: yet 'tis unsafe to trust
Deceitful ground: who knows but that, once more,
This mount may journey, and, his present site
Forsaking, to thy neighbour's bounds transfer
The goodly plants, affording matter strange
For law debates!"

But, when I came to consider better, I began to suspect that though our hills may never have journeyed far, yet that the ends of many of them have slipped and fallen away at distant periods, leaving the cliffs bare and abrupt. This seems to have been the case with Nore and Whetham Hills; and especially with the ridge between Harteley Park and Wardleham, where the ground has slid into vast swellings and furrows; and lies still in such romantic confusion as cannot be accounted for from any other cause. A strange event, that happened not long since, justifies our suspicions; which, though it befell not within the limits of this parish,

yet, as it was within the hundred of Selborne, and as the circumstances were singular, may fairly claim a place in a work of this nature.

The months of January and February, in the year 1774, were remarkable for great melting snows and vast gluts of rain; so that by the end of the latter month the land-springs, or lavants, began to prevail, and to be near as high as in the memorable winter of 1764. The beginning of March also went on in the same tenor; when, in the night between the 8th and 9th of that month, a considerable part of the great woody hanger at Hawkley was torn from its place, and fell down, leaving a high free-stone cliff naked and bare, and resembling the steep side of a chalk-pit. It appears that this huge fragment, being perhaps sapped and undermined by waters, foundered, and was ingulfed, going down in a perpendicular direction; for a gate which stood in the field, on the top of the hill, after sinking with its posts for thirty or forty feet, remained in so true and upright a position as to open and shut with great exactness, just as in its first situation. Several oaks also are still standing, and in a state of vegetation, after taking the same desperate leap. That great part of this prodigious mass was absorbed in some gulf below is plain also from the inclining ground at the bottom of the hill, which is free and unincumbered; but would have been buried in heaps of rubbish, had the fragment parted and fallen forward[1].

[1] That neither the rock which had parted from the cliff, nor any fragments of it, remained upon the surface below the naked face of the escarpment, is indeed sufficient evidence of its having passed beneath the soil. But to account for its subsidence, it is by no means necessary to assume, as appears to be conjectured in the text, the existence of a gulf below it, into which it had been absorbed. The geological relations of the strata point to a much easier, as well as a more correct, explanation of the occurrence. Here, as elsewhere throughout the district, the malm rock or freestone of the upper green sand formation rests upon the gault or blue clay: a rock upon a yielding base. An adequate weight, placed upon so unfirm a soil as the lower of these formations, must of necessity

About a hundred yards from the foot of this hanging coppice stood a cottage by the side of a lane; and two hundred yards lower, on the other side of the lane, was

sink into it. So prodigious a mass as that, which, on the occasion described in the text, was separated from its adhesion to its native rock, and left to be supported by the soft clay alone, was more than its pulpy nature could support, and it gave way accordingly; receiving into its yielding substance, and burying almost entirely beneath its surface, the detached face of the cliff, which subsided into it so easily and so perpendicularly as not to disturb the adjustment of a gate upon the sunken mass, once on the top, and now at the foot of the escarpment.

But the reception into the clay of so immense a bulk necessarily displaced a portion of it equal in quantity to that of the mass which had been received into it. Reduced by a long continued series of rains to a mortar-like consistence, it gave way with the utmost freedom to the pressure. That which was in the first instance displaced, drove forwards the portion immediately in advance of it, and, the force being applied from below as well as from behind, the subjacent pastures were torn into wavy clefts and ridges.

The hillocks in the first pasture below the Hanger, rounded in their outline like the knolls occasionally met with in the London Clay (such for instance, as that at Child's Hill, near Hampstead), may possibly indicate that a similar slip to that of 1774, but probably in greater mass, had previously occurred in the same situation. Eminences so marked as these are not usual in the gault immediately beneath the malm rock escarpments: generally its surface either at once assumes the level character which belongs to it generally, or slopes gradually into the flat bottom formed entirely by it, and from which its junction with the freestone is never far distant. But here there are two well pronounced knolls, and in the pasture beyond them there is still a tendency in the surface to the same form, though the hillocks are there less marked. I cannot but suspect that beneath these hillocks are buried masses of the freestone derived from a former slip, which has forced the clay into so unusual a form: and this is the more probable, as the rounded mass near Worldham, known by the name of King John's Hill, is actually so constituted.

An additional inducement to the belief that they owe their existence to such a cause, is the occurrence behind the first of them, between the hillock and the foot of the Hanger, of a pond, antecedent, like the knolls themselves, to the slip of 1774. I do not recollect an instance in which a pond is met with in the gault; and certainly not in so comparatively high a situation, yet almost immediately adjoining to much lower grounds. It is scarcely to be conceived that a substance of so slight cohesion should allow of the formation in it of a cup-like cavity, in which water could be retained; unless on a dead level, in a spot where the drainage was received in greater quantity than could be readily discharged, and where the water might consequently rest. But here the water would soak steadily through some portion of the base of the adjoining hillock, were that hillock composed of the gault alone, and, sapping the soft material, would

a farm-house, in which lived a labourer and his family; and just by, a stout new barn. The cottage was inhabited by an old woman and her son, and his wife. These

rapidly procure for itself an outlet; and the drainage, instead of remaining in a pond, would pass along a gutter or a streamlet to the lower lands.

At the base of Hawkley Slip, however, there is a pond, small though it be: and the existence of so uncommon a basin in that situation may, I think, be accounted for on the supposition that the hillock interposed between it and the green slope into the flat bottom, consists, in its mass, of portions of the freestone, escaped from the front of the terrace above; and that the freestone buried in the hillocks rises so high beneath the covering earth as to be elevated above the ordinary level of the water drained into the pond from above; thus forming, with the base of the slip, a cup composed of a substance which does not yield, like the blue clay, to the sapping influence of the liquid. A slight drainage exists, probably sinking through small interstices of the masses of rock which I have assumed to be buried in this situation, and indicates its course not merely by an impressed line on the soil, but also by occasional oaks of moderate age crossing the pasture at a right angle with the Hanger.

With so very slight a drainage as that indicated, and it is all the discharge that I have observed for the waters that are poured upon this side of the Hanger, it is not surprising that they should accumulate to so great an extent as to cause occasional slips. On the opposite verge, the range of the terrace of malm-rock is intersected by a deeply penetrating ravine, along the gault bottom of which an ample drainage exists for the whole of the side towards Empshot, by a streamlet running into the Hawkley stream between Hawkley and Greatham mills, and consequently forming one of the higher feeders of the Arun. The dip of the freestone being slightly towards the north, the terrace, of whose southern escarpment the slip forms only a small part, inclines also in that direction, and hence the streamlet, its natural drainage, is, as natural drainages in all but the flattest countries must necessarily be, a very efficient one. But the history of the Hawkley slip shows that it may happen that all the water poured from the clouds shall not escape from the surface in that direction: so much as is showered on the face of the Hanger cannot be carried off by it, but must find a vent elsewhere.

In other situations, and particularly on the southern coast of the Isle of Wight, slips similar to that of Hawkley have taken place, and from the same cause: either the separation of a portion of the freestone rock of the upper green sand formation and its subsidence into the gault; or the loosening of the gault and the subsequent separation and subsidence of a portion of the free stone, which could no longer be supported when its natural foundation had thus given way. The delicious scenery of the celebrated and enchanting district of the Isle of Wight, known as the Undercliff, is owing to a similar accident; but of gigantic extent as compared with that of Hawkley: its history, however, belongs to other days. But within days of recent record an immense fall of the same kind has taken place at the well-known land-slip on the same coast, under St. Catherine's Down, near Niton; in the midst of which is seated the powerful

people, in the evening, which was very dark and tempestuous, observed that the brick floors of their kitchens began to heave and part; and that the walls seemed to open, and the roofs to crack: but they all agree that no tremor of the ground, indicating an earthquake, was ever felt; only that the wind continued to make a most tremendous roaring in the woods and hangers. The miserable inhabitants, not daring to go to bed, remained in the utmost solicitude and confusion, expecting every moment to be buried under the ruins of their shattered

mineral water of the Sand-rock spring. And yet more recently, and still on the same coast, another slip, and of similar character, has occurred between Luccomb and Bonchurch. Of the two latter events the particulars have been well described: but the length of the present note admonishes me to refrain from entering upon them.

Yet one remark respecting them must be made; the reference to them, as to analogous cases, might otherwise induce the belief that they were, in all particulars, similar to the Hawkley Slip. They are analogous, for the strata concerned in them are the same; and the parting from the face of the rock of a portion of its escarpment, and the displacement of the soft inferior clay, belong equally to both. But in one respect, and it is an important one as connected with the present appearance of the several slips, they differ materially: in the Hawkley Slip at no time were there any debris visible on the surface; that which was pasture is still pasture, covered with a smooth and beautiful sward: in the slips of the Isle of Wight, the surface is irregular in the extreme, covered with masses of stones of all imaginable sizes and forms, scattered and heaped together in the greatest confusion, and forming an intricate and highly broken surface; in the remote slip of the Undercliff especially there occur single blocks of stone of immense size, each bulky enough to shelter cottages, and in one instance, at St. Lawrence, an isolated block is seen of sufficient size to support the parish church, diminutive indeed, but still the parish church, which is erected on it. But if we reflect that, in the one case, there is an expanse of gault of sufficient extent to admit of its being moved, not for half a mile only, but to almost any conceivable distance, (for the subsidence of the entire mass of the terrace would probably merely cause the sliding of the gault upon the adjoining sandy strata of Wolmer Forest,) we shall not be surprised at its swallowing so much of the rock as was, in the Hawkley Slip, immersed in it. Whereas, on the southern coast of the Isle of Wight, the greater part of the small portion of gault intercepted in the cliff would, on such an occurrence, be at once squeezed out into the sea and be washed away, and the freestone of the slip would be received on the under sand of that iron-bound shore; retaining, however, among its gigantic fragments, some portions of the blue clay, dispersed in patches which, by their fertility, in the midst of the rocky masses, give so lovely and peculiar a character to the Undercliff.—E. T. B.

edifices. When daylight came they were at leisure to contemplate the devastations of the night: they then found that a deep rift or chasm, had opened under their houses, and torn them, as it were, in two; and that one end of the barn had suffered in a similar manner; that a pond near the cottage had undergone a strange reverse, becoming deep at the shallow end, and so *vice versa;* that many large oaks were removed out of their perpendicular, some thrown down, and some fallen into the heads of neighbouring trees; and that a gate was thrust forward, with its hedge, full six feet, so as to require a new track to be made to it. From the foot of the cliff, the general course of the ground, which is pasture, inclines in a moderate descent for half a mile, and is interspersed with some hillocks, which were rifted, in every direction, as well towards the great woody hanger, as from it. In the first pasture the deep clefts began; and running across the lane, and under the buildings, made such vast shelves that the road was impassable for some time; and so over to an arable field on the other side, which was strangely torn and disordered. The second pasture field, being more soft and springy, was protruded forward without many fissures in the turf, which was raised in long ridges resembling graves, lying at right angles to the motion. At the bottom of this enclosure the soil and turf rose many feet against the bodies of some oaks that obstructed their farther course and terminated this awful commotion.

The perpendicular height of the precipice, in general, is twenty-three yards; the length of the lapse, or slip, as seen from the fields below, one hundred and eighty-one; and a partial fall, concealed in the coppice, extends seventy yards more: so that the total length of this fragment that fell was two hundred and fifty-one yards. About fifty acres of land suffered from this violent convulsion: two houses were entirely destroyed; one end of a new barn was left in ruins, the walls being cracked through the very stones that composed them; a hanging coppice was changed to a naked rock; and

some grass grounds and an arable field so broken and rifted by the chasms as to be rendered, for a time, neither fit for the plough nor safe for pasturage, till considerable labour and expense had been bestowed in levelling the surface and filling in the gaping fissures.

HAWKLEY SLIP.

LETTER XLVI.

TO THE SAME.

SELBORNE.

" ——— resonant arbusta ——— "

THERE is a steep abrupt pasture field interspersed with furze close to the back of this village, well known by the name of the Short Lithe, consisting of a rocky dry soil, and inclining to the afternoon sun. This spot abounds with the *Gryllus campestris*, or field cricket, which, though frequent in these parts, is by no means a common insect in many other counties.

As their cheerful summer cry cannot but draw the attention of a naturalist, I have often gone down to examine the economy of these *Grylli*, and study their mode of life: but they are so shy and cautious that it is no easy matter to get a sight of them; for, feeling a person's footsteeps as he advances, they stop short in the midst of their song, and retire backward nimbly into their burrows, where they lurk till all suspicion of danger is over.

At first we attempted to dig them out with a spade, but without any great success: for either we could not get to the bottom of the hole, which often terminated under a great stone; or else, in breaking up the ground, we inadvertently squeezed the poor insect to death. Out of one so bruised we took a multitude of eggs, which were long and narrow, of a yellow colour, and covered with a very tough skin. By this accident we learned to distinguish the male from the female: the former of which is shining black, with a golden



FIELD CRICKET.

stripe across his shoulders; the latter is more dusky, more capacious about the abdomen, and carries a long sword-shaped weapon at her tail, which probably is the instrument with which she deposits her eggs in crannies and safe receptacles.

Where violent methods will not avail, more gentle means will often succeed; and so it proved in the present case: for though a spade be too boisterous and rough an implement, a pliant stalk of grass, gently insinuated into the caverns, will probe their windings to

the bottom, and quickly bring out the inhabitant; and thus the humane inquirer may gratify his curiosity without injuring the object of it. It is remarkable that, though these insects are furnished with long legs behind, and brawny thighs for leaping, like grasshoppers; yet when driven from their holes they show no activity, but crawl along in a shiftless manner, so as easily to be taken: and again, though provided with a curious apparatus of wings, yet they never exert them when there seems to be the greatest occasion. The males only make that shrilling noise, perhaps out of rivalry and emulation, as is the case with many animals which exert some sprightly note during their breeding time: it is raised by a brisk friction of one wing against the other. They are solitary beings, living singly, male or female, each as it may happen; but there must be a time when they pair, and then the wings may be useful, perhaps during the hours of night. When the males meet they will fight fiercely, as I found by some which I put into the crevices of a dry stone wall, where I should have been glad to have made them settle. For though they seemed distressed by being taken out of their knowledge, yet the first that got possession of the chinks would seize on any that were obtruded upon them with a vast row of serrated fangs. With their strong jaws, toothed like the shears of a lobster's claws, they perforate and round their curious regular cells, having no fore claws to dig like the mole cricket. When taken in hand, I could not but wonder that they never offered to defend themselves, though armed with such formidable weapons. Of such herbs as grow before the mouths of their burrows they eat indiscriminately; and on a little platform, which they make just by, they drop their dung; and never, in the daytime, seem to stir more than two or three inches from home. Sitting in the entrance of their caverns, they chirp all night as well as day from the middle of the month of May to the middle of July; and in hot weather, when they are most vigorous, they make the

hills echo; and, in the stiller hours of darkness, may be heard to a considerable distance. In the beginning of the season their notes are more faint and inward; but become louder as the summer advances, and so die away again by degrees.

Sounds do not always give us pleasure according to their sweetness and melody; nor do harsh sounds always displease. We are more apt to be captivated or disgusted with the associations which they promote, than with the notes themselves. Thus the shrilling of the field cricket, though sharp and stridulous, yet marvellously delights some hearers, filling their minds with a train of summer ideas of every thing that is rural, verdurous, and joyous.

About the 10th of March the crickets appear at the mouths of their cells, which they then open and bore, and shape very elegantly. All that I ever have seen at that season were in their pupa state, and had only the rudiments of wings lying under a skin or coat, which must be cast before the insect can arrive at its perfect state[1]; from whence I should suppose that the old ones of last year do not always survive the winter. In August their holes begin to be obliterated, and the insects are seen no more till spring.

Not many summers ago I endeavoured to transplant a colony to the terrace in my garden, by boring deep holes in the sloping turf. The new inhabitants stayed some time, and fed and sung; but wandered away by degrees, and were heard at a farther distance every morning; so that it appears that on this emergency they made use of their wings in attempting to return to the spot from which they were taken[2].

[1] We have observed that they cast these skins in April, which are then seen lying at the mouths of their holes.

[2] I have been as unsuccessful in transplanting the hearth cricket, as Mr. White was with the field cricket. In two different houses, I have repeatedly introduced crickets, but could not prevail upon them to stay. One of my trials, indeed, was made in summer, with insects brought from a garden wall, and it is probable, they deemed the kitchen fireside too hot, at that season.—RENNIE.

One of these crickets, when confined in a paper cage and set in the sun, and supplied with plants moistened with water, will feed and thrive, and become so merry and loud as to be irksome in the same room where a person is sitting: if the plants are not wetted, it will die.

LETTER XLVII.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE.

"Far from all resort of mirth
Save the cricket on the hearth."
MILTON'S Il Penseroso.

WHILE many other insects must be sought after in fields, and woods, and waters, the *Gryllus domesticus*, or house cricket, resides altogether within our dwellings, intruding itself upon our notice, whether we will or no. This species delights in new-built houses, being, like the spider, pleased with the moisture of the walls; and besides, the softness of the mortar enables them to burrow and mine between the joints of the bricks or stones, and to open communications from one room to another. They are particularly fond of kitchens and bakers' ovens, on account of their perpetual warmth.

HOUSE CRICKET.

Tender insects that live abroad either enjoy only the short period of one summer, or else doze away the cold uncomfortable months in profound slumbers; but these, residing as it were in a torrid zone, are always alert, and merry: a good Christmas fire is to them like the heats of the dog-days. Though they are frequently

heard by day, yet is their natural time of motion only in the night. As soon as it grows dusk, the chirping increases, and they come running forth, and are from the size of a flea to that of their full stature. As one should suppose, from the burning atmosphere which they inhabit, they are a thirsty race, and show a great propensity for liquids, being found frequently drowned in pans of water, milk, broth, or the like. Whatever is moist they affect; and, therefore, often gnaw holes in wet woollen stockings and aprons that are hung to the fire: they are the housewife's barometer, foretelling her when it will rain; and are prognostic sometimes, she thinks, of ill or good luck; of the death of a near relation, or the aproach of an absent lover. By being the constant companions of her solitary hours they naturally become the objects of her superstition. These crickets are not only very thirsty, but very voracious; for they will eat the scummings of pots, and yeast, salt, and crumbs of bread; and any kitchen offal or sweepings. In the summer we have observed them to fly, when it became dusk, out of the windows, and over the neighbouring roofs. This feat of activity accounts for the sudden manner in which they often leave their haunts, as it does for the method by which they come to houses where they were not known before. It is remarkable, that many sorts of insects seem never to use their wings but when they have a mind to shift their quarters and settle new colonies. When in the air they move *volatu undoso*, in waves or curves, like woodpeckers, opening and shutting their wings at every stroke, and so are always rising or sinking.

When they increase to a great degree, as they did once in the house where I am now writing, they become noisome pests, flying into the candles, and dashing into people's faces; but may be blasted and destroyed by gunpowder discharged into their crevices and crannies. In families, at such times, they are, like Pharaoh's plague of frogs,—"in their bed-chambers and upon their

beds, and in their ovens, and in their kneading-troughs[1]." Their shrilling noise is occasioned by a brisk attrition of their wings. Cats catch hearth crickets, and, playing with them as they do with mice, devour them. Crickets may be destroyed, like wasps, by phials half filled with beer, or any liquid, and set in their haunts; for, being always eager to drink, they will crowd in till the bottles are full[2].

LETTER XLVIII.

TO THE SAME.

SELBORNE.

How diversified are the modes of life not only of incongruous but even of congenerous animals; and yet their specific distinctions are not more various than their propensities. Thus, while the field cricket delights in sunny dry banks, and the house cricket rejoices amidst the glowing heat of the kitchen hearth or oven, the *Gryllus Gryllotalpa*[1], or mole cricket, haunts moist meadows, and frequents the sides of ponds and banks of streams, performing all its functions in a swampy wet soil. With a pair of fore feet, curiously adapted to the purpose, it burrows and works under ground like the mole, raising a ridge as it proceeds, but seldom throwing up hillocks.

As mole crickets often infest gardens by the sides of canals, they are unwelcome guests to the gardener, raising up ridges in their subterraneous progress and rendering the walks unsightly. If they take to the kitchen quarters, they occasion great damage among the plants and roots, by destroying whole beds of cabbages, young legumes, and flowers. When dug out they seem

[1] Exod. viii. 3.

[2] Some additional particulars respecting the house cricket will be found in the Observations on various parts of Nature, printed in a subsequent part of this volume.—E. T. B.

[1] [*Gryllotalpa vulgaris*, LATR.]

very slow and helpless, and make no use of their wings by day; but at night they come abroad, and make long excursions, as I have been convinced by finding stragglers in a morning, in improbable places. In fine weather, about the middle of April, and just at the close of day, they begin to solace themselves with a low, dull, jarring note, continued for a long time without interruption, and not unlike the chattering of the fern-owl, or goat-sucker, but more inward.

MOLE CRICKET AND NEST.

About the beginning of May they lay their eggs, as I was once an eye-witness; for a gardener, at a house where I was on a visit, happening to be mowing, on the 6th of that month, by the side of a canal, his scythe struck too deep, pared off a large piece of turf, and laid open to view a curious scene of domestic economy:

"———— ingentem lato dedit ore fenestram:
Apparet domus intùs, et atria longa patescunt:
Apparent ———— penetralia."

There were many caverns and winding passages leading to a kind of chamber, neatly smoothed and rounded, and about the size of a moderate snuff-box. Within this secret nursery were deposited near a hundred eggs of a dirty yellow colour, and enveloped in a tough skin, but too lately excluded to contain any rudiments of young, being full of a viscous substance. The eggs lay but shallow, and within the influence of the sun, just under a little heap of fresh moved mould, like that which is raised by ants.

When mole crickets fly, they move *cursu undoso*, rising and falling in curves, like the other species mentioned before. In different parts of this kingdom people call them fen crickets, churr worms, and eve churrs, all very apposite names.

Anatomists, who have examined the intestines of these insects, astonish me with their accounts; for they say that, from the structure, position, and number of their stomachs, or maws, there seems to be good reason to suppose that this and the two former species ruminate or chew the cud like many quadrupeds[2]!

[2] In the Hunterian Collection are preparations of the singularly complex stomach here alluded to as it exists in the mole cricket (No. 611) and in the locust (Nos. 474, 610.) The structure is similar in both, as to the number of cavities, but differs in their relative positions. The first cavity, or crop, is formed in the locust by a gradual dilatation of the gullet; but in the mole cricket it is appended, like the crop of a granivorous bird, to one side of the gullet, communicating with it by a lateral opening. The canal which intervenes between the crop and gizzard is relatively longer in the mole cricket than in the locust. Its gizzard is small, but armed internally with longitudinal rows of complex teeth. Two large lateral pouches open into the lower part, or termination, of the gizzard. The analogy between this digestive apparatus and that of the ruminants is vague, and does not extend beyond the number of cavities. It is more like that of the bird; and since the comminuting or masticating organs are situated, as in the feathered class, in the stomach, it cannot be supposed that the food is again returned to the mouth, where it has already received all the division which the oral instruments can effect.—R. O.

LETTER XLIX.

TO THE SAME.

SELBORNE, May 7, 1779.

IT is now more than forty years that I have paid some attention to the ornithology of this district, without being able to exhaust the subject: new occurrences still arise as long as any inquiries are kept alive.

In the last week of last month five of those most rare birds, too uncommon to have obtained an English name, but known to naturalists by the terms of *Himantopus*, or *Loripes*, and *Charadrius Himantopus*[1], were shot upon the verge of Frinsham Pond, a large lake belonging to the Bishop of Winchester, and lying between Wolmer Forest, and the town of Farnham, in the county of Surrey. The pond-keeper says there were three brace in the flock; but that, after he had satisfied his curiosity, he suffered the sixth to remain unmolested. One of these specimens I procured, and found the length of the legs to be so extraordinary, that, at first sight, one might have supposed the shanks had been fastened on to impose on the credulity of the beholder: they were legs in *caricatura;* and had we seen such proportions on a Chinese or Japan screen we should have made large allowances for the fancy of the draughtsman. These birds are of the plover family, and might with propriety be called the stilt plovers. Brisson, under that idea, gives them the apposite name of *L'Echasse.* My specimen, when drawn and stuffed with pepper, weighed only four ounces and a quarter; though the naked part of the thigh measured three inches and a half, and the legs four inches and a half. Hence we

[1] [*Himantopus melanopterus*, TEMM.]

may safely assert that these birds exhibit, weight for inches, incomparably the greatest length of legs of any known bird. The flamingo, for instance, is one of the most long-legged birds, and yet it bears no manner of

STILT PLOVER.

proportion to the *Himantopus;* for a cock flamingo weighs, at an average, about four pounds avoirdupois; and his legs and thighs measure usually about twenty inches. But four pounds are fifteen times and a fraction more than four ounces and one quarter; and if four ounces and a quarter have eight inches of legs, four pounds must have one hundred and twenty inches and a fraction of legs; viz. somewhat more than ten feet; such a monstrous proportion as the world never saw! If you should try the experiment in still larger birds, the disparity would still increase. It must be matter of great curiosity to see the stilt plover move; to observe how it can wield such a length of lever with such feeble muscles as the thighs seem to be furnished with. At best one should expect it to be but a bad

walker: but what adds to the wonder is, that it has no back toe. Now without that steady prop to support its steps it must be liable, in speculation, to perpetual vacillations, and seldom able to preserve the true centre of gravity.

The old name of *Himantopus* is taken from Pliny; and, by an awkward metaphor, implies that the legs are as slender and pliant as if cut out of a thong of leather. Neither Willughby nor Ray, in all their curious researches, either at home or abroad, ever saw this bird. Mr. Pennant never met with it in all Great Britain, but observed it often in the cabinets of the curious at Paris. Hasselquist says that it migrates to Egypt in the autumn: and a most accurate observer of nature has assured me that he has found it on the banks of the streams in Andalusia.

Our writers record it to have been found only twice in Great Britain. From all these relations it plainly appears that these long-legged plovers are birds of South Europe, and rarely visit our island; and when they do, are wanderers and stragglers, and impelled to make so distant and northern an excursion from motives or accidents for which we are not able to account. One thing may fairly be deduced, that these birds come over to us from the continent, since nobody can suppose that a species not noticed once in an age, and of such a remarkable make, can constantly breed unobserved in this kingdom.

LETTER L.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, SELBORNE, April 21, 1780.

THE old Sussex tortoise, that I have mentioned to you so often, is become my property. I dug it out of its winter dormitory in March last, when it was enough

awakened to express its resentments by hissing; and, packing it in a box with earth, carried it eighty miles in post chaises. The rattle and hurry of the journey so perfectly roused it, that, when I turned it out on a border, it walked twice down to the bottom of my garden: however, in the evening, the weather being cold, it buried itself in the loose mould, and continues still concealed.

As it will be under my eye, I shall now have an opportunity of enlarging my observations on its mode of life, and propensities; and perceive already that, towards the time of coming forth, it opens a breathing place in the ground near its head, requiring, I conclude, a freer respiration as it becomes more alive. This creature not only goes under the earth from the middle of November to the middle of April, but sleeps great part of the summer; for it goes to bed in the longest days at four in the afternoon, and often does not stir in the morning till late. Besides, it retires to rest for every shower; and does not move at all in wet days.

When one reflects on the state of this strange being, it is a matter of wonder to find that Providence should bestow such a profusion of days, such a seeming waste of longevity on a reptile that appears to relish it so little as to squander more than two thirds of its existence in a joyless stupor, and be lost to all sensation for months together in the profoundest of slumbers.

While I was writing this letter, a moist and warm afternoon, with the thermometer at 50°, brought forth troops of shell-snails; and, at the same juncture, the tortoise heaved up the mould and put out its head; and the next morning came forth, as it were raised from the dead; and walked about till four in the afternoon. This was a curious coincidence! a very amusing occurrence! to see such a similarity of feelings between the two Φερεοικοι! for so the Greeks call both the shell-snail and the tortoise.

Summer birds are, this cold and backward spring,

unusually late: I have seen but one swallow yet. This conformity with the weather convinces me more and more that they sleep in the winter.

More particulars respecting the old family tortoise.

Because we call this creature an abject reptile, we are too apt to undervalue his abilites, and depreciate his powers of instinct. Yet he is, as Mr. Pope says of his lord,

"Much too wise to walk into a well:"

and has so much discernment as not to fall down a haha; but to stop and withdraw from the brink with the readiest precaution.

Though he loves warm weather, he avoids the hot sun; because his thick shell, when once heated, would, as the poet says of solid armour—"scald with safety." He therefore spends the more sultry hours under the umbrella of a large cabbage leaf, or amidst the waving forests of an asparagus bed.

But as he avoids heat in the summer, so, in the decline of the year, he improves the faint autumnal beams, by getting within the reflection of a fruit-wall: and, though he never has read that planes inclining to the horizon receive a greater share of warmth[1], he inclines his shell, by tilting it against the wall, to collect and admit every feeble ray.

Pitiable seems the condition of this poor embarrassed reptile: to be cased in a suit of ponderous armour, which he cannot lay aside; to be imprisoned, as it were, within his own shell, must preclude, we should suppose, all activity and disposition for enterprise. Yet there is a season of the year (usually the beginning of June) when his exertions are remarkable. He then

[1] Several years ago a book was written entitled "Fruit-walls improved by inclining them to the Horizon:" in which the author has shown, by calculation, that a much greater number of the rays of the sun will fall on such walls than on those which are perpendicular.

walks on tiptoe, and is stirring by five in the morning; and, traversing the garden, examines every wicket and interstice in the fences, through which he will escape if possible; and often has eluded the care of the gardener, and wandered to some distant field. The motives that impel him to undertake these rambles seem to be of the amorous kind: his fancy then becomes intent on sexual attachments, which transport him beyond his usual gravity, and induce him to forget for a time his ordinary solemn deportment[2].

[2] Interesting as the old family tortoise has been rendered by the anecdotes related of him by Gilbert White, his history may be closed by the statement that his life was not prolonged much beyond that of his protector. He died, it is believed, in the spring of 1794; after an existence extended in England to about fifty-four years, the last fourteen of which were spent at Selborne. The thick shell, in which he was coffined while alive, is preserved in the residence of the master who secured for him an enduring existence in the memories of many.

My friend Mr. Bell regards the specimen, which he has had an opportunity of inspecting, as an old and worn shell of the bordered tortoise, *Test. marginata*, Schœpff: and all who are acquainted with the extent and accuracy of his knowledge of the *Testudinata*, must be aware that any one who differs from him on such a subject, is probably in the wrong. Yet at this risk I have ventured to regard the Selborne tortoise as a distinct species. Its shell is less elevated than is usual in the bordered tortoise, once named on that account the bell-shaped: its wrinkles are less strongly marked and less sharp: its subcaudal plates form with each other a much more open angle: and its anterior supra-femoral plate, instead of running to a point towards the back, has an inner margin nearly of equal length with its anterior and its posterior edges. But the general form of the shell of a tortoise, the sculpture of its surface, and the shape of particular plates, are all too variable in many species to warrant the adoption of any or all of these characters as absolutely distinctive; and on them no assured reliance can consequently be placed. More stress may be laid on the animal, and on particular organs or plates attached to its body; and in the case of Gilbert White's tortoise there is a fragment remaining of the skin of one of the thighs which principally induces me to regard it as distinct from the bordered species: for on this fragment of skin there is a large white conical process or spur. No such process was noted by Mr. Bell on the specimen of the bordered tortoise which he had alive, and which is beautifully figured in his splendid work on the *Testudinata*: evidence, it is true, of a negative character only, but becoming positive when taken in conjunction with the distinct statement of M. Bibron, (in the Erpétologie Générale, which he is now publishing in conjunction with M. Dumeril,) that there are no large horny tubercles in that species on the hinder face of the thighs. Although the bordered tortoise is far from

LETTER LI.

TO THE SAME.

SELBORNE, Sept. 3, 1781.

I HAVE now read your Miscellanies through with much care and satisfaction; and am to return you my best thanks for the honourable mention made in them of me as a naturalist, which I wish I may deserve.

In some former letters I expressed my suspicions that many of the house martins do not depart in the winter far from this village. I therefore determined to make some search about the south-east end of the hill, where I imagined they might slumber out the uncomfortable months of winter. But supposing that the examination would be made to the best advantage in the spring, and observing that no martins had appeared by the 11th of April last; on that day I employed some men to explore

uncommon in Greece, and in other countries on the shores of the Mediterranean basin, I am compelled to refer to authorities for its structure, as I am not aware of the existence in London of a living or preserved specimen of the animal: Mr. Bell has the only two shells of it that are known to me. Mrs. White's, for the loan of which I am indebted to her kindness, may be a third: but it seems to me, with our present knowledge on the subject, that it must be regarded as distinct.

I propose for it the name of *Testudo Whitei*: in English



GILBERT WHITE'S TORTOISE.

E. T. B.

the shrubs and cavities of the suspected spot. The persons took pains, but without any success; however, a remarkable incident occurred in the midst of our pursuit—while the labourers were at work, a house martin, the first that had been seen this year, came down the village in the sight of several people, and went at once into a nest, where it stayed a short time, and then flew over the houses; for some days after, no martins were observed, not till the 16th of April, and then only a pair. Martins in general were remarkably late this year.

LETTER LII.

TO THE SAME.

SELBORNE, Sept. 9, 1781.

I HAVE just met with a circumstance respecting swifts, which furnishes an exception to the whole tenor of my observations ever since I have bestowed any attention on that species of *Hirundines*. Our swifts, in general, withdrew this year about the first day of August, all save one pair, which in two or three days was reduced to a single bird. The perseverance of this individual made me suspect that the strongest of motives, that of an attachment to her young, could alone occasion so late a stay. I watched therefore till the 24th of August, and then discovered that, under the eaves of the church, she attended upon two young, which were fledged, and now put out their white chins from a crevice. These remained till the 27th, looking more alert every day, and seeming to long to be on the wing. After this day they were missing at once; nor could I ever observe them with their dam coursing round the church in the act of learning to fly, as the first broods evidently do. On the 31st I caused the eaves to be searched, but we found in the nest only two callow, dead, stinking swifts,

on which a second nest had been formed. This double nest was full of the black shining cases of the *Hippobosca Hirundinis*.

The following remarks on this unusual incident are obvious. The first is, that though it may be disagreeable to swifts to remain beyond the beginning of August, yet that they can subsist longer is undeniable. The second is, that this uncommon event, as it was owing to the loss of the first brood, so it corroborates my former remark, that swifts breed regularly but once; since, was the contrary the case, the occurrence above could neither be new nor rare.

P. S. One swift was seen at Lyndon, in the county of Rutland, in 1782, so late as the 3rd of September.

LETTER LIII.

TO THE SAME.

As I have sometimes known you make inquiries about several kinds of insects, I shall here send you an account of one sort which I little expected to have found in this kingdom. I had often observed that one particular part of a vine growing on the walls of my house was covered in the autumn with a black, dustlike appearance, on which the flies fed eagerly; and that the shoots and leaves thus affected did not thrive; nor did the fruit ripen. To this substance I applied my glasses; but could not discover that it had any thing to do with animal life, as I at first expected: but, upon a closer examination behind the larger boughs, we were surprised to find that they were coated over with husky shells, from whose sides proceeded a cottonlike substance, surrounding a multitude of eggs. This curious and uncommon production put me upon recollecting what I have heard and read concerning the *Coccus Vitis*

viniferæ of Linnæus, which, in the south of Europe, infests many vines, and is a horrid and loathsome pest. As soon as I had turned to the accounts given of this insect, I saw at once that it swarmed on my vine; and did not appear to have been at all checked by the preceding winter, which had been uncommonly severe.

Not being then at all aware that it had any thing to do with England, I was much inclined to think that it came from Gibraltar among the many boxes and packages of plants and birds which I had formerly received from thence; and especially as the vine infested grew immediately under my study-window, where I usually kept my specimens. True it is that I had received nothing from thence for some years: but as insects, we know, are conveyed from one country to another in a very unexpected manner, and have a wonderful power of maintaining their existence till they fall into a *nidus* proper for their support and increase, I cannot but suspect still that these *Cocci* came to me originally from Andalusia. Yet, all the while, candour obliges me to confess that Mr. Lightfoot has written me word, that he once, and but once, saw these insects on a vine at Weymouth in Dorsetshire; which, it is here to be observed, is a seaport town to which the *Coccus* might be conveyed by shipping.

As many of my readers may possibly never have heard of this strange and unusual insect, I shall here transcribe a passage from a natural history of Gibraltar, written by the Reverend John White, late vicar of Blackburn in Lancashire, but not yet published:—

"In the year 1770 a vine which grew on the east side of my house, and which had produced the finest crops of grapes for years past, was suddenly overspread on all the woody branches with large lumps of a white fibrous substance resembling spiders' webs, or rather raw cotton. It was of a very clammy quality, sticking fast to every thing that touched it, and capable of being spun into long threads. At first I suspected it to be

the product of spiders, but could find none. Nothing was to be seen connected with it but many brown oval husky shells, which by no means looked like insects, but rather resembled bits of the dry bark of the vine. The tree had a plentiful crop of grapes set, when this pest appeared upon it; but the fruit was manifestly injured by this foul incumbrance. It remained all the summer, still increasing, and loaded the woody and bearing branches to a vast degree. I often pulled off great quantities by handfuls; but it was so slimy and tenacious that it could by no means be cleared. The grapes never filled to their natural perfection, but turned watery and vapid. Upon perusing the works afterwards of M. de Reaumur, I found this matter perfectly described and accounted for. Those husky shells, which I had observed, were no other than the female *Coccus*, from whose sides this cottonlike substance exudes, and serves as a covering and security for their eggs."

To this account I think proper to add, that, though the female *Cocci* are stationary, and seldom remove from the place to which they stick, yet the male is a winged insect; and that the black dust which I saw was undoubtedly the excrement of the females, which is eaten by ants as well as flies. Though the utmost severity of our winter did not destroy these insects, yet the attention of the gardener in a summer or two, has entirely relieved my vine from this filthy annoyance[1].

As we have remarked above that insects are often conveyed from one country to another in a very unaccountable manner, I shall here mention an emigration

[1] It is not usual for the *Coccus* of the vine to continue for several years in succession attached to a tree in the open air in England, the severity of the winter commonly destroying it at an early period. But to plants kept in greenhouses it often proves a serious evil. It can scarcely be regarded as an indigenous insect, and has probably been introduced into this country, from time to time, with the exotic plants to which it is attached, and perhaps with others also of the numerous kinds that ornament our gardens.—E. T. B.

of small *Aphides*, which was observed in the village of Selborne no longer ago than August the 1st, 1785.

At about three o'clock in the afternoon of that day, which was very hot, the people of this village were surprised by a shower of *Aphides*, or smother-flies, which fell in these parts. Those that were walking in the street at that juncture found themselves covered with these insects, which settled also on the hedges and gardens, blackening all the vegetables where they alighted. My annuals were discoloured with them, and the stalks of a bed of onions were quite coated over for six days after. These armies were then, no doubt, in a state of emigration, and shifting their quarters; and might have come, as far as we know, from the great hop-plantations of Kent or Sussex, the wind being all that day in the easterly quarter. They were observed at the same time in great clouds about Farnham, and all along the vale from Farnham to Alton[2].

LETTER LIV[1].

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR,

When I happen to visit a family where gold and silver fishes are kept in a glass bowl, I am always pleased with the occurrence, because it offers me an opportunity of observing the actions and propensities of those beings with whom we can be little acquainted in their natural state. Not long since I spent a fortnight at the house of a friend where there was such a vivary, to which I paid no small attention, taking every occasion

[2] For various methods by which several insects shift their quarters, see Derham's Physico-Theology.

[1] First published in the Gentleman's Magazine for 1786, (vol. lvi. p. 488,) with the date of June 12, and under the signature of V.—E. T. B.

to remark what passed within its narrow limits. It was here that I first observed the manner in which fishes die. As soon as the creature sickens, the head sinks lower and lower, and it stands as it were on its head; till, getting weaker, and losing all poise, the tail turns over, and at last it floats on the surface of the water with its belly uppermost. The reason why fishes, when dead, swim in that manner is very obvious; because, when the body is no longer balanced by the fins of the belly, the broad muscular back preponderates by its own gravity, and turns the belly uppermost, as lighter from its being a cavity, and because it contains the swimming-bladders, which contribute to render it buoyant. Some that delight in gold and silver fishes have adopted a notion that they need no aliment. True it is that they will subsist for a long time without any apparent food but what they can collect from pure water frequently changed; yet they must draw some support from animalcula, and other nourishment supplied by the water; because, though they seem to eat nothing, yet the consequences of eating often drop from them. That they are best pleased with such jejune diet may easily be confuted, since if you toss them crumbs they will seize them with great readiness, not to say greediness: however, bread should be given sparingly, lest, turning sour, it corrupt the water. They will also feed on the water-plant called *Lemna*, (duck's meat), and also on small fry.

When they want to move a little they gently protrude themselves with their *pinnæ pectorales;* but it is with their strong muscular tails only that they and all fishes shoot along with such inconceivable rapidity. It has been said that the eyes of fishes are immoveable: but these apparently turn them forward or backward in their sockets as their occasions require. They take little notice of a lighted candle, though applied close to their heads, but flounce and seem much frightened by a sudden stroke of the hand against the support whereon

the bowl is hung; especially when they have been motionless, and are perhaps asleep. As fishes have no eyelids, it is not easy to discern when they are sleeping or not, because their eyes are always open.

Nothing can be more amusing than a glass bowl containing such fishes: the double refractions of the glass and water represent them, when moving, in a shifting and changeable variety of dimensions, shades, and colours; while the two mediums, assisted by the concavo-convex shape of the vessel, magnify and distort them vastly; not to mention that the introduction of another element and its inhabitants into our parlours engages the fancy in a very agreeable manner.

Gold and silver fishes, though originally natives of China and Japan, yet are become so well reconciled to our climate as to thrive and multiply very fast in our ponds and stews[2]. Linnæus ranks this species of fish under the genus of *Cyprinus*, or carp, and calls it *Cyprinus auratus*.

Some people exhibit this sort of fish in a very fanciful

[2] What Mr. White has remarked of the fishes of Japan thriving in our climate, is true also of the plants; the trees and shrubs brought from the Japanese islands bearing our winters, and growing freely; as for instance, that beautiful tree, the gingko, now called by Dr. Smith the *Salisburia*; and the no less beautiful and scarce *Sophora Japonica*; the finest specimens of which trees now in England are probably in the curious garden of John Orde, Esq. at Fulham. As I am on this subject, I will mention that the garden belonging to the Palace of the Bishop of London at Fulham, the earliest receptacle of scarce and foreign trees in this country, is now almost worn out. Not above twelve of the original trees could be found in the survey made in 1793. I remarked in 1811, that some of these were gone, but the pinaster and the ilex remain.—MITFORD.

To those who cultivate aquatic plants in the stove, it may be useful to know that the little fishes called thornbacks or sticklebacks, *Gasterostei*, will live in a high temperature in which minnows would perish immediately, and that they are very serviceable in destroying the small insects that feed on the fibres of plants under the water. They are singularly pugnacious, and in a pretty large vessel or small cistern the strongest fish will persecute his kind, and not tolerate the presence of another individual of his own species. When hungry they are so bold that they will bite at a pencil, or even at the finger if held in the water. I have kept one for two or three years in the stove with *Nymphæa cærulea*.—W. H.

way; for they cause a glass bowl to be blown with a large hollow space within, that does not communicate with it. In this cavity they put a bird occasionally; so that you may see a goldfinch or a linnet hopping as it were in the midst of the water, and the fishes swimming in a circle round it. The simple exhibition of the fishes is agreeable and pleasant; but in so complicated a way becomes whimsical and unnatural, and liable to the objection due to him,

"Qui variare cupit rem prodigialitèr unam."

I am, &c.

LETTER LV.

TO THE SAME.

DEAR SIR, October 10, 1781.

I THINK I have observed before, that much the most considerable part of the house martins withdraw from hence about the first week in October; but that some, the latter broods, I am now convinced, linger on till towards the middle of that month; and that at times, once perhaps in two or three years, a flight, for one day only, has shown itself in the first week in November.

Having taken notice, in October, 1780, that the last flight was numerous, amounting perhaps to one hundred and fifty; and that the season was soft and still; I was resolved to pay uncommon attention to these late birds; to find, if possible, where they roosted, and to determine the precise time of their retreat. The mode of life of these latter *Hirundines* is very favourable to such a design; for they spend the whole day in the sheltered district, between me and the Hanger, sailing about in a placid, easy manner, and feasting on those insects which love to haunt a spot so secure from ruffling winds. As my principal object was to discover the

place of their roosting, I took care to wait on them before they retired to rest, and was much pleased to find that, for several evenings together, just at a quarter past five in the afternoon, they all scudded away in great haste towards the south-east, and darted down among the low shrubs above the cottages at the end of the hill. This spot in many respects seems to be well calculated for their winter residence: for in many parts it is as steep as the roof of any house, and therefore secure from the annoyances of water; and it is moreover clothed with beechen shrubs, which, being stunted and bitten by sheep, make the thickest covert imaginable; and are so entangled as to be impervious to the smallest spaniel: besides, it is the nature of underwood beech never to cast its leaf all the winter; so that, with the leaves on the ground, and those on the twigs, no shelter can be more complete. I watched them on to the 13th and 14th of October, and found their evening retreat was exact and uniform: but after this they made no regular appearance. Now and then a straggler was seen; and, on the 22nd of October, I observed two, in the morning, over the village, and with them my remarks for the season ended.

From all these circumstances put together, it is more than probable that this lingering flight, at so late a season of the year, never departed from the island[1].

[1] Mr. White appears to have a strong bias to believe that martins, &c. remain dormant in this country, having taken up a very erroneous notion of the difficulty of the passage. Mr. Cartwright, during many winters' residence on the coast of Labrador, had repeated opportunities of minuting and measuring the flight of birds from point to point in their migration to and from the north, and he asserts from the results of repeated observations that the eider duck at the time of migration flies at the rate of ninety miles an hour, and there is no reason to suppose that the flight of that bird is particularly rapid. A large bird is easily distinguished in the air at the distance of a mile; and if we consider that in less than a minute when flying from one hill to another it passes out of sight; we shall easily satisfy ourselves that the calculation does not at all exceed the bounds of probability. The passage of birds across the Mediterranean, the British channel, and the Sound, is by no means long, and, if it be performed at a rate at all approaching that at which the wild fowl are ascer-

Had they indulged me that autumn with a November visit, as I much desired, I presume that, with proper assistants, I should have settled the matter past all doubt; but though the 3d of November was a sweet day, and in appearance exactly suited to my wishes, yet not a martin was to be seen; and so I was forced, reluctantly, to give up the pursuit.

I have only to add, that were the bushes, which cover some acres, and are not my own property, to be grubbed and carefully examined, probably those late broods, and perhaps the whole aggregate body of the house

tained to fly, it is by no means an arduous undertaking, and must be quickly accomplished, especially if a moment be chosen when the wind is favourable. The only danger arises from violent squalls of cross or contrary winds, and we know that in such cases birds are driven out of their accustomed course and seek for refuge in ships, and sometimes are drowned.

Mr. White draws from circumstances probabilities which are not justified by his statements. Why should it be imagined, because a flight of martins roosted in a thick warm covert some nights before their last appearance at the cold season of the year, that, instead of following the rest of their kind to more genial regions, they should have ensconced themselves there in a state of torpidness. Coppices are felled in winter, and there are few which are not thoroughly ransacked by sportsmen, and it is impossible that lurkers should not be often brought to light, if such habits of concealment prevailed amongst them.

But there is nothing in the whole course of Mr. White's observation tending in the slightest manner to justify the belief of their somnolency; on the contrary all his evidence weighs the other way, and if it be true that they ever do secrete themselves in obscure winter quarters, the fact must rest upon the testimony of other persons: but, if true at all, such concealment appears to be only a resource of nature for stragglers which have not departed in due season. Those which were observed by Mr. White in November seemed to be young birds, bred too late to migrate in company with the rest of their species. Granting that *Hirundines* may have in a few instances been found torpid, they are said to have been aroused from their sleep only to die presently: it is nowhere demonstrated that when a straggling martin or two have been seen for a few days, the same birds ever reappeared; and it is much more probable, that, having missed their time of passage and lost their conductors, or come over too soon, they ramble about attempting to improve their situation, and either succeed in so doing, or perish ultimately, than that they should pass from a state of torpor at an uncongenial season, and return to it again.—W. H.

martins of this district, might be found there, in different secret dormitories; and that, so far from withdrawing into warmer climes, it would appear that they never depart three hundred yards from the village.

LETTER LVI.

TO THE SAME.

THEY who write on natural history cannot too frequently advert to instinct, that wonderful limited faculty, which, in some instances, raises the brute creation as it were above reason, and in others leaves them so far below it. Philosophers have defined instinct to be that secret influence by which every species is impelled naturally to pursue, at all times, the same way or track, without any teaching or example; whereas reason, without instruction, would often vary and do that by many methods which instinct effects by one alone. Now this maxim must be taken in a qualified sense; for there are instances in which instinct does vary and conform to the circumstances of place and convenience.

It has been remarked that every species of bird has a mode of nidification peculiar to itself; so that a schoolboy would at once pronounce on the sort of nest before him. This is the case among fields and woods and wilds; but in the villages round London, where mosses and gossamer, and cotton from vegetables, are hardly to be found, the nest of the chaffinch has not that elegant, finished appearance, nor is it so beautifully studded with lichens, as in a more rural district; and the wren is obliged to construct its house with straws and dry grasses, which do not give it that rotundity and compactness so remarkable in the edifices of that

little architect[1]. Again, the regular nest of the house martin is hemispheric; but where a rafter, or a joist, or a cornice, may happen to stand in the way, the nest is so contrived as to conform to the obstruction, and becomes flat or oval or compressed.

In the following instances instinct is perfectly uniform and consistent. There are three creatures, the squirrel, the field-mouse, and the bird called the nuthatch (*Sitta Europæa*), which live much on hazel-nuts; and yet they open them each in a different way. The first, after rasping off the small end, splits the shell in two with his long fore teeth, as a man does with his knife; the second nibbles a hole with his teeth, so regular as if drilled with a wimble, and yet so small that one would wonder how the kernel can be extracted through it; while the last picks an irregular ragged hole with its bill: but as this artist has no paws to hold the nut firm while he pierces it, like an adroit workman, he fixes it, as it were, in a vice, in some cleft of a tree, or in some crevice; when, standing over it, he perforates the stubborn shell. We have often placed nuts in the chink of a gate-post where nuthatches have been known to haunt, and have always found that those birds have readily penetrated them. While at work they make a

[1] It would appear that there is in this case a kind of free agency, if the term may be allowed, on the part of the bird; or at least an instinctive adaptation to circumstances and locality. I have a wren's nest, which I took in the farm yard at Malthouse, near Hartley, Hants. A corner of the thatch of the pigsty was broken off, and the little architect constructed its nest so perfectly to resemble, and make good the corner of the thatch, that it was really difficult to distinguish the one from the other. The opening was in the inside under the thatch. It was not obliged, in that district, to construct its nest of straws for want of moss.

It seems to me that the use of bright and fresh materials in the rural districts, and of those of a different or more sombre description in the metropolitan, is to answer the same purpose—to elude observation. The nests brought to me by boys from the Hampstead fields, possess every character of those sent from Hampshire, with the exception that the Hampshire specimens are brighter. The whitethroat's nest from Hampshire, and that taken in the Marylebone fields, were both alike constructed of the dried stalks of *Galium Aparine*.—G. D.

rapping noise that may be heard at a considerable distance.

You that understand both the theory and practical part of music may best inform us why harmony or melody should so strangely affect some men, as it were by recollection, for days after a concert is over. What I mean the following passage will most readily explain:

"Præhabebat porrò vocibus humanis instrumentisque harmonicis musicam illam avium: non quod aliâ quoque non delectaretur; sed quod ex musicâ humanâ relinqueretur in animo continens quædam, attentionemque et somnum conturbans, agitatio; dum ascensus, exscensus, tenores, ac mutationes illæ sonorum et consonantiarum euntque redeuntque per phantasiam:—cum nihil tale relinqui possit ex modulationibus avium, quæ, quod non sunt perinde a nobis imitabiles, non possunt perinde internam facultatem commovere."—*Gassendus in Vitâ Peireskii.*

This curious quotation strikes me much by so well representing my own case, and by describing what I have so often felt, but never could so well express. When I hear fine music I am haunted with passages therefrom night and day; and especially at first waking, which, by their importunity, give me more uneasiness than pleasure: elegant lessons still tease my imagination, and recur irresistibly to my recollection at seasons, and even when I am desirous of thinking of more serious matters.

I am, &c.

LETTER LVII.

TO THE SAME.

A RARE, and I think a new, little bird frequents my garden, which I have great reason to think is the petty-chaps[1]: it is common in some parts of the kingdom; and I have received formerly several dead specimens from Gibraltar. This bird much resembles the white-throat, but has a more white or rather silvery breast and belly; is restless and active, like the willow-wrens, and hops from bough to bough, examining every part for food; it also runs up the stems of the crown-imperials, and, putting its head into the bells of those flowers, sips the liquor which stands in the nectarium of each petal. Sometimes it feeds on the ground like the hedge sparrow, by hopping about on the grass-plots and mown walks.

One of my neighbours, an intelligent and observing man, informs me that, in the beginning of May, and

[1] This bird certainly was not the pettychaps, which has not the manners here described. The detail exactly answers to the blue-gray or lesser whitethroat *(Sylvia silviella)* of some English authors, which I have demonstrated to be the *Sylvia Curruca* by priority of name, la fauvette babillarde of French writers, and bianchetto of Scopoli. I suspect that the name linty-white in North Britain belongs to this bird, though attributed by Bewick to the chiffchaff, which I have never been able to meet with in the north of England, though it may be found in some parts thereof; but its colour can in no ways deserve that name: whereas the white breast of the blue-gray cannot fail to attract notice. I have given a full description and account of it in a note on page 173. In Yorkshire the yellowhammer is called goldfinch; the goldfinch, redcap; the chaffinch, bull's-pink or bullfinch; the ox bird or large titmouse, blackcap; the hedge warbler, cuddy; the brown wren, tomtit; the yellow wren, small-straw; and the whin chat, grass chat: synonyms which I have not seen recorded. I cannot find that the true blackcap or the blue-gray have any name in Yorkshire, where they seem to escape observation amongst the lower orders, which is singular, considering how loud the blackcap sings all summer, and how much it attacks the fruit.—W. H.

about ten minutes before eight o'clock in the evening, he discovered a great cluster of house swallows, thirty at least, he supposes, perching on a willow that hung over the verge of James Knight's upper pond. His attention was first drawn by the twittering of these birds, which sat motionless in a row on the bough, with their heads all one way, and, by their weight, pressing down the twig so that it nearly touched the water. In this situation he watched them till he could see no longer. Repeated accounts of this sort, spring and fall, induce us greatly to suspect that house swallows have some strong attachment to water, independent of the matter of food; and, though they may not retire into that element, yet they may conceal themselves in the banks of pools and rivers during the uncomfortable months of winter.

One of the keepers of Wolmer Forest sent me a peregrine falcon, which he shot on the verge of that district as it was devouring a wood-pigeon. The *Falco peregrinus*, or haggard falcon, is a noble species of hawk seldom seen in the southern counties. In winter, 1767, one was killed in the neighbouring parish of Faringdon, and sent by me to Mr. Pennant into North Wales[2]. Since that time I have met with none till now. The specimen mentioned above was in fine preservation, and not injured by the shot; it measured forty-two inches from wing to wing, and twenty-one from beak to tail, and weighed two pounds and a half standing weight. This species is very robust, and wonderfully formed for rapine: its breast was plump and muscular; its thighs long, thick, and brawny; and its legs remarkably short and well set: the feet were armed with most formidable, sharp, long talons: the eyelids and cere of the bill were yellow; but the irides of the eyes dusky; the beak was thick and hooked, and of a dark colour, and had a jagged process near the end of the upper

[2] See my tenth and eleventh [and twelfth] Letters to that gentleman.

mandible on each side: its tail, or train, was short in proportion to the bulk of its body: yet the wings, when closed, did not extend to the end of the train. From its large and fair proportions it might be supposed to have been a female; but I was not permitted to cut open the specimen. For one of the birds of prey, which are usually lean, this was in high case: in its craw were many barleycorns, which probably came from the crop of the wood pigeon, on which it was feeding when shot: for voracious birds do not eat grain; but, when devouring their quarry, with undistinguishing vehemence swallow bones and feathers, and all matters, indiscriminately. This falcon was probably driven from the mountains of North Wales or Scotland, where they are known to breed, by rigorous weather and deep snows that had lately fallen.

PEREGRINE FALCON.

LETTER LVIII.

TO THE SAME.

My near neighbour, a young gentleman in the service of the East India Company, has brought home a dog and a bitch of the Chinese breed from Canton; such as are fattened in that country for the purpose of being eaten: they are about the size of a moderate spaniel; of a pale yellow colour, with coarse bristling hairs on their backs; sharp upright ears, and peaked heads, which give them a very fox-like appearance. Their hind legs are unusually straight, without any bend at the hock or ham, to such a degree as to give them an awkward gait when they trot. When they are in motion their tails are curved high over their backs like those of some hounds, and have a bare place each on the outside, from the tip midway, that does not seem to be matter of accident, but somewhat singular. Their eyes are jet black, small, and piercing; the insides of their lips and mouths of the same colour, and their tongues blue. The bitch has a dew-claw on each hind-leg; the dog has none. When taken out into a field the bitch showed some disposition for hunting, and dwelt on the scent of a covey of partridges till she sprung them, giving her tongue all the time. The dogs in South America are dumb; but these bark much in a short thick manner, like foxes; and have a surly, savage demeanour like their ancestors, which are not domesticated, but bred up in sties, where they are fed for the table with rice-meal and other farinaceous food. These dogs, having been taken on board as soon as weaned, could not learn much from their dam; yet they did not relish flesh when they came to England. In the islands of the Pacific Ocean the dogs are bred up on vegeta-

bles, and would not eat flesh when offered them by our circumnavigators.

We believe that all dogs, in a state of nature, have sharp, upright, fox-like ears; and that hanging ears, which are esteemed so graceful, are the effect of choice breeding and cultivation. Thus, in the Travels of Ysbrandt Ides from Muscovy to China, the dogs which draw the Tartars on snow sledges near the river Oby are engraved with prick-ears, like those from Canton. The Kamtschatdales also train the same sort of sharp-eared, peaked-nosed dogs to draw their sledges; as may be seen in an elegant print engraved for Captain Cook's last voyage round the world.

Now we are upon the subject of dogs, it may not be impertinent to add, that spaniels, as all sportsmen know, though they hunt partridges and pheasants as it were by instinct, and with much delight and alacrity, yet will hardly touch their bones when offered as food; nor will a mongrel dog of my own, though he is remarkable for finding that sort of game. But, when we came to offer the bones of partridges to the two Chinese dogs, they devoured them with much greediness, and licked the platter clean.

No sporting dogs will flush woodcocks till inured to the scent and trained to the sport, which they then pursue with vehemence and transport; but then they will not touch their bones, but turn from them with abhorrence, even when they are hungry.

Now that dogs should not be fond of the bones of such birds as they are not disposed to hunt is no wonder; but why they reject and do not care to eat their natural game is not so easily accounted for, since the end of hunting seems to be, that the chase pursued should be eaten. Dogs again will not devour the more rancid water-fowls, nor indeed the bones of any wild-fowls; nor will they touch the fœtid bodies of birds that feed on offal and garbage: and indeed there may be

somewhat of providential instinct in this circumstance of dislike; for vultures[1], and kites, and ravens, and crows, &c. were intended to be messmates with dogs[2] over their carrion; and seem to be appointed by Nature as fellow-scavengers to remove all cadaverous nuisances from the face of the earth.

I am, &c.

LETTER LIX.

TO THE SAME.

THE fossil wood buried in the bogs of Wolmer Forest is not yet all exhausted; for the peat cutters now and then stumble upon a log. I have just seen a piece which was sent by a labourer of Oakhanger to a carpenter of this village; this was the but-end of a small oak, about five feet long, and about five inches in diameter. It had apparently been severed from the ground by an axe, was very ponderous, and as black as ebony. Upon asking the carpenter for what purpose he had procured it, he told me that it was to be sent to his brother, a joiner at Farnham, who was to make use of it in cabinet work, by inlaying it along with whiter woods.

Those that are much abroad on evenings after it is dark, in spring and summer, frequently hear a nocturnal bird passing by on the wing, and repeating often a short quick note. This bird I have remarked myself, but never could make out till lately. I am assured now that it is the stone-curlew (*Charadrius Œdicnemus*). Some of them pass over or near my house almost every

[1] Hasselquist, in his Travels to the Levant, observes that the dogs and vultures at Grand Cairo maintain such a friendly intercourse as to bring up their young together in the same place.

[2] The Chinese word for a dog to a European ear sounds like quihloh.

evening after it is dark, from the uplands of the hill and North Field, away down towards Dorton; where, among the streams and meadows, they find a greater plenty of food. Birds that fly by night are obliged to be noisy; their notes often repeated become signals or watch-words to keep them together, that they may not stray or lose each the other in the dark.

The evening proceedings and manœuvres of the rooks are curious and amusing in the autumn. Just before dusk they return in long strings from the foraging of the day, and rendezvous by thousands over Selborne Down, where they wheel round in the air, and sport and dive in a playful manner, all the while exerting their voices, and making a loud cawing, which, being blended and softened by the distance that we at the village are below them, becomes a confused noise or chiding; or rather a pleasing murmur, very engaging to the imagination, and not unlike the cry of a pack of hounds in hollow, echoing woods, or the rushing of the wind in tall trees, or the tumbling of the tide upon a pebbly shore. When this ceremony is over, with the last gleam of day, they retire for the night to the deep beechen woods of Tisted and Ropley. We remember a little girl who, as she was going to bed, used to remark on such an occurrence, in the true spirit of physico-theology, that the rooks were saying their prayers; and yet this child was much too young to be aware that the scriptures have said of the Deity—that "he feedeth the ravens who call upon him."

I am, &c.

LETTER LX.

TO THE SAME.

In reading Dr. Huxham's Observationes de Aëre, &c. written at Plymouth, I find by those curious and accurate remarks, which contain an account of the weather from the year 1727 to the year 1748, inclusive, that though there is frequent rain in that district of Devonshire, yet the quantity falling is not great; and that some years it has been very small: for in 1731 the rain measured only 17·266 inches; and in 1741, 20·354; and again in 1743, only 20·908. Places near the sea have frequent scuds, that keep the atmosphere moist, yet do not reach far up into the country; making thus the maritime situations appear wet, when the rain is not considerable. In the wettest years at Plymouth the doctor measured only once 36; and again once, viz. 1734, 37·114: a quantity of rain that has twice been exceeded at Selborne in the short period of my observations. Dr. Huxham remarks, that frequent small rains keep the air moist; while heavy ones render it more dry, by beating down the vapours. He is also of opinion that the dingy, smoky appearance in the sky, in very dry seasons, arises from the want of moisture sufficient to let the light through, and render the atmosphere transparent; because he had observed several bodies more diaphanous when wet than dry; and did never recollect that the air had that look in rainy seasons.

My friend, who lives just beyond the top of the down, brought his three swivel guns to try them in my outlet, with their muzzles towards the Hanger, supposing that the report would have had a great effect; but the experiment did not answer his expectation. He then removed them to the Alcove on the Hanger; when the

sound, rushing along the Lythe and Comb Wood, was very grand: but it was at the Hermitage that the echoes and repercussions delighted the hearers; not only filling the Lythe with the roar, as if all the beeches were tearing up by the roots; but turning to the left, they pervaded the vale above Comb Wood Ponds; and after a pause seemed to take up the crash again, and to extend round Harteley Hangers, and to die away at last among the coppices and coverts of Ward le ham. It has been remarked before that this district is an Anathoth, a place of responses or echoes, and therefore proper for such experiments: we may farther add, that the pauses in echoes, when they cease and yet are taken up again, like the pauses in music, surprise the hearers, and have a fine effect on the imagination.

The gentleman above mentioned has just fixed a barometer in his parlour at Newton Valence. The tube was first filled here (at Selborne) twice with care, when the mercury agreed and stood exactly with my own; but being filled again twice at Newton, the mercury stood, on account of the great elevation of that house, three-tenths of an inch lower than the barometers at this village, and so continues to do, be the weight of the atmosphere what it may. The plate of the barometer at Newton is figured as low as 27°; because in stormy weather the mercury there will sometimes descend below 28°. We have supposed Newton House to stand two hundred feet higher than this house: but if the rule holds good, which says that mercury in a barometer sinks one-tenth of an inch for every hundred feet elevation, then the Newton barometer, by standing three-tenths lower than that of Selborne, proves that Newton House must be three hundred feet higher than that in which I am writing, instead of two hundred.

It may not be impertinent to add, that the barometers at Selborne stand three-tenths of an inch lower than the barometers at South Lambeth: whence we may conclude that the former place is about three hundred

feet higher than the latter; and with good reason, because the streams that rise with us run into the Thames at Weybridge, and so to London. Of course therefore there must be lower ground all the way from Selborne to South Lambeth; the distance between which, all the windings and indentings of the streams considered, cannot be less than a hundred miles.

I am, &c.

LETTER LXI.

TO THE SAME.

SINCE the weather of a district is undoubtedly part of its natural history, I shall make no further apology for the four following letters, which will contain many particulars concerning some of the great frosts and a few respecting some very hot summers, that have distinguished themselves from the rest during the course of my observations.

As the frost in January, 1768, was, for the small time it lasted, the most severe that we had then known for many years, and was remarkably injurious to evergreens, some account of its rigour, and reason of its ravages, may be useful, and not unacceptable to persons that delight in planting and ornamenting; and may particularly become a work that professes never to lose sight of utility.

For the last two or three days of the former year there were considerable falls of snow, which lay deep and uniform on the ground, without any drifting, wrapping up the more humble vegetation in perfect security. From the first day to the fifth of the new year more snow succeeded; but from that day the air became entirely clear; and the heat of the sun about noon had a considerable influence in sheltered situations.

It was in such an aspect that the snow on the author's

ever-greens was melted every-day, and frozen intensely every night; so that the laurustines, bays, laurels, and arbutuses looked, in three or four days, as if they had been burned in the fire; while a neighbour's plantation of the same kind, in a high cold situation, where the snow was never melted at all, remained uninjured.

From hence I would infer, that it is the repeated melting and freezing of the snow that is so fatal to vegetation, rather than the severity of the cold. Therefore it highly behoves every planter, who wishes to escape the cruel mortification of losing in a few days the labour and hopes of years, to bestir himself on such emergencies; and, if his plantations are small, to avail himself of mats, cloths, pease-haum, straw, reeds, or any such covering for a short time; or if his shrubberies are extensive, to see that his people go about with prongs and forks, and carefully dislodge the snow from the boughs: since the naked foliage will shift much better for itself, than where the snow is partly melted and frozen again.

It may perhaps appear at first like a paradox; but doubtless the more tender trees and shrubs should never be planted in hot aspects; not only for the reason assigned above, but also because, thus circumstanced, they are disposed to shoot earlier in the spring, and to grow on later in the autumn than they would otherwise do, and so are sufferers by lagging or early frosts. For this reason also plants from Siberia will hardly endure our climate: because, on the very first advances of spring, they shoot away, and so are cut off by the severe nights of March or April.

Dr. Fothergill and others have experienced the same inconvenience with respect to the more tender shrubs from North America; which they therefore plant under north walls. There should also perhaps be a wall to the east to defend them from the piercing blasts from that quarter.

This observation might without any impropriety be

carried into animal life; for discerning bee-masters now find that their hives should not in the winter be exposed to the hot sun, because such unseasonable warmth awakens the inhabitants too early from their slumbers; and, by putting their juices into motion too soon, subjects them afterwards to inconveniences when rigorous weather returns.

The coincidents attending this short but intense frost were, that the horses fell sick with an epidemic distemper, which injured the winds of many, and killed some; that colds and coughs were general among the human species; that it froze under people's beds for several nights; that meat was so hard frozen that it could not be spitted, and could not be secured but in cellars; that several redwings and thrushes were killed by the frost; and that the large titmouse continued to pull straws lengthwise from the eaves of thatched houses and barns in a most adroit manner, for a purpose that has been explained already[1].

On the 3rd of January, Benjamin Martin's thermometer within doors, in a close parlour where there was no fire, fell in the night to 20°, and on the 4th to 18°, and on the 7th to 17½°, a degree of cold which the owner never since saw in the same situation; and he regrets much that he was not able at that juncture to attend his instrument abroad. All this time the wind continued north and north-east; and yet on the 8th roost-cocks, which had been silent, began to sound their clarions, and crows to clamour, as prognostic of milder weather; and, moreover, moles began to heave and work, and a manifest thaw took place. From the latter circumstance we may conclude that thaws often originate under ground from warm vapours which arise, else how should subterraneous animals receive such early intimations of their approach. Moreover, we have often observed that cold seems to descend from above; for,

[1] See Letter XLI. to Mr. Pennant.

when a thermometer hangs abroad in a frosty night, the intervention of a cloud shall immediately raise the mercury ten degrees; and a clear sky shall again compel it to descend to its former gage.

And here it may be proper to observe, on what has been said above, that though frosts advance to their utmost severity by somewhat of a regular gradation, yet thaws do not usually come on by as regular a declension of cold; but often take place immediately from intense freezing; as men in sickness often mend at once from a paroxysm.

To the great credit of Portugal laurels and American junipers, be it remembered that they remained untouched amidst the general havock: hence men should learn to ornament chiefly with such trees as are able to withstand accidental severities, and not subject themselves to the vexation of a loss which may befall them once perhaps in ten years, yet may hardly be recovered through the whole course of their lives.

As it appeared afterwards the ilexes were much injured, the cypresses were half destroyed, the arbutuses lingered on, but never recovered; and the bays, laurustines, and laurels, were killed to the ground; and the very wild hollies, in hot aspects, were so much affected that they cast all their leaves.

By the 14th of January the snow was entirely gone; the turnips emerged not damaged at all, save in sunny places; the wheat looked delicately; and the garden plants were well preserved; for snow is the most kindly mantle that infant vegetation can be wrapped in: were it not for that friendly meteor no vegetable life could exist at all in northerly regions. Yet in Sweden the earth in April is not divested of snow for more than a fortnight before the face of the country is covered with flowers.

LETTER LXII.

TO THE SAME.

THERE were some circumstances attending the remarkable frost in January, 1776, so singular and striking, that a short detail of them may not be unacceptable.

The most certain way to be exact will be to copy the passages from my journal, which were taken from time to time as things occurred. But it may be proper previously to remark, that the first week in January was uncommonly wet, and drowned with vast rains from every quarter: from whence may be inferred, as there is great reason to believe is the case, that intense frosts seldom take place till the earth is perfectly glutted and chilled with water[1]; and hence dry autumns are seldom followed by rigorous winters.

January 7th.—Snow driving all the day, which was followed by frost, sleet, and some snow, till the 12th, when a prodigious mass overwhelmed all the works of men, drifting over the tops of the gates and filling the hollow lanes.

On the 14th the writer was obliged to be much abroad; and thinks he never before or since has encountered such rugged Siberian weather. Many of the narrow roads were now filled above the tops of the hedges; through which the snow was driven into most romantic and grotesque shapes, so striking to the imagination as not to be seen without wonder and pleasure. The poultry dared not to stir out of their roosting places; for cocks and hens are so dazzled and con-

[1] The autumn preceding January, 1768, was very wet, and particularly the month of September, during which there fell at Lyndon, in the county of Rutland, six inches and a half of rain. And the terrible long frost in 1739–40 set in after a rainy season, and when the springs were very high.

founded by the glare of snow, that they would soon perish, without assistance. The hares also lay sullenly in their seats, and would not move till compelled by hunger; being conscious, poor animals, that the drifts and heaps treacherously betray their footsteps, and prove fatal to numbers of them.

From the 14th the snow continued to increase, and began to stop the road waggons and coaches, which could no longer keep on their regular stages; and especially on the western roads, where the fall appears to have been deeper than in the south. The company at Bath, that wanted to attend the Queen's birth-day, were strangely incommoded: many carriages of persons who got in their way to town, from Bath, as far as Marlborough, after strange embarrassments, here met with a *ne plus ultra*. The ladies fretted, and offered large rewards to labourers if they would shovel them a track to London: but the relentless heaps of snow were too bulky to be removed; and so the 18th passed over, leaving the company in very uncomfortable circumstances at the Castle and other inns.

On the 20th the sun shone out for the first time since the frost began; a circumstance that has been remarked before much in favour of vegetation. All this time the cold was not very intense, for the thermometer stood at 29°, 28°, 25°, and thereabout; but on the 21st it descended to 20°. The birds now began to be in a very pitiable and starving condition. Tamed by the season, skylarks settled in the streets of towns, because they saw the ground was bare; rooks frequented dunghills close to houses; and crows watched horses as they passed, and greedily devoured what dropped from them; hares now came into men's gardens, and, scraping away the snow, devoured such plants as they could find.

On the 22nd the author had occasion to go to London through a sort of Laplandian scene, very wild and grotesque indeed. But the metropolis itself exhibited a still more singular appearance than the country; for

being bedded deep in snow, the pavement of the streets could not be touched by the wheels or the horses' feet, so that the carriages ran about without the least noise. Such an exemption from din and clatter was strange, but not pleasant; it seemed to convey an uncomfortable idea of desolation:

"———————— ipsa silentia terrent."

On the 27th much snow fell all day, and in the evening the frost became very intense. At South Lambeth, for the four following nights, the thermometer fell to 11°, 7°, 6°, 6°; and at Selborne to 7°, 6°, 10°; and on the 31st of January, just before sunrise, with rime on the trees and on the tube of the glass, the quicksilver sunk exactly to zero, being 32 degrees below the freezing point; but by eleven in the morning, though in the shade, it sprung up to 16½°[2]—a most unusual degree of cold this for the south of England! During these four nights the cold was so penetrating that it occasioned ice in warm chambers and under beds; and in the day the wind was so keen that persons of robust constitutions could scarcely endure to face it. The Thames was at once so frozen over both above and below bridge that crowds ran about on the ice. The streets were now strangely incumbered with snow, which crumbled and trod dusty; and, turning gray, resembled bay-salt: what had fallen on the roofs was so perfectly dry, that, from first to last, it lay twenty-six days on the houses in the city; a longer time than had been remembered by the oldest housekeepers living. According to all appearances we might now have expected the continuance of this rigorous weather for weeks to come, since every night increased in severity; but behold, without any apparent

[2] At Selborne the cold was greater than at any other place that the author could hear of with certainty: though some reported at the time that at a village in Kent the thermometer fell 2 degrees below zero, viz. 34 degrees below the freezing point.

The thermometer used at Selborne was graduated by Benjamin Martin.

cause, on the 1st of February a thaw took place, and some rain followed before night; making good the observation above, that frosts often go off as it were at once, without any gradual declension of cold. On the 2nd of February the thaw persisted; and on the 3rd swarms of little insects were frisking and sporting in a court-yard at South Lambeth, as if they had felt no frost. Why the juices in the small bodies and smaller limbs of such minute beings are not frozen is a matter of curious inquiry.

Severe frosts seem to be partial, or to run in currents; for at the same juncture, as the author was informed by accurate correspondents, at Lyndon in the county of Rutland, the thermometer stood at 19°: at Blackburn, in Lancashire, at 19°: and at Manchester at 21°, 20°, and 18°. Thus does some unknown circumstance strangely overbalance latitude, and render the cold sometimes much greater in the southern than in the northern parts of this kingdom.

The consequences of this severity were, that in Hampshire, at the melting of the snow, the wheat looked well, and the turnips came forth little injured. The laurels and laurustines were somewhat damaged, but only in hot aspects. No evergreens were quite destroyed; and not half the damage sustained that befell in January, 1768. Those laurels that were a little scorched on the south sides were perfectly untouched on their north sides. The care taken to shake the snow day by day from the branches seemed greatly to avail the author's evergreens. A neighbour's laurel-hedge, in a high situation, and facing to the north, was perfectly green and vigorous; and the Portugal laurels remained unhurt.

As to the birds, the thrushes and blackbirds were mostly destroyed; and the partridges, by the weather and poachers, were so thinned that few remained to breed the following year.

LETTER LXIII.

TO THE SAME.

As the frost in December, 1784, was very extraordinary, you, I trust, will not be displeased to hear the particulars; and especially when I promise to say no more about the severities of winter after I have finished this letter.

The first week in December was very wet, with the barometer very low. On the 7th, with the barometer at 28°—five tenths, came on a vast snow, which continued all that day and the next, and most part of the following night; so that by the morning of the 9th the works of men were quite overwhelmed, the lanes filled so as to be impassable, and the ground covered twelve or fifteen inches without any drifting. In the evening of the 9th the air began to be so very sharp that we thought it would be curious to attend to the motions of a thermometer: we therefore hung out two; one made by Martin and one by Dollond, which soon began to show us what we were to expect; for, by ten o'clock, they fell to 21, and at eleven to 4, when we went to bed. On the 10th, in the morning, the quicksilver of Dollond's glass was down to half a degree below zero; and that of Martin's, which was absurdly graduated only to four degrees above zero, sunk quite into the brass guard of the ball; so that when the weather became most interesting, this was useless. On the 10th, at eleven at night, though the air was perfectly still, Dollond's glass went down to one degree below zero! This strange severity of the weather made me very desirous to know what degree of cold there might be in such an exalted and near situation as Newton. We had, therefore, on the morning of the 10th, written to Mr. ——, and entreated him to hang out his thermo-

meter, made by Adams; and to pay some attention to it morning and evening; expecting wonderful phenomena, in so elevated a region, at two hundred feet or more above my house. But, behold! on the 10th, at eleven at night, it was down only to 17°, and the next morning at 22°, when mine was at 10°! We were so disturbed at this unexpected reverse of comparative local cold, that we sent one of my glasses up, thinking that of Mr. —— must, somehow, be wrongly constructed. But, when the instruments came to be confronted, they went exactly together: so that, for one night at least, the cold at Newton was 18 degrees less than at Selborne; and, through the whole frost, 10 or 12 degrees; and, indeed, when we came to observe consequences, we could readily credit this; for all my laurustines, bays, ilexes, arbutuses, cypresses, and even my Portugal laurels[1], and (which occasions more regret) my fine sloping laurel hedge, were scorched up; while, at Newton, the same trees have not lost a leaf!

We had steady frost on to the 25th, when the thermometer in the morning was down to 10° with us, and at Newton only to 21°. Strong frost continued till the 31st, when some tendency to thaw was observed; and, by January the 3d, 1785, the thaw was confirmed, and some rain fell.

A circumstance that I must not omit, because it was new to us, is, that on Friday, December the 10th, being bright sunshine, the air was full of icy *spiculæ*, floating in all directions, like atoms in a sunbeam let into a dark room. We thought them at first particles of the rime falling from my tall hedges; but were soon convinced to the contrary, by making our observations in

[1] Mr. Miller, in his Gardener's Dictionary, says positively that the Portugal laurels remained untouched in the remarkable frost of 1739-40. So that either that accurate observer was much mistaken, or else the frost of December, 1784, was much more severe and destructive than that in the year abovementioned.

open places where no rime could reach us. Were they watery particles of the air frozen as they floated; or were they evaporations from the snow frozen as they mounted?

We were much obliged to the thermometers for the early information they gave us; and hurried our apples, pears, onions, potatoes, &c. into the cellar, and warm closets; while those who had not, or neglected, such warnings, lost all their stores of roots and fruits, and had their very bread and cheese frozen.

I must not omit to tell you that, during those two Siberian days, my parlour cat was so electric, that had a person stroked her, and been properly insulated, the shock might have been given to a whole circle of people.

I forgot to mention before, that, during the two severe days, two men, who were tracing hares in the snow, had their feet frozen; and two men, who were much better employed, had their fingers so affected by the frost, while they were thrashing in a barn, that a mortification followed, from which they did not recover for many weeks.

This frost killed all the furze and most of the ivy, and in many places stripped the hollies of all their leaves. It came at a very early time of the year, before old November ended; and yet may be allowed from its effects to have exceeded any since 1739-40.

LETTER LXIV.

TO THE SAME.

As the effects of heat are seldom very remarkable in the northerly climate of England, where the summers are often so defective in warmth and sunshine as not to ripen the fruits of the earth so well as might be wished, I shall be more concise in my account of the

severity of a summer season, and so make a little amends for the prolix account of the degrees of cold, and the inconveniences that we suffered from some late rigorous winters.

The summers of 1781 and 1783 were unusually hot and dry; to them therefore I shall turn back in my journals, without recurring to any more distant period. In the former of these years my peach and nectarine trees suffered so much from the heat that the rind on the bodies was scalded and came off; since which the trees have been in a decaying state. This may prove a hint to assiduous gardeners to fence and shelter their wall-trees with mats or boards, as they may easily do, because such annoyance is seldom of long continuance. During that summer also, I observed that my apples were coddled, as it were, on the trees; so that they had no quickness of flavour, and would not keep in the winter. This circumstance put me in mind of what I have heard travellers assert, that they never ate a good apple or apricot in the south of Europe, where the heats were so great as to render the juices vapid and insipid.

The great pests of a garden are wasps, which destroy all the finer fruits just as they are coming into perfection. In 1781 we had none; in 1783 there were myriads; which would have devoured all the produce of my garden, had we not set the boys to take the nests, and caught thousands with hazel twigs tipped with birdlime: we have since employed the boys to take and destroy the large breeding wasps in the spring. Such expedients have a great effect on these marauders, and will keep them under. Though wasps do not abound but in hot summers, yet they do not prevail in every hot summer, as I have instanced in the two years abovementioned.

In the sultry season of 1783 honeydews were so frequent as to deface and destroy the beauties of my garden. My honeysuckles, which were one week the most sweet and lovely objects that the eye could behold,

became the next the most loathsome; being enveloped in a viscous substance, and loaded with black aphides, or smother-flies. The occasion of this clammy appearance seems to be this, that in hot weather the effluvia of flowers in fields and meadows and gardens are drawn up in the day by a brisk evaporation, and then in the night fall down again with the dews, in which they are entangled; that the air is strongly scented, and therefore impregnated with the particles of flowers in summer weather, our senses will inform us; and that this clammy sweet substance is of the vegetable kind we may learn from bees, to whom it is very grateful: and we may be assured that it falls in the night, because it is always first seen in warm still mornings[1].

On chalky and sandy soils, and in the hot villages about London, the thermometer has been often observed to mount as high as 83° or 84°; but with us, in this hilly and woody district, I have hardly ever seen it exceed 80°; nor does it often arrive at that pitch. The reason, I conclude, is, that our dense clayey soil, so much shaded by trees, is not so easily heated through as those abovementioned: and, besides, our mountains cause currents of air and breezes; and the vast effluvia from our woodlands temper and moderate our heats.

[1] It will hardly be deemed a discredit to an observer so patient, so accurate, and so faithful as Mr. White, to mention that his conjecture concerning the origin of honeydew is erroneous. The subject has been elucidated by the observation of Mr. William Curtis, who has discovered that this substance is the excrement of the *Aphides*. See Transactions of the Linnean Society, Vol. vi. No. 4.—MITFORD.

Had Mr. White carefully looked into the proceedings of the black *Aphides* which he mentions, he would have found that the honeydew was nothing more than their *ejecta*. In order to convince a friend who was sceptical as to this undoubted fact, I placed a sheet of writing paper under a branch where some *Aphides* were feeding, and over the leaves below them, which I previously cleaned from honeydew. The result, as I certainly anticipated, was, that the paper was soon covered with honeydew, while the leaves below it were free.—RENNIE.

LETTER LXV.

TO THE SAME.

THE summer of the year 1783 was an amazing and portentous one, and full of horrible phenomena; for, besides the alarming meteors and tremendous thunder-storms that affrighted and distressed the different counties of this kingdom, the peculiar haze or smoky fog that prevailed for many weeks in this island, and in every part of Europe, and even beyond its limits, was a most extraordinary appearance, unlike any thing known within the memory of man. By my journal I find that I had noticed this strange occurrence from June 23 to July 20 inclusive, during which period the wind varied to every quarter without making any alteration in the air. The sun, at noon, looked as blank as a clouded moon, and shed a rust-coloured ferruginous light on the ground, and floors of rooms; but was particularly lurid and blood-coloured at rising and setting. All the time the heat was so intense that butchers' meat could hardly be eaten on the day after it was killed; and the flies swarmed so in the lanes and hedges that they rendered the horses half frantic, and riding irksome. The country people began to look with a superstitious awe, at the red, louring aspect of the sun; and indeed there was reason for the most enlightened person to be apprehensive; for, all the while, Calabria and part of the isle of Sicily were torn and convulsed with earthquakes; and about that juncture a volcano sprung out of the sea on the coast of Norway. On this occasion Milton's noble simile of the sun, in his first book of Paradise Lost, frequently occurred to my mind; and it is indeed particularly applicable, because, towards the end, it alludes to a superstitious kind of dread, with which the minds of men are always impressed by such strange and unusual phenomena.

" ———— As when the *sun*, new risen,
Looks through the horizontal *misty* air
Shorn of his *beams;* or from behind the moon,
In *dim* eclipse *disasterous twilight sheds*
On half the nations, and with *fear* of *change*
Perplexes monarchs."

LETTER LXVI.

TO THE SAME.

We are very seldom annoyed with thunderstorms; and it is no less remarkable than true, that those which arise in the south have hardly been known to reach this village; for before they get over us, they take a direction to the east or to the west, or sometimes divide into two, and go in part to one of those quarters, and in part to the other, as was truly the case in summer 1783, when though the country round was continually harassed with tempests, and often from the south, yet we escaped them all; as appears by my journal of that summer[1]. The only way that I can at all account for this fact—for such it is—is that, on that quarter, between us and the sea, there are continual mountains, hill behind hill, such as Nore Hill, the Barnet, Butser Hill, and Portsdown, which somehow divert the storms, and give them a different direction. High promontories, and elevated grounds, have always been observed to attract clouds, and disarm them of their mischievous contents, which are discharged into the trees and summits as soon as they come in contact with those turbulent meteors; while the humble vales escape, because they are so far beneath them.

[1] To this awful summer of 1783, Cowper also alludes, in his Task, book ii. p. 41.

" ————————A world that seems
To toll the death-bell of its own decease;
And by the voice of all the elements
To preach the general doom."

But, when I say I do not remember a thunderstorm from the south, I do not mean that we never have suffered from thunderstorms at all; for on June 5th, 1784, the thermometer in the morning being at 64°, and at noon at 70°, the barometer at 29°—six tenths one-half, and the wind north, I observed a blue mist, smelling strongly of sulphur, hanging along our sloping woods, and seeming to indicate that thunder was at hand. I was called in about two in the afternoon, and so missed seeing the gathering of the clouds in the north, which they who were abroad assured me had something uncommon in its appearance. At about a quarter after two the storm began in the parish of Hartley, moving slowly from north to south; and from thence it came over Norton Farm, and so to Grange Farm, both in this parish. It began with vast drops of rain, which were soon succeeded by round hail, and then by convex pieces of ice, which measured three inches in girth. Had it been as extensive as it was violent, and of any continuance (for it was very short), it must have ravaged all the neighbourhood. In the parish of Hartley it did some damage to one farm; but Norton, which lay in the centre of the storm, was greatly injured; as was Grange, which lay next to it. It did but just reach to the middle of the village, where the hail broke my north windows, and all my garden-lights and hand-glasses, and many of my neighbours' windows. The extent of the storm was about two miles in length and one in breadth. We were just sitting down to dinner; but were soon diverted from our repast by the clattering of tiles and the jingling of glass. There fell at the same time prodigious torrents of rain on the farms abovementioned, which occasioned a flood as violent as it was sudden; doing great damage to the meadows and fallows, by deluging the one and washing away the soil of the other. The hollow lane towards Alton was so torn and disordered as not to be passable till mended, rocks being removed that weighed two hundred weight. Those

that saw the effect which the great hail had on ponds and pools say that the dashing of the water made an extraordinary appearance, the froth and spray standing up in the air three feet above the surface. The rushing and roaring of the hail, as it approached, was truly tremendous.

Though the clouds at South Lambeth, near London, were at that juncture thin and light, and no storm was in sight, nor within hearing, yet the air was strongly electric; for the bells of an electric machine at that place rang repeatedly, and fierce sparks were discharged.

When I first took the present work in hand, I proposed to have added an *Annus Historico-Naturalis*, or the Natural History of the Twelve Months of the Year; which would have comprised many incidents and occurrences that have not fallen into my way to be mentioned in my series of letters;—but, as Mr. Aikin of Warrington has lately published somewhat of this sort, and as the length of my correspondence has sufficiently put your patience to the test, I shall here take a respectful leave of you and natural history together; and am,

With all due deference and regard,

Your most obliged,

And most humble servant,

GIL. WHITE.

SELBORNE,
June 25, 1787.

A

NATURALIST'S CALENDAR:

WITH

OBSERVATIONS

IN

VARIOUS BRANCHES OF NATURAL HISTORY.

EXTRACTED FROM THE PAPERS

OF

THE REV. GILBERT WHITE;

BY

JOHN AIKIN, M. D.

WITH REMARKS BY MR. MARKWICK AND OTHERS.

DR. AIKIN'S ADVERTISEMENT.

THE Rev. Mr. White, so agreeably known to the public by his Natural History of Selborne, left behind him a series of yearly books, containing his diurnal observations on the occurrences in the various walks of rural nature, from the year 1768 to the time of his death in 1793. From these annals he had already extracted all the matter comprised in the work abovementioned, down to the middle of 1787; but several curious facts in the preceding numbers had not been thus employed; and all the subsequent ones remained untouched. It was thought a mark of respect due to his memory, and to the reputation he had acquired as a faithful and elegant observer, not to consign these relics to neglect. The manuscripts were accordingly put into my hands for the purpose of selecting from them what might seem worthy of laying before the public. The present small publication is the fruit of my research. With no small pains I collected the materials of it, dispersed through the records of so many years, and gave them such an arrangement as I thought would present them in the most agreeable and useful manner to the lovers of natural knowledge.

J. AIKIN[1].

LONDON, Jan. 1, 1795.

[1] How singularly are the Natural History of Selborne and the Naturalist's Calendar connected together! In the last paragraph of the former work, Gilbert White announces that he had proposed to have added a Natural History of the Twelve Months of the Year, and that a main inducement to him to forego his intention had been the publication by Mr. Aikin of somewhat of the same kind: the commencement of the Naturalist's Calendar is a Preface by Mr. Aikin himself, explanatory of

his proceeding in the construction of such a work from the materials left by Gilbert White. The Naturalist's Calendar is a fulfilling of the original intentions of the historian by the individual who had previously contributed in some degree to render them nugatory.

The original editor of the present work is known both as the author of numerous and popular productions and as one of an eminently literary and scientific family. He dedicates to his sister, Mrs. Barbauld, his Calendar of Nature, referring to her children's books as having raised the character of such publications. "Had it been designed," he says, speaking of his own work, "for a different class of readers, a larger compass might have been taken, and a more learned and elevated character of writing have been aimed at, yet it must still have remained essentially the same; and its merit must still have been that of compilation. The plan itself is a borrowed one; and you must certainly recollect its model in one of your own little books, where, in a very entertaining manner, you give a brief description of the several months, formed of some of the most striking circumstances attending each. What you have done for a child three or four years old, I have attempted for young people from ten to fourteen." In editing from the MSS. of White, he carried yet higher his desires of extending acquaintance with natural history; the work compiled by him from that source being adapted to students of adult powers, and embodying many facts which were altogether new, at the time of their publication, to naturalists generally. Founded on the observation of nature their interest is calculated to endure.—E. T. B.

THE

NATURALIST'S CALENDAR,

AS KEPT AT

SELBORNE, IN HAMPSHIRE,

FROM THE YEAR 1768, TO THE YEAR 1793:

BY THE

REV. GILBERT WHITE, M. A.

TO WHICH ARE APPENDED,

PARALLEL OBSERVATIONS MADE AT CATSFIELD, NEAR BATTLE, IN SUSSEX.

BY WILLIAM MARKWICK, ESQ. F. L. S.

PREFACE

TO

THE NATURALIST'S CALENDAR.

THE mode in which the following rural Calendar of the year has been composed, was to copy out from the journals all the circumstances thought worthy of noting, with the several dates of their recurrence, and to preserve the earliest and latest of those dates; so that the Calendar exhibits the extreme range of variation in the first occurrence of all the phenomena mentioned. To many of them only one date is annexed, only one observation having been entered. This is particularly the case with respect to the flowering of plants, with which the book of 1768 alone was copiously filled; and it is to be noted that this was rather a backward year.—[J. A.]

[In the preface to the edition of the Natural History published in 1802 it is stated that

A very valuable addition to the Calendar and Observations has been obtained from the kindness of William Markwick, Esq. F. L. S., well known as an accurate observer of nature; whose parallel calendar, kept in the county of Sussex, is given upon the opposite columns[1].]

[1] William Markwick, afterwards Eversfield, derived from his residence in the country opportunities of observing nature, which he embraced with a readiness worthy of a pupil of Gilbert White. His Naturalist's Calendar affords ample evidence of his perseverance in attending to and noting occurrences in both the organized kingdoms of the creation; and the

remarks subjoined by him, in numerous instances, to our author's Observations on various Parts of Nature, shew him to have been a sensible as well as a diligent observer. He communicated to the Linnean Society various essays on subjects of interest to the British zoologist, which were published in the earlier volumes of the Transactions of that body: the first of them, On the Migration of certain Birds, and on other Matters relating to the Feathered Tribes, included a Table of the annual appearance and disappearance of certain birds, which was continued to the end of 1794 in a subsequent communication, entitled Aves Sussexienses; or, a Catalogue of Birds found in the County of Sussex, with Remarks. His last paper consisted of Observations on the Clover Weevil, and was published in 1801. His death took place in 1813.—E. T. B.

A COMPARATIVE VIEW

OF

WHITE'S AND MARKWICK'S CALENDARS.

Of the abbreviations used, *fl.* signifies *flowering*; *l. leafing*; and *ap.* the first *appearance*.

	WHITE.	MARKWICK.
Redbreast (*Sylvia Rubecula*) whistles		[Oct. 6
Larks (*Alauda arvensis*) congregate	Jan. 1—12	Jan. 3—31: and again
Nuthatch (*Sitta Europæa*) chatters	Jan. 1—18	Oct. 16. Feb. 9
Winter aconite (*Helleborus hiemalis*) fl.	Jan. 1—14	Mar. 3. Apr. 10
Shelless snail or slug (*Limax*) ap.	Jan. 1. Feb. 18	Feb. 28. Apr. 17
Gray wagtail (*Motacilla Boarula*) ap.	Jan. 2	Jan. 16. May 31
White wagtail (*Motacilla alba*) ap.	Jan. 2—11	Jan. 24. Mar. 26 Dec. 12. Feb. 23
Missel thrush (*Turdus viscivorus*) sings	Jan. 2—14	Feb. 19. Apr. 14
Bearsfoot (*Helleborus fœtidus*) fl.	Jan. 2. Feb. 14	Mar. 1. May 5
Polyanthus (*Primula polyantha*) fl.	Jan. 2. Apr. 12	Jan. 1. Apr. 9
Double daisy (*Bellis perennis plena*) fl.	Jan. 2. Feb. 1	Mar. 17. Apr. 29
Mezereon (*Daphne Mezereum*) fl.	Jan. 3. Feb. 16	Jan. 2. Apr. 4
Pansie (*Viola tricolor*) fl.	Jan. 3.	Jan. 1. May 10
Red dead-nettle (*Lamium purpureum*) fl.	Jan. 3—21	Jan. 1. Apr. 5
Groundsel (*Senecio vulgaris*) fl.	Jan. 3—15	Jan. 1. Apr. 9
Hazel (*Corylus Avellana*) catkins open	Jan. 3. Feb. 28	Jan. 21. Mar. 11, fl.
Hepatica (*Anemone hepatica*) fl.	Jan. 4. Feb. 18	Jan. 17. Apr. 9
Hedge sparrow (*Sylvia modularis*) whistles	Jan. 5—12	Jan. 16. Mar. 13
Common flies (*Musca domestica*) seen in windows	Jan. 5. Feb. 3	May 15
Greater titmouse (*Parus major*) makes its spring note	Jan. 6. Feb. 6	Feb. 17. Mar. 17
Thrush (*Turdus musicus*) sings	Jan. 6—22	Jan. 15. Apr. 4
Insects swarm under sunny hedges	Jan. 6	
Primrose (*Primula vulgaris*) fl.	Jan. 6. Apr. 7	Jan. 3. Mar. 22 [seen Dec. 30
Bees (*Apis mellifica*) come out of their hives	Jan. 6. Mar. 19	Jan. 31. Apr. 11: last
Gnats play about	Jan. 6. Feb. 3	
Hen chaffinches (*Fringilla Cœlebs*) flock	Jan. 6—11	Dec. 2. Feb. 3, male and female seen in equal numbers

	WHITE.	MARKWICK.
Furze or gorse (*Ulex Europæus*) fl.	Jan. 8. Feb. 1	Jan. 1. Mar. 27
Wallflower (*Cheiranthus Cheiri*; seu *frutículosus* of Smith) fl.	Jan. 8. Apr. 1	Feb. 21. May 9
Stock (*Cheiranthus incanus*) fl.	Jan. 8—12	Feb. 1. June 3
Bunting (*Emberiza alba*) in great flocks	Jan. 9	
Linnets (*Fringilla Linota*) congregate in vast flocks	Jan. 9	Jan. 11
Lambs begin to fall	Jan. 9—11	Jan. 6. Feb. 21
Rooks (*Corvus frugilegus*) resort to their nest trees	Jan. 10. Feb. 11	Jan. 23
Black hellebore (*Helleborus niger*) fl.	Jan. 10	Apr. 27
Snowdrop (*Galanthus nivalis*) fl.	Jan. 10. Feb. 5	Jan. 18. Mar. 1
White dead-nettle (*Lamium album*) fl.	Jan. 13	Mar. 23. May 10
Trumpet honeysuckle fl.	Jan. 13	
Common creeping crow-foot (*Ranunculus repens*) fl.	Jan. 13	Apr. 10. May 12
House sparrow (*Fringilla domestica*) chirps	Jan. 14	Feb. 17. May 9
Dandelion (*Leontodon Taraxacum*) fl.	Jan. 16. Mar. 11	Feb. 1. Apr. 17
Bat (*Vespertilio*) ap.	Jan. 16. Mar. 24	Feb. 6. June 1: last [seen Nov. 20
Spiders shoot their webs	Jan. 16	Feb. 21. May 8: last [seen Dec. 22
Butterfly ap.	Jan. 16	Jan. 10—31
Brambling (*Fringilla Montifringilla*) ap.	Jan. 16	Feb. 15. May 13
Blackbird (*Turdus Merula*) whistles	Jan. 17	Feb. 7. June 12
Wren (*Sylvia Troglodytes*) sings	Jan. 17	
Earthworms (*Lumbricus terrestris*) lie out	Jan. 18. Feb. 8	
Crocus (*Crocus vernus*) fl.	Jan. 18. Mar. 18	Jan. 20. Mar. 19
Skylark (*Alauda arvensis*) sings	Jan. 21	Jan. 12. Feb. 27: sings [till Nov. 13
Ivy (*Hedera Helix*) casts its leaves	Jan. 22	Feb. 28. Apr. 17
Helleborus hiemalis fl.	Jan. 22—24	
Common dor or clock (*Scarabæus stercorarius*) ap.	Jan. 23	Feb. 12. Apr. 19: last [seen Nov. 24
Peziza acetabulum ap.	Jan. 23	
Helleborus viridis fl.	Jan. 23. Mar. 5	
Hazel (*Corylus Avellana*) shows its female blossoms	Jan. 23. Feb. 1	Jan. 27. Mar. 11, fl.
Woodlark (*Alauda arborea*) sings	Jan. 24. Feb. 21	Jan. 28. June 5
Chaffinch (*Fringilla Cœlebs*) sings	Jan. 24. Feb. 15	Jan. 21. Feb. 26
Jackdaw (*Corvus Monedula*) begins to come to churches	Jan. 25. Mar. 4	
Yellow wagtail (*Motacilla flava*) ap.	Jan. 25. Apr. 14	Apr. 13. July 3: last [seen Sept. 8
Honeysuckle (*Lonicera Periclymenum*) l.	Jan. 25	Jan. 1. Apr. 9
Field or procumbent speedwell (*Veronica agrestis*) fl.	Jan. 27. Mar. 15	Feb. 12. Mar. 29 [seen June 6
Small tortoise-shell butterfly (*Papilio Urticæ*) ap.	Jan. 27. Apr. 2	Mar. 5. Apr. 24: last
White wagtail (*Motacilla alba*) sings	Jan. 28	Mar. 16.
Shell snail (*Helix nemoralis*) ap.	Jan. 28. Feb. 24	Apr. 2. June 11
Earthworms (*Lumbricus terrestris*) engender	Jan. 30	
Barren strawberry (*Fragaria sterilis*) fl.	Feb. 1. Mar. 26	Jan. 13. Mar. 26
Tomtit (*Parus cæruleus*) makes its spring note	Feb. 1	Apr. 27

	WHITE.	MARKWICK.
Brown wood owl *(Strix stridula)* hoots	Feb. 2	
Hen *(Phasianus Gallus)* sits	Feb. 3	Mar. 8, hatches
Marsh titmouse *(Parus palustris)* begins his two harsh sharp notes	Feb. 3	
Gossamer floats	Feb. 4. Apr. 1	
Musca tenax ap.	Feb. 4. Apr. 8	
Laurustine *(Viburnum Tinus)* fl.	Feb. 5	Jan. 1. Apr. 5
Butcher's broom *(Ruscus aculeatus)* fl.	Feb. 5	Jan. 1. May 10
Fox *(Canis Vulpes)* smells rank	Feb. 7	May 19: young brought [forth
Turkey cock struts and gobbles	Feb. 10	
Yellowhammer *(Emberiza citrinella)* sings	Feb. 12	Feb. 18. Apr. 28
Brimstone butterfly *(Papilio Rhamni)* ap.	Feb. 13. Apr. 2	Feb. 13. Mar. 8: last [seen Dec. 24
Green woodpecker *(Picus viridis)* laughs	Feb. 13. Mar. 23	Jan. 1. Apr. 17
Raven *(Corvus Corax)* builds	Feb. 14—17	Apr. 1. has young ones [June 1
Male yew tree *(Taxus baccata)* sheds its farina	Feb. 14. Mar. 27	Feb. 2. Apr. 11, fl.
Coltsfoot *(Tussilago Farfara)* fl.	Feb. 15. Mar. 23	Feb. 18. Apr. 13
Rooks *(Corvus frugilegus)* build	Feb. 16. Mar. 6	Feb. 28. Mar. 5
Partridges *(Perdix cinerea)* pair	Feb. 17	Feb. 16. Mar. 20
Peas *(Pisum sativum)* sown	Feb. 17. Mar. 8	Feb. 8. Mar. 31
House pigeon *(Columba domestica)* builds	Feb. 18	Feb. 8. has young ones
Field crickets *(Gryllus campestris)* open their holes	Feb. 20. Mar. 30	
Common flea *(Pulex irritans)* ap.	Feb. 21—26	
Pilewort *(Ficaria verna)* fl.	Feb. 21. Apr. 13	Jan. 25. Mar. 26
Goldfinch *(Fringilla Carduelis)* sings	Feb. 21. Apr. 5	Feb. 28. May 5
Viper *(Coluber Berus)* ap.	Feb. 22. Mar. 26	Feb. 23. May 6: last [seen Oct. 28
Woodlouse *(Oniscus Asellus)* ap.	Feb. 23. Apr. 1	Apr. 27. June 17
Missel thrushes *(Turdus viscivorus)* pair	Feb. 24	
Daffodil *(Narcissus Pseudonarcissus)* fl.	Feb. 24. Apr. 7	Feb. 26. Apr. 18
Willow *(Salix alba)* fl.	Feb. 24. Apr. 2	Feb. 27. Apr. 11
Frogs *(Rana temporaria)* croak	Feb. 25	Mar. 9. Apr. 20
Sweet violet *(Viola odorata)* fl.	Feb. 26. Mar. 31	Feb. 7. Apr. 5
Phalæna Tinea vestianella ap.	Feb. 26	
Stone curlew *(Œdicnemus crepitans)* clamours	Feb. 27. Apr. 24	June 17
Filberd *(Corylus sativus)* fl.	Feb. 27	Jan. 25. Mar. 26
Ring-dove *(Columba Palumbus)* coos	Feb. 27. Apr. 5	Mar. 2. Aug. 10
Apricot tree *(Prunus Armeniaca)* fl.	Feb.	Feb. 28. Apr. 5
Toad *(Rana Bufo)* ap.	Feb. 28. Mar. 24	Mar. 15. July 1
Frogs *(Rana temporaria)* spawn	Feb. 28. Mar. 22	Feb. 9. Apr. 10: tad- [poles Mar. 19
Ivy-leaved speedwell *(Veronica hederifolia)* fl.	Mar. 1. Apr. 2	Feb. 16. Apr. 10
Peach *(Amygdalus Persica)* fl.	Mar. 2. Apr. 17	Mar. 4. Apr. 29
Frog *(Rana temporaria)* ap.	Mar. 2. Apr. 6	Mar. 9
Shepherd's purse *(Thlaspi Bursa pastoris)* fl.	Mar. 3	Jan. 2. Apr. 16
Pheasant *(Phasianus Colchicus)* crows	Mar. 3—29	Mar. 1. May 22
Land tortoise comes forth	Mar. 4. May 8	
Lungwort *(Pulmonaria officinalis)* fl.	Mar. 4. Apr. 16	Mar. 2. May 19

	WHITE.	MARKWICK.
Podura fimetaria ap.	Mar. 4	
Aranea scenica saliens ap.	Mar. 4	
Scolopendra forficata ap.	Mar. 5—16	[seen Sept. 14
Wryneck (*Yunx Torquilla*) returns	Mar. 5. Apr. 25	Mar. 26. Apr. 23: last
Goose (*Anas Anser*) lays	Mar. 5	Mar. 21
Duck (*Anas Boschas*) lays	Mar. 5	Mar. 28
Dog violet (*Viola canina*) fl.	Mar. 6. Apr. 18	Feb. 28. Apr. 22
Peacock butterfly (*Papilio Io*) ap.	Mar. 6	Feb. 13. Apr. 20: last
Trouts (*Salmo Fario*) begin to rise	Mar. 7—14	[seen Dec. 25
Beans (*Vicia Faba*) planted	Mar. 8	Apr. 29 emerge.
Bloodworms appear in the water	Mar. 8	
Crow (*Corvus Corone*) builds	Mar. 10	July 1, has young ones
Oats (*Avena sativa*) sown	Mar. 10—18	Mar. 16. Apr. 13
Golden crested wren (*Sylvia Regulus*) sings	Mar. 12. Apr. 30	[Dec. 23. Jan. 26 Apr. 15. May 22. seen
Aspen (*Populus tremula*) fl.	Mar. 12	Feb. 26. Mar. 28
Common elder (*Sambucus nigra*) l.	Mar. 13—20	Jan. 24. Apr. 22
Laurel (*Prunus Laurocerasus*) fl.	Mar. 15. May 21	Apr. 2. May 27
Chrysomela Gottingensis ap.	Mar. 15	
Black ant (*Formica nigra*) ap.	Mar. 15. Apr. 22	Mar. 2. May 18
Ephemera biseta ap.	Mar. 16	
Gooseberry (*Ribes Grossularia*) l.	Mar. 17. Apr. 11	Feb. 26. Apr. 9
Common stitchwort (*Stellaria holostea*) fl.	Mar. 17. May 19	Mar. 8. May 7
Wood anemone (*Anemone nemorosa*) fl.	Mar. 17. Apr. 22	Feb. 27. Apr. 10
Blackbird (*Turdus Merula*) sits	Mar. 17	Apr. 14, lays: young [ones May 19
Raven (*Corvus Corax*) sits	Mar. 17	Apr. 1, builds
Wheatear (*Sylvia Œnanthe*) returns[1]	Mar. 18—30	Mar. 13. May 23: last [seen Oct. 26
Muskwood crowfoot (*Adoxa Moschatellina*) fl.	Mar. 18. Apr. 13	Feb. 23. Apr. 28
Small uncrested willow wren[2] ap.	Mar. 19. Apr. 13	Willow wren (*Sylvia Trochilus*) Mar. 30. May 16: sits May 27: last seen Oct. 23
Fumaria bulbosa fl.	Mar. 19	
Elm (*Ulmus campestris*) fl.	Mar. 19. Apr. 4	Feb. 17. Apr. 25
Turkey (*Meleagris Gallopavo*) lays	Mar. 19. Apr. 7	Mar. 18—25: sits Apr. [4: young ones Apr. 30
House pigeon (*Columba domestica*) sits	Mar. 20	Mar. 20: young hatched
Marsh marigold (*Caltha palustris*) fl.	Mar. 20. Apr. 14	Mar. 22. May 8
Buzz-fly (*Bombylius medius*) ap.	Mar. 21. Apr. 28	Mar. 15. Apr. 30
Sand martin (*Hirundo riparia*) ap.	Mar. 21. Apr. 12	Apr. 8. May 16: last [seen Sept. 8
Snake (*Coluber Natrix*) ap.	Mar. 22—30	Mar. 3. Apr. 29: last [seen Oct. 2

[1] Seen at Spofforth, Apr. 5, 1833.—W. H.

[2] There is strange confusion in the entries respecting the willow wrens in the Calendar published from Mr. White's papers after his death. Three sorts were known to him, as he distinctly says in a former passage: the *Sylvia Trochilus*, or yellow wren; the *Sylv. sylvicola*, or wood wren; and the *Sylv. loquax*, or chiffchaff: but there are five entries of such wrens in the Calendar. By reference to what he has said in other places, it should seem that the chiffchaff appears the first. Therefore, in the entry, March 19th, we must read, instead of Small uncrested willow wren, Chiffchaff, *Sylv. loquax*. In a subsequent page, Mr. White states this bird to be the chiffchaff, and to be usually heard about the 20th of March.—W. H.

	WHITE.	MARKWICK.
Horse ant (*Formica herculanea*) ap.	Mar. 22. Apr. 18	Feb. 4. Mar. 26: last [seen Nov. 12
Greenfinch (*Loxia Chloris*) sings	Mar. 22. Apr. 22	Mar. 6. Apr. 26
Ivy (*Hedera Helix*) berries ripe	Mar. 23. Apr. 14	Feb. 16. May 19
Periwinkle (*Vinca minor*) fl.	Mar. 25	Feb. 6. May 7
Spurge laurel (*Daphne Laureola*) fl.	Mar. 25. Apr. 1	Apr. 12—22
Swallow (*Hirundo rustica*) ap.	Mar. 26. Apr. 20	Apr. 7—27: last seen [Nov. 16
Blackcap (*Sylvia Atricapilla*) whistles[3]	Mar. 26. May 4	Apr. 14. May 18: seen Apr. 14. May 20: last seen Sept. 19
Ducks (*Anas Boschas*) hatched	Mar. 27	Apr. 6. May 16
Golden saxifrage (*Chrysosplenium oppositifolium*) fl.	Mar. 27. Apr. 9	Feb. 7. Mar. 27
House martin (*Hirundo urbica*) ap.	Mar. 28. May 1	Apr. 14. May 8: last [seen Dec. 8
Chimney swallow (*Hirundo rustica*) ap.[4]	Mar. 28. Apr. 13	
Double hyacinth (*Hyacinthus Orientalis*) fl.	Mar. 29. Apr. 22	Mar. 13. Apr. 24
Young geese (*Anas Anser*)	Mar. 29	Mar. 29. Apr. 19
Wood sorrel (*Oxalis Acetosella*) fl.	Mar. 30. Apr. 22	Feb. 26. Apr. 26
Ring ouzel (*Turdus torquatus*) ap.	Mar. 30. Apr. 17	Oct. 11
Barley (*Hordeum sativum*) sown	Mar. 31. Apr. 30	Apr. 12. May 20
Nightingale (*Sylvia Luscinia*) sings	Apr. 1. May 1	Apr. 5. July 4: last seen [Aug. 29
Ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) fl.	Apr. 1. May 4	Mar. 16. May 8
Spiders' webs on the surface of the ground	Apr. 1	
Chequered daffodil (*Fritillaria Meleagris*) fl.	Apr. 2—24	Apr. 15. May 1
Julus terrestris ap.	Apr. 2	
Cowslip (*Primula veris*) fl.	Apr. 3—24	Mar. 3. May 17
Ground ivy (*Glechoma hederacea*) fl.	Apr. 3—15	Mar. 2. Apr. 16
Snipe (*Scolopax Gallinago*) pipes	Apr. 3	
Box tree (*Buxus sempervirens*) fl.	Apr. 3	Mar. 27. May 8
Elm (*Ulmus campestris*) l.	Apr. 3	Apr. 2. May 19
Gooseberry (*Ribes Grossularia*) fl.	Apr. 3—14	Mar. 21. May 1
Currant (*Ribes hortensis*) fl.	Apr. 3—5	Mar. 24. Apr. 28
Pear tree (*Pyrus communis*) fl.	Apr. 3. May 21	Mar. 30. Apr. 30
Newt or eft (*Lacerta vulgaris*) ap.	Apr. 4	Feb. 17. Apr. 15: last [seen Oct. 9
Dogs' mercury (*Mercurialis perennis*) fl.	Apr. 5—19	Jan. 20. Apr. 16
Wych elm (*Ulmus glabra* seu *montana* of Smith) fl.	Apr. 5	Apr. 19. May 10, l.
Ladies smock (*Cardamine pratensis*) fl.	Apr. 6—20	Feb. 21. Apr. 26
Cuckoo (*Cuculus canorus*) heard[5]	Apr. 7—26	Apr. 15. May 3: last [heard June 28
Blackthorn (*Prunus spinosa*) fl.	Apr. 7. May 10	Mar. 16. May 8
Deathwatch (*Termes pulsatorius*) beats	Apr. 7	Mar. 28. May 28

[3] At Spofforth, May 10, 1817. May 1, 1818. Apr. 19, 1829. Apr. 9, 1831. Apr. 6, 1832. Apr. 19, 1833. Apr. 7, 1834. May 4, 1835. Sang for a few moments, Sept. 11, 1831.—W. H.

[4] At Spofforth, Apr. 11, 1830. Apr. 23, 1831. Two had been seen a week before and went away. Apr. 26, 1834. Apr. 27, 1835.—W. H.

[5] Heard at Spofforth, May 8, 1817. Apr. 27, 1818. Apr. 27, 1831. May 1, 1834.—W. H.

	WHITE.	MARKWICK.
Gudgeon (*Gobio fluviatilis*) spawns	Apr. 7	
Redstart (*Sylvia Phœnicurus*) ap.[6]	Apr. 8—28	Apr. 5: sings Apr. 25: [last seen Sept. 3
Crown imperial (*Fritillaria imperialis*) fl.	Apr. 8—24	Apr. 1. May 13
Titlark (*Alauda pratensis*) sings	Apr. 9—19	Apr. 14—29: sits June [16—27
Beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) l.	Apr. 10. May 8	Apr. 24. May 25
Shellsnail (*Helix nemoralis*) comes out in troops	Apr. 11. May 9	May 17. June 11 ap.
Middle yellow wren[7] ap.	Apr. 11	
Swift (*Hirundo apus*) ap.[8]	Apr. 13. May 7	Apr. 28. May 19
Stinging fly (*Conops calcitrans*) ap.	Apr. 14. May 17	
Whitlow grass (*Draba verna*) fl.	Apr. 14	Jan. 15. Mar. 24
Larch tree (*Pinus larix rubra*) l.	Apr. 14	Apr. 1. May 9
Whitethroat (*Sylvia cinerea*) ap.[9]	Apr. 14. May 14	Apr. 14. May 5: sings May 3—10: last seen Sept. 23
Red ant (*Formica rubra*) ap.	Apr. 14	Apr. 9. June 26
Mole cricket (*Gryllus Gryllotalpa*) churs	Apr. 14. May 27	
Second willow or laughing wren[10] ap.	Apr. 14—19—23	
Red rattle (*Pedicularis sylvatica*) fl.	Apr. 15—19	Apr. 10. June 4
Common flesh-fly (*Musca carnaria*) ap.	Apr. 15	
Ladycow (*Coccinella bipunctata*) ap.	Apr. 16	
Grasshopper lark (*Alauda locustæ voce*) ap.[11]	Apr. 16—30	
Large shivering willow wren[12]	Apr. 17. May 7	Willow wren, its shivering note heard Apr 28. May 14
Middle willow wren[13] (*Regulus non cristatus medius*) ap.	Apr. 17—27	
Wild cherry (*Prunus Cerasus*) fl.	Apr. 18. May 12	Mar. 30. May 10
Garden cherry (*Prunus Cerasus*) fl.	Apr. 18. May 11	Mar. 25. May 6
Plum (*Prunus domestica*) fl.	Apr. 18. May 5	Mar. 24. May 6
Harebell (*Hyacinthus non-scriptus* seu *Scilla nutans* of Smith) fl.	Apr. 19—25	Mar. 27. May 8
Turtle (*Columba Turtur*) coos	Apr. 20—27	May 14. Aug. 10: seen
Hawthorn (*Cratægus* seu *Mespilus Oxyacantha* of Smith) fl.	Apr. 20. June 11	Apr. 19. May 26
Male fool's orchis (*Orchis mascula*) fl.	Apr. 21	Mar. 29. May 13
Blue flesh fly (*Musca vomitoria*) ap.	Apr. 21. May 23	
Black snail or slug (*Limax ater*) abounds	Apr. 22	Feb. 1. Oct. 24, ap.
Apple tree (*Pyrus Malus sativus*) fl.	Apr. 22. May 25	Apr. 11. May 26

6 Seen at Spofforth, May 11, 1817. Apr. 6, 1820. Apr. 12, 1830. Apr. 26, 1831.—W. H.

7 Yellow wren (*Sylvia Trochilus*).—W. H.

8 Seen at Spofforth, May 3, 1834.—W. H.

9 Sang at Spofforth, May 8, 1817. Apr. 27, 1831, with a south wind, several sang: none before that day. Apr. 25, 1834. May 8, 1835.—W. H.

10 Yellow wren (*Sylvia Trochilus*).—W. H.

11 At Spofforth, Apr. 26, 1834.—W. H.

12 Wood wren (*Sylvia sylvicola*). Seen at Spofforth, May 8, 1835.—W. H.

13 Yellow wren (*Sylvia Trochilus*).—W. H.

	WHITE.	MARKWICK.
Large bat ap.	Apr. 22. June 11	
Strawberry, wild wood *(Fragaria vesca)* fl.	Apr. 23—29	Apr. 8—9
Sauce alone *(Erysimum Alliaria)* fl.	Apr. 23	Mar. 31. May 8
Wild or bird cherry *(Prunus Avium)* fl.	Apr. 24	Mar. 30. May 10
Apis Hypnorum ap.	Apr. 24	
Musca meridiana ap.	Apr. 24. May 28	
Wolf fly *(Asilus)* ap.	Apr. 25	
Cabbage butterfly *(Papilio Brassicæ)* ap.	Apr. 28. May 20	Apr. 29. June 15
Dragon fly *(Libellula)* ap.	Apr. 30. May 21	Apr. 18. May 13: last [seen Nov. 10
Sycamore *(Acer pseudoplatanus)* fl.	Apr. 30. June 6	Apr. 20. June 4
Bombylius minor ap.	May 1	
Glowworm *(Lampyris noctiluca)* shines	May 1. June 11	June 19. Sept. 28
Fern owl or goatsucker *(Caprimulgus Europæus)* returns	May 1—26	May 16. Sept. 14
Common bugle *(Ajuga reptans)* fl.	May 1	Mar. 27. May 10
Field crickets *(Gryllus campestris)* crink	May 2—24	
Chafer or maybug *(Scarabæus Melolontha)* ap.	May 2—26	May 2. July 7
Honeysuckle *(Lonicera Periclymenum)* fl.	May 3—30	Apr. 24. June 21
Toothwort *(Lathræa squamaria)* fl.	May 4—12	
Shell snails *(Helix nemoralis)* pair	May 4—June 17	
Sedge warbler *(Sylvia salicaria)* sings	May 4	June 2—30
Mealy tree *(Viburnum Lantana)* fl.	May 5—17	Apr. 25. May 22
Flycatcher *(Stoparola* or *Muscicapa Grisola)* ap.	May 10—30	Apr. 29. May 21
Apis longicornis ap.	May 10. June 9	
Reed warbler *(Sylvia arundinacea)* ap.	May 11—13	Aug. 2
Oak *(Quercus Robur)* in male bloom	May 13—15	fl. Apr. 29. June 4
Admiral butterfly *(Papilio Atalanta)* ap.	May 13	
Orange tip butterfly *(Papilio Cardamines)* ap.	May 14	Mar. 30. May 19
Beech *(Fagus sylvatica)* fl.	May 15—26	Apr. 23. May 28
Common maple *(Acer campestris)* fl.	May 16	Apr. 24. May 27
Barberry tree *(Berberis vulgaris)* fl.	May 17—26	Apr. 28. June 4
Wood Argus butterfly *(Papilio Ægeria)* ap.	May 17	
Orange lily *(Lilium bulbiferum)* fl.	May 18. June 11	June 14. July 22
Burnet moth *(Sphinx Filipendulæ)* ap.	May 18. June 13	May 24. June 26
Walnut *(Juglans regia)* l.	May 18	Apr. 10. June 1
Laburnum *(Cytisus Laburnum)* fl.	May 18. June 5	May 1. June 23
Forest fly *(Hippobosca equina)* ap.	May 18. June 9	
Saintfoin *(Hedysarum Onobrychis)* fl.	May 19. June 8	May 21. July 28
Peony *(Pæonia officinalis)* fl.	May 20. June 15	Apr. 18. May 26
Horse chestnut *(Æsculus Hippocastanum)* fl.	May 21. June 9	Apr. 19. June 7
Lilac *(Syringa vulgaris)* fl.	May 21	Apr. 15. May 30
Columbine *(Aquilegia vulgaris)* fl.	May 21—27	May 6. June 13
Medlar *(Mespilus Germanica)* fl.	May 21. June 20	Apr. 8. June 19
Tormentil *(Tormentilla reptans)* fl.	May 21	Apr. 17. June 11

	WHITE.	MARKWICK.
Lily of the valley (*Convallaria Majalis*) fl.	May 22	Apr. 27. June 13
Bees (*Apis mellifica*) swarm	May 22. July 22	May 12. June 23
Woodroof (*Asperula odorata*) fl.	May 22—25	Apr. 14. June 4
Wasps, female (*Vespa vulgaris*) ap.	May 23	Apr. 2. June 4: last [seen Nov. 1
Mountain ash (*Sorbus* seu *Pyrus aucuparia* of Smith) fl.	May 23. June 8	Apr. 20. June 8
Birds-nest orchis (*Ophrys Nidus avis*) fl.	May 24. June 11	May 18. June 12
White-beam tree (*Cratægus* seu *Pyrus Aria* of Smith) l.	May 24. June 4	May 3
Milkwort (*Polygala vulgaris*) fl.	May 24. June 7	Apr. 13. June 2
Dwarf cistus (*Cistus Helianthemum*) fl.	May 25	May 4. Aug. 8
Gelder rose (*Viburnum Opulus*) fl.	May 26	May 10. June 8
Common elder (*Sambucus nigra*) fl.	May 26. June 25	May 6. June 17
Cantharis noctiluca ap.	May 26	
Apis longicornis bores holes in walks	May 27. June 9	
Mulberry tree (*Morus nigra*) l.	May 27. June 13	May 20. June 11
Wild service tree (*Cratægus* seu *Pyrus torminalis* of Smith) fl.	May 27	May 13. June 19
Sanicle (*Sanicula Europæa*) fl.	May 27. June 13	Apr. 23. June 4
Avens (*Geum urbanum*) fl.	May 28	May 9. June 11
Female fool's orchis (*Orchis Morio*) fl.	May 28	Apr. 17. May 20
Ragged Robin (*Lychnis Flos Cuculi*) fl.	May 29. June 1	May 12. June 8
Burnet (*Poterium Sanguisorba*) fl.	May 29	Apr. 30. Aug. 7
Foxglove (*Digitalis purpurea*) fl.	May 30. June 22	May 23. June 15
Corn flag (*Gladiolus communis*) fl.	May 30. June 20	June 9. July 8
Serapias longifolia fl.	May 30. June 13	
Raspberry (*Rubus Idæus*) fl.	May 30. June 21	May 10. June 16
Herb Robert (*Geranium Robertianum*) fl.	May 30	Mar. 7. May 16
Figwort (*Scrophularia nodosa*) fl.	May 31	May 12. June 20
Gromwell (*Lithospermum officinale*) fl.	May 31	May 10—24
Wood spurge (*Euphorbia amygdaloides*) fl.	June 1	Mar. 23. May 13
Ramsons (*Allium ursinum*) fl.	June 1	Apr. 21. June 4
Mouse-ear scorpion grass (*Myosotis scorpioides*) fl.	June 1	Apr. 11. June 1
Grasshopper (*Gryllus grossus*) ap.	June 1—14	Mar. 25. July 6: last [seen Nov. 3
Rose (*Rosa hortensis*) fl.	June 1—21	June 7. July 1
Mouse-ear hawkweed (*Hieracium Pilosella*) fl.	June 1. July 16	Apr. 19. June 12
Buckbean (*Menyanthes trifoliata*) fl.	June 1	Apr. 20. June 8
Rose chafer (*Scarabæus auratus*) ap.	June 2—8	Apr. 18. Aug. 4
Sheep (*Ovis Aries*) shorn	June 2—23	May 23. June 17
Water flag (*Iris Pseudacorus*) fl.	June 2	May 8. June 9
Cultivated rye (*Secale cereale*) fl.	June 2	May 27
Hound's tongue (*Cynoglossum officinale*) fl.	June 2	May 11. June 7
Helleborine (*Serapias latifolia*) fl.	June 2. Aug. 6	July 22. Sept. 6
Green gold fly (*Musca Cæsar*) ap.	June 2	
Argus butterfly (*Papilio Mæra*) ap.	June 2	
Spearwort (*Ranunculus Flammula*) fl.	June 3	Apr. 25. June 13
Birdsfoot trefoil (*Lotus corniculatus*) fl.	June 3	Apr. 10. June 3

	WHITE.	MARKWICK.
Fraxinella or white dittany (*Dictamnus albus*) fl.	June 3—11	June 9. July 24
Phryganea nigra ap.	June 3	
Angler's may-fly (*Ephemera vulgata*) ap.	June 3—14	
Ladies fingers (*Anthyllis vulneraria*) fl.	June 4	June 1. Aug. 16
Bee orchis (*Ophrys apifera*) fl.	June 4. July 4	
Pink (*Dianthus deltoides*) fl.	June 5—19	May 26. July 6
Syringa (*Philadelphus coronarius*) fl.	June 5	May 16. June 23
Libellula Virgo ap.	June 5—20	
Vine (*Vitis vinifera*) fl.	June 7. July 30	June 18. July 29
Portugal laurel (*Prunus Lusitanicus*) fl.	June 8. July 1	June 3. July 16
Purple spotted martagon (*Lilium Martagon*) fl.	June 8—25	June 18. July 19
Meadow cranes-bill (*Geranium pratense*) fl.	June 8. Aug. 1	
Black bryony (*Tamus communis*) fl.	June 8	May 15. June 21
Field pea (*Pisum sativum arvense*) fl.	June 9	May 15. June 21
Bladder campion (*Cucubalus Behen* seu *Silene inflata* of Smith) fl.	June 9	May 4. July 13
Bryony (*Bryonia alba*) fl.	June 9	May 13. Aug. 17
Hedge nettle (*Stachys sylvatica*) fl.	June 10	May 28. June 24
Bittersweet (*Solanum Dulcamara*) fl.	June 11	May 15. June 20
Walnut (*Juglans regia*) fl.	June 12	Apr. 18. June 1
Phallus impudicus ap.	June 12. July 23	
Rosebay willow-herb (*Epilobium angustifolium*) fl.	June 12	June 4. July 28
Wheat (*Triticum hybernum*) fl.	June 13. July 22	June 4—30
Comfrey (*Symphytum officinale*) fl.	June 13	May 4. June 23
Yellow pimpernel (*Lysimachia nemorum*) fl.	June 13—30	Apr. 10. June 12
Tremella Nostoc ap.	June 15. Aug. 24	
Buckthorn (*Rhamnus catharticus*) fl.	June 16	May 25
Cuckow-spit insect (*Cicada spumaria*) ap.	June 16	June 2—21
Dog-rose (*Rosa canina*) fl.	June 17, 18	May 24. June 21
Large puff-ball (*Lycoperdon Bovista*) ap.	June 17. Sept. 3	May 6. Aug. 19
Mullein (*Verbascum Thapsus*) fl.	June 18	June 10. July 22
Viper's bugloss (*Echium vulgare*) fl.	June 19	May 27. July 3
Meadow hay cut	June 19. July 20	June 13. July 7
Stag beetle (*Lucanus Cervus*) ap.	June 19	June 14—21
Borage (*Borago officinalis*) fl.	June 20	Apr. 22. July 26
Spindle tree (*Evonymus Europæus*) fl.	June 20	May 11. June 25
Musk thistle (*Carduus nutans*) fl.	June 20. July 4	June 4. July 25
Dogwood (*Cornus sanguinea*) fl.	June 21	May 28. June 27
Field scabious (*Scabiosa arvensis*) fl.	June 21	June 16. Aug. 14
Marsh thistle (*Carduus palustris*) fl.	June 21—27	May 15. June 19
Dropwort (*Spiræa Filipendula*) fl.	June 22. July 9	May 8. Sept. 3
Great wild valerian (*Valeriana officinalis*) fl.	June 22. July 7	May 22. July 21
Quail (*Perdix Coturnix*) calls	June 22. July 4	July 23: seen Sept. 1—[18
Mountain willow herb (*Epilobium montanum*) fl.	June 22	June 5—21
Thistle upon thistle (*Carduus crispus*) fl.	June 23—29	May 22. July 22

	WHITE.	MARKWICK.
Cow parsnep (*Heracleum Sphondylium*) fl.	June 23	May 27. July 12
Earth-nut (*Bunium Bulbocastanum* seu *flexuosum* of Smith) fl.	June 23	May 4—31
Young frogs (*Rana temporaria*) migrate	June 23. Aug. 2	
Œstrus curvicauda ap.	June 24	
Vervain (*Verbena officinalis*) fl.	June 24	June 10. July 17
Corn poppy (*Papaver Rhœas*) fl.	June 24	Apr. 30. July 15
Self-heal (*Prunella vulgaris*) fl.	June 24	June 7—23
Agrimony (*Agrimonia Eupatoria*) fl.	June 24—29	June 7. July 9
Great horse-fly (*Tabanus bovinus*) ap.	June 24. Aug. 2	
Greater knapweed (*Centaurea Scabiosa*) fl.	June 25	June 7. Aug. 14
Mushroom (*Agaricus campestris*) ap.	June 26. Aug. 30	Apr. 16. Aug. 16
Common mallow (*Malva sylvestris*) fl.	June 26	May 27. July 13
Dwarf mallow (*Malva rotundifolia*) fl.	June 26	May 12. July 30
St. John's wort (*Hypericum perforatum*) fl.	June 26	June 15. July 12
Broom rape (*Orobanche major*) fl.	June 27. July 4	May 9. July 25
Henbane (*Hyoscyamus niger*) fl.	June 27	May 13. June 19
Goats-beard (*Tragopogon pratensis*) fl.	June 27	June 5—14
Deadly nightshade (*Atropa Belladonna*) fl.	June 27	May 22. Aug. 14
Truffles (*Lycoperdon Tuber*) begin to be found	June 28. July 29	
Young partridges (*Perdix cinerea*) fly	June 28. July 31	July 8—28
Lime tree (*Tilia Europæa*) fl.	June 28. July 31	June 12. July 30
Spear thistle (*Carduus lanceolatus*) fl.	June 28. July 12	June 27. July 18
Meadow sweet (*Spiræa Ulmaria*) fl.	June 28	June 16. July 24
Greenweed (*Genista tinctoria*) fl.	June 28	June 4. July 24
Wild thyme (*Thymus Serpyllum*) fl.	June 28	June 6. July 19
Stachys Germanica fl.	June 29. July 20	
Day lily (*Hemerocallis flava*) fl.	June 29. July 4	May 29. June 9
Jasmine (*Jasminum officinale*) fl.	June 29. July 30	June 27. July 21
Holyoak (*Alcea rosea*) fl.	June 29. Aug. 4	July 4. Sept. 7
Monotropa Hypopitys fl.	June 29. July 23	
Ladies bedstraw (*Galium verum*) fl.	June 29	June 22. Aug. 3
Galium palustre fl.	June 29	
Nipplewort (*Lapsana communis*) fl.	June 29	May 30. July 24
Welted thistle (*Carduus acanthoides*) fl.	June 29	
Sneezewort (*Achillea Ptarmica*) fl.	June 30	June 22. Aug. 3
Musk mallow (*Malva moschata*) fl.	June 30	June 9. July 14
Pimpernel (*Anagallis arvensis*) fl.	June 30	May 4. June 22
Hoary beetle (*Scarabæus solstitialis*) ap.	June 30. July 17	
Common thistle (*Serratula arvensis* seu *Carduus arvensis* of Smith) fl.	July 1	June 15. July 15
Pheasant's eye (*Adonis annua* seu *autumnalis* of Smith) fl.	July 1	April 11. July 15
Red eyebright (*Euphrasia* seu *Bartsia Odontites* of Smith) fl.	July 2	June 20. Aug. 10
Thorough wax (*Bupleurum rotundifolium*) fl.	July 2	
Cockle (*Agrostemma Githago*) fl.	July 2	May 14. July 25

	WHITE.	MARKWICK.
Ivy-leaved wild lettuce (*Prenanthes muralis*) fl.	July 2	June 2. July 25
Feverfew (*Matricaria* seu *Pyrethrum Parthenium* of Smith) fl.	July 2	June 19. July 24
Stonecrop (*Sedum acre*) fl.	July 3	June 8. July 12
Privet (*Ligustrum vulgare*) fl.	July 3	June 3. July 13
Common toadflax (*Antirrhinum Linaria*) fl.	July 3	June 21. Aug. 3
Perennial wild flax (*Linum perenne*) fl.	July 4	Apr. 21. July 6
Whortle-berries (*Vaccinium Myrtillus*) ripe	July 4—24	
Yellow base rocket (*Reseda lutea*) fl.	July 5	July 19
Blue-bottle (*Centaurea Cyanus*) fl.	July 5	May 15. Oct. 14
Dwarf carline thistle (*Carduus acaulis*) fl.	July 5—12	June 30. Aug. 4
Bull-rush or cats-tail (*Typha latifolia*) fl.	July 6	June 29. July 21
Spiked willow-herb (*Lythrum Salicaria*) fl.	July 6	June 24. Aug. 17
Black mullein (*Verbascum nigrum*) fl.	July 6	
Chrysanthemum coronarium fl.	July 6	May 28. July 28
Marigolds (*Calendula officinalis*) fl.	July 6—9	Apr. 20. July 16
Little field madder (*Sherardia arvensis*) fl.	July 7	Jan. 11. June 6
Field calamint (*Melissa* seu *Thymus Nepeta* of Smith) fl.	July 7	*Thymus Calamintha* July 21
Black horehound (*Ballota nigra*) fl.	July 7	June 16. Sept. 12
Wood betony (*Betonica officinalis*) fl.	July 8—19	June 10. July 15
Round leaved bell-flower (*Campanula rotundifolia*) fl.	July 8	June 12. July 29
All-good (*Chenopodium bonus Henricus*) fl.	July 8	Apr. 21. June 15
Wild carrot (*Daucus Carota*) fl.	July 8	June 7. July 14
Indian cress (*Tropæolum majus*) fl.	July 8—20	June 11. July 25
Cat-mint (*Nepeta cataria*) fl.	July 9	
Cow-wheat (*Melampyrum sylvaticum* seu *pratense* of Smith) fl.	July 9	May 2. June 22
Crosswort (*Valantia cruciata* seu *Galium cruciatum* of Smith) fl.	July 9	Apr. 10. May 28
Cranberries (*Vaccinium Oxycoccus*) ripe	July 9—27	
Tufted vetch (*Vicia Cracca*) fl.	July 10	May 31. July 8
Wood vetch (*Vicia sylvatica*) fl.	July 10	
Little throat-wort (*Campanula glomerata*) fl.	July 11	July 28. Aug. 18
Sheep's scabious (*Jasione montana*) fl.	July 11	June 10. July 25
Wild parsnep (*Pastinaca sylvestris*) fl.	July 12	
White lily (*Lilium candidum*) fl.	July 12	June 21. July 22
Hemlock (*Conium maculatum*) fl.	July 13	June 4. July 20
Hedge parsley (*Caucalis Anthriscus*) fl.	July 13	
Flying ants ap.	July 13—Aug. 11	Aug. 20. Sept. 19
Moneywort (*Lysimachia Nummularia*) fl.	July 13	June 14. Aug. 16
Scarlet martagon (*Lilium Chalcedonicum*) fl.	July 14. Aug. 4	June 21. Aug. 6
Lesser stitchwort (*Stellaria graminea*) fl.	July 14	May 8. June 23

	WHITE.	MARKWICK.
Fool's parsley (*Æthusa Cynapium*) fl.	July 14	June 9. Aug. 9
Dwarf elder (*Sambucus Ebulus*) fl.	July 14—29	
Young martins and swallows begin to congregate	July 14. Aug. 29	Aug. 12. Sept. 8
Potatoe (*Solanum tuberosum*) fl.	July 14	June 3. July 12
Wood angelica (*Angelica sylvestris*) fl.	July 15	
Digitalis ferruginea fl.	July 15—25	
Ragwort (*Senecio Jacobæa*) fl.	July 15	June 22. July 13
Golden rod (*Solidago Virgaurea*) fl.	July 15	July 7. Aug. 29
Star thistle (*Centaurea Calcitrapa*) fl.	July 16	July 16. Aug. 16
Tree primrose (*Oenothera biennis*) fl.	July 16	June 12. July 18
Peas (*Pisum sativum*) cut	July 17. Aug. 14	July 13. Aug. 15
Galega officinalis fl.	July 17	
Apricots (*Prunus Armeniaca*) ripe	July 17. Aug. 21	July 5. Aug. 16
Clown's allheal (*Stachys palustris*) fl.	July 17	June 12. July 14
Branching willow-herb (*Epilobium ramosum*) fl.	July 17	
Rye harvest begins	July 17. Aug. 7	
Yellow centaury (*Chlora perfoliata*) fl.	July 18. Aug. 15	June 15. Aug. 13
Yellow vetchling (*Lathyrus Aphaca*) fl.	July 18	
Enchanter's nightshade (*Circæa Lutetiana*) fl.	July 18	June 20. July 27
Water hemp agrimony (*Eupatorium cannabinum*) fl.	July 18	July 4. Aug. 6
Giant throatwort (*Campanula latifolia*) fl.	July 19	[13. Aug. 14 *Camp. Trachelium.* July
Eyebright (*Euphrasia officinalis*) fl.	July 19	May 28. July 19
Hops (*Humulus Lupulus*) fl.	July 19. Aug. 10	July 20. Aug. 17
Poultry moult	July 19	
Dodder (*Cuscuta Europæa* seu *Epithymum* of Smith) fl.	July 20	July 9. Aug. 7
Lesser centaury (*Gentiana* seu *Chironia Centaurium* of Smith) fl.	July 20	June 3. July 19
Creeping water parsnep (*Sium nodiflorum*) fl.	July 20	July 10. Sept. 11
Common spurrey (*Spergula arvensis*) fl.	July 21	Apr. 10. July 16
Wild clover (*Trifolium pratense*) fl.	July 21	May 2. June 7
Buckwheat (*Polygonum Fagopyrum*) fl.	July 21	June 27. July 10
Wheat harvest begins	July 21. Aug. 23	July 11. Aug. 26
Great bur-reed (*Sparganium erectum*) fl.	July 22	June 10. July 23
Marsh St. John's-wort (*Hypericum Elodes*) fl.	July 22—31	June 16. Aug. 10
Sun-dew (*Drosera rotundifolia*) fl.	July 22	Aug. 1
Purple marsh cinquefoil (*Comarum palustre*) fl.	July 22	May 27. July 12
Wild cherries (*Prunus Cerasus*) ripe	July 22	
Lancashire asphodel (*Anthericum ossifragum*) fl.	July 22	June 21. July 29
Hooded willow-herb (*Scutellaria galericulata*) fl.	July 23	June 2. July 31
Water dropwort (*Œnanthe fistulosa*) fl.	July 23	
Horehound (*Marrubium vulgare*) fl.	July 23	

	WHITE.	MARKWICK.
Seseli caruifolium fl.	July 24	
Water plantain (*Alisma Plantago*) fl.	July 24	May 31. July 21
Alopecurus myosuroides fl.	July 25	
Virgin's bower (*Clematis Vitalba*) fl.	July 25. Aug. 9	July 13. Aug. 14
Bees kill the drones	July 25	
Teasel (*Dipsacus sylvestris*) fl.	July 26	July 16. Aug. 3
Wild marjoram (*Origanum vulgare*) fl.	July 26	July 17. Aug. 29
Swifts (*Hirundo Apus*) begin to depart	July 27—29	Aug. 5
Small wild teasel (*Dipsacus pilosus*) fl.	July 28, 29	
Wood sage (*Teucrium Scorodonia*) fl.	July 28	June 17. July 24
Everlasting pea (*Lathyrus latifolius*) fl.	July 28	June 20. July 30
Trailing St. John's wort (*Hypericum humifusum*) fl.	July 29	May 20. June 22
White hellebore (*Veratrum album*) fl.	July 30	July 18—22
Camomile (*Anthemis nobilis*) fl.	July 30	June 21. Aug. 20
Lesser field scabious (*Scabiosa Columbaria*) fl.	July 30	July 13. Aug. 9
Sunflower (*Helianthus multiflorus*) fl.	July 31. Aug. 6	July 4. Aug. 22
Yellow loosestrife (*Lysimachia vulgaris*) fl.	July 31	July 2. Aug. 7
Swift (*Hirundo Apus*) last seen	July 31. Aug. 27	Aug. 11
Oats (*Avena sativa*) cut	Aug. 1—16	July 26. Aug. 19
Barley (*Hordeum sativum*) cut	Aug. 1—26	July 27. Sept. 4
Lesser hooded willow-herb (*Scutellaria minor*) fl.	Aug. 1	Aug. 8. Sept. 7
Middle fleabane (*Inula dysenterica*) fl.	Aug. 2	July 7. Aug. 3
Apis manicata ap.	Aug. 2	
Swallow-tailed butterfly (*Papilio Machaon*) ap.	Aug. 2	Apr. 20. June 7: last [seen Aug. 28
Whame or burrel fly (*Œstrus Equi*) lays eggs on horses	Aug. 3—19	
Sow thistle (*Sonchus arvensis*) fl.	Aug. 3	June 17. July 21
Plantain fritillary (*Papilio Cinxia*) ap.	Aug. 3	
Yellow succory (*Picris hieracioides*) fl.	Aug. 4	June 6—25
Musca mystacea ap.		
Canterbury bells (*Campanula Trachelium*) fl.	Aug. 5	[Aug. 11 *Camp. media.* June 5.
Mentha longifolia fl.	Aug. 5	
Carline thistle (*Carlina vulgaris*) fl.	Aug. 7	July 21. Aug. 18
Venetian sumach (*Rhus Cotinus*) fl.	Aug. 7	June 5. July 20
Ptinus pectinicornis ap.	Aug. 7	
Burdock (*Arctium Lappa*) fl.	Aug. 8	June 17. Aug. 4
Fell-wort (*Gentiana Amarella*) fl.	Aug. 8. Sept. 3	
Wormwood (*Artemisia Absinthium*) fl.	Aug. 8	July 22. Aug. 21
Mugwort (*Artemisia vulgaris*) fl.	Aug. 8	July 9. Aug. 10
St. Barnaby's thistle (*Centaurea solstitialis*) fl.	Aug. 10	
Meadow saffron (*Colchicum autumnale*) fl.	Aug. 10. Sept. 13	Aug. 15. Sept. 29
Michaelmas daisy (*Aster Tradescanti*) fl.	Aug. 12. Sept. 27	Aug. 11. Oct. 8
Meadow rue (*Thalictrum flavum*) fl.	Aug. 14	
Sea holly (*Eryngium maritimum*) fl.	Aug. 14	
China aster (*Aster Chinensis*) fl.	Aug. 14. Sept. 28	Aug. 6. Oct. 2
Boletus albus ap.	Aug. 14	May 10

	WHITE.	MARKWICK.
Less Venus looking-glass (*Campanula hybrida*) fl.	Aug. 15	May 14
Carthamus tinctorius fl.	Aug. 15	
Goldfinch (*Fringilla Carduelis*) young broods ap.	Aug. 15	June 15
Lapwings (*Tringa Vanellus*) congregate	Aug. 15. Sept. 12	Sept. 25. Feb. 4
Black-eyed marble butterfly (*Papilio Semele*) ap.	Aug. 15	
Birds reassume their spring notes	Aug. 16	
Devil's bit (*Scabiosa succisa*) fl.	Aug. 17	June 22. Aug. 23
Thistle down floats	Aug. 17. Sept. 10	
Ploughman's spikenard (*Conyza squarrosa*) fl.	Aug. 18	
Autumnal dandelion (*Leontodon autumnale*) fl.	Aug. 18	July 25
Flies abound in windows	Aug. 18	
Linnets (*Fringilla Linota*) congregate	Aug. 18. Nov. 1	Aug. 22. Nov. 8
Bulls make their shrill autumnal noise	Aug. 20	
Aster Amellus fl.	Aug. 22	
Balsam (*Impatiens Balsamina*) fl.	Aug. 23	May 22. July 26
Milk thistle (*Carduus Marianus*) fl.	Aug. 24	Apr. 21. July 18
Hop-picking begins	Aug. 24. Sept. 17	Sept. 1—15
Beeches (*Fagus sylvatica*) begin to be tinged with yellow	Aug. 24. Sept. 22	Sept. 5—29
Soapwort (*Saponaria officinalis*) fl.	Aug. 25	July 19. Aug. 23
Ladies traces (*Ophrys spiralis*) fl.	Aug. 27. Sept. 12	Aug. 18. Sept. 18
Small golden black-spotted butterfly (*Papilio Phlæas*) ap.	Aug. 29	
Swallow (*Hirundo rustica*) sings	Aug. 29	Apr. 11. Aug. 20
Althæa frutex (*Hibiscus Syriacus*) fl.	Aug. 30. Sept. 2	July 20. Sept. 28
Great fritillary (*Papilio Paphia*) ap.	Aug. 30	
Willow red under-wing moth (*Phalæna pacta*) ap.	Aug. 31	
Stone curlew (*Otis Œdicnemus*) clamours	Sept. 1. Nov. 7	June 17
Phalæna russula ap.	Sept. 1	
Grapes ripen	Sept. 4. Oct. 24	Aug. 31. Nov. 4
Wood owls (*Strix Aluco*) hoot	Sept. 4. Nov. 9	
Saffron butterfly (*Papilio Hyale*) ap.	Sept. 4	Aug. 5. Sept. 26
Ring ouzel (*Turdus torquatus*) appears on its autumnal visit	Sept. 4—30	
Flycatcher (*Muscicapa Grisola*) withdraws	Sept. 6—29	Sept. 4—30
Beans (*Vicia Faba*) cut	Sept. 11	Aug. 9. Oct. 14
Ivy (*Hedera Helix*) fl.	Sept. 12. Oct. 2	Sept. 18. Oct. 28
Stares (*Sturnus vulgaris*) congregate	Sept. 12. Nov. 1	June 4. Mar. 21
Wild honeysuckles (*Lonicera Periclymenum*) fl. a second time	Sept. 25	
Woodlark (*Alauda arborea*) sings	Sept. 28. Oct. 24	
Woodcock (*Scolopax Rusticola*) comes	Sept. 29. Nov. 11	Oct. 1. Nov. 1: young ones Apr. 28: last seen Apr. 11
Strawberry tree (*Arbutus Unedo*) fl.	Oct. 1	May 21. Dec. 10
Wheat sown	Oct. 3. Nov. 9	Sept. 23. Oct. 19
Swallows last seen. (N. B. The house martin the latest.)	Oct. 4. Nov. 5	Nov. 16

	WHITE.	MARKWICK.
Redwing (*Turdus iliacus*) comes	Oct. 10. Nov. 10	Oct. 1. Dec. 18: sings Feb. 10. Mar. 21: last seen Apr. 13
Fieldfare (*Turdus pilaris*) comes	Oct. 12. Nov. 23	Oct. 13. Nov. 18: last [seen May 1
Gossamer fills the air	Oct. 15—27	
Chinese holyoak (*Alcea rosea*) fl.	Oct. 19	July 7. Aug. 21
Hen chaffinches (*Fringilla Cœlebs*) congregate	Oct. 20. Dec. 31	
Wood pigeons (*Columba Palumbus*) come	Oct. 23. Dec. 27	
Royston crow (*Corvus Cornix*) comes	Oct. 23. Nov. 29	Oct. 13. Nov. 17: last [seen Apr. 15
Snipes (*Scolopax Gallinago*) come up into the meadows	Oct. 25. Nov. 20	Sept. 29. Nov. 11: last [seen Apr. 14
Tortoise begins to bury himself	Oct. 27. Nov. 26	
Rooks (*Corvus frugilegus*) visit their nest trees	Oct. 31. Dec. 25	June 29. Oct. 20
Bucks grunt	Nov. 1	
Primrose (*Primula vulgaris*) fl.	Nov. 10	Oct. 7. Dec. 30
Green whistling plover (*Charadrius Pluvialis*) ap.	Nov. 13, 14	
Helvella mitra ap.	Nov. 16	
Greenfinches (*Fringilla Chloris*) flock	Nov. 27	
Hepatica fl.	Nov. 30. Dec. 29	Feb. 19
Furze (*Ulex Europæus*) fl.	Dec. 4—21	Dec. 16—31
Polyanthus (*Primula polyantha*) fl.	Dec. 7—16	Dec. 31
Young lambs dropped	Dec. 11—27	Dec. 12. Feb. 21
Moles work in throwing up hillocks	Dec. 12—23	
Helleborus fœtidus fl.	Dec. 14—30	
Daisy (*Bellis perennis*) fl.	Dec. 15	Dec. 26—31
Wallflower (*Cheiranthus Cheiri* seu *fruticulosus* of Smith) fl.	Dec. 15	Nov. 5
Mezereon (*Daphne Mezereum*) fl.	Dec. 15	
Snowdrop (*Galanthus nivalis*) fl.	Dec. 29	

IN SESE VERTITUR ANNUS.

OBSERVATIONS

ON

VARIOUS PARTS OF NATURE,

FROM MR. WHITE'S MSS.

WITH REMARKS, BY MR. MARKWICK AND OTHERS.

OBSERVATIONS ON BIRDS.

BIRDS IN GENERAL.

In severe weather, fieldfares, redwings, skylarks, and titlarks, resort to watered meadows for food: the latter wades up to its belly in pursuit of the pupæ of insects, and runs along upon the floating grass and weeds. Many gnats are on the snow near the water; these support the birds in part.

Birds are much influenced in their choice of food by colour, for though white currants are a much sweeter fruit than red, yet they seldom touch the former till they have devoured every bunch of the latter.

Redstarts, flycatchers, and blackcaps, arrive early in April. If these little delicate beings are birds of passage (as we have reason to suppose they are, because they are never seen in winter) how could they, feeble as they seem, bear up against such storms of snow and rain, and make their way through such meteorous turbulences, as one should suppose would embarrass and retard the most hardy and resolute of the winged nation? Yet they keep their appointed times and seasons; and in spite of frost and winds return to their stations periodically, as if they had met with nothing to obstruct them. The withdrawing and appearance of the short-winged summer birds is a very puzzling circumstance in natural history!

When the boys bring me wasps' nests, my bantam fowls fare deliciously, and when the combs are pulled to pieces, devour the young wasps in their maggot state with the highest glee and delight. Any insect-eating bird would do the same; and therefore I have often wondered that the accurate Mr. Ray should call

one species of buzzard *Buteo apivorus* sive *vespivorus*, the honey buzzard, because some combs of wasps happened to be found in one of their nests. The combs were conveyed thither doubtless for the sake of the maggots or nymphs, and not for their honey: since none is to be found in the combs of wasps. Birds of prey occasionally feed on insects: thus have I seen a tame kite picking up the female ants full of eggs with much satisfaction[1].

[1] That redstarts, flycatchers, blackcaps, and other slender-billed insectivorous small birds, particularly the swallow tribe, make their first appearance very early in the spring, is a well known fact; though the flycatcher is the latest of them all in its visit (as this accurate naturalist observes in another place), for it is never seen before the month of May. If these delicate creatures come to us from a distant country, they will probably be exposed in their passage, as Mr. White justly remarks, to much greater difficulties from storms and tempests than their feeble powers appear to be able to surmount: on the other hand, if we suppose them to pass the winter in a dormant state in this country, concealed in caverns or other hiding places sufficiently guarded from the extreme cold of our winter to preserve their life, and that at the approach of spring they revive from their torpid state and reassume their usual powers of action, it will entirely remove the first difficulty, arising from the storms and tempests they are liable to meet with in their passage; but how are we to get over the still greater difficulty of their revivification from their torpid state? What degree of warmth in the temperature of the air is necessary to produce that effect, and how it operates on the functions of animal life, are questions not easily answered*.

How could Mr. White suppose that Ray named this species the honey buzzard because it fed on honey, when he not only named it in Latin *Buteo apivorus* sive *vespivorus*, but expressly says, that "it feeds on insects, and brings up its young with the maggots or nymphs of wasps?"

That birds of prey, when in want of their proper food, flesh, sometimes feed on insects I have little doubt, and think I have observed the common buzzard (*Falco Buteo*†) to settle on the ground and pick up insects of some kind or other‡.—MARKWICK.

---

* Little weight can be attached to this argument, the difficulty assumed being so far from insurmountable, that it occurs equally in every case of hybernation.—E. T. B.

† [*Buteo vulgaris*, BECHST.]

‡ Mr. White observes, that birds of prey, as hawks, feed on insects. There is reason to believe, that *insects* form also part of the food even of the *larger beasts* of prey. "Beetles, flies, worms, form part of the *lion* and *tiger's* food, as they do that of the *fox*." See Jarrold's Dissert. on Man. —MITFORD.

ROOKS.

Rooks are continually fighting and pulling each other's nests to pieces: these proceedings are inconsistent with living in such close community. And yet if a pair offer to build on a single tree, the nest is plundered and demolished at once. Some rooks roost on their nest trees. The twigs which the rooks drop in building supply the poor with brushwood to light their fires. Some unhappy pairs are not permitted to finish any nests till the rest have completed their building. As soon as they get a few sticks together, a party comes and demolishes the whole. As soon as rooks have finished their nests, and before they lay, the cocks begin to feed the hens, who receive their bounty with a fondling tremulous voice, and fluttering wings, and all the little blandishments that are expressed by the young, while in a helpless state. This gallant deportment of the male is continued through the whole season of incubation. These birds do not pair on trees, nor in their nests, but on the ground in the open fields[2].

THRUSHES.

Thrushes during long droughts are of great service in hunting out shell snails, which they pull in pieces for their young, and are thereby very serviceable in gardens. Missel thrushes do not destroy the fruit in gardens like the other species of *Turdi*, but feed on the berries of misseltoe, and in the spring on ivy berries, which then begin to ripen. In the summer, when their

[2] After the first brood of rooks are sufficiently fledged, they all leave their nest trees in the daytime, and resort to some distant place in search of food, but return regularly every evening, in vast flights, to their nest trees, where, after flying round several times with much noise and clamour, till they are all assembled together, they take up their abode for the night.—Markwick.

[This proceeding of the rooks is beautifully described by White in Letter LIX. to Daines Barrington, p. 381.]

young become fledged, they leave neighbourhoods, and retire to sheep-walks and wild commons.

The magpies, when they have young, destroy the broods of missel thrushes; though the dams are fierce birds, and fight boldly in defence of their nests. It is probably to avoid such insults, that this species of thrush, though wild at other times, delights to build near houses, and in frequented walks and gardens[3].

POULTRY.

MANY creatures are endowed with a ready discernment to see what will turn to their own advantage and emolument; and often discover more sagacity than could be expected. Thus my neighbour's poultry watch for waggons loaded with wheat, and running after them

[3] Of the truth of the first of these observations I have been an eye-witness, having seen the common thrush feeding on the shell snail*.

In the very early part of this spring (1797) a bird of this species used to sit every morning on the top of some high elms close by my windows, and delight me with its charming song, attracted thither, probably, by some ripe ivy berries that grew near the place.

I have remarked something like the latter fact, for I remember, many years ago, seeing a pair of these birds fly up repeatedly and attack some larger bird, which I suppose disturbed their nest in my orchard, uttering at the same time violent shrieks. Since writing the above, I have seen more than once a pair of these birds attack some magpies, that had disturbed their nest, with great violence and loud shrieks.—MARKWICK.

Opposite my study windows at Lee, I observed, last summer, a missel thrush fly boldly at a carrion crow and persecute him with bill and wing, till he seemed glad to leave the field. This, however, is far outdone by the account M. Le Vaillant gives of a party of missel thrushes attacking and actually vanquishing an eagle.—RENNIE.

---

* It is common with the French ornithologists, in enumerating the food of insectivorous *Sylviæ*, to mention *limaçons*, by which they mean the very small shell snails. I have found none but the whin chat that would eat the slug or shelless snail (*Limax*), and that only after it was killed and dry.

I have observed that if a very large brown slug be trod upon and killed on a moist gravel walk, frequented by others of its size and kind, one or more will be found the next day upon its body apparently eating it; and by leaving the dead ones on the spot the large slugs may thus be successively destroyed.—W. H.

pick up a number of grains which are shaken from the sheaves by the agitation of the carriages. Thus, when my brother used to take down his gun to shoot sparrows, his cats would run out before him, to be ready to catch up the birds as they fell[4].

[4] The insolence of some birds, when they are quite tame, is astonishing; and in none more than the silver pheasant, and the peacock. The male of the former is armed with such a formidable spur, that he is a dangerous antagonist. There was one which lived some years at liberty in company with some bantams and gold pheasants at the lodge by the gate at the entrance into the garden at Highclere, which was so ferocious that he was the terror of the nursery maids, and indeed of every person who was not provided with a stick to keep him off. He was so persevering, that having provided myself with a large bough of a tree in my left hand wherewith to push him off, and a long switch to chastise him, I have whipped him till he screamed with rage, but without his showing the least disposition to give up the conflict and retreat. He was disabled first in one leg, and afterwards in a wing, and finally killed by some person unobserved, whom he had probably attacked. I recollect also seeing an old man employed in weeding a compartment in my brother's menagerie at Pixton, who said, "he had an *unked* time with the pheasant cock;" and in truth a silver pheasant, that was alone in it, had passed the whole day spurring at him, which was particularly inconvenient to a person whose occupation caused him to use a stooping posture, by which his face was exposed to the enemy.

I remember also that my mother had a pet flock of sheep, which she occasionally introduced to eat some of the grass in the extensive garden at Highclere, and a little boy on those occasions attended to prevent their nibbling the shrubs or invading the borders, bringing his dinner in a small bag suspended from his shoulder. One day about noon we found him crying and sobbing piteously, and, being questioned as to the cause of his trouble, he only vociferated in broken accents amidst his sobs, "the peacock, the peacock," and at last added, on being pressed for some further explanation, "he will have my dinner;" and in truth we had some difficulty in delivering him from the invader, who was careering round him in all the pride of his gorgeous plumage, with a determined purpose of sharing in the repast. It appeared on further inquiry, that the bird knew the time of day when the boy usually opened his store, and regularly drew nigh at the opportune moment, and had on the previous day absolutely beat him off, and remained undisputed master of the bread and cheese.

I have now an American blue-bird, which on its arrival was so wild, that it fluttered against the wires if even looked at, but after it had been with me about a year and a half, it became so tame and impudent, that now, on the door of the large cage, in which it is confined with other small birds, being opened to change the food, it immediately presents itself, and it is necessary to push it back with the hand; and it has several times forced its way out under my hand, not with any desire of escaping, but through insolent familiarity.—W. H.

The earnest and early propensity of the *Gallinæ* to roost on high is very observable; and discovers a strong dread impressed on their spirits respecting vermin that may annoy them on the ground during the hours of darkness. Hence poultry, if left to themselves and not housed, will perch the winter through on yew trees and fir trees; and turkeys and guinea fowls, heavy as they are, get up into apple trees: pheasants also in woods sleep on trees to avoid foxes; while pea-fowls climb to the tops of the highest trees round their owner's house for security, let the weather be ever so cold or blowing. Partridges, it is true, roost on the ground, not having the faculty of perching; but then the same fear prevails in their minds; for, through apprehensions from polecats and stoats, they never trust themselves to coverts, but nestle together in the midst of large fields, far removed from hedges and coppices, which they love to haunt in the day, and where at that season they can skulk more secure from the ravages of rapacious birds.

As to ducks and geese, their awkward splay web-feet forbid them to settle on trees; they therefore, in the hours of darkness and danger, betake themselves to their own element, the water, where amidst large lakes and pools, like ships riding at anchor, they float the whole night long in peace and security[5].

[5] Guinea fowls not only roost on high, but in hard weather resort, even in the daytime, to the very tops of the highest trees.

Last winter, when the ground was covered with snow, I discovered all my guinea fowls, in the middle of the day, sitting on the highest boughs of some very tall elms, chattering and making a great clamour: I ordered them to be driven down, lest they should be frozen to death in so elevated a situation, but this was not effected without much difficulty, they being very unwilling to quit their lofty abode, notwithstanding one of them had its feet so much frozen that we were obliged to kill it. I know not how to account for this, unless it was occasioned by their aversion to the snow on the ground, they being birds that come originally from a hot climate*.

Notwithstanding the awkward splay web-feet (as Mr. White calls

* In one of his Letters to Daines Barrington, LXII, Gilbert White has remarked of poultry generally, that they are so dazzled and confounded by the glare of snow, that they dared not, in the severe winter of 1776, to stir from their roosting places.—E. T. B.

HEN PARTRIDGE.

A HEN partridge came out of a ditch, and ran along shivering with her wings, and crying out as if wounded and unable to get from us. While the dam acted this distress, the boy who attended me saw her brood, that was small and unable to fly, run for shelter into an old fox-earth under the bank. So wonderful a power is instinct[6].

them) of the duck genus, some of the foreign species have the power of settling on the boughs of trees apparently with great ease; an instance of which I have seen in the Earl of Ashburnham's menagerie, where the summer duck (*Anas sponsa*) flew up and settled on the branch of an oak tree in my presence; but whether any of them roost on trees in the night, we are not informed by any author that I am acquainted with. I suppose not, but that, like the rest of the genus, they sleep on the water, where the birds of this genus are not always perfectly secure, as will appear from the following circumstance which happened in this neighbourhood a few years since, as I was credibly informed. A female fox was found in the morning drowned in the same pond in which were several geese, and it was supposed that in the night the fox swam into the pond to devour the geese, but was attacked by the gander, which, being the most powerful in its own element, buffeted the fox with its wings about the head till it was drowned.—MARKWICK.

[6] It is not uncommon to see an old partridge feign itself wounded and run along on the ground fluttering and crying before either dog or man,

HYBRID PHEASANT.

LORD STAWELL sent me from the great lodge in the Holt a curious bird for my inspection. It was found by the spaniels of one of his keepers in a coppice, and shot on the wing. The shape, and air, and habit of the bird, and the scarlet ring round the eyes, agreed well with the appearance of a cock pheasant: but then the head and neck, and breast and belly, were of a glossy black: and though it weighed three pounds three ounces and a half[7], the weight of a large full-grown cock pheasant, yet there were no signs of any spurs on the legs, as is usual with all grown cock pheasants, who have long ones. The legs and feet were naked of feathers; and therefore it could be nothing of the grous kind. In the tail were no long bending feathers, such as cock pheasants usually have, and are characteristic of the sex. The tail was much shorter than the tail of a hen pheasant, and blunt and square at the end. The back, wing-feathers, and tail, were all of a pale russet curiously streaked, somewhat like the upper parts of a hen partridge. I returned it with my verdict, that it was probably a spurious or hybrid hen bird, bred between a cock pheasant and some domestic fowl. When I came to talk with the keeper who brought it, he told me that

to draw them away from its helpless unfledged young ones. I have seen it often, and once in particular I saw a remarkable instance of the old bird's solicitude to save its brood. As I was hunting a young pointer, the dog ran on a brood of very small partridges; the old bird cried, fluttered, and ran tumbling along just before the dog's nose till she had drawn him to a considerable distance, when she took wing and flew still farther off, but not out of the field: on this the dog returned to me, near which place the young ones lay concealed in the grass, which the old bird no sooner perceived than she flew back again to us, settled just before the dog's nose again, and by rolling and tumbling about drew off his attention from her young, and thus preserved her brood a second time. I have also seen, when a kite has been hovering over a covey of young partridges, the old birds fly up at the bird of prey, screaming and fighting with all their might to preserve their brood.—MARKWICK.

[7] Hen pheasants usually weigh only two pounds ten ounces.

some pea-hens had been known last summer to haunt the coppices and coverts where this mule was found.

Mr. Elmer, of Farnham, the famous game painter, was employed to take an exact copy of this curious bird.

[It ought to be mentioned that some good judges have imagined this bird to have been a stray grous or black cock; it is, however, to be observed, that Mr. W. remarks, that its legs and feet were naked, whereas those of the grous are feathered to the toes.—J. A.][8]

[8] Dr. Latham observes, that "pea-hens, after they have done laying, sometimes assume the plumage of the male bird," and has given a figure of the male-feathered pea-hen now to be seen in the Leverian Museum; and M. Salerne remarks, that "the hen pheasant, when she has done laying and sitting, will get the plumage of the male." May not this hybrid pheasant (as Mr. White calls it) be a bird of this kind? that is, an old hen pheasant which had just begun to assume the plumage of the cock.—MARKWICK.

Concerning the hybrid pheasant, see the account by John Hunter, in the Philosophical Transactions, Art. xxx. 1760. "The subject of the account is a hen pheasant with the feathers of the cock. The author concludes, that it is most probable that all those hen pheasants, which are found wild, and have the feathers of the cock, were formerly perfect hens, but that now they are changed with age, and perhaps by certain constitutional circumstances." It appears, also, that the hen taking the plumage of the cock is not confined to the pheasant alone, it takes place equally with the pea-hen, as was seen in the specimen belonging to Lady Tynte, when in the Leverian Museum. After many broods, this hen took much of the plumage of the cock, and also the fine train belonging to that bird. See also Montagu's Ornithological Dictionary, Art. Pheasant.—MITFORD.

I saw this curious bird stuffed, in the collection of the Earl of Egremont at Petworth, in the year 1804, and I have not the slightest hesitation in pronouncing that it was a mule between the black cock and the common pheasant. I was informed at the time by Lord Egremont that it was Mr. White's bird, and I examined it with the most minute attention, compared it with the description in the Naturalist's Calendar, and wrote at the moment marginal memoranda on my copy of that book. In Mr. White's description of the bird, where he says that the back, wing-feathers, and tail, were somewhat like the upper parts of a hen partridge, I scratched out, at the time, the words, "*somewhat like*," and wrote in the margin "*much browner than*," and with that alteration I believe Mr. White's description to be quite correct; but I noted down that the plate was exceedingly ill coloured, which indeed may be perceived by comparing it with the description. I did not then, nor do I now, entertain the slightest doubt of its being a mule between the black game and the pheasant. I understand that some doubt exists at present

LAND-RAIL.

A MAN brought me a land-rail or daker-hen, a bird so rare in this district that we seldom see more than one or two in a season, and those only in autumn. This is

whether it was Mr. White's identical specimen, though I am quite positive from my notes that it was at the time (now above thirty years ago) stated to me to have been so; and I am persuaded that it was his: but if there was any misunderstanding on that point, and it could have been a second specimen killed in the same line of country, there is not the slightest doubt that it was of like origin and appearance, for I had no exceptions to take to White's description, except that the back was *much browner* than that of a partridge instead of *somewhat like*, which is not in fact contradictory. The whole of Lord Egremont's collection was afterwards destroyed by maggots, and the specimen has long ceased to exist.

As I understand it has been surmised that the hybrid bird described by White might have been a young black cock in moult, I wish to state, in the most positive manner, that I am certain it was not. I had, at the period when I examined it, been in the annual habit of shooting young black game, and was perfectly well acquainted with all their variations of plumage; and had also been accustomed to see them reared in confinement. It is a point on which I could not be deceived. The bird had neither the legs and feet, nor the plumage, of a black cock in any stage of its growth.—W. H.

Evidence more direct than that given in the preceding note by the Hon. and Rev. W. Herbert can now, it should seem, scarcely be adduced towards the decision of the somewhat vexata quæstio as to the hybrid pheasant of White. In the destruction of Lord Egremont's collection at Petworth by the moths, the bird described by Mr. Herbert perished with the rest; and the notes made by him, with the specimen before him, are all that is now accessible regarding it. But these notes furnish an authentic record of its existence and appearance at the time of his visit. If there were not a possibility that some misconception might have existed as to the identity of the specimen with the bird seen by White, there could no longer remain the slightest ground for doubt upon the subject.

But the absolute determination of the nature of the bird in question is of less importance in itself than by the discussions to which it has given rise, and which have led to the ascertaining of various collateral facts of interest, and to rendering them popularly known. Three opinions have been advanced with respect to it, and each has had its advocates. It may be advantageous to refer to them in succession, and to offer a few remarks upon them.

The first theory propounded on the subject regards the bird as a hybrid between the pheasant and some other species; a view which is entitled to great respect, independent of all other considerations, on account of its having been entertained by White, whose opportunities for judging

deemed a bird of passage by all the writers: yet from its formation seems to be poorly qualified for migration;

respecting it surpassed those of later observers, he having seen the bird when it was first shot. He, however, looked upon it as a mule with some domestic fowl, such as the pea-hen: a parentage which no subsequent naturalist has attributed to it; those who have considered it as a hybrid bird from the pheasant having joined with that bird, like Mr. Herbert, the black game. And the glossy black of the fore and under parts, and the white spot on the shoulder, are marks so characteristic of the black game as scarcely to leave a doubt that that bird had some share at least in the production. We know well that hybrids between the pheasant and the black game are at times produced, and two such have been exhibited to the Zoological Society on different occasions, and from different parts of the country; one of them having been killed in Cornwall, and the other in Leicestershire. The latter was described by Mr. Eyton, in whose collection the specimen is preserved; and as his description enters into more particulars of this curious mule than any that has yet been published, I extract it from the Proceedings of the Society.

"For some years past a single gray hen has been observed in the neighbourhood of the Merrington covers, belonging to Robert A. Slaney, Esq. but she was never observed to be accompanied by a black cock, or any other of her species. In November last a bird was shot on the manor adjoining Merrington, belonging to J. A. Lloyd, Esq. resembling the black game in some particulars, and the pheasant in others. In December another bird was shot in the Merrington covers, resembling the former, but smaller: it is now in my collection, beautifully preserved by Mr. Shaw of Shrewsbury. It is a female, and may be thus shortly described:

"Tarsi half-feathered, without spurs, of the same colour as in the pheasant. Bill resembling that of the pheasant, both in colour and shape. Irides hazel. Crown and throat mottled black and brown. Neck glossy black, with a tinge of brown. Breast of nearly the same colour as that of the cock pheasant, but more mottled with black. Tail of the same colour as in the gray hen; middle tail feathers longest; under tail coverts light brown.

"The plumage of this bird is very curious; as some parts of it resemble either sex of both black game and pheasant.

"I had an opportunity of examining the body after it was taken from the skin, and of comparing it with the black game and the pheasant, and the following are some remarks which I made on its anatomy:

"Left oviduct very imperfect; the ovaries very small; the eggs scarcely perceptible, and very few in number.

"The sternum approaches nearer to that of the black grous than of the pheasant; but the bone is not so massive, the anterior edge of the keel is more scolloped, and the bone between the posterior scollops is not so broad as in the black game. The os furcatorium is that of the pheasant, being more arched than in the black game, and having the flat process at the extremity next the sternum broader. The pelvis is exactly intermediate between the two, having more solidity, and being both broader

for its wings are short, and placed so forward, and out of the centre of gravity, that it flies in a very heavy and

and longer than in the pheasant; but resembling that of the pheasant in having the two processes on each side of the caudal vertebræ, which serve for the attachments of the levator muscles of the tail.

"The subjoined Table shows some comparative measurements between the hybrid bird in question, the cock pheasant, and the gray hen.

	Gray Hen.		Hybrid Bird. Female.		Male Pheasant.	
	Ft.	In.	Ft.	In.	Ft.	In.
Length of the tarsus	0	$2\frac{4}{10}$	0	$2\frac{4}{10}$	0	$3\frac{1}{10}$
Length of the middle toe	0	$2\frac{1}{10}$	0	$2\frac{1}{2}$	0	$2\frac{3}{10}$
Expansion of the wings	2	0	2	2	2	$4\frac{1}{2}$
Length of the middle tail feathers	0	4	0	$7\frac{1}{2}$	1	$7\frac{1}{2}$
Length of the intestinal canal from vent to gizzard	4	2	3	$5\frac{1}{2}$	4	0
Length from the vent to the cæca	0	6	0	$5\frac{1}{2}$	0	$4\frac{1}{4}$
Length of the cæca	2	0	2	0	0	$8\frac{1}{2}$"

It is worthy of especial remark that this mule, although a female, was so much influenced by its pheasant parentage as to have the middle tail feathers longer than the others, and produced to nearly twice the length of those of the gray hen, its dam. In White's bird the tail was much shorter than that of a hen pheasant, and was blunt and square at the end.

The second opinion is that adverted to by Dr. Aikin as having been advanced by some good judges, that the assumed hybrid pheasant is merely a black cock: and Mr. Yarrell has more recently stated his belief that it is a young black cock in which the first moult has been partially completed. It is a very general law, although not free from many exceptions, that in birds in which the adults of the two sexes differ materially in plumage, the young previously to the first moult exhibit no difference of outward character, but resemble, in their colours, the mother. In the case of the black cock this rule obtains. The young male has at first the plumage nearly agreeing with that of the gray hen; it is chiefly of a reddish brown mottled and barred with black. In the autumn of his first year he moults, and then assumes the glossy violet black feathers which afterwards entirely invest him. In parting with his mottled brown feathers, and assuming those of the bright and uniform black, some weeks are occupied; and a portion of the moult being completed before the remainder is commenced, the bird is for a time in external appearance partly a black cock and partly a gray hen or hen pheasant. Mr. Yarrell believed that White's bird was a black cock, shot at this intermediate period of its growth.

Another friend has fully adopted the same view. He obtained, "near the end of November, 1835, a young black cock undergoing its first moult. Its length was twenty inches and a half; its breadth, thirty inches; and its weight, two pounds three ounces and three quarters. The head and neck were iridescent black. A naked red spot above the eye. The

embarrassed manner, with its legs hanging down; and can hardly be sprung a second time, as it runs very fast,

middle of the back, lower sides of the neck, sides and middle of the belly, breast, cheeks, chin, and tail, black. A stripe on each side of the middle of the belly, uniting on the breast, and passing up the neck on its under side to the throat; the borders of the breast feathers under the wings; and the upper sides of the neck, extending from above the eyes to the back; brown, with black and dusky bars and tips. The crown and a stripe extending all down the back of the neck, the rump, and tail coverts, dusky black, freckled with dark chocolate brown. Primaries, dusky with pale freckled tips and edges; their shafts white. Secondaries, dusky freckled with brown, the basal half (forming the wing spot) and the tips white; their shafts black. Primary wing coverts dusky black, white at the base. Spurious quills black, the second and third with a white spot at the base of the outer web. Scapularies and upper wing coverts dusky black freckled and mottled with chocolate brown, margined towards the body with a few lighter brown feathers which are spotted and barred with black. Under wing, and under tail coverts white. Vent and legs dirty grayish white with dusky bars, becoming darker on the tarsus. Toes and back of the tarsi naked. Beak and claws black."

The writer of this description so warmly advocates his view of the case that he remarks, "There can be no doubt that this bird, a little earlier in the season, is the hybrid of White: I say a little earlier, on account of the tail, which has moulted off black. But even in its present state, as regards shape, the tail proves much. White distinctly says it had 'no long bending feathers such as cock pheasants usually have:' now all the hybrids from the pheasant that I have seen have those produced feathers. When closed, the tail in my bird is shorter than the tail of a hen pheasant, and blunt and square at the end: and this description of the tail, especially when closed, is that of White's bird; for the lateral feathers being but slightly produced or recurved at this age, have a blunt appearance and the middle feathers are square. White says, 'the head and neck and breast and belly were of a glossy black.' This certainly is not the case with the representation of the bird. Yet this very circumstance proves the identity of the hybrid with the young black cock. For, placing the bird on its back, the throat, and the lower sides of the neck, breast, and belly are visible, 'black and glossy.' This is precisely what White describes. But the painter laid the bird on its side, and represented the upper side of the neck, which is mouse brown with dusky bars at the tips; and he showed the partridge-like wing falling over the breast. Thus this seeming incongruity between the description and the representation is satisfactorily accounted for, and is indeed a proof of the identity of the bird. The wings are exact to the description: and it is remarkable that they agree particularly as regards the wing spot, and also in the white spot on the spurious quills. From White's description of the bird I should conclude that he looked at it in a hurry, which may possibly account for the description of the feet and legs. The back of the tarsi and the toes are naked in my bird, and the front of the tarsus is

and seems to depend more on the swiftness of its feet than on its flying.

comparatively but thinly clothed: this is the only point of importance in which the supposed hybrid differs from the black cock in its present state of plumage. At an earlier period the feathering on the tarsi is still less, as I have ascertained by the examination of a specimen killed in Selkirkshire in October, which is almost destitute of any covering: and I am informed by the friend who shot this bird that the younger the black cock is the less are the legs feathered, and that he has killed pouts without any feathering whatever. At that earlier period of the moult, before the tail-feathers had been changed, it is consequently to be concluded that the tarsi would have been nearly or wholly naked. The shape of the beak is that of the grouse: and the situation of the spot over the eye is decidedly grouse-like, the pheasant's spot being situated at the back of and below the eye. These, with the black colour of so large a portion of the plumage, and the absence of those lengthened feathers which I have hitherto invariably observed in pheasant hybrids, are in my estimation, decisive marks of the identity of the hybrid of White with the young black cock.

"I am bound to admit that the weight mentioned by White differs from that of my bird by a pound: but in his weight some error may be suspected. I have never yet met with a cock pheasant that weighed so much as he states. The weight would argue also, although not to the same extent, against the bird being a hybrid between the pheasant and the black cock; for the produce of a heavier and a lighter bird ought not to weigh so much as the heaviest sex of the heavier parent, even if itself of the heavier sex, which White did not believe his bird to be."

From this description it will be seen how very nearly the black game, in a certain condition, approaches to White's bird: an approach so near as to induce the describer to regard them as identical. Mr. Herbert was, however, at the time when he saw the bird at Petworth, thoroughly acquainted with the black cock in all its states, and could not have been mistaken when he declared that the bird which he saw there was certainly not a moulting bird of the year.

The third theory with respect to Gilbert White's bird has had fewer supporters than either of the others. It was advanced by Markwick, and has rather the air of a guess than of an opinion; and as his question was evidently to be answered in the negative as to the species to which he referred, it has scarcely received any consideration whatever. Adverting to the fact, known in his time in consequence of the inquiries of Hunter (inquiries which have since been carried further by the industry of Mr. Yarrell), that hen birds, when incapacitated by age or other causes from producing young, lose the characters of their sex and assume the plumage of the male, wearing even the spurs and other masculine insignia; Markwick asks whether White's hybrid may not be an old hen pheasant that had begun to assume the plumage of the cock? There is so little in common with the cock pheasant in the deviations from the ordinary pheasant colouring described by White, that this question must

When we came to draw it, we found the entrails so soft and tender, that in appearance they might have been dressed like the ropes of a woodcock. The craw or crop was small and lank, containing a mucus; the gizzard thick and strong, and filled with small shell snails, some whole, and many ground to pieces through the attrition which is occasioned by the muscular force and motion of that intestine. We saw no gravel among the food: perhaps the shell snails might perform the functions of gravels or pebbles, and might grind one another.

Land-rails used to abound formerly, I remember, in the low wet bean fields of Christian Malford in North Wilts, and in the meadows near Paradise Gardens at Oxford, where I have often heard them cry crex, crex.

The bird mentioned above weighed seven ounces and a half, was fat and tender, and in flavour like the flesh of a woodcock. The liver was very large and delicate[9].

have been answered in the negative even when such birds were less familiar than they now are. They are now generally known to sportsmen, and no sportsman would recognise in the description given by White the slightest approach to the female pheasant in that peculiar condition in which she is known to him as a mule bird.

But although the idea that White's bird was a hen changing into male plumage is unquestionably to be rejected so far as the pheasant is assumed to be concerned in it, I would by no means reject it altogether. The gray hen is no doubt equally capable with other female birds of assuming the male plumage; and although, pursued as they are by the sportsman, few probably live to attain advanced age, it may have happened in one instance that a blotched and freckled female has been shot when she had partially put on the black feathers of the cock. The supposition may not deserve to have much weight attached to it: yet, as the change of feathers would be analogous to that which takes place in the young male in the first moult, all the arguments deduced from the colours of the plumage in that case would be equally applicable to this: but certainly, the nakedness of the tarsus in the young bird would incline the balance in its direction, and leave it more probable that it, rather than an old female, was the individual undergoing the change.—E. T. B.

[9] Land-rails are more plentiful with us than in the neighbourhood of Selborne. I have found four brace in an afternoon, and a friend of mine

FOOD FOR THE RING-DOVE.

ONE of my neighbours shot a ring-dove on an evening as it was returning from feed and going to roost. When his wife had picked and drawn it, she found its craw

lately shot nine in two adjoining fields; but I never saw them in any other season than the autumn.

That it is a bird of passage there can be little doubt, though Mr. White thinks it poorly qualified for migration, on account of the wings being short, and not placed in the exact centre of gravity: how that may be I cannot say, but I know that its heavy sluggish flight is not owing to its inability of flying faster, for I have seen it fly very swiftly, although in general its actions are sluggish. Its unwillingness to rise proceeds, I imagine, from its sluggish disposition, and its great timidity, for it will sometimes squat so close to the ground as to suffer itself to be taken up by the hand, rather than rise; and yet it will at times run very fast *.

What Mr. White remarks respecting the small shell snails found in its

* It occurred to me many years ago to be shooting in September with Mr. Webb, tenant of Church Farm in East Woodhay, who had the deputation of the manor from my father. I had just asked him whether he ever found quails in that quarter; to which he replied, that he had not seen one in the parish in his whole life. We were then in a large wheat stubble which sloped towards us from the down-hill, of which it and the adjoining fields formed the base, and I was walking with my body stooped forwards, and my gun held with my two hands behind my back, the day being hot and the ascent of the ground gradual. Another word had not been spoken between us, when he called out "What is the matter?" on seeing me throw myself suddenly forward on the ground; to which I answered, "Nothing, but I have caught a quail:" and the fact was so, my inclined posture having enabled me to descry a solitary quail sitting close in the stubble before my feet. The bird was taken home and pinioned; and it lived for many years at Highclere in a walled garden with some gold pheasants that were kept by my mother. I had the greatest difficulty, and perhaps did not quite succeed, in persuading my companion that the bird had not been previously concealed in my pocket, and brought forth to astonish him. When we consider how many millions of chances there must have been against our finding a quail in a parish where one had not been observed for half a century, and that, almost instantaneously, after the inquiry had been made and negatived; against the bird's being at that very moment within a few yards in the precise line in which I was advancing; against its lying still without attempting to escape, and my being enabled, as both my hands were at the moment occupied, to secure it: it is impossible not to reflect, that, had such an occurrence taken place with relation to any circumstance of importance to the affairs of mankind, instead of one so absolutely insignificant, it must have assumed the

stuffed with the most nice and tender tops of turnips. These she washed and boiled, and so sat down to a

gizzard, confirms my opinion, that it frequents corn fields, seed clover, and brakes or fern, more for the sake of snails, slugs*, and other insects

---

appearance of a prodigy with a degree of force that would have staggered the strongest understanding, if the possibility of fraud could have been clearly disproved; and that many grave occurrences which have been rejected as fictions on account of their improbability, may rest upon the same foundation of truth with this singular but unimportant accident in the concatenation of events, which I am well aware that nothing but its entire want of importance will induce the reader to believe.—W. H.

* I have not been able to discover the bird that will eat slugs, and I do not believe that a land-rail would touch one. The ruffs and reeves which I have kept in confinement eat earth worms, as the lapwings also do, but they will not touch a slug. I have in vain flattered myself that ducks would deliver the garden from this nuisance, and have never found that they would touch them. The godwit in confinement also refuses them, and it is curious to observe that this very long-billed bird, which, as well as the snipe and woodcock, has been said by naturalists to live by suction, cannot suck at all, and will die of thirst unless it has a vessel of water deep enough to enable it to immerse its bill quite to the base, or broad enough to enable it to shovel up the water by placing its bill in a horizontal position. It feeds freely upon barley, which it seizes with the tip of the bill and by a sudden jerk it throws the grain into its throat. It will fatten on barley, and bits of bread or of boiled potatoes, and will scarcely eat a worm. The long bill is probably provided to enable it to pick up rice and other grains or seeds of aquatic plants in flooded tracts of land. Ruffs will live well in confinement on dry bread, crust and crumb, cut into square bits of such size as they can swallow, and boiled potatoes crumbled, and they will become marvellously fat on that food. I have kept a stint for two years on bread and milk, when it died from excessive fatness. The redshank in confinement is more carnivorous, and eats raw beef voraciously; and is so greedy after worms, that an old bird which I winged in March, and turned loose in the room, after a very few hours came across the room to take a worm from my hand. They will eat bread and potatoes, but cannot be preserved without meat or worms, and they consume a great quantity of food. Ruffs will eat barley when hungry, but not when they can get bread or potatoes. They are however so foolish, that, turned into a garden, I have known them poison themselves by eating currants, which occasioned convulsions. Copious doses of sweet oil is the remedy for birds in all such cases.—W. H.

Having, since the publication of the first edition of these notes, kept some ruffs, redshanks, and godwits in confinement, some observations concerning them may be thought interesting.

The birds, having been imported from Holland, were kept about two months in London on a large leaded platform which was fortunately not

choice and delicate plate of greens, culled and provided in this extraordinary manner.

which abound in such places, than for the grain or seeds; and that it is entirely an insectivorous bird*.—MARKWICK.

---

visited by cats, and they throve there exceedingly well on bread, boiled potatoes, barley, and raw beef, each bird having one wing clipped. The ruffs fought a little, but not seriously. It was observable that the redshanks ate little but the raw beef, of which they were marvellously voracious, but they grew very lean, and it became ultimately evident that they were not thriving, though active and lively. The ruffs and reeves ate very little of the meat, which they appeared to disregard, occasionally swallowed a grain of barley, but fared mainly on the potatoes and bread, preferring the latter, which was cut into little squares, and they would swallow it when quite hard, crust as well as crumb. The godwits ate scarcely any thing but barley, which to my surprise appeared to be their natural food: a single grain of barley was taken up with the point of the extremely long bill, and by a sudden rapid jerk it was thrown into the throat and swallowed. The godwits were incapable of drinking, unless the vessel of water was either deep enough for the whole bill to be immersed, or wide enough to allow the horizontal admission of the entire bill, and their usual mode of drinking was to stoop the head to the ground, and shovel up the water into the mouth.

On their removal into the country they were turned into a large walled garden, where after a few hours a godwit and ruff were found drowned in a small pond where gold fish were kept, having jumped in. They were both plump, and very good to eat when roasted. Soon after, a ruff and reeve were found in convulsions, the cause of which appeared to be their having ate some ripe currants which were lying under the bushes. Large doses of sweet oil were given to them; the reeve died the next day, but the ruff having continued two days in the most extraordinary convulsions, and unable to run many paces without falling, recovered, and lived for a year after, though with convulsive movements and contraction of the neck. The redshanks soon died. The other ruffs throve exceedingly, till successively killed by cats. One, of which half the body was rescued, was singularly fat. The last survivor lived through two winters in perfect health in a small netted enclosure upon bread and potatoes, with a few worms thrown to it occasionally, of which it was fond. When there were only two ruffs, they fought perpetually.

I apprehend that the natural use of the marvellously long bill of the godwit must be to enable it to pick grains of rice and other aquatic plants from the bottom of the water. This is remarkable concerning a bird which naturalists have said to live by suction, and which yet is unable to suck the water out of a pan, and would die of drought with a narrow and shallow vessel of water at its side.—W. H.

* The numerous interesting facts connected with the migration of birds, in the splendid works of Wilson and Audubon, lead us to conclude

Hence we may see that granivorous birds, when grain fails, can subsist on the leaves of vegetables. There is reason to suppose that they would not long be healthy without; for turkeys, though corn-fed, delight in a variety of plants, such as cabbage, lettuce, endive, &c. and poultry pick much grass; while geese live for months together on commons by grazing alone.

> "Nought is useless made: ——
> —— On the barren heath
> The shepherd tends his flock that daily crop
> Their verdant dinner from the mossy turf
> Sufficient: after them the cackling goose,
> Close grazer, finds wherewith to ease her want."
>
> PHILIPS'S CIDER[10].

[10] That many granivorous birds feed also on the herbage or leaves of plants, there can be no doubt: partridges and larks frequently feed on the green leaves of turnips, which gives a peculiar flavour to their flesh, that is, to me, very palatable: the flavour also of wild ducks and geese greatly depends on the nature of their food; and their flesh frequently contracts a rank unpleasant taste, from their having lately fed on strong marshy aquatic plants, as I suppose.

That the leaves of vegetables are wholesome and conducive to the health of birds, seems probable, for many people fat their ducks and turkeys with the leaves of lettuce chopped small.—MARKWICK.

---

that the annual migration is much more general in North America than in Europe, owing, no doubt, to the greater severity of the winters there, which would render it impossible for the soft-billed birds to find food. Even in our own country, some of those which do not migrate, are, in severe winters, exposed to great privations. At first view it appears not a little singular, that, among birds differing very little in habit, some should remain with us, and others should migrate; but a slight knowledge of natural history will lead to a solution of the singularity. Soft-billed birds, like the robin, depend upon caterpillars and worms for their principal food; and, as many species of caterpillars live through the winter, such birds are enabled to procure food. In open weather, also, earthworms occasionally make their appearance as well as small slugs. The redbreast, accordingly, and more particularly the wren, may be seen prying with a keen eye into the roots of trees and shrubs for the caterpillars, which lurk there, and hopping over the grass-plots in gardens to pounce upon an earthworm, that may have strayed from its hole; while the wagtail endeavours to pick up a scanty meal of the small winter gnats, which occasionally play about running water in fine weather. The winter supply, however, of live insects would be far from sufficient for the numerous soft-billed birds which haunt our woods and hedges

HEN HARRIER.

Mr. White, of Newton, sprung a pheasant in a wheat stubble, and shot at it; when, notwithstanding the report of the gun, it was immediately pursued by the blue hawk, known by the name of the hen harrier, but escaped into some covert. He then sprung a second, and a third, in the same field, that got away in the same manner; the hawk hovering round him all the while that he was beating the field, conscious no doubt of the game that lurked in the stubble. Hence we may conclude that this bird of prey was rendered very daring and bold by hunger, and that hawks cannot always seize their game when they please. We may farther observe, that they cannot pounce their quarry on the ground, where it might be able to make a stout resistance, since so large a fowl as a pheasant could not but be visible to the piercing eye of a hawk, when hovering over the field. Hence that propensity of cowering and squatting till they are almost trod on, which no doubt was intended as a mode of security: though long rendered destructive to the whole race of *Gallinæ* by the invention of nets and guns[11].

[11] Of the great boldness and rapacity of birds of prey, when urged on by hunger, I have seen several instances; particularly, when shooting in the winter in company with two friends, a woodcock flew across us closely pursued by a small hawk; we all three fired at the woodcock instead of the hawk, which, notwithstanding the report of three guns close by it, continued its pursuit of the woodcock, struck it down, and carried it off, as we afterwards discovered.

At another time, when partridge shooting with a friend, we saw a ring-tail hawk rise out of a pit with some large bird in its claws; though at a great distance, we both fired and obliged it to drop its prey, which proved to be one of the partridges which we were in pursuit of. And lastly, in an

---

in summer; and therefore, it has been so ordained, that the nightingale, the whitethroat, and many others should migrate during the winter to a warmer climate, where they can be in little danger of perishing by famine. The swallows, flycatchers, and other birds, which capture insects on the wing, would obviously be starved to death at the very commencement of the cold weather.—Rennie.

GREAT SPECKLED DIVER, OR LOON.

As one of my neighbours was traversing Wolmer Forest from Bramshot across the moors, he found a large uncommon bird fluttering in the heath, but not wounded, which he brought home alive. On examination it proved to be *Colymbus glacialis*, LINN., the great speckled diver or loon, which is most excellently described in Willughby's Ornithology.

Every part and proportion of this bird is so incomparably adapted to its mode of life, that in no instance do we see the wisdom of God in the creation to more advantage. The head is sharp and smaller than the part of the neck adjoining, in order that it may pierce the water; the wings are placed forward and out of the centre of gravity, for a purpose which shall be noticed hereafter; the thighs quite at the podex, in order to facilitate diving; and the legs are flat, and as sharp

evening, I shot at and plainly saw that I had wounded a partridge, but it being late was obliged to go home without finding it again. The next morning I walked round my land without any gun, but a favourite old spaniel followed my heels. When I came near the field where I wounded the bird the evening before, I heard the partridges call, and seeming to be much disturbed. On my approaching the bar-way they all rose, some on my right, and some on my left hand; and just before and over my head, I perceived (though indistinctly, from the extreme velocity of their motion) two birds fly directly against each other, when instantly, to my great astonishment, down dropped a partridge at my feet: the dog immediately seized it, and on examination I found the blood flow very fast from a fresh wound in the head, but there was some dry clotted blood on its wings and side; whence I concluded that a hawk had singled out my wounded bird as the object of his prey, and had struck it down the instant that my approach had obliged the birds to rise on the wing: but the space berween the hedges was so small, and the motion of the birds so instantaneous and quick, that I could not distinctly observe the operation.—MARKWICK.

The merlin of my collection afforded a forcible example of the ruling passion strong in death. It was shot while pursuing a yellowhammer, and fell behind a hedge. On my friend advancing to the spot, he was surprised to see the *Citrinella* flying towards him, as if for protection. At the same instant the falcon followed in pursuit, struck its quarry, and both fell dead together. It was found on examination to have received a full charge of shot.—G. D.

backwards almost as the edge of a knife, that in striking they may easily cut the water; while the feet are palmated, and broad for swimming, yet so folded up when advanced forward to take a fresh stroke, as to be full as narrow as the shank. The two exterior toes of the feet are longest; the nails flat and broad, resembling the human, which give strength and increase the power of swimming. The foot, when expanded, is not at right angles to the leg or body of the bird: but the exterior part inclining towards the head forms an acute angle with the body; the intention being not to give motion in the line of the legs themselves, but, by the combined impulse of both, in an intermediate line, the line of the body.

Most people know, that have observed at all, that the swimming of birds is nothing more than a walking in the water, where one foot succeeds the other as on the land; yet no one, as far as I am aware, has remarked that diving fowls, while under water, impel and row themselves forward by a motion of their wings, as well as by the impulse of their feet: but such is really the case, as any person may easily be convinced, who will observe ducks when hunted by dogs in a clear pond. Nor do I know that any one has given a reason why the wings of diving fowls are placed so forward: doubtless, not for the purpose of promoting their speed in flying, since that position certainly impedes it; but probably for the increase of their motion under water, by the use of four oars instead of two; yet were the wings and feet nearer together, as in land birds, they would, when in action, rather hinder than assist one another.

This *Colymbus* was of considerable bulk, weighing only three drachms short of three pounds avoirdupois. It measured in length from the bill to the tail (which was very short) two feet; and to the extremities of the toes four inches more; and the breadth of the wings expanded was forty-two inches. A person attempted

to eat the body, but found it very strong and rancid, as is the flesh of all birds living on fish. Divers or loons, though bred in the most northerly parts of Europe, yet are seen with us in very severe winters; and on the Thames are called sprat loons, because they prey much on that sort of fish.

The legs of the *Colymbi* and *Mergi* are placed so very backward, and so out of all centre of gravity, that these birds cannot walk at all. They are called by Linnæus *compedes*, because they move on the ground as if shackled or fettered[12].

STONE CURLEW.

On the 27th of February, 1788, stone curlews were heard to pipe; and on March 1st, after it was dark, some were passing over the village, as might be perceived by their quick short note, which they use in their nocturnal excursions by way of watch-word, that they may not stray and lose their companions.

[12] These accurate and ingenious observations, tending to set forth in a proper light the wonderful works of God in the creation, and to point out his wisdom in adapting the singular form and position of the limbs of this bird to the particular mode in which it is destined to pass the greatest part of its life in an element much denser than the air, do Mr. White credit, not only as a naturalist, but as a man and as a philosopher, in the truest sense of the word, in my opinion; for were we enabled to trace the works of nature minutely and accurately, we should find, not only that every bird, but every creature, was equally well adapted to the purpose for which it was intended; though this fitness and propriety of form is more striking in such animals as are destined to any uncommon mode of life.

I have had in my possession two birds, which, though of a different genus, bear a great resemblance to Mr. White's *Colymbus*, in their manner of life, which is spent chiefly in the water, where they swim and dive with astonishing rapidity, for which purpose their fin-toed feet, placed far behind, and very short wings, are particularly well adapted, and show the wisdom of God in the creation as conspicuously as the bird before mentioned. These birds were the greater and lesser crested grebe, *Podiceps cristatus* et *auritus*. What surprised me most was, that the first of these birds was found alive on dry ground, about seven miles from the sea, to which place there was no communication by water. How did it get so far from the sea? its wings and legs being so ill adapted either to flying or walking. The lesser crested grebe was also found in a fresh water pond which had no communication with other water, at some miles distance from the sea. —Markwick.

Thus, we see, that retire whithersoever they may in the winter, they return again early in the spring, and are, as it now appears, the first summer birds that come back. Perhaps the mildness of the season may have quickened the emigration of the curlews this year.

They spend the day in high elevated fields and sheep-walks; but seem to descend in the night to streams and meadows, perhaps for water, which their upland haunts do not afford them[13].

THE SMALLEST UNCRESTED WILLOW WREN.

THE smallest uncrested willow wren, or chiffchaff, is the next early summer bird which we have remarked; it utters two sharp piercing notes, so loud in hollow woods, as to occasion an echo, and is usually first heard about the 20th of March[14].

FERN-OWL, OR GOAT-SUCKER.

THE country people have a notion that the fern-owl, or churn-owl, or eve-jarr, which they also call a puckeridge, is very injurious to weanling calves, by inflicting, as

[13] On the 31st of January, 1792, I received a bird of this species, which had been recently killed by a neighbouring farmer, who said that he had frequently seen it in his fields during the former part of the winter: this perhaps was an occasional straggler, which, by some accident, was prevented from accompanying its companions in their migration.—MARKWICK.

[14] The spring birds having made their appearance I looked for the *Sylvia rufa*, and find it by no means scarce here. I saw two of them yesterday in one little wood, where they were reiterating their incessant chink chink. One of them sat in the full sun within six or seven yards of me, on the same branch of a young leafless tree, for a quarter of an hour, moving very little, but occasionally from one twig to another. I was perfectly satisfied from seeing it so near that it was the *Sylv. rufa*, but to-day I desired my gamekeeper to shoot one in the act of chinking, to remove all doubt on the subject. The bird now before me is decidedly the *Sylv. rufa*, with the second feather a little shorter than the eighth. Its legs are very dark, and so is its bill except at the base of the lower mandible. The under wing coverts are dull yellow; a very pale ferruginous tint on the sides; the thighs and under tail coverts pale yellowish.—Spofforth, May 14, 1836.—W. H.

it strikes at them, the fatal distemper known to cow-leeches by the name of puckeridge. Thus does this harmless ill-fated bird fall under a double imputation which it by no means deserves—in Italy, of sucking the teats of goats, whence it is called *Caprimulgus*[15]; and with us, of communicating a deadly disorder to cattle. But the truth of the matter is, the malady above-mentioned is occasioned by the *Œstrus Bovis*, a dipterous insect, which lays its eggs along the chines of kine, where the maggots, when hatched, eat their way through the hide of the beast into the flesh, and grow to a very large size. I have just talked with a man, who says he has more than once stripped calves who have died of the puckeridge; that the ail or complaint lay along the chine, where the flesh was much swelled, and filled with purulent matter. Once I myself saw a large rough maggot of this sort squeezed out of the back of a cow.

[15] This poor bird appears to be the butt of innumerable mistakes in all quarters; for, though it feeds, like the bats, upon nocturnal moths and other night-flying insects, the small birds show by the attacks they make upon it, that they believe it to prey upon them. The attacks made by swallows and other small birds upon hawks, shrikes, polecats, and indeed on all animals of prey, must have met the observation of almost every person, all the weakest and most helpless birds in a neighbourhood uniting in a body to drive the invaders away. I have somewhere met with an account of a similar attack upon a hunting spider by flies, though I must look upon this as quite anomalous, for amongst thousands of these spiders whose proceedings I have watched, I never observed such an occurrence. But connected with such singular attacks of the weak upon the strong, a much more remarkable circumstance is frequently witnessed; for passing over the cuckoo, who is persecuted by small birds, evidently because they mistake him for a hawk, most night-birds are attacked in the same way whenever they make their appearance by day. We might perhaps refer this in the case of owls to the general principle, though owls never prey upon birds, if they can procure mice and other small quadrupeds.

The name which this bird has received in all languages of *goat-sucker* (most absurdly continued by most recent naturalists in the term *Caprimulgus*), shows the opinion entertained of it by the vulgar. It is however as impossible for the night-jar to suck the teats of cattle (though most birds are fond of milk) as it is for cats to suck the breath from sleeping infants, of which they are absurdly accused, inasmuch as the structure of their organs would baffle any such attempts.—RENNIE.

These maggots in Essex are called wormils.

The least observation and attention would convince men, that these birds neither injure the goatherd nor the grazier, but are perfectly harmless, and subsist alone, being night birds, on night insects, such as *Scarabæi*, and *Phalænæ;* and through the month of July mostly on the *Scarabæus solstitialis*, which in many districts abounds at that season. Those that we have opened have always had their craws stuffed with large night moths and their eggs, and pieces of chafers: nor does it anywise appear how they can, weak and unarmed as they seem, inflict any harm upon kine, unless they possess the powers of animal magnetism, and can affect them by fluttering over them.

A fern-owl, this evening (August 27), showed off in a very unusual and entertaining manner, by hawking round and round the circumference of my great spreading oak for twenty times following, keeping mostly close to the grass, but occasionally glancing up amidst the boughs of the tree. This amusing bird was then in pursuit of a brood of some particular *Phalæna* belonging to the oak, of which there are several sorts; and exhibited on the occasion a command of wing superior, I think, to that of the swallow itself.

When a person approaches the haunt of fern-owls in an evening, they continue flying round the head of the obtruder; and by striking their wings together above their backs, in the manner that the pigeons called smiters are known to do, make a smart snap: perhaps at that time they are jealous for their young; and this noise and gesture are intended by way of menace.

Fern-owls have attachment to oaks, no doubt on account of food; for the next evening we saw one again several times among the boughs of the same tree; but it did not skim round its stem over the grass, as on the evening before. In May these birds find the *Scarabæus Melolontha* on the oak; and the *Scarabæus solstitialis* at midsummer. These peculiar birds can only be watched

and observed for two hours in the twenty-four; and then in a dubious twilight an hour after sunset and an hour before sunrise.

On this day (July 14, 1789) a woman brought me two eggs of a fern-owl, or eve-jarr, which she found on the verge of the Hanger, to the left of the hermitage, under a beechen shrub. This person, who lives just at the foot of the Hanger, seems well acquainted with these nocturnal swallows, and says she has often found their eggs near that place, and that they lay only two at a time on the bare ground. The eggs were oblong, dusky, and streaked somewhat in the manner of the plumage of the parent bird, and were equal in size at each end. The dam was sitting on the eggs when found, which contained the rudiments of young, and would have been hatched perhaps in a week. From hence we may see the time of their breeding, which corresponds pretty well with that of the swift, as does also the period of their arrival. Each species is usually seen about the beginning of May. Each breeds but once in a summer; each lays only two eggs.

July 4, 1790. The woman who brought me two fern-owl's eggs last year on July 14, on this day produced me two more, one of which had been laid this morning, as appears plainly, because there was only one in the nest the evening before. They were found, as last July, on the verge of the down above the hermitage under a beechen shrub, on the naked ground. Last year those eggs were full of young, and just ready to be hatched.

These circumstances point out the exact time when these curious nocturnal migratory birds lay their eggs and hatch their young. Fern-owls, like snipes, stone curlews, and some other birds, make no nest. Birds that build on the ground, do not make much of nests[16].

[16] No author that I am acquainted with has given so accurate and pleasing an account of the manners and habits of the goat-sucker as Mr. White, taken entirely from his own observations. Its being a nocturnal bird, has prevented my having many opportunities of observing it.

SAND MARTINS.

MARCH 23, 1788. A gentleman, who was this week on a visit at Waverley, took the opportunity of examining some of the holes in the sand banks with which that district abounds. As these are undoubtedly bored by bank martins, and are the places where they avowedly breed, he was in hopes they might have slept there also, and that he might have surprised them just as they were awaking from their winter slumbers. When he had dug for some time, he found the holes were horizontal and serpentine, as I had observed before; and that the nests were deposited at the inner end, and had been occupied by broods in former summers; but no torpid birds were to be found. He opened and examined about a dozen holes. Another gentleman made the same search many years ago, with as little success.

These holes were in depth about two feet.

March 21, 1790. A single bank or sand martin was seen hovering and playing round the sand pit at Short Heath, where in the summer they abound.

April 9, 1793. A sober hind assures us, that this day, on Wish-hanger common, between Hedleigh and Frinsham, he saw several bank martins playing in and out, and hanging before some nest holes in a sand hill, where these birds usually nestle.

This incident confirms my suspicions that this species of *Hirundo* is to be seen first of any; and gives great reason to suppose that they do not leave their wild haunts at all, but are secreted amidst the clefts and caverns of those abrupt cliffs where they usually spend their summers.

I suspect that it passes the day in concealment amidst the dark and shady gloom of deep-wooded dells, or as they are called here gills; having more than once seen it roused from such solitary places by my dogs, when shooting in the daytime. I have also sometimes seen it in an evening, but not long enough to take notice of its habits and manners. I have never seen it but in the summer, between the months of May and September.—MARKWICK.

The late severe weather considered, it is not very probable that these birds should have migrated so early from a tropical region, through all these cutting winds and pinching frosts: but it is easy to suppose that they may, like bats and flies, have been awakened by the influence of the sun, amidst their secret *latebræ*, where they have spent the uncomfortable foodless months in a torpid state, and the profoundest of slumbers.

There is a large pond at Wish-hanger, which induces these sand martins to frequent that district. For I have ever remarked that they haunt near great waters, either rivers or lakes[17].

SWALLOWS, CONGREGATING AND DISAPPEARANCE OF.

DURING the severe winds that often prevail late in the spring, it is not easy to say how the *Hirundines*

[17] Here, and in many other passages of his writings, this very ingenious naturalist favours the opinion that part at least of the swallow tribe pass their winter in a torpid state in the same manner as bats and flies, and revive again on the approach of spring.

I have frequently taken notice of all those circumstances which induced Mr. White to suppose that some of the *Hirundines* lie torpid during winter. I have seen, so late as November, on a finer day than usual at that season of the year, two or three swallows flying backwards and forwards under a warm hedge, or on the sunny side of some old building; nay, I once saw on the 8th of December two martins flying about very briskly, the weather being mild. I had not seen any considerable number either of swallows or martins for a considerable time before; from whence then could these few birds come, if not from some hole or cavern where they had laid themselves up for the winter? Surely it will not be asserted that these birds migrate back again from some distant tropical region, merely on the appearance of a fine day or two at this late season of the year. Again, very early in the spring, and sometimes immediately after very cold severe weather, on its growing a little warmer, a few of these birds suddenly make their appearance, long before the generality of them are seen. These appearances certainly favour the opinion of their passing the winter in a torpid state, but do not absolutely prove the fact; for who ever saw them reviving of their own accord from their torpid state, without being first brought to the fire, and as it were forced into life again; soon after which revivification they constantly die.—MARKWICK.

subsist: for they withdraw themselves, and are hardly ever seen, nor do any insects appear for their support. That they can retire to rest, and sleep away these uncomfortable periods as the bats do, is a matter rather to be suspected than proved: or do they not rather spend their time in deep and sheltered vales near waters, where insects are more likely to be found? Certain it is, that hardly any individuals of this genus have at such times been seen for several days together.

September 13, 1791. The congregating flocks of *Hirundines* on the church and tower are very beautiful and amusing! When they fly off all together from the roof, on any alarm, they quite swarm in the air. But they soon settle in heaps, and preening their feathers, and lifting up their wings to admit the sun, seem highly to enjoy the warm situation. Thus they spend the heat of the day, preparing for their emigration, and, as it were, consulting when and where they are to go. The flight about the church seems to consist chiefly of house martins, about four hundred in number: but there are other places of rendezvous about the village frequented at the same time.

It is remarkable, that though most of them sit on the battlements and roof, yet many hang or cling for some time by their claws against the surface of the walls, in a manner not practised by them at any other time of their remaining with us.

The swallows seem to delight more in holding their assemblies on trees.

November 3, 1789. Two swallows were seen this morning at Newton vicarage-house, hovering and settling on the roofs and out-buildings. None have been observed at Selborne since October 11. It is very remarkable, that after the *Hirundines* have disappeared for some weeks, a few are occasionally seen again; sometimes, in the first week in November, and that only for one day. Do they not withdraw and slumber in some hiding place during the interval? for we cannot suppose

they had migrated to warmer climes, and so returned again for one day. Is it not more probable that they are awakened from sleep, and like the bats are come forth to collect a little food? Bats appear at all seasons through the autumn and spring months, when the thermometer is at 50°, because then moths, *Phalænæ*, are stirring.

These swallows looked like young ones[18].

WAGTAILS.

WHILE the cows are feeding in moist low pastures, broods of wagtails, white and gray, run round them close up to their noses, and under their very bellies, availing themselves of the flies that settle on their legs, and probably finding worms and *larvæ* that are roused by the trampling of their feet. Nature is such an œconomist, that the most incongruous animals can avail themselves of each other! Interest makes strange friendships[19].

[18] Of their migration the proofs are such as will scarcely admit of a doubt. Sir Charles Wager and Captain Wright saw vast flocks of them at sea, when on their passage from one country to another. Our author, Mr. White, saw what he deemed the actual migration of these birds, and which he has described in his History of Selborne*: and of their congregating together on the roofs of churches and other buildings, and on trees, previous to their departure, many instances occur; particularly I once observed a large flock of house martins on the roof of the church here at Catsfield, which acted exactly in the manner here described by Mr. White, sometimes preening their feathers and spreading their wings to the sun, and then flying off all together, but soon returning to their former situation. The greatest part of these birds seemed to be young ones.—MARKWICK.

The best proof of actual migration is drawn from the American swallows which Audubon actually traced from the north to Florida, and saw them the whole winter through at New Orleans, whence they departed for the north again in spring.—RENNIE.

[19] Birds continually avail themselves of particular and unusual circumstances to procure their food; thus wagtails keep playing about the noses and legs of cattle as they feed, in quest of flies and other insects which abound near those animals; and great numbers of them will follow

* Letter XXIII. to Pennant, p. 118.

WRYNECK.

These birds appear on the grassplots and walks; they walk a little as well as hop, and thrust their bills into the turf, in quest, I conclude, of ants, which are their food. While they hold their bills in the grass, they draw out their prey with their tongues, which are so long as to be coiled round their heads.

GROSBEAK.

Mr. B. shot a cock grosbeak, which he had observed to haunt his garden for more than a fortnight. I began to accuse this bird of making sad havock among the buds of the cherries, gooseberries, and wall-fruit of all the neighbouring orchards. Upon opening its crop or craw, no buds were to be seen; but a mass of kernels of the stones of fruits. Mr. B. observed that this bird frequented the spot where plum trees grow; and that he had seen it with somewhat hard in its mouth, which it broke with difficulty; these were the stones of damsons. The Latin ornithologists call this bird *Coccothraustes*, i. e. berry-breaker, because with its large horny beak it cracks and breaks the shells of stone fruits for the sake of the seed or kernel. Birds of this sort are rarely seen in England, and only in winter[20].

close to the plough to devour the worms, &c. that are turned up by that instrument. The redbreast attends the gardener when digging his borders; and will, with great familiarity and tameness, pick out the worms almost close to his spade, as I have frequently seen. Starlings and magpies very often sit on the backs of sheep and deer to pick out their ticks. —Markwick.

[20] I have never seen this rare bird but during the severest cold of the hardest winters; at which season of the year I have had in my possession two or three that were killed in this neighbourhood in different years.—Markwick.

OBSERVATIONS ON QUADRUPEDS.

SHEEP.

THE sheep on the downs this winter (1769) are very ragged, and their coats much torn; the shepherds say they tear their fleeces with their own mouths and horns, and that they are always in that way in mild wet winters, being teased and tickled with a kind of lice.

After ewes and lambs are shorn, there is great confusion and bleating, neither the dams nor the young being able to distinguish one another as before. This embarrassment seems not so much to arise from the loss of the fleece, which may occasion an alteration in their appearance, as from the defect of that *notus odor*, discriminating each individual personally; which also is confounded by the strong scent of the pitch and tar wherewith they are newly marked; for the brute creation recognise each other more from the smell than the sight; and in matters of identity and diversity appeal much more to their noses than to their eyes. After sheep have been washed there is the same confusion, from the reason given above.

RABBITS[1].

RABBITS make incomparably the finest turf; for they not only bite closer than larger quadrupeds, but they

[1] Having found in a stubble field a rabbit's nest, with young about four days old, which could not see, I had them brought home and given to be suckled to a tame doe which was kept by one of my children, and had young ones which were just old enough to be taken from her. She readily undertook the care of her new charge and reared them. When they came to see, it was observable that they were much more startlish and shy than the young rabbits of tame descent, and as they grew bigger they continued

allow no bents to rise: hence warrens produce much the most delicate turf for gardens. Sheep never touch the stalks of grasses.

CAT AND SQUIRRELS[2].

A BOY has taken three little young squirrels in their nest, or drey as it is called in these parts. These small creatures he put under the care of a cat who had lately lost her kittens, and finds that she nurses and suckles them with the same assiduity and affection as if they were her own offspring. This circumstance corroborates my suspicion, that the mention of exposed and deserted children being nurtured by female beasts of prey who had lost their young, may not be so improbable

so, and did not begin near so soon to eat what was offered to them. It is not customary with children to disturb the young rabbits till they are a few days old, so that these wild rabbits had exactly the same education as the tame ones, and yet the result was different. It is evident then that there was a natural difference of character between them from their birth, and a consideration of this point may be important in understanding even the differences between the several races of mankind. It is probable that the state of constant apprehension in which the wild rabbit lives, occasions an irritability of nerve which does not exist in the domestic race, and that such irritability occasions an actual difference in the quality of its fibres which is descendible in its generations. In the same manner it appears to me likely that savages do not acquire acuteness of hearing and some other peculiarities merely from the greater exercise of certain faculties from infancy, but because the extraordinary exercise of those faculties during several generations has rendered the organs which appertain to them different in their texture and tone from those of other races of men in whom those faculties have been quiescent, and more rarely or less intensely called into use.

These rabbits from wild parents, being now above half grown, continue to start away and crouch upon any quick movement of the boy that feeds them, while those of the domestic stock crowd round him; their timidity and want of confidence being unquestionably an inherited and not an acquired peculiarity.—W. H.

[2] The squirrel's nest is not only called a drey in Hampshire, but, also, in other counties; in Suffolk it is called a bay. The word drey, though now provincial, I have met with in some of our old writers.—MITFORD.

The drey is not only the breeding-place, but the storehouse for the animal's provisions.—G. D.

In the north of Hampshire a great portion of the squirrels have white tails. None of this variety, as far as I can learn, reach the London market.

an incident as many have supposed; and therefore may be a justification of those authors who have gravely mentioned, what some have deemed to be a wild and improbable story.

So many people went to see the little squirrels suckled by a cat, that the foster mother became jealous of her charge, and in pain for their safety; and therefore hid them over the ceiling, where one died. This circumstance shows her affection for these foundlings, and that she supposes the squirrels to be her own young. Thus hens, when they have hatched ducklings, are equally attached to them as if they were their own chickens.

HORSE.

An old hunting mare, which ran on the common, being taken very ill, ran down into the village, as it were to implore the help of men, and died the night following in the street.

HOUNDS.

The king's stag hounds came down to Alton, attended by a huntsman and six yeomen prickers, with horns, to try for the stag that has haunted Harteley Wood and its environs for so long a time. Many hundreds of people, horse and foot, attended the dogs to see the deer unharboured; but though the huntsman drew

I was much surprised at hearing from a man who kept a bird and cage shop in London, that not less than twenty thousand squirrels are annually sold there for the *menus plaisirs* of cockneys, part of which come from France, but the greater number are brought in by labourers to Newgate and Leadenhall markets, where any morning during the season four or five hundred might be bought. He said that he himself sold annually about seven hundred: and, he added, that about once in seven years the breed of squirrels entirely fails, but that in other seasons they are equally prolific The subject was introduced by his answering to a woman who came in to buy a squirrel, that he had not had one that season, but before that time in the last season he had sold five hundred. It appears that the mere manufacture of squirrel cages for Londoners is no small concern.—W. H.

Harteley Wood, and Long Coppice, and Shrubwood, and Temple Hangers; and in their way back Harteley and Ward le ham Hangers, yet no stag could be found.

The royal pack, accustomed to have the deer turned out before them, never drew the coverts with any address and spirit, as many people that were present observed: and this remark the event has proved to be a true one. For as a person was lately pursuing a pheasant that was wing-broken, in Harteley Wood, he stumbled upon the stag by accident, and ran in upon him as he lay concealed amidst a thick brake of brambles and bushes.

OBSERVATIONS ON INSECTS AND VERMES.

INSECTS IN GENERAL.

THE day and night insects occupy the annuals alternately: the *Papilios*, *Muscæ*, and *Apes*, are succeeded at the close of the day by *Phalænæ*, earwigs, woodlice, &c. In the dusk of the evening, when beetles begin to buz, partridges begin to call; these two circumstances are exactly coincident.

Ivy is the last flower that supports the hymenopterous and dipterous insects. On sunny days, quite on to November, they swarm on trees covered with this plant; and when they disappear, probably retire under the shelter of its leaves, concealing themselves between its fibres and the trees which it entwines[1].

Spiders, woodlice, *Lepismæ* in cupboards and among sugar, some *Empides*, gnats, flies of several species, some *Phalænæ* in hedges, earthworms, &c. are stirring

[1] This I have often observed, having seen bees and other winged insects swarming about the flowers of the ivy very late in the autumn.—MARKWICK.

at all times, when winters are mild; and are of great service to those soft-billed birds that never leave us.

On every sunny day the winter through, clouds of insects, usually called gnats (I suppose *Tipulæ* and *Empides*) appear sporting and dancing over the tops of the evergreen trees in the shrubbery, and frisking about as if the business of generation was still going on. Hence it appears that these *Diptera* (which by their sizes appear to be of different species) are not subject to a torpid state in the winter as most winged insects are. At night, and in frosty weather, and when it rains and blows, they seem to retire into those trees. They often are out in a fog[2].

HUMMING IN THE AIR.

THERE is a natural occurrence to be met with upon the highest part of our down in hot summer days, which always amuses me much, without giving me any satisfaction with respect to the cause of it; and that is a loud audible humming of bees in the air, though not one insect is to be seen. This sound is to be heard distinctly the whole common through, from the Money-dells, to Mr. White's avenue gate. Any person would suppose that a large swarm of bees was in motion, and playing about over his head[3]. This noise was heard last week, on June 28th.

[2] This I have also seen, and have frequently observed swarms of little winged insects playing up and down in the air in the middle of winter, even when the ground has been covered with snow.—MARKWICK.

[3] I have frequently observed this humming in the neighbourhood of London, in Copenhagen Fields, on Hampstead Heath, and at Shooter's Hill, and for some time was as much puzzled to explain it as White: till I, on several occasions, remarked a troop of swallows busily hawking high overhead, where the humming was heard. There could be no doubt, therefore, that it was occasioned by insects, invisible to me in consequence of their distance. In another instance, I could plainly see numbers of bees passing in their way to and from some blossomed lime trees, as I supposed, which were at a good distance from the spot where I stood—the primary cause, perhaps, of their flying high.—RENNIE.

"Resounds the living surface of the ground,
Nor undelightful is the ceaseless *hum*
To him who muses ——— at noon."
"Thick in yon stream of light a thousand ways,
Upward and downward, thwarting and convolved,
The quivering nations sport." THOMSON'S SEASONS.

CHAFERS.

COCKCHAFERS seldom abound oftener than once in three or four years; when they swarm they deface the trees and hedges. Whole woods of oaks are stripped bare by them.

Chafers are eaten by the turkey, the rook, and the house sparrow.

The *Scarabæus solstitialis* first appears about June 26: they are very punctual in their coming out every year. They are a small species, about half the size of the May chafer, and are known in some parts by the name of the fern chafer[4].

PTINUS PECTINICORNIS.

THOSE maggots that make worm holes in tables, chairs, bedposts, &c., and destroy wooden furniture, especially where there is any sap, are the larvæ of the *Ptinus pectinicornis*. This insect, it is probable, deposits its eggs on the surface, and the worms eat their way in.

In their holes they turn into their pupa state, and so come forth winged in July; eating their way through the valances or curtains of a bed, or any other furniture that happens to obstruct their passage.

[4] A singular circumstance relative to the cockchafer, or, as it is called here, the May-bug, *Scarabæus Melolontha*, happened this year (1800):—My gardener in digging some ground found, about six inches under the surface, two of these insects alive and perfectly formed so early as the 24th of March. When he brought them to me, they appeared to be as perfect and as much alive as in the midst of summer, crawling about as briskly as ever: yet I saw no more of this insect till the 22nd of May, when it began to make its appearance. How comes it, that though it was perfectly formed so early as the 24th of March, it did not show itself above ground till nearly two months afterwards?—MARKWICK.

They seem to be most inclined to breed in beech; hence beech will not make lasting utensils, or furniture. If their eggs are deposited on the surface, frequent rubbings will preserve wooden furniture[5].

BLATTA ORIENTALIS—COCKROACH.

A NEIGHBOUR complained to me that her house was overrun with a kind of black beetle, or, as she expressed herself, with a kind of black bob, which swarmed in her kitchen when they get up in a morning before daybreak.

Soon after this account, I observed an unusual insect in one of my dark chimney closets, and find since, that in the night they swarm also in my kitchen. On examination, I soon ascertained the species to be the *Blatta Orientalis* of Linnæus, and the *Blatta molendinaria* of Mouffet. The male is winged; the female is not, but shows somewhat like the rudiments of wings, as if in the pupa state.

These insects belonged originally to the warmer parts of America, and were conveyed from thence by shipping to the East Indies; and by means of commerce begin to prevail in the more northern parts of Europe, as Russia, Sweden, &c. How long they have abounded in England I cannot say; but have never observed them in my house till lately.

They love warmth, and haunt chimney closets, and the backs of ovens. Poda says that these and house crickets will not associate together; but he is mistaken in that assertion, as Linnæus suspected he was. They are altogether night insects *(lucifugæ)*, never coming forth till the rooms are dark and still, and escaping

[5] The *Ptilinus pectinicornis*, FABR., is by no means the only insect that is destructive to furniture. Various species of *Anobium* also perforate it in all directions. Linnæus's chairs were bored through and destroyed by *An. pertinax;* and the Rev. Mr. Kirby has had his chairs, his picture-frames, and the floor of his chamber eaten in every direction by the *An. striatum:* the last named beetle attacks any furniture, not even abstaining altogether from mahogany.—E. T. B.

away nimbly at the approach of a candle. Their *antennæ* are remarkably long, slender, and flexile.

October, 1790. After the servants are gone to bed, the kitchen hearth swarms with young crickets, and young *Blattæ molendinariæ* of all sizes, from the most minute growth to their full proportions. They seem to live in a friendly manner together, and not to prey the one on the other.

August, 1792. After the destruction of many thousands of *Blattæ molendinariæ*, we find that at intervals a fresh detachment of old ones arrives; and particularly during this hot season: for the windows being left open in the evenings, the males come flying in at the casements from the neighbouring houses, which swarm with them. How the females, that seem to have no perfect wings that they can use, can contrive to get from house to house, does not so readily appear. These, like many insects, when they find their present abodes overstocked, have powers of migrating to fresh quarters. Since the *Blattæ* have been so much kept under, the crickets have greatly increased in number.

GRYLLUS DOMESTICUS—HOUSE CRICKET.

November. After the servants are gone to bed, the kitchen hearth swarms with minute crickets not so large as fleas, which must have been lately hatched. So that these domestic insects, cherished by the influence of a constant large fire, regard not the season of the year, but produce their young at a time when their congeners are either dead, or laid up for the winter, to pass away the uncomfortable months in the profoundest slumbers, and a state of torpidity.

When house crickets are out, and running about in a room in the night, if surprised by a candle, they give two or three shrill notes, as it were for a signal to their fellows, that they may escape to their crannies and lurking holes, to avoid danger.

CIMEX LINEARIS.

AUGUST 12, 1775. *Cimices lineares*[6] are now eagerly pairing on ponds and pools. The females, who vastly exceed the males in bulk, dart and shoot along on the surface of the water with the males on their backs. When a female chooses to be disengaged, she rears, and jumps, and plunges, like an unruly colt; the lover thus dismounted, soon finds a new mate. The females afterwards retire to another part of the lake, perhaps to deposit their *fœtus* in quiet; hence the sexes are found separate, except in the pairing season. From the multitude of minute young of all gradations of sizes, these insects seem without doubt to be viviparous[7].



RANATRA LINEARIS.

[6] [*Ranatra linearis*, FABR.]

[7] The egg of the long water-bug has been sufficiently known for many years. It is armed at one end by two bristles, and is inserted into the stem of an aquatic plant, generally of a club rush, in which it is so deeply immersed by the aid of the lengthened ovipositor of the insect, as to be entirely hidden from view; the bristles alone projecting from the place of concealment. The object of this curious arrangement is among the most beautiful and beneficent of the provisions of nature. While a recep-

PHALÆNA QUERCUS.

Most of our oaks are naked of leaves, and even the Holt in general, having been ravaged by the caterpillars of a small *Phalæna* which is of a pale yellow colour. These insects, though a feeble race, yet, from their infinite numbers, are of wonderful effect, being able to destroy the foliage of whole forests and districts. At this season they leave their aurelia, and issue forth in their fly state, swarming and covering the trees and hedges.

In a field at Greatham, I saw a flight of swifts busied in catching their prey near the ground; and found they were hawking after these *Phalæna*. The *aurelia* of this moth is shining and as black as jet; and lies wrapped up in a leaf of the tree, which is rolled round it, and secured at the ends by a web, to prevent the maggot from falling out[8].

tacle is allotted to the egg in a soft and moist nidus, sheltered from the attacks of its enemies, an exit is at the same time secured for the little larva about to be hatched from it, which will only have to advance itself to separate the projecting bristles, and consequently to spread, sufficiently wide to allow of its passage between them, the ridges of the culm which have been, by their intervention, prevented from uniting after the wound inflicted on them: a union which would have effectually enclosed and buried the included egg with its larva, unfurnished as the latter apparently is with any means of forcing for itself a passage. The egg of the much more common flat water-bug, *Nepa cinerea*, Linn., is still more extensively furnished with the curious appendages adverted to: it has no less than seven bristles, forming a crown, as it were, round one of its extremities.—E. T. B.

[8] I suspect that the insect here meant is not the *Phalæna Quercus*, but the *Phalæna viridata*, concerning which, I find the following note in my Naturalist's Calendar for the year 1785:

About this time, and for a few days last past, I observed the leaves of almost all the oak trees in Denn Copse to be eaten and destroyed, and, on examining more narrowly, saw an infinite number of small beautiful pale green moths flying about the trees; the leaves of which that were not quite destroyed were curled up, and withinside were the *exuviæ* or remains of the *chrysalis*, from whence I suppose the moths had issued, and whose caterpillar had eaten the leaves.—Markwick.

It is by no means improbable, notwithstanding the differences in their

EPHEMERA CAUDA TRISETA—MAY FLY.

JUNE 10, 1771. Myriads of May flies appear for the first time on the Alresford stream. The air was crowded with them, and the surface of the water covered. Large trouts sucked them in as they lay struggling on the

descriptions, that Markwick may have correctly indicated the insect observed by White: for I am not aware that the caterpillar of any small moth, except the *Tortrix viridana*, denudes the oak of its foliage to so great an extent as that noticed in the text. White's insect evidently belonged to the family of *Tortricidæ*, or leaf-rollers, as they may be called; as is shown by its pupa being wrapped up in a leaf, which was rolled round it, and secured at the ends by a web to prevent it from falling out. It consequently could not have been the *Phalæna Quercûs*, LINN., a large moth belonging to the *Bombycidæ*, the pupa of which is enveloped in a cocoon of considerable size, as is seen in that of the silk-worm, perhaps the most familiar instance of the family. The *Phalæna quercana* is a leaf-roller: but this could scarcely have been White's moth, as its deep rufous-yellow wings would not have appeared to him of a pale yellow colour; and I have besides no reason for believing that it ever abounds on the oak so excessively as to strip the trees of their leaves. The latter remark would also apply to the *Tortrices* of the genus *Dictyopteryx* of Mr. Stephens; some one of which may, however, possibly have been the insect meant. But great ravages are unquestionably committed by the moth described by Markwick, known to aurelians by the name of the pea-green. Haworth's remarks on it, in his Lepidoptera Britannica, are confirmatory of Markwick's observations, and are worthy of perusal, not merely on that account, but as they include also an exposition of one of those admirable provisions of nature by which excess in any single department is counteracted.

"In most seasons," Mr. Haworth says, "this insect occurs in greater abundance than any other of the genus; but a few summers since they were produced in such amazing quantities about London, and also in Norfolk, as threatened annihilation to our oaks; and must eventually have really destroyed them, had not nature, by one of her admirable efforts, cured the calamity in her own way: by simply starving the *Tortrices*. The oaks were defoliated by their voracious larvæ; not a perfect leaf, nay hardly the rib of one, was left; in consequence of which myriads of the caterpillars perished through want and hunger, or failed, through weakness, to surmount the difficulties of pupation. So that very few eggs were deposited for the following season; which, as I predicted, was not overburthened with an increase of the *Tortrix*, as many expected, and which would have ruined the oaks: but, with such an astonishing diminution, that hardly a single specimen was to be found where, but the year before, thousands swarmed on every oak."—E. T. B.

surface of the stream, unable to rise till their wings were dried.

This appearance reconciled me in some measure to the wonderful account that Scopoli gives of the quantities emerging from the rivers of Carniola. Their motions are very peculiar, up and down for many yards almost in a perpendicular line[9].

SPHINX OCELLATA.

A VAST insect appears after it is dusk, flying with a humming noise, and inserting its tongue into the bloom of the honeysuckle; it scarcely settles upon the plants, but feeds on the wing in the manner of humming birds[10].

WILD BEE.

THERE is a sort of wild bee frequenting the garden-campion for the sake of its *tomentum*, which probably it

[9] I once saw a swarm of these insects playing up and down over the surface of a pond in Denn Park, exactly in the manner described by this accurate naturalist. It was late in the evening of a warm summer's day, when I observed them.—MARKWICK.

[10] I have frequently seen the large bee moth *(Sphinx Stellatarum)* inserting its long tongue or proboscis into the centre of flowers, and feeding on their nectar, without settling on them, but keeping constantly on the wing.—MARKWICK.

MACROGLOSSA STELLATARUM.

turns to some purpose in the business of nidification. It is very pleasant to see with what address it strips off the pubes, running from the top to the bottom of a branch, and shaving it bare with all the dexterity of a hoop shaver[11]. When it has got a vast bundle, almost as large as itself, it flies away, holding it secure between its chin and its fore legs.

There is a remarkable hill on the downs near Lewes in Sussex, known by the name of Mount Carburn, which overlooks that town, and affords a most engaging prospect of all the country round, besides several views of the sea. On the very summit of this exalted promontory, and amidst the trenches of its Danish camp, there haunts a species of wild bee, making its nest in the chalky soil[12]. When people approach the place, these insects begin to be alarmed, and, with a sharp and hostile sound, dash and strike round the heads and faces of intruders. I have been often interrupted myself while contemplating the grandeur of the scenery around me, and have thought myself in danger of being stung.

WASPS.

Wasps abound in woody wild districts far from neighbourhoods; they feed on flowers, and catch flies and caterpillars to carry to their young. Wasps make their nests with the raspings of sound timber; hornets, with what they gnaw from decayed: these particles of wood are kneaded up with a mixture of saliva from their bodies and moulded into combs.

When there is no fruit in the gardens, wasps eat flies, and suck the honey from flowers, from ivy blossoms, and umbellated plants: they carry off also flesh from butchers' shambles[13].

[11] I possess a specimen of the stem of the garden or rose campion, upon which a bee was thus employed; but being scared away by my approach left the cotton it had shaved off in a neatly rolled up parcel.—Rennie.

[12] Probably *Bombus lapidarius*.—Rennie.

[13] In the year 1775 wasps abounded so prodigiously in this neighbour-

ŒSTRUS CURVICAUDA.

THIS insect lays its nits or eggs on horses' legs, flanks, &c. each on a single hair. The maggots when hatched do not enter the horses' skins, but fall to the ground. It seems to abound most in moist moorish places, though sometimes seen in the uplands[14].

NOSE FLY.

ABOUT the beginning of July, a species of fly *(Musca)* obtains, which proves very tormenting to horses, trying still to enter their nostrils and ears, and actually laying their eggs in the latter of those organs, or perhaps in both. When these abound, horses in woodland districts become very impatient at their work, continually tossing their heads, and rubbing their noses on each other, regardless of the driver, so that accidents often ensue. In the heat of the day, men are often obliged to desist from ploughing. Saddle horses are also very troublesome at such seasons. Country people call this insect the nose fly[15].

ICHNEUMON FLY.

I SAW lately a small ichneumon fly attack a spider much larger than itself on a grass walk. When the

hood, that, in the month of August, no less than seven or eight nests were ploughed up in one field: of which there were several instances, as I was informed.

In the spring, about the beginning of April, a single wasp is sometimes seen, which is of a larger size than usual; this I imagine is the queen or female wasp, the mother of the future swarm.—MARKWICK.

[14] A full account of the proceedings of the *Gasterophilus Equi*, LEACH, will be found in Letter XXXIV. to Pennant, and in the note subjoined to it, at p. 150.—E. T. B.

[15] Is not this insect the *Œstrus nasalis* of Linnæus, so well described by Mr. Clark in the third volume of the Linnean Transactions, under the name of *Œstrus veterinus**?—MARKWICK.

* [*Gasterophilus nasalis*, CURT.]

spider made any resistance, the ichneumon applied her tail to him, and stung him with great vehemence, so that he soon became dead and motionless. The ichneumon then running backward, drew her prey very nimbly over the walk into the standing grass. This spider would be deposited in some hole where the ichneumon would lay some eggs; and as soon as the eggs were hatched, the carcass would afford ready food for the maggots.

Perhaps some eggs might be injected into the body of the spider, in the act of stinging. Some ichneumons deposit their eggs in the aurelia of moths and butterflies[16].

[16] The caterpillar of the small eggar moth (*Phalæna Lanestris**) is peculiarly subject to the attacks of a very small ichneumon fly. Indeed, I believe that not one in a thousand escapes, luckily for the thorn hedges, which would otherwise be entirely devoured by them, for no bird will touch them on account of their hairs, and the sole eating of them seems to be given to the ichneumons. The creature continues feeding and thriving with a whole colony of maggots within it, till full grown; and the maggots come to maturity at the same time precisely. At the moment when the caterpillar is preparing to spin its web, they gnaw a hole through its side, and issuing through it, immediately begin to spin their own webs, and turn to chrysalides all huddled together; and so completely have they devoured its inside, that nothing remains but a dead skin of a caterpillar attached to their webs; yet, strange to say, I have seen the caterpillar walking just before they burst out.—W. H.

In my Naturalist's Calendar for 1795, July 21st, I find the following note:

It is not uncommon for some of the species of ichneumon flies to deposit their eggs in the chrysalis of a butterfly: some time ago I put two of the chrysalis of a butterfly into a box, and covered it with gauze, to discover what species of butterfly they would produce; but instead of a butterfly, one of them produced a number of small ichneumon flies.

There are many instances of the great service these little insects are to mankind in reducing the number of noxious insects, by depositing their eggs in the soft bodies of their *larvæ;* but none more remarkable than that of the *Ichneumon Tipulæ*, which pierces the tender body and deposits its eggs in the *larva* of the *Tipula Tritici*†, an insect which, when it abounds greatly, is very prejudicial to the grains of wheat. This operation I have frequently seen it perform with wonder and delight.—MARKWICK.

* [*Eriogaster Lanestris*, GERM.] † [*Cecidomyia Tritici*, KIRB.]

BOMBYLIUS MEDIUS.

THE *Bombylius medius* is much about in March and the beginning of April, and soon seems to retire. It is a hairy insect, like an humblebee, but with only two wings, and a long straight beak, with which it sucks the early flowers. The female seems to lay its eggs as it poises on its wings, by striking its tail on the ground, and against the grass that stands in its way, in a quick manner, for several times together[17].

MUSCÆ—FLIES.

IN the decline of the year, when the mornings and evenings become chilly, many species of flies *(Muscæ)* retire into houses, and swarm in the windows.

At first they are very brisk and alert; but as they grow more torpid, one cannot help observing that they move with difficulty, and are scarce able to lift their legs, which seem as if glued to the glass; and by degrees many do actually stick on till they die in the place.

It has been observed that divers flies, besides their sharp hooked nails, have also skinny palms, or flaps to their feet, whereby they are enabled to stick on glass and other smooth bodies, and to walk on ceilings with their backs downward, by means of the pressure of the atmosphere on those flaps: the weight of which they easily overcome in warm weather when they are brisk and alert. But in the decline of the year, this resistance becomes too mighty for their diminished strength; and we see flies labouring along, and lugging their feet in windows, as if they stuck fast to the glass, and it is with the utmost difficulty they can draw one foot after

[17] I have often seen this insect fly with great velocity, stop on a sudden, hang in the air in a stationary position for some time, and then fly off again; but do not recollect having ever seen it strike its tail against the ground, or any other substance.—MARKWICK.

another, and disengage their hollow caps from the slippery surface.

Upon the same principle that flies stick and support themselves, do boys, by way of play, carry heavy weights by only a piece of wet leather at the end of a string clapped close on the surface of a stone.

TIPULÆ, OR EMPIDES.

MAY. Millions of *Empides*, or *Tipulæ*, come forth at the close of day, and swarm to such a degree as to fill the air. At this juncture they sport and copulate; as it grows more dark they retire. All day they hide in the hedges. As they rise in a cloud they appear like smoke.

I do not ever remember to have seen such swarms, except in the fens of the Isle of Ely. They appear most over grass grounds.

ANTS.

AUGUST 23. Every ant hill about this time is in a strange hurry and confusion; and all the winged ants, agitated by some violent impulse, are leaving their homes, and, bent on emigration, swarm by myriads in the air, to the great emolument of the *Hirundines*, which fare luxuriously. Those that escape the swallows return no more to their nests, but, looking out for fresh settlements, lay a foundation for future colonies. All the females at this time are pregnant: the males that escape being eaten wander away and die.

October 2. Flying ants, male and female, usually swarm and migrate on hot sunny days in August and September; but this day a vast emigration took place in my garden, and myriads came forth, in appearance, from the drain which goes under the fruit wall; filling the air and the adjoining trees and shrubs with their numbers. The females were full of eggs. This late swarming is probably owing to the backward, wet

season. The day following, not one flying ant was to be seen.

Horse ants travel home to their nests laden with flies, which they have caught, and the aureliæ of smaller ants, which they seize by violence[18].

GLOW-WORMS.

By observing two glow-worms which were brought from the field to the bank in the garden, it appeared to us, that these little creatures put out their lamps between eleven and twelve, and shine no more for the rest of the night.

Male glow-worms, attracted by the light of the candles, come into the parlour.

EARTHWORMS.

Earthworms make their casts most in mild weather about March and April; they do not lie torpid in winter, but come forth when there is no frost; they travel about in rainy nights, as appears from their sinuous tracks on the soft muddy soil, perhaps in search of food.

When earthworms lie out a nights on the turf, though they extend their bodies a great way, they do not quite leave their holes, but keep the ends of their tails fixed therein, so that on the least alarm they can retire with

[18] In my Naturalist's Calendar for the year 1777, on September 6th, I find the following note to the article Flying Ants:

I saw a prodigious swarm of these ants flying about the top of some tall elm trees close by my house; some were continually dropping to the ground as if from the trees, and others rising up from the ground: many of them were pairing; and I imagine their life is but short, for as soon as produced from the egg by the heat of the sun, they propagate their species, and soon after perish. They were black, somewhat like the small black ant, and had four wings. I saw also, at another place, a large sort which were yellowish. On the 8th of September, 1785, I again observed the same circumstance of a vast number of these insects flying near the tops of the elms and dropping to the ground.

On the 2nd of March, 1777, I saw great numbers of ants come out of the ground.—Markwick.

precipitation under the earth. Whatever food falls within their reach when thus extended, they seem to be content with, such as blades of grass, straws, fallen leaves, the ends of which they often draw into their holes; even when pairing their hinder parts never quit their holes: so that no two, except they lie within reach of each other's bodies, can pair; but as every individual is an hermaphrodite, there is no difficulty in meeting with a mate, as would be the case were they of different sexes.

SNAILS AND SLUGS.

THE shell-less snails called slugs are in motion all the winter in mild weather, and commit great depredations on garden plants, and much injure the green wheat, the loss of which is imputed to earthworms; while the shelled snail, the *Φερεοικος*, does not come forth at all till about April 10th, and not only lays itself up pretty early in autumn, in places secure from frost, but also throws out round the mouth of its shell a thick operculum formed from its own saliva; so that it is perfectly secured, and corked up as it were, from all inclemencies. The cause why the slugs are able to endure the cold so much better than shell snails is, that their bodies are covered with slime as whales are with blubber.

Snails pair about Midsummer; and soon after deposit their eggs in the mould by running their heads and bodies underground. Hence the way to be rid of them is to kill as many as possible before they begin to breed.

Large, gray, shell-less, cellar snails lay themselves up about the same time with those that live abroad; hence it is plain that a defect of warmth is not the only cause that influences their retreat.

SNAKES' SLOUGH.

——— "There the snake throws her enamell'd skin."
SHAKSPEARE, Mids. Night's Dream.

ABOUT the middle of this month (September) we found in a field near a hedge the slough of a large snake, which seemed to have been newly cast. From circumstances it appeared as if turned wrong side outward, and as drawn off backward, like a stocking or woman's glove. Not only the whole skin, but scales from the very eyes, are peeled off, and appear in the head of the slough like a pair of spectacles. The reptile, at the time of changing his coat, had entangled himself intricately in the grass and weeds, so that the friction of the stalks and blades might promote this curious shifting of his exuviæ.

"———————— Lubrica serpens
Exuit in spinis vestem." LUCRET.

It would be a most entertaining sight could a person be an eye-witness to such a feat, and see the snake in the act of changing his garment. As the convexity of the scales of the eyes in the slough is now inward, that circumstance alone is a proof that the skin has been turned: not to mention that now the present inside is much darker than the outer. If you look through the scales of the snake's eyes from the concave side, viz. as the reptile used them, they lessen objects much. Thus it appears from what has been said, that snakes crawl out of the mouth of their own sloughs, and quit the tail part last, just as eels are skinned by a cook maid. While the scales of the eyes are growing loose, and a new skin is forming, the creature, in appearance, must be blind, and feel itself in an awkward uneasy situation[19].

[19] I have seen many sloughs or skins of snakes entire, after they have cast them off; and once in particular I remember to have found one of

OBSERVATIONS ON VEGETABLES.

TREES, ORDER OF LOSING THEIR LEAVES.

ONE of the first trees that becomes naked is the walnut: the mulberry, the ash, especially if it bears many keys, and the horse-chestnut, come next. All lopped trees, while their heads are young, carry their leaves a long while. Apple-trees and peaches remain green till very late, often till the end of November: young beeches never cast their leaves till spring, till the new leaves sprout and push them off: in the autumn the beechen leaves turn of a deep chestnut colour. Tall beeches cast their leaves about the end of October.

these sloughs so intricately interwoven amongst some brakes that it was with difficulty removed without being broken: this undoubtedly was done by the creature to assist in getting rid of its incumbrance.

I have great reason to suppose that the eft or common lizard also casts its skin or slough, but not entire like the snake; for on the 30th of March, 1777, I saw one with something ragged hanging to it, which appeared to be part of its old skin*.—MARKWICK.

* I have often seen caterpillars in the act of changing their skins, and of throwing off the skin and becoming chrysalides; and also the fly in the act of coming forth. The skin is thrown off by a wriggling motion of the body, beginning from the head: the fly breaks through by quick and sharp exertion of the legs; the wings of the moth and butterfly are in miniature when they come forth; they immediately climb to a situation where by position the wings hang down, and the wings quickly grow to their full size and become rigid. If they are prevented from reaching such a situation, or dislodged before the wings are full grown and stiffened, they will remain rumpled and unserviceable.—W. H.

SIZE AND GROWTH.

MR. MARSHAM of Stratton, near Norwich, informs me by letter thus: "I became a planter early; so that an oak which I planted in 1720 is become now, at one foot from the earth, twelve feet six inches in circumference, and at fourteen feet (the half of the timber length) is eight feet two inches. So if the bark was to be measured as timber, the tree gives one hundred and sixteen and a half feet, buyer's measure. Perhaps you never heard of a larger oak while the planter was living. I flatter myself that I increased the growth by washing the stem, and digging a circle as far as I supposed the roots to extend, and by spreading sawdust, &c. as related in the Philosophical Transactions. I wish I had begun with beeches, (my favourite trees as well as yours), I might then have seen very large trees of my own raising. But I did not begin with beech till 1741, and then by seed; so that my largest is now, at five feet from the ground, six feet three inches in girth, and with its head spreads a circle of twenty yards diameter. This tree was also dug round, washed, &c." Stratton, 24 July, 1790[1].

[1] Robert Marsham, of Stratton Strawless, a country gentleman of similar tastes in many respects with Gilbert White, commenced his observations on some of the proceedings of nature at an earlier period than our historian, and continued them to a later date. A register of the indications of spring, published by him in the Philosophical Transactions, begins in 1736, and is continued for more than half a century. His latest paper in that valuable collection, is devoted to an account of the measurements of trees, being supplemental to a communication made by him nearly forty years before. It contains, among others, the girth of the oak planted by himself in 1720: a singular instance of longevity combined with perseverance in the same pursuit. Few are the men who live to measure trees planted by themselves seventy-seven years previously!

It was at the hospitable seat of his "very worthy and ingenious friend, Robert Marsham," that Stillingfleet prepared his Calendar of Flora for 1755, which has been already referred to. He thus speaks of its situation: "All the country about is a dead flat; on one side is a barren black heath; on the other a light sandy loam; partly tilled, partly pasture land sheltered with fine groves."—E. T. B.

The circumference of trees planted by myself, at one foot from the ground (1790).

		feet.	inches.
Oak in	1730 . .	4	5
Ash	1730 . .	4	6½
Great fir	1751 . .	5	0
Greatest beech	1751 . .	4	0
Elm	1750 . .	5	3
Lime	1756 . .	5	5[2]

The great oak in the Holt, which is deemed by Mr. Marsham to be the biggest in this island, at seven

THE GRINDSTONE OAK, IN THE HOLT FOREST.

[2] It is now impossible so to identify the trees whose measurements are given in the text, as to warrant a statement of their actual circumference, and thus to afford data for determining their rate of growth in their

feet from the ground, measures in circumference thirty-four feet. It has in old times lost several of its boughs, and is tending to decay. Mr. Marsham computes, that at fourteen feet length this oak contains one thousand feet of timber[3].

It has been the received opinion that trees grow in height only by their annual upper shoot. But my neighbour over the way, whose occupation confines him to one spot, assures me that trees are expanded and raised in the lower parts also. The reason that he gives is this: the point of one of my firs began for the first time to peer over an opposite roof at the beginning of summer; but before the growing season was over, the whole shoot of the year, and three or four joints of the body beside, became visible to him as he sits on his form in his shop[4]. According to this supposition, a tree may

earlier, and in their more mature years. The greatest beech which I observed in 1835, in the park-like enclosure at the back of Gilbert White's house at Selborne, measured ten feet in circumference at about one foot from the ground: the largest ash in the same enclosure, nine feet: and a fine fir, which the author was wont to speak of as his eldest son, and which is perhaps the great fir alluded to above, measured eight feet in circumference. If, however, the great fir be the same with Gilbert White's eldest son, its growth during the last forty-five years has been slow as compared with that which took place in the forty earlier years of its existence.—E. T. B.

[3] There are in the Holt two great oaks; one known as the Grindstone, and the other as the Buck's Horn. The former, I apprehend, is the one measured by Mr. Marsham. At about five feet from the ground its circumference is fully thirty-six feet. It is now a ruin merely, and destitute altogether of life: a massive ruin, however, which will resist, through generations yet to come, the utmost force of the elements. Its singularly formed and gigantic vertical branch will probably be severed, before many years are past, from the stupendous trunk: but the trunk itself will endure. The care which has been judiciously taken to preserve it from wanton or thoughtless injury, is highly praiseworthy: both it and the Buck's Horn are surrounded by a fence and hedge.

The Buck's Horn oak is of a very different form from the Grindstone. It is not yet entirely dead. A figure of it, from a sketch taken at the same time with that from which the above drawing was made, will be given in the work entitled Selborne and its Vicinity, to which I have already had occasion to refer for the further illustration of much of the local scenery.—E. T. B.

[4] Mr. White is innocent of this observation, and merely relates the

advance in height considerably, though the summer shoot should be destroyed every year.

FLOWING OF SAP.

If the bough of a vine is cut late in the spring, just before the shoots push out, it will bleed considerably; but after the leaf is out, any part may be taken off without the least inconvenience. So oaks may be barked while the leaf is budding; but as soon as they are expanded, the bark will no longer part from the wood, because the sap that lubricates the bark and makes it part, is evaporated off through the leaves.

RENOVATION OF LEAVES.

When oaks are quite stripped of their leaves by chafers, they are clothed again soon after Midsummer with a beautiful foliage; but beeches, horse-chestnuts, and maples, once defaced by those insects, never recover their beauty again for the whole season.

ASH-TREES.

Many ash-trees bear loads of keys every year, others never seem to bear any at all. The prolific ones are naked of leaves and unsightly; those that are sterile abound in foliage, and carry their verdure a long while, and are pleasing objects.

BEECH.

Beeches love to grow in crowded situations, and will insinuate themselves through the thickest covert, so as to surmount it all: are therefore proper to mend thin places in tall hedges.

assertion of a shopkeeper, which I apprehend was erroneous. It seems quite impossible that an expansion of such magnitude should have taken place.—W. H.

SYCAMORE.

MAY 12. The sycamore, or great maple, is in bloom, and at this season makes a beautiful appearance, and affords much pabulum for bees, smelling strongly like honey. The foliage of this tree is very fine, and very ornamental to outlets. All the maples have saccharine juices.

GALLS OF LOMBARDY POPLAR.

THE stalks and ribs of the leaves of the Lombardy poplar are embossed with large tumours of an oblong shape, which, by incurious observers, have been taken for the fruit of the tree. These galls are full of small insects, some of which are winged, and some not. The parent insect is of the genus of *Cynips*. Some poplars in the garden are quite loaded with these excrescences.

CHESTNUT TIMBER.

JOHN CARPENTER brings home some old chestnut-trees which are very long; in several places the wood-peckers had begun to bore them. The timber and bark of these trees are so very like oak, as might easily deceive an indifferent observer, but the wood is very shakey, and towards the heart cup-shakey (that is to say, apt to separate in round pieces like cups), so that the inward parts are of no use. They were bought for the purpose of cooperage, but must make but ordinary barrels, buckets, &c. Chestnut sells for half the price of oak; but has sometimes been sent into the king's docks, and passed off instead of oak.

LIME BLOSSOMS.

DR. CHANDLER tells, that in the south of France, an infusion of the blossoms of the lime-tree *(Tilia)* is in much esteem as a remedy for coughs, hoarsenesses,

fevers, &c. and that at Nismes, he saw an avenue of limes that was quite ravaged and torn in pieces by people greedily gathering the bloom, which they dried and kept for these purposes.

Upon the strength of this information we made some tea of lime blossoms, and found it a very soft, well flavoured, pleasant, saccharine julep, in taste much resembling the juice of liquorice.

BLACKTHORN.

THIS tree usually blossoms while cold north-east winds blow; so that the harsh rugged weather obtaining at this season is called by the country people, blackthorn winter.

IVY BERRIES.

IVY berries afford a noble and providential supply for birds in winter and spring; for the first severe frost freezes and spoils all the haws, sometimes by the middle of November; ivy berries do not seem to freeze.

HOPS.

THE culture of Virgil's vines corresponded very exactly with the modern management of hops. I might instance in the perpetual diggings and hoeings, in the tying to the stakes and poles, in pruning the superfluous shoots, &c.; but lately I have observed a new circumstance, which was, a neighbouring farmer's harrowing between the rows of hops with a small triangular harrow, drawn by one horse, and guided by two handles. This occurrence brought to my mind the following passage:

> "———————— ipsa
> Flectere luctantes inter vineta juvencos."
> GEORGIC. II.

Hops are dicœcious plants; hence perhaps it might be proper, though not practised, to leave purposely some

male plants in every garden, that their farina might impregnate the blossoms. The female plants without their male attendants are not in their natural state: hence we may suppose the frequent failure of crop so incident to hop-grounds; no other growth, cultivated by man, has such frequent and general failures as hops.

Two hop-gardens much injured by a hailstorm, June 5, show now (September 2) a prodigious crop, and larger and fairer hops than any in the parish. The owners seem now to be convinced that the hail, by beating off the tops of the binds, has increased the side shoots, and improved the crop. Query therefore, should not the tops of hops be pinched off when the binds are very gross and strong?

SEED LYING DORMANT.

THE naked part of the Hanger is now covered with thistles of various kinds. The seeds of these thistles may have lain probably under the thick shade of the beeches for many years, but could not vegetate till the sun and air were admitted. When old beech-trees are cleared away, the naked ground in a year or two becomes covered with strawberry plants, the seeds of which must have lain in the ground for an age at least. One of the slidders or trenches down the middle of the Hanger, close covered over with lofty beeches near a century old, is still called Strawberry Slidder, though no strawberries have grown there in the memory of man. That sort of fruit, did once, no doubt, abound there, and will again when the obstruction is removed[5].

[5] Many years ago I observed the whole line of the fresh-made bank of a new cut then in progress near Spalding in Lincolnshire completely covered with wild mustard, though not a plant of it was to be seen elsewhere in the neighbourhood. This seed must have been thrown up from the bottom of the cut, which to the best of my recollection was twelve or fourteen feet deep: and I conclude that it must have been antediluvial.—W. H.

BEANS SOWN BY BIRDS.

MANY horsebeans sprang up in my field-walks in the autumn, and are now grown to a considerable height. As the Ewel was in beans last summer, it is most likely that these seeds came from thence; but then the distance is too considerable for them to have been conveyed by mice. It is most probable therefore that they were brought by birds, and in particular by jays and pies, who seem to have hid them among the grass and moss, and then to have forgotten where they had stowed them. Some pease are also growing in the same situation, and probably under the same circumstances.

CUCUMBERS SET BY BEES.

IF bees, who are much the best setters of cucumbers, do not happen to take kindly to the frames, the best way is to tempt them by a little honey put on the male and female bloom. When they are once induced to haunt the frames, they set all the fruit, and will hover with impatience round the lights in a morning, till the glasses are opened. *Probatum est.*

WHEAT.

A NOTION has always obtained, that in England hot summers are productive of fine crops of wheat; yet in the years 1780 and 1781, though the heat was intense, the wheat was much mildewed, and the crop light. Does not severe heat, while the straw is milky, occasion its juices to exude, which being extravasated, occasion spots, discolour the stems and blades, and injure the health of the plants?

TRUFFLES.

AUGUST. A truffle-hunter called on us, having in his pocket several large truffles found in this neighbour-

ood. He says these roots are not to be found in deep woods, but in narrow hedge-rows and the skirts of coppices. Some truffles, he informed us, lie two feet within the earth, and some quite on the surface; the latter, he added, have little or no smell, and are not so easily discovered by the dogs as those that lie deeper. Half-a-crown a pound was the price which he asked for this commodity.

Truffles never abound in wet winters and springs. They are in season, in different situations, at least nine months in the year[6].

TREMELLA NOSTOC.

THOUGH the weather may have been ever so dry and burning, yet after two or three wet days, this jellylike substance abounds on the walks.

FAIRY RINGS[7].

THE cause, occasion, call it what you will, of fairy rings, subsists in the turf, and is conveyable with it;

[6] We discovered, many years ago, an immense stock of very small truffles crowded together under a young cedar-tree upon the lawn, near the house at Highclere. Mr. Gowen tried successfully the experiment of transplanting several of these and setting them under beech-trees, marking the spots where they were planted. They increased in size, and became much finer than those which were left.—W. H.

[7] Mr. Dovaston of Shrewsbury has lately published a very ingenious paper on this subject, in which he adopts the electric theory of their formation. His doctrine is, that when a column of electric matter affects the earth, either ascending or descending, it scorches the ground all around its edge, and leaves the centre untouched. Consequently the grass is withered, which contributes to fertilize the spot where the herbage springs luxuriantly the following season, and at the same time brings into vegetation the dormant seeds of fungi, which grow and disappear rapidly, and with them the fairy ring—rarely existing two successive seasons. The common fungi of fairy rings are *Agaricus*, *Boletus*, or *Lycoperdon*, and, sometimes, *Clavaria*.—RENNIE.

Mr. Jessopp, in the Philosophical Transactions for 1675, propounded the electrical theory, and adduced in support of it the testimony of a friend who, walking out one day among some mowing grass, in which he had

for the turf of my garden-walks, brought from the down above, abounds with those appearances, which vary their shape, and shift situation continually, discovering themselves now in circles, now in segments, and sometimes in irregular patches and spots. Wherever they obtain, puffballs abound; the seeds of which were doubtless brought in the turf.

METEOROLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS.

BAROMETER.

NOVEMBER 22, 1768. A remarkable fall of the barometer all over the kingdom. At Selborne we had no wind, and not much rain; only vast, swagging, rocklike clouds appeared at a distance.

PARTIAL FROST.

THE country people, who are abroad in winter mornings long before sunrise, talk much of hard frost in some spots, and none in others. The reason of these partial frosts is obvious, for there are at such times partial fogs about; where the fog obtains, little or no frost appears: but where the air is clear, there it freezes hard. So the frost takes place either on hill or in dale, wherever the air happens to be clearest and freest from vapour.

been but a little while before, after a great storm of thunder and lightning which seemed by the noise and flashes to have been very near him, observed a circle of about four or five yards diameter, the border of it about a foot broad, newly burnt bare, as the colour and bitterness of the grass roots plainly testified. He knew not what to ascribe it to but the lightning. After the grass was mowed, the next year it came up more fresh and green in the place burnt than in the middle, and at mowing time was much taller and ranker.—E. T. B.

THAW.

THAWS are sometimes surprisingly quick, considering the small quantity of rain. Does not the warmth at such times come from below? The cold in still, severe seasons seems to come down from above: for the coming over of a cloud in severe nights raises the thermometer abroad at once full ten degrees. The first notices of thaws often seem to appear in vaults, cellars, &c.

If a frost happens, even when the ground is considerably dry, as soon as a thaw takes place, the paths and fields are all in a batter. Country people say that the frost draws moisture. But the true philosophy is, that the steam and vapours continually ascending from the earth, are bound in by the frost, and not suffered to escape till released by the thaw. No wonder then that the surface is all in a float; since the quantity of moisture by evaporation that arises daily from every acre of ground is astonishing[8].

[8] In the neighbourhood of Spofforth, when a very sudden and mild thaw takes place with perfect calm after a severe frost of some duration, I am able to prognosticate that a most violent gale of wind will come on in about twenty-four hours. Numbers of times have I prophesied this, and I do not remember having been once wrong. So invariably have I found this to occur that I have acted upon it; and I remember, particularly, surprising my groom on a very still and mild day after a frost, by telling him that I would not ride the horse he proposed to have ready for me the next morning on account of its being very skittish in a gale of wind, and the next day it blew a hurricane as I had expected. I apprehend that it is occasioned by the volume of cold air from Craven and the moors which rushes down upon our lower regions, when the temperature is suddenly raised, and becomes unusually warm.—W. H.

The disappearance of frost and the melting of the snows, accompanied with copious rains, are intended by nature to loosen the soil for the expansion of the roots of plants, and at the same time to supply the fluids which are to form the sap. Where chalk, limestone, or marble abounds either in rock masses, or diffused through the soil in the form of sand or gravel, the thaws of the season tend to disintegrate the more compact portions and set free their carbonic acid, which, being washed down to the roots of plants by rain, constitutes an important portion of their nutriment, or at least serves as a stimulant to excite the absorbent orifices of the fibrillæ to imbibe nutritive juices.—RENNIE.

FROZEN SLEET.

JANUARY 20. Mr. H.'s man says, that he caught this day, in a lane near Hackwood Park, many rooks, which, attempting to fly, fell from the trees with their wings frozen together by the sleet, that froze as it fell. There were, he affirms, many dozen so disabled.

MIST, CALLED LONDON SMOKE.

THIS is a blue mist which has somewhat the smell of coal-smoke, and as it always comes to us with a north-east wind, is supposed to come from London. It has a strong smell, and is supposed to occasion blights. When such mists appear they are usually followed by dry weather.

REFLECTION OF FOG.

WHEN people walk in a deep white fog by night with a lanthorn, if they will turn their backs to the light, they will see their shades impressed on the fog in rude gigantic proportions. This phenomenon seems not to have been attended to, but implies the great density of the meteor at that juncture[9].

[9] It appeared to me very singular that the phenomenon called *mirage* by the French, and for which we have no other name in our language, should have created so much surprise when the French and British armies were in Egypt, and that it should have been looked upon as a marvel belonging to that country. I was well acquainted with the phenomenon, and had repeatedly seen it in the flats of Lincolnshire and the adjoining counties. I have seen it day after day in hot weather upon Peterborough Common, of which the remoter parts, though quite dry, looked like a great lake of water in which every willow and cow was beautifully and distinctly reflected downwards. I have seen the whole country between Spalding and Fossdike-wash in dry weather appear like part of the sea, in the middle of which rose the tower of Boston church, looking like the masts and sails of a large ship standing in towards the person looking at it; and a gentleman unacquainted with the country who was with me wished very much to make a wager with me that it actually was a ship, and the whole extent of dry land a portion of the sea. I believe that the mirage may always be seen in the flat grass districts upon a bright day in summer.—W. H.

HONEYDEW[10].

JUNE 4, 1783. Vast honeydews this week. The reason of these seems to be, that in hot days the effluvia of flowers are drawn up by a brisk evaporation, and then in the night fall down with the dews with which they are entangled.

This clammy substance is very grateful to bees, who gather it with great assiduity, but it is injurious to the trees on which it happens to fall, by stopping the pores of the leaves. The greatest quantity falls in still close weather; because winds disperse it, and copious dews dilute it, and prevent its ill effects. It falls mostly in hazy warm weather.

MORNING CLOUDS.

AFTER a bright night and vast dew, the sky usually becomes cloudy by eleven or twelve o'clock in the forenoon, and clear again towards the decline of the day. The reason seems to be, that the dew, drawn up by evaporation, occasions the clouds; which, towards evening, being no longer rendered buoyant by the warmth of the sun, melt away, and fall down again in dews. If clouds are watched in a still warm evening, they will be seen to melt away, and disappear[11].

[10] Honeydews are owing to a cause very different from that to which they are here attributed: the remarks on them, but that Gilbert White regarded them as derived from the atmosphere, ought rather to be placed under the head of entomological than of meteorological observations. In the notes on page 396 their true nature is explained.—E. T. B.

[11] During the last summer, as well as in former seasons, I have very frequently remarked that when the sky was beautifully streaked with the wane clouds, variously denominated mare's-tail and wind reels (*Cirro-stratus*, HOWARD), rain almost to a certainty followed within twelve hours; and hence when the firmament is most pleasing to the eye and gives token to the inexperienced of continued fine weather, storms are in the meanwhile gradually brewing to belie the appearance. It reminds me of Æsop's shepherd, who was tempted to become a sailor by the temporary but treacherous tranquillity of the sea. In the same way I have

DRIPPING WEATHER AFTER DROUGHT.

No one that has not attended to such matters, and taken down remarks, can be aware how much ten days dripping weather will influence the growth of grass or corn after a severe dry season. This present summer, 1776, yielded a remarkable instance; for till the 30th of May the fields were burnt up and naked, and the barley not half out of the ground; but now, June 10, there is an agreeable prospect of plenty.

AURORA BOREALIS.

NOVEMBER 1, 1787. The Northern Aurora made a particular appearance, forming itself into a broad, red, fiery belt, which extended from E. to W. across the welkin: but the moon rising at about ten o'clock, in unclouded majesty in the E., put an end to this grand, but awful meteorous phenomenon.

been frequently tempted into a rather distant excursion by these beautiful wane-clouds, till repeated experience taught me, as it did Solomon, that "beauty is vain," and that they only

"Lured to betray and dazzled to blind."

PARNELL.

During autumn, more particularly than at other seasons, I have observed the whole sky covered with what may with propriety be called a network of clouds (*Cirro-cumulus*, HOWARD), as if little tufts of accumulated vapour had been carded through each other by opposite winds,—crossing and recrossing them over one another, like the osiers interwoven into a transparent basket. The clouds, again, which frequently bound this field of chequered vapour, are not spread out in tufts, but rolled up in enormous volumes, as white as snow on the edges, but darkening into ravines, and caverns, and overhanging precipices of endless forms, which are varied every instant by the influence of electricity or of the predominant winds. When the clouds assume these picturesque forms about sunset, the aspect of the sky becomes very grand. The magnificent netting in the area of the firmament transmits through its interstices a multitude of luminous rays—which tinge two sides of each tuft of vapour with ruddy orange, and the other two sides with shining gold—in tints which no pencil can imitate, and no language describe.—RENNIE.

BLACK SPRING, 1771.

DR. JOHNSON says, that "in 1771 the season was so severe in the island of Sky, that it is remembered by the name of the Black Spring. The snow, which seldom lies at all, covered the ground for eight weeks, many cattle died, and those that survived were so emaciated that they did not require the male at the usual season." The case was just the same with us here in the south; never were so many barren cows known as in the spring following that dreadful period. Whole dairies missed being in calf together.

At the end of March the face of the earth was naked to a surprising degree. Wheat hardly to be seen, and no signs of any grass; turnips all gone, and sheep in a starving way. All provisions rising in price. Farmers cannot sow for want of rain.

SUMMARY

OF

THE WEATHER.

MEASURE OF RAIN IN INCHES AND HUNDREDS.

Year.	Jan.	Feb.	March	April	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Total.
1782.	4.64	1.98	6.54	4.57	6.34	1.75	7.09	8.28	3.72	1.93	2.51	0.91	50.26
1783.	4.43	5.54	2.16	0.88	2.84	2.82	1.45	2.24	5.52	1.71	3.01	1.10	33.71
1784.	3.18	0.77	3.82	3.92	1.52	3.65	2.40	3.88	2.51	0.39	4.70	3.06	33.80
1785.	2.84	1.80	0.30	0.17	0.60	1.39	3.80	3.21	5.94	5.21	2.27	4.02	31.55
1786.	6.91	1.42	1.62	1.81	2.40	1.20	1.99	4.34	4.79	5.04	4.38	—	——
1787.	0.88	3.67	4.28	0.74	2.60	1.50	6.53	0.83	1.56	5.04	4.09	5.06	36.24
1788.	1.60	3.37	1.31	0.61	0.76	1.27	3.58	3.22	5.71	0.00	0.86	0 23	22.50
1789.	4.48	4.11	2.47	1.81	4.05	4.24	3.69	0.99	2.82	5.04	3.67	4.62	42.—
1790.	1.99	0.49	0.45	3.64	4.38	0.13	3.24	2.30	0.66	2.10	6.95	5.94	32.27
1791.	6.73	4.64	1.59	1.13	1.33	0.91	5.56	1.73	1.73	6.49	8.16	4.93	44.93
1792.	6.07	1.68	6.70	4.08	3.00	2.78	5.16	4.25	5.53	5.55	1.65	2.11	48.56
1793.	3.71	2.32	3.33	3.19	1.21								

I am quite aware that in the above Table there are some errors of detail, affecting the totals in two instances to the extent of $\frac{1}{100}$, and in another of $\frac{2}{100}$ of an inch: these are of no moment. But there is one of greater magnitude, the amount in 1787 being stated at upwards of half an inch less than, from the monthly details, it should seem to have been: I have not the means of determining where the error lies.—E. T. B.

SUMMARY

OF

THE WEATHER.

1768. Begins with a fortnight's frost and snow. Rainy during February. Cold and wet spring. Wet season from the beginning of June to the end of harvest. Latter end of September foggy, without rain. All October and the first part of November rainy; and thence to the end of the year alternate rains and frosts.

1769. January and February, frosty and rainy, with gleams of fine weather in the intervals. To the middle of March, wind and rain: to the end of March, dry and windy. To the middle of April, stormy, with rain. To the end of June, fine weather, with rain. To the beginning of August, warm, dry weather. To the end of September, rainy, with short intervals of fine weather. To the latter end of October, frosty mornings, with fine days. The next fortnight rainy; thence to the end of November dry and frosty. December, windy, with rain and intervals of frost, and the first fortnight very foggy.

1770. Frost for the first fortnight: during the 14th and 15th all the snow melted. To the end of February, mild, hazy weather. The whole of March frosty, with bright weather. April cloudy, with rain and snow. May began with summer showers, and ended with dark cold rains. June, rainy, chequered with gleams of sunshine. The first fortnight in July, dark and sultry; the latter part of the month, heavy rain. August, September, and the first fortnight in October, in general fine weather, though with frequent interruptions of rain: from the middle of October to the end of the year, almost incessant rains.

1771. Severe frost till the last week in January. To the first week in February, rain and snow: to the end of February, spring weather. To the end of the third week in April, frosty weather. To the end of the first fortnight in May, spring weather, with copious showers. To the end of June, dry, warm weather. The first fortnight in July, warm, rainy weather. To the end of September, warm weather, but in general cloudy, with showers. October, rainy. November, frost, with intervals of fog and rain. December, in general bright, mild weather, with hoar frosts.

1772. To the end of the first week in February, frost and snow. To the end of the first fortnight in March, frost, sleet, rain, and snow. To the middle of April, cold rains. To the middle of May, dry weather, with cold piercing winds. To the end of the first week in June, cool showers. To the middle of August, hot, dry summer weather. To the end of September, rain, with storms and thunder. To December 22, rain, with mild weather. December 23, the first ice. To the end of the month, cold, foggy weather.

1773. The first week in January, frost; thence to the end of the month, dark, rainy weather. The first fortnight in February, hard frost. To the end of the first week in March, misty, showery weather: bright spring days to the close of the month. Frequent showers to the latter end of April. To the end of June, warm showers, with intervals of sunshine. To the end of August, dry weather, with a few days of rain. To the end of the first fortnight in November, rainy. The next four weeks, frost; and thence to the end of the year, rainy.

1774. Frost and rain to the end of the first fortnight in March: thence to the end of the month, dry weather. To the 15th of April, showers: thence to the end of April, fine spring days. During May, showers and sunshine in about an equal proportion. Dark, rainy weather to the end of the third week in July: thence to the 24th of August, sultry, with thunder and occasional showers. To the end of the third week in November, rain, with frequent intervals of sunny weather. To the end of December, dark, dripping fogs.

1775. To the end of the first fortnight in March, rain almost every day. To the first week in April, cold winds with showers of rain and snow. To the end of June, warm, bright weather, with frequent showers. The first fortnight in July, almost incessant rains. To the 26th of August, sultry weather, with frequent showers. To the end of the third week in September, rain, with a few intervals of fine weather. To the end of the year, rain, with intervals of hoar-frost and sunshine.

1776. To January 24, dark, frosty weather, with much snow. March 24, to the end of the month, foggy, with hoar-frost. To the 30th of May, dry, dark, harsh weather, with cold winds. To the end of the first fortnight in July, warm, with much rain. To the end of the first week in August, hot and dry, with intervals of thunder showers. To the end of October, in general fine seasonable weather, with a considerable propor-

tion of rain. To the end of the year, dry, frosty weather, with some days of hard rain.

1777. To the 10th of January, hard frost: to the 20th of January, foggy, with frequent showers. To the 18th of February, hard, dry frost, with snow. To the end of May, heavy showers, with intervals of warm, dry, spring days. To the 8th of July, dark, with heavy rain: to the 18th of July, dry, warm weather: to the end of July, very heavy rains. To the 12th of October, remarkably fine, warm weather. To the end of the year, gray, mild weather, with but little rain, and still less frost.

1778. To the 13th of January, frost, with a little snow: to the 24th of January, rain: to the 30th, hard frost. To the 23rd of February, dark, harsh, foggy weather, with rain: to the end of the month, hard frost with snow. To the end of the first fortnight in March, dark, harsh weather. From the 1st, to the end of the first fortnight in April, spring weather: to the end of the month, snow and ice. To the 11th of June, cool, with heavy showers. To the 19th of July, hot, sultry, parching weather: to the end of the month, heavy showers. To the end of September, dry warm weather. To the end of the year, wet, with considerable intervals of sunshine.

1779. Frost and showers to the end of January. To the 21st of April, warm, dry weather. To the 8th of May, rainy. To the 7th of June, dry and warm. To the 6th of July, hot weather, with frequent rain: to the 18th of July, dry, hot weather. To August 8, hot weather, with frequent rains: to the end of August, fine dry harvest weather. To the end of November, fine autumnal weather, with intervals of rain. To the end of the year, rain, with frost and snow.

1780. To the end of January, frost. To the end of February, dark, harsh weather, with frequent intervals of frost. To the end of March, warm, showery, spring weather. To the end of April, dark, harsh weather, with rain and frost. To the end of the first fortnight in May, mild, with rain. To the end of August, rain, and fair weather in pretty equal proportions. To the end of October, fine autumnal weather, with intervals of rain. To the 24th of November, frost. To December 16, mild, dry, foggy weather. To the end of the year, frost and snow.

1781. To January 25, frost and snow. To the end of February, harsh and windy, with rain and snow. To April 5, cold, drying winds. To the end of May, mild, spring weather, with a few light showers. June began with heavy rain, but

thence to the end of October, dry weather, with a few flying showers. To the end of the year, open weather, with frequent rains.

1782. To February 4, open, mild weather: to February 22, hard frost. To the end of March, cold, blowing weather, with frost and snow and rain. To May 7, cold, dark rains; to the end of May, mild, with incessant rains. To the end of June, warm and dry. To the end of August, warm, with almost perpetual rains. The first fortnight in September, mild and dry; thence to the end of the month, rain. To the end of October, mild, with frequent showers. November began with hard frost, and continued throughout with alternate frost and thaw. The first part of December frosty: the latter part mild.

1783. To January 16, rainy, with heavy winds: to the 24th, hard frost. To the end of the first fortnight in February, blowing, with much rain. To the end of February, stormy, dripping weather. To the 9th of May, cold, harsh winds (thick ice on the 5th of May). To the end of August, hot weather with frequent showers. To the 23rd of September, mild, with heavy driving rains. To November 12, dry, mild weather. To the 18th of December, gray, soft weather, with a few showers. To the end of the year, hard frost.

1784. To February 19, hard frost, with two thaws; one, the 14th of January, the other, the 5th of February: to February 28, mild, wet fogs. To the 3rd of March, frost, with ice: to March 10, sleet and snow. To April 2, snow and hard frost: to April 27, mild weather, with much rain. To May 12, cold, drying winds: to May 20, hot, cloudless weather. To June 27, warm, with frequent showers. To July 18, hot and dry. To the end of August, warm, with heavy rains. To November 6, clear, mild, autumnal weather, except a few days of rain at the latter end of September. To the end of the year, fog, rain, and hard frost (on December 10, the therm. 1 deg. below 0.)

1785. A thaw began on the 2nd of January, and rainy weather with wind continued to January 28. To the 15th of March, very hard frost: to the 21st of March, mild, with sprinkling showers. To April 7, hard frost. To May 17, mild, windy weather, without a drop of rain: to the end of May, cold, with a few showers. To June 9, mild weather, with frequent soft showers. To July 13, hot, dry weather, with a few showery intervals: to July 22, heavy rain. To the end of September, warm, with frequent showers. To the end of October, frequent

rain. To the 18th of November, dry, mild weather. (Haymaking finished November 9, and the wheat harvest November 14.) To December 23, rain. To the end of the year hard frost.

1786. To the 7th of January, frost and snow: to January 13, mild, with much rain: to the 21st of January, deep snow. To February 11, mild, with frequent rains: to the 21st of February, dry, with high winds. To the 10th of March, hard frost. To the 13th of April, wet with intervals of frost: to the end of April, dry, mild weather. On the 1st and 2d of May, thick ice: to the 10th of May, heavy rain. To June 14, fine, warm, dry weather. From the 8th to the 11th of July heavy showers. To October 13, warm, with frequent showers: to October 19, ice: to October 24, mild, pleasant weather. To November 3, frost. To December 16, rain, with a few detached days of frost. To the end of the year, frost and snow.

1787. To January 24, dark, moist, mild weather: to January 28, frost and snow. To February 16, mild, showery weather: to February 28, dry, cool weather. To March 10, stormy, with driving rain: to March 24, bright, frosty weather. To the end of April, mild, with frequent rain. To May 22, fine bright weather. To the end of June, mostly warm, with frequent showers (on June 7, ice as thick as a crown piece). To the end of July, hot and sultry, with copious rain. To the end of September, hot, dry weather, with occasional showers. To November 23, mild, with light frosts and rain: to the end of November, hard frost. To December 21, still and mild, with rain. To the end of the year, frost.

1788. To January 13, mild and wet: to January 18, frost: to the end of the month, dry, windy weather. To the end of February, frosty, with frequent showers. To March 14, hard frost: to the end of March, dark, harsh weather, with frequent showers. To April 4, windy, with showers. To the end of May, bright, dry, warm weather, with a few occasional showers. From June 28 to July 17, heavy rains. To August 12, hot, dry weather. To the end of September, alternate showers and sunshine. To November 22, dry, cool weather. To the end of the year, hard frost.

1789. To January 13, hard frost: to the end of the month, mild, with showers. To the end of February, frequent rain, with snow showers and heavy gales of wind. To the 13th of March, hard frost, with snow. To April 18, heavy rain, with

frost and snow and sleet: to the end of April, dark, cold weather, with frequent rains. To June 9, warm, spring weather, with brisk winds and frequent showers: from June 4 to the end of July, warm, with much rain. To August 29, hot, dry, sultry weather. To September 11, mild, with frequent showers: to the end of September, fine autumnal weather, with occasional showers. To November 17, heavy rain, with violent gales of wind. To December 18, mild, dry weather, with a few showers. To the end of the year, rain and wind.

1790. To January 16, mild, foggy weather, with occasional rains: to January 21, frost: to January 28, dark, with driving rains. To February 14, mild, dry weather: to February 22, hard frost. To April 5, bright, cold weather, with a few showers: to April 15, dark and harsh, with a deep snow: to April 21, cold, cloudy weather, with ice. To June 6, mild spring weather, with much rain. From July 3 to July 14, cool, with heavy rain: to the end of July, warm, dry weather. To August 6, cold, with wind and rain: to August 24, fine harvest weather. To September 5, strong gales, with driving showers. To November 26, mild autumnal weather, with frequent showers. To December 1, hard frost and snow. To the end of the year, rain and snow, and a few days of frost.

1791. To the end of January, mild, with heavy rains. To the end of February, windy, with much rain and snow. From March to the end of June, mostly dry, especially June: (March and April, rather cold and frosty; May and June, hot). July, rainy. Fine harvest weather, and pretty dry, to the end of September. Wet October, and cold towards the end. Very wet and stormy in November. Much frost in December.

1792. Some hard frost in January, but mostly wet and mild. February, some hard frost and a little snow. March, wet and cold. April, great storms on the 13th, then some very warm weather. May and June, cold and dry. July, wet and cool; indifferent harvest, rather late and wet. September, windy and wet. October, showery and mild. November, dry and fine. December, mild.

POEMS,

SELECTED FROM THE MSS. OF THE

REV. GILBERT WHITE.

POEMS.

THE INVITATION TO SELBORNE.

See Selborne spreads her boldest beauties round,
The varied valley, and the mountain ground,
Wildly majestic! what is all the pride
Of flats, with loads of ornament supplied?
Unpleasing, tasteless, impotent expense,
Compared with Nature's rude magnificence.
Arise, my stranger, to these wild scenes haste;
The unfinish'd farm awaits your forming taste:
Plan the pavilion, airy, light, and true;
Through the high arch call in the length'ning view;
Expand the forest sloping up the hill;
Swell to a lake the scant, penurious rill;
Extend the vista, raise the castle mound
In antique taste with turrets ivy-crown'd;
O'er the gay lawn the flowery shrub dispread,
Or with the blending garden mix the mead;
Bid China's pale, fantastic fence, delight;
Or with the mimic statue trap the sight.
Oft on some evening, sunny, soft, and still,
The Muse shall lead thee to the beech-grown hill,
To spend in tea the cool, refreshing hour,
Where nods in air the pensile, nest-like bower[1];
Or where the Hermit hangs the straw-clad cell[2],
Emerging gently from the leafy dell;
By Fancy plann'd; as once the' inventive maid
Met the hoar sage amid the secret shade;

[1] A kind of an arbour on the side of a hill.

[2] A grotesque building, contrived by a young gentleman, who used on occasion to appear in the character of a hermit.

Romantic spot! from whence in prospect lies
Whate'er of landscape charms our feasting eyes;
The pointed spire, the hall, the pasture-plain,
The russet fallow, or the golden grain,
The breezy lake that sheds a gleaming light,
Till all the fading picture fail the sight.
Each to his task; all different ways retire;
Cull the dry stick; call forth the seeds of fire;
Deep fix the kettle's props, a forky row,
Or give with fanning hat the breeze to blow.
Whence is this taste, the furnish'd hall forgot,
To feast in gardens, or the unhandy grot?
Or novelty with some new charms surprises,
Or from our very shifts some joy arises.
Hark, while below the village-bells ring round,
Echo, sweet nymph, returns the soften'd sound;
But if gusts rise, the rushing forests roar,
Like the tide tumbling on the pebbly shore.
Adown the vale, in lone, sequester'd nook,
Where skirting woods imbrown the dimpling brook,
The ruin'd Convent lies; here wont to dwell
The lazy canon midst his cloister'd cell[3];
While papal darkness brooded o'er the land,
Ere Reformation made her glorious stand:
Still oft at eve belated shepherd-swains
See the cowl'd spectre skim the folded plains.
To the high Temple would my stranger go[4],
The mountain-brow commands the woods below;
In Jewry first this order found a name,
When madding Croisades set the world in flame;
When western climes, urged on by Pope and priest,
Pour'd forth their millions o'er the deluged east:
Luxurious knights, ill suited to defy
To mortal fight Turcéstan chivalry.

[3] The ruins of a priory, founded by Peter de Rupibus, Bishop of Winchester.

[4] The remains of a preceptory of the Knights Templars; at least it was a farm dependant upon some preceptory of that order. I find it was a preceptory, called the Preceptory of Sudington; now called Southington.

Nor be the Parsonage by the muse forgot;
The partial bard admires his native spot;
Smit with its beauties, loved, as yet a child,
(Unconscious why) its scapes grotesque, and wild.
High on a mound the' exalted gardens stand,
Beneath, deep valleys scoop'd by Nature's hand.
A Cobham here, exulting in his art,
Might blend the General's with the Gardener's part;
Might fortify with all the martial trade
Of rampart, bastion, fosse, and palisade;
Might plant the mortar with wide threatening bore,
Or bid the mimic cannon seem to roar.

Now climb the steep, drop now your eye below,
Where round the blooming village orchards grow;
There, like a picture, lies my lowly seat,
A rural, shelter'd, unobserved retreat.

Me far above the rest Selbornian scenes,
The pendent forests, and the mountain greens
Strike with delight; there spreads the distant view,
That gradual fades till sunk in misty blue:
Here Nature hangs her slopy woods to sight,
Rills purl between, and dart a quivering light.

SELBORNE HANGER.

A WINTER PIECE.

TO THE MISS BATTIES.

THE Bard, who sang so late in blithest strain
Selbornian prospects, and the rural reign,
Now suits his plaintive pipe to sadden'd tone,
While the blank swains the changeful year bemoan.

How fallen the glories of these fading scenes!
The dusky beech resigns his vernal greens,
The yellow maple mourns in sickly hue,
And russet woodlands crowd the dark'ning view.

Dim, clustering fogs involve the country round,
The valley and the blended mountain-ground
Sink in confusion; but with tempest-wing
Should Boreas from his northern barrier spring,
The rushing woods with deafening clamour roar,
Like the sea tumbling on the pebbly shore.
When spouting rains descend in torrent tides,
See the torn Zigzag weep its channel'd sides:
Winter exerts its rage; heavy and slow,
From the keen east rolls on the treasured snow;
Sunk with its weight the bending boughs are seen,
And one bright deluge whelms the works of men.
Amidst this savage landscape, bleak and bare,
Hangs the chill hermitage in middle air;
Its haunts forsaken, and its feasts forgot,
A leaf-strown, lonely, desolated cot!

Is this the scene that late with rapture rang,
Where Delphy danced, and gentle Anna sang;
With fairy-step where Harriet tripp'd so late,
And on her stump reclined the musing Kitty sate?

Return, dear Nymphs; prevent the purple spring,
Ere the soft nightingale essays to sing;
Ere the first swallow sweeps the freshening plain,
Ere love-sick turtles breathe their amorous pain;
Let festive glee the' enliven'd village raise,
Pan's blameless reign, and patriarchal days;
With pastoral dance the smitten swain surprise,
And bring all Arcady before our eyes.

Return, blithe maidens; with you bring along
Free, native humour, all the charms of song,
The feeling heart, and unaffected ease,
Each nameless grace, and every power to please.

Nov. 1, 1763.

ON THE RAINBOW.

" Look upon the Rainbow, and praise him that made it: very beautiful is it in the brightness thereof."—Eccles. xliii. 11.

On morning or on evening cloud impress'd,
Bent in vast curve, the watery meteor shines
Delightfully, to the levell'd sun opposed:
Lovely refraction! while the vivid brede
In listed colours glows, the' unconscious swain
With vacant eye gazes on the divine
Phenomenon, gleaming o'er the illumined fields,
Or runs to catch the treasures which it sheds.
Not so the sage, inspired with pious awe;
He hails the federal arch[1]; and looking up
Adores that God, whose fingers form'd this bow
Magnificent, compassing heaven about
With a resplendent verge, "Thou madest the cloud,
Maker Omnipotent, and thou the bow;
And by that covenant graciously hast sworn
Never to drown the world again[2]: henceforth,
Till time shall be no more, in ceaseless round,
Season shall follow season: day to night,
Summer to winter, harvest to seed time,
Heat shall to cold in regular array
Succeed."—Heaven-taught, so sang the Hebrew bard[3].

[1] Gen. ix. 12—17. [2] Gen. viii. 22. [3] Moses.

A HARVEST SCENE.

Waked by the gentle gleamings of the morn,
Soon clad, the reaper, provident of want,
Hies cheerful-hearted to the ripen'd field;
Nor hastes alone; attendant by his side
His faithful wife, sole partner of his cares,
Bears on her breast the sleeping babe; behind,
With steps unequal, trips her infant train:
Thrice happy pair, in love and labour join'd!
All day they ply their task; with mutual chat,
Beguiling each the sultry, tedious hours.
Around them falls in rows the sever'd corn,
Or the shocks rise in regular array.
But when high noon invites to short repast,
Beneath the shade of sheltering thorn they sit,
Divide the simple meal, and drain the cask:
The swinging cradle lulls the whimpering babe
Meantime; while growling round, if at the tread
Of hasty passenger alarm'd, as of their store
Protective, stalks the cur with bristling back,
To guard the scanty scrip and russet frock.

ON THE

DARK, STILL, DRY, WARM WEATHER,

OCCASIONALLY HAPPENING IN THE WINTER MONTHS.

THE' imprison'd winds slumber within their caves
Fast bound: the fickle vane, emblem of change,
Wavers no more, long settling to a point.
All Nature nodding seems composed: thick steams
From land, from flood updrawn, dimming the day,
"Like a dark ceiling stand:" slow through the air
Gossamer floats, or stretch'd from blade to blade
The wavy network whitens all the field.
Push'd by the weightier atmosphere, up springs
The ponderous mercury, from scale to scale
Mounting, amidst the Torricellian tube[1].
While high in air, and poised upon his wings,
Unseen, the soft, enamour'd woodlark runs
Through all his maze of melody; the brake
Loud with the blackbird's bolder note resounds.
Sooth'd by the genial warmth, the cawing rook
Anticipates the spring, selects her mate,
Haunts her tall nest-trees, and with sedulous care
Repairs her wicker eyrie, tempest torn.
The ploughman inly smiles to see upturn
His mellow glebe, best pledge of future crop.
With glee the gardener eyes his smoking beds:
E'en pining sickness feels a short relief.
The happy schoolboy brings transported forth
His long forgotten scourge, and giddy gig:
O'er the white paths he whirls the rolling hoop,
Or triumphs in the dusty fields of taw.

[1] The barometer.

Not so the museful sage: abroad he walks
Contemplative, if haply he may find
What cause controls the tempest's rage, or whence
Amidst the savage season winter smiles.
For days, for weeks, prevails the placid calm.
At length some drops prelude a change: the sun
With ray refracted bursts the parting gloom;
When all the chequer'd sky is one bright glare.
Mutters the wind at eve: the horizon round
With angry aspect scowls: down rush the showers,
And float the deluged paths, and miry fields.

THE

ANTIQUITIES

OF

SELBORNE,

IN

THE COUNTY OF SOUTHAMPTON.

. . . . . Juvat ire . . . .
Desertosque videre locos . . . . . VIRGIL.

THE

ANTIQUITIES

OF

SELBORNE.

LETTER I.

It is reasonable to suppose that in remote ages this woody and mountainous district was inhabited only by bears and wolves. Whether the Britons ever thought it worthy their attention, is not in our power to determine[1]: but we may safely conclude, from circumstances,

[1] It is curious that Gilbert White should not have felt himself assured as to the residence of Britons within the parish of Selborne. Setting aside the historical fact that the favourite resorts of the ancient Britons were the natural fastnesses of the forests and the morasses, whence it might have been inferred that this wooded and rugged district would have been regarded as peculiarly adapted to the known habits of that people; setting aside also various minor evidences that might be adduced: setting these aside, as probabilities merely, (although on smaller probabilities greater theories have sometimes been raised), Wolmer Forest affords evidence the most visible and most tangible of its having been of importance in the days of the earliest known inhabitants of England. The observer has but to place himself on the northern side of Wolmer Pond, looking towards the south; and on his right hand, and on his left, and in front of him, barrows will be visible. Two of these works rise above the level of Wall Down: one is on the top of the down immediately across the pond: several others are on the elevations in the direction of Greatham. These are remarkable objects in the circuit of the horizon: and on the expanse of the Forest there are many others. Several of them have, from time to time, been opened, and have been found to contain, as usual, in

that it was not unknown to the Romans. Old people remember to have heard their fathers and grandfathers say that, in dry summers and in windy weather, pieces of money were sometimes found round the verge of Wolmer Pond; and tradition had inspired the foresters with a notion that the bottom of that lake contained great stores of treasure. During the spring and summer of 1740 there was little rain; and the following summer also, 1741, was so uncommonly dry, that many springs and ponds failed, and this lake in particular, whose bed became as dusty as the surrounding heaths and wastes. This favourable juncture induced some of the forest cottagers to begin a search, which was attended with such success, that all the labourers in the neighbourhood flocked to the spot, and with spades and hoes turned up great part of that large area. Instead of pots of coins, as they expected, they found great heaps, the one lying on the other, as if shot out of a bag; many of which were in good preservation. Silver and gold these inquirers expected to find; but their discoveries consisted solely of many hundreds of Roman copper coins, and some medallions, all of the lower empire. There was not much *virtù* stirring at that time in this neighbourhood; however, some of the gentry and clergy around bought what pleased them best, and some dozens fell to the share of the author[2].

The owners at first held their commodity at a high price; but finding that they were not likely to meet with dealers at such a rate, they soon lowered their terms, and sold the fairest as they could. The coins

the middle of the mound, fragments of human bones and of pottery. In one instance, but a few years since, an entire urn was obtained, of a substance not unlike unburned clay, capable of containing about a gallon, and having within it fragments of bones. All these indications concur to prove that these barrows were of British origin in Roman times.—E. T. B.

[2] Such coins are still occasionally found by labourers and others who work upon the Forest; but their occurrence is now uncommon. They have not been found in numbers since the time mentioned by Gilbert White, and it is only casually that one is met with.—E. T. B.

that were rejected became current, and passed for farthings at the petty shops. Of those that we saw, the greater part were of Marcus Aurelius, and the Empress Faustina, his wife, the father and mother of Commodus. Some of Faustina were in high relief, and exhibited a very agreeable set of features, which probably resembled that lady, who was more celebrated for her beauty than for her virtues. The medallions in general were of a paler colour than the coins. To pretend to account for the means of their coming to this place would be spending time in conjecture. The spot, I think, could not be a Roman camp, because it it commanded by hills on two sides; nor does it show the least traces of intrenchments; nor can I suppose that it was a Roman town, because I have too good an opinion of the taste and judgment of those polished conquerors to imagine that they would settle on so barren and dreary a waste[3].

LETTER II.

That Selborne was a place of some distinction and note in the time of the Saxons we can give most undoubted proofs. But, as there are few, if any, accounts of villages before Domesday, it will be best to begin with that venerable record. "Ipse rex tenet Selesburne. Eddid regina tenuit, et nunquam geldavit. De isto manerio dono dedit rex Radfredo presbytero dimidiam hidam cum ecclesia. Tempore regis Edwardi et post, valuit duodecim solidos et sex denarios; modo

[3] It is far from improbable that the heaps of coins were the spoils of some successful attack on the invaders, in which the military chest (as it might now be called) fell into the hands of the native conquerors, and was carried away by them into their fastness: and that there, in their haste, it was lost. It may even have been rejected as unworthy of notice, when it was ascertained that its contents were coins of the baser metal only.—E. T. B.

octo solidos et quatuor denarios." Here we see that Selborne was a royal manor; and that Editha, the queen of Edward the Confessor, had been lady of that manor; and was succeeded in it by the Conqueror; and that it had a church. Beside these, many circumstances concur to prove it to have been a Saxon village; such as the name of the place itself[1], the names of many fields, and some families[2], with a variety of words in husbandry and common life, still subsisting among the country people.

What probably first drew the attention of the Saxons to this spot was the beautiful spring or fountain called

[1] Selesburne, Seleburne, Selburn, Selbourn, Selborne, and Selborn, as it has been variously spelt at different periods, is of Saxon derivation; for *Sel* signifies *great*, and *burn* torrens, a brook or rivulet: so that the name seems to be derived from the great perennial stream that breaks out at the upper end of the village. *Sel* also signifies "*bonus*. item, *fœcundus, fertilis*. Sel-gærþ-tun: *fœcunda graminis clausura; fertile pascuum*. Abiit tamen apud nonnullos in nomen proprium. Inde pratum quoddam apud *Godelming* in agro *Surriensi* hodie vocatur *Sal-gars*-ton."—Lye's Saxon Dictionary, in the Supplement, by Mr. Manning.

[2] Thus the name of *Aldred* signifies *all-reverend*, and that of *Kemp* means a *soldier*. Thus we have a *church-litton*, or enclosure for dead bodies, and not a *church-yard:* there is also a *Culver-croft* near the *Grange-farm*, being the enclosure where the priory pigeon-house stood, from *culver*, a pigeon. Again there are three steep pastures in this parish called the *Lithe*, from *Hlithe*, *clivus*. The wicker-work that binds and fastens down a hedge on the top is called *ether*, from *ether* a hedge. When the good women call their hogs they cry *sic, sic* *, not knowing that *sic* is Saxon, or rather Celtic, for a hog. Coppice or brushwood our countrymen call *rise*, from *hris*, frondes; and talk of a load of *rise*. Within the author's memory the Saxon plurals, *housen* and *peason*, were in common use. But it would be endless to instance in every circumstance: he that wishes for more specimens must frequent a farmer's kitchen. I have therefore selected some words to show how familiar the Saxon dialect was to this district, since in more than seven hundred years it is far from being obliterated.

---

* Σικα, porcus, apud Lacones; un Porceau chez les Lacèdemoniens: ce mot a sans doute esté pris des Celtes, qui disoent *sic*, pour marquer un porceau. Encore aujour'huy quand les Bretons chassent ces animaux, ils ne disent point autrement, que *sic, sic*. Antiquité de la Nation et de la Langue des Celtes, par Pezron.

Well-head[3], which induced them to build by the banks of that perennial current; for ancient settlers loved to reside by brooks and rivulets, where they could dip for their water without the trouble and expense of digging wells and of drawing.

It remains still unsettled among the antiquaries at what time tracts of land were first appropriated to the chase alone for the amusement of the sovereign. Whether our Saxon monarchs had any royal forests does not, I believe, appear on record; but the *Constitutiones de Foresta* of Canute, the Dane, are come down to us. We shall not therefore pretend to say whether Wolmer Forest existed as a royal domain before the conquest. If it did not, we may suppose it was laid out by some of our earliest Norman kings, who were exceedingly attached to the pleasures of the chase, and resided much at Winchester, which lies at a moderate distance from this district. The Plantagenet princes seem to have been pleased with Wolmer; for tradition says that King John resided just upon the verge, at Ward le ham, on a regular and remarkable mount, still called King John's Hill, and Lodge Hill; and Edward III. had a chapel in his park, or enclosure, at Kingsley[4]. Humphrey, Duke of Gloucester, and Richard, Duke of York, say my evidences, were both, in their turns, wardens of Wolmer Forest; which seems to have served for an appointment for the younger princes of the royal family, as it may again.

I have intentionally mentioned Edward III. and the Dukes Humphrey and Richard, before King Edward II. because I have reserved, for the entertainment of my readers, a pleasant anecdote respecting that prince, with which I shall close this letter.

As Edward II. was hunting on Wolmer Forest,

[3] *Well-head* signifies *spring-head*, and not a deep pit from whence we draw water.—For particulars about which see Letter I. to Mr. Pennant.

[4] The parish of Kingsley lies between, and divides Wolmer Forest from Ayles Holt Forest.—See Letter IX. to Mr. Pennant.

Morris Ken, of the kitchen, fell from his horse several times; at which accidents the king laughed immoderately: and, when the chase was over, ordered him twenty shillings[5]; an enormous sum for those days! Proper allowances ought to be made for the youth of this monarch, whose spirits also, we may suppose, were much exhilarated by the sport of the day: but, at the same time, it is reasonable to remark that, whatever might be the occasion of Ken's first fall, the subsequent ones seem to have been designed. The scullion appears to have been an artful fellow, and to have seen the king's foible; which furnishes an early specimen of that his easy softness and facility of temper, of which the infamous Gaveston took such advantages, as brought innumerable calamities on the nation, and involved the prince at last in misfortunes and sufferings too deplorable to be mentioned without horror and amazement.

LETTER III.

From the silence of Domesday respecting churches, it has been supposed that few villages had any at the time when that record was taken; but Selborne, we see, enjoyed the benefit of one: hence we may conclude, that this place was in no abject state even at that very distant period. How many fabrics have succeeded each other since the days of Radfredrus the presbyter, we cannot pretend to say; our business leads us to a description of the present edifice, in which we shall be circumstantial.

Our church, which was dedicated to the Virgin Mary, consists of three aisles, and measures fifty-four feet in

[5] "Item, paid at the lodge at Wolmer, when the king was stag-hunting there, to Morris Ken, of the kitchen, because he rode before the king and often fell from his horse, at which the king laughed exceedingly—a gift, by command, of twenty shillings."—A MS. in possession of Thomas Astle, Esq. containing the private expenses of Edward II.

length by forty-seven in breadth, being almost as broad as it is long. The present building has no pretensions to antiquity; and is, as I suppose, of no earlier date

SOUTH VIEW OF SELBORNE CHURCH.

than the beginning of the reign of Henry VII. It is perfectly plain and unadorned, without painted glass, carved work, sculpture, or tracery. But when I say it has no claim to antiquity, I would mean to be understood of the fabric in general; for the pillars which support the roof are undoubtedly old, being of that low, squat, thick order, usually called Saxon. These, I should imagine, upheld the roof of a former church, which, falling into decay, was rebuilt on those massy props, because their strength had preserved them from the injuries of time[1]. Upon these rest blunt Gothic arches, such as prevailed in the reign above-mentioned, and by which, as a criterion, we would prove the date of the building.

[1] In the same manner, to compare great things with small, did Wykeham, when he new built the cathedral at Winchester, from the tower westward, apply to his purpose the old piers or pillars of Bishop Walkelin's church, by blending Saxon and Gothic architecture together.—See Lowth's Life of Wykeham.

At the bottom of the south aisle, between the west and south doors, stands the font, which is deep and capacious, and consists of three massy round stones, piled one on another, without the least ornament or sculpture: the cavity at the top is lined with lead, and has a pipe at bottom to convey off the water after the sacred ceremony is performed.

The east end of the south aisle is called the South Chancel, and, till within these thirty years, was divided off by an old carved Gothic frame work of timber, [the line of which may still be traced in a beam of partition between the pews,] having been a private chantry. In this opinion we are more confirmed by observing two Gothic niches within the space, the one in the east wall and the other in the south, near which there probably stood images and altars: [but these niches are in a different style of arch, and were probably not formed at the same time.]

In the middle aisle there is nothing remarkable: but I remember when its beams were hung with garlands in honour of young women of the parish, reputed to have died virgins, and recollect to have seen the clerk's wife cutting, in white paper, the resemblances of gloves, and ribbons to be twisted into knots and roses, to decorate these memorials of chastity. In the church of Faringdon, which is the next parish, many garlands of this sort still remain. [The pulpit is placed at the eastern end of the middle aisle. At the western end is an organ loft and organ: the latter being a gift of the Rev. William Cobbold, the present vicar, to his parishioners.]

The north aisle is narrow and low, with a sloping ceiling, reaching within nine or ten feet of the floor. It had originally a flat roof covered with lead, till, within a century past, a churchwarden stripping off the lead, in order, as he said, to have it mended, sold it to a plumber, and ran away with the money. This aisle has no door, for an obvious reason; because the north

side of the churchyard, being surrounded by the vicarage garden, affords no path to that side of the church. Nothing can be more irregular than the pews of this church, which are of all dimensions and heights, being patched up according to the fancy of the owners: but whoever nicely examines them will find that the middle aisle had, on each side, a regular row of benches of solid oak, all alike, with a low back-board to each. These we should not hesitate to say are coeval with the present church: and especially as it is to be observed that, at their ends, they are ornamented with carved blunt Gothic niches, exactly correspondent to the arches of the church, and to a niche in the south wall. The south aisle also has a row of these benches; but some are decayed through age, and the rest much disguised by modern alterations[2].

At the upper end of this aisle, and running out to the north stands a transept, known by the name of the North Chancel, measuring twenty-one feet from south to north, and nineteen feet from east to west: this was intended, no doubt, as a private chantry; and was also, till of late, divided off by a Gothic frame work of timber. In its north wall, under a very blunt Gothic arch, lies perhaps the founder of this edifice, which,

[2] Considerable alteration has taken place in the fittings of the interior. The pews, although still of various dimensions, are now of uniform height throughout. The oaken benches have been removed from the situations which they formerly occupied; and most of them have altogether disappeared. But there yet remain portions of them in various parts of the church. One, of a very solid and substantial make, has been fixed under the more modern bench along the wall of the north aisle: and the upright at its west end, coeval with the bench supported by it, exhibits the deeply carved Gothic niche referred to in the text. Others will be found in the lower part of the south aisle, one of which has the Gothic niche; and a similar niche is observable on three of the moveable benches near the south door. These relics of the accommodation provided in remote times for those who frequented the church of this retired district, have attached to them a degree of interest as connected with the simplicity of the days in which they were originally placed.—E. T. B.

from the shape of its arch, may be deemed no older than the latter end of the reign of Henry VII. The tomb was examined some years ago, but contained nothing except the scull and thigh bones of a large tall man, and the bones of a youth or woman, lying in a very irregular manner, without any escutcheon or other token to ascertain the names or rank of the deceased. The grave was very shallow, and lined with stone at the bottom and on the sides[3].

From the east wall project four stone brackets, which I conclude supported images and crucifixes. In the great thick pilaster, jutting out between this transept and the chancel, there is a very sharp Gothic niche, of older date than the present chantry or church. But the chief pieces of antiquity are two narrow stone coffin lids, which compose part of the floor, and lie from west to east, with the very narrow ends eastward: these belong to remote times; and, if originally placed here, which I doubt, must have been part of the pavement of an older transept. At present there are no coffins under them, whence I conclude they have been removed to this place from some part of a former church. One of these lids is so eaten by time, that no sculpture can be discovered upon it; or, perhaps, it may be the wrong side uppermost: but on the other, which seems to be of stone of a closer and harder texture, is to be discerned a *discus*, with a cross on it, at the end of a staff or rod, the well known symbol of a Knight Templar[4].

This order was distinguished by a red cross on the left shoulder of their cloak, and by this attribute in their hand. Now, if these stones belonged to Knights Templars, they must have lain here many centuries; for

[3] In the north wall of the North Chancel there is now no vestige of a tomb. Several stone steps rising in succession occupy its lower part: above these are the windows described in a succeeding page.—E. T. B.

[4] See Dugdale, Monasticon Anglicanum, vol. ii. where there is a fine engraving of a Knight-Templar, by Hollar.

this order came into England early in the reign of King Stephen, in 1113; and was dissolved in the time of Edward II. in 1312, having subsisted only one hundred and ninety-nine years. Why I should suppose that Knights Templars were occasionally buried at this church, will appear in some future letter, when we come to treat more particularly concerning the property they possessed here, and the intercourse that subsisted between them and the priors of Selborne.

We must now proceed to the chancel, properly so called, which seems to be coeval with the church, and is in the same plain unadorned style, though neatly kept. This room measures thirty-one feet in length, and sixteen feet and a half in breadth, and is wainscoted all round, as high as to the bottom of the windows. [It is raised one step above the body of the church.] The space for the communion table is raised two steps above the rest of the floor, and railed in with oaken balusters. [Over the communion table is a painting in three compartments of the Offering of the Wise Men, presented by Benjamin White, Esq. It is attributed to John de Maubeuge.]

Here I shall say somewhat of the windows of the chancel in particular, and of the whole fabric in general. They are mostly of that simple and unadorned sort called Lancet, some single, some double, and some in triplets. At the east end of the chancel are two of a moderate size, near each other; and in the north wall two very distant small ones, unequal in length and height: and in the south wall are two, one on each side of the chancel door, that are broad and squat, and of a different order. At the east end of the south aisle of the church there is a large lancet-window in a triplet; and a very small, narrow, single one in the south wall, and two broad squat windows beside, and a double lancet one in the west end; so that the appearance is very irregular. In the north aisle are two windows,

made shorter when the roof was sloped; and in the north transept a large triple window, shortened at the time of a repair in 1721; when over it was opened a round one of considerable size, which affords an agreeable light, and renders that chantry the most cheerful part of the edifice.

The church and chancels have all coved roofs, ceiled about the year 1683; before which they were open to the tiles and shingles, showing the naked rafters, and threatening the congregation with the fall of a spar, or a blow from a piece of loose mortar.

On the north wall of the chancel is fixed a large oval white marble monument, with the following inscription; and at the foot of the wall, over the deceased, and inscribed with his name, age, arms, and time of death, lies a large slab of black marble:

Prope hunc Parietem Sepelitur
GILBERTUS WHITE, SAMSONIS WHITE, de
Oxon. Militis Filius tertius, Collegii Magdale-
-nensis ibidem Alumnus, & Socius. Tandem faven-
-te Collegio ad hanc Ecclesiam promotus; ubi primæ-
-vâ Morum Simplicitate, et diffusâ erga Omnes Bene-
-volentiâ feliciter Consenuit.
Pastor Fidelis, Comis, Affabilis,
Maritus, et Pater Amantissimus,
A Conjuge invicem, et Liberis, atque
A Parochianis, impensé dilectus.
Pauperibus ita Beneficus
ut Decimam partem Censûs
Moribundus
Piis usibus Consecravit.
Meritis demum juxta et Annis plenus
ex hac Vitâ migravit Feb. 13°.
Anno Salutis 172$\frac{8}{9}$
Ætatis Suæ 77.
Hoc Posuit Rebecca
Conjux illius mæstissima,
mox Secutura.

On the same wall is newly fixed a small square table monument of white marble, inscribed in the following manner:

Sacred to the Memory
of the Revd. ANDREW ETTY, B. D.
23 Years Vicar of this Parish:
In whose Character
The Conjugal, The Parental, and The Sacerdotal Virtues
were so happily combined
as To deserve the Imitation of Mankind.
And if in any particular he followed more invariably
The steps of his blessed Master,
It was in his Humility.
His Parishioners,
Especially the Sick and Necessitous,
as long as any Traces of his Memory shall remain,
Must lament his Death.
To perpetuate such an example, this Stone is erected;
As while Living he was a Preacher of Righteousness,
So, by it, he being Dead yet Speaketh.
He died April 8th. 1784. Aged 66 years.

[But the most interesting monument to the visitant of Selborne, that its church can ever contain, is one affixed to the south wall. A square tablet of white marble, surrounded by black and having a lightly ornamented margin and a label below bearing the arms of the family, is thus inscribed:

In the fifth Grave from this Wall are interred the Remains of
The Revd. GILBERT WHITE, M. A.
Fifty Years Fellow of Oriel College in Oxford,
and Historian of this, his native Parish.
He was the eldest Son of John White, Esquire, Barrister at Law,
and Anne, his Wife, only Child of
Thomas Holt, Rector of Streatham, in Surrey,
which said John White was the only Son of Gilbert White,
formerly Vicar of this Parish.
He was Kind and Beneficent To His Relations,
Benevolent to the Poor,
and deservedly respected by all his Friends and Neighbours.
He was born July 18, 1720, O. S.
and died June 20, 1793.
Nec bono quicquam mali evenire potest,
nec vivo, nec mortuo.]

LETTER IV.

We have now taken leave of the inside of the church, and shall pass by a door at the west end of the middle aisle into the belfry. This room is part of a handsome square embattled tower of forty-five feet in height, and of much more modern date than the church; but old enough to have needed a thorough repair in 1781, when it was neatly stuccoed at a considerable expense, by a set of workmen who were employed on it for the greatest part of the summer. The old bells, three in number, loud and out of tune, were taken down in 1735, and cast into four; to which Sir Simeon Stuart, the grandfather of the present baronet, added a fifth at his own expense: and, bestowing it in the name of his favourite daughter Mrs. Mary Stuart, caused it to be cast with the following motto round it:

> "Clara puella dedit, dixitque mihi esto Maria:
> Illius et laudes nomen ad astra sono."

The day of the arrival of this tuneable peal was observed as a high festival by the village, and rendered more joyous, by an order from the donor, that the treble bell should be fixed bottom upward in the ground, and filled with punch, of which all present were permitted to partake.

The porch of the church, to the south, is modern, and would not be worthy attention did it not shelter a fine sharp Gothic door-way. This is undoubtedly much older than the present fabric; and, being found in good preservation, was worked into the wall, and is the grand entrance into the church: nor are the folding doors to be passed over in silence; since, from their thick and clumsy structure, and the rude flourished work of their hinges, they may possibly be as ancient as the door-way itself.

The whole roof of the south aisle, and the south side of the roof of the middle aisle, is covered with oaken shingles instead of tiles, on account of their lightness, which favours the ancient and crazy timber frame. And indeed, the consideration of accidents by fire excepted, this sort of roofing is much more eligible than tiles. For shingles well seasoned, and cleft from quartered timber, never warp, nor let in drifting snow; nor do they shiver with frost; nor are they liable to be blown off, like tiles; but, when well nailed down, last for a long period, as experience has shown us in this place, where those that face to the north are known to have endured, untouched, by undoubted tradition for more than a century.

Considering the size of the church, and the extent of the parish, the churchyard is very scanty; and especially as all wish to be buried on the south side, which is become such a mass of mortality that no person can be there interred without disturbing or displacing the bones of his ancestors. There is reason to suppose that it once was larger, and extended to what is now the vicarage court and garden; because many human bones have been dug up in those parts several yards without the present limits. At the east end are a few graves; yet none till very lately on the north side; but, as two or three families of best repute have begun to bury in that quarter, prejudice may wear out by degrees, and their example be followed by the rest of the neighbourhood[1].

In speaking of the church, I have all along talked of the east and west end, as if the chancel stood exactly true to those points of the compass; but this is by no means the case, for the fabric bears so much to the north of the east that the four corners of the tower, and not

[1] To precept our excellent author added the weight of example. He lies buried in the open ground on the northern side of the chancel; a low head stone and foot stone, bearing his initials and the date of his death, mark the fifth grave from the church wall, in which were deposited his perishable remains.—E. T. B.

the four sides, stand to the four cardinal points. The best method of accounting for this deviation seems to be, that the workmen, who probably were employed in the longest days, endeavoured to set the chancels to the rising of the sun.

THE VICARAGE HOUSE.

Close by the church, at the west end, stands the vicarage house; an old, but roomy and convenient edifice. It faces very agreably to the morning sun, and is divided from the village by a neat and cheerful court. According to the manner of old times, the hall was open to the roof; and so continued, probably, till the vicars became family-men, and began to want more conveniences; when they flung a floor across, and, by partitions, divided the space into chambers. In this hall we remember a date, some time in the reign of Elizabeth; it was over the door that leads to the stairs.

Behind the house is a garden of an irregular shape, but well laid out; whose terrace commands so romantic and picturesque a prospect, that the first master in landscape might contemplate it with pleasure, and deem it an object well worthy of his pencil.

LETTER V.

In the churchyard of this village is a yew-tree, whose aspect bespeaks it to be of a great age: it seems to have seen several centuries, and is probably coeval with the church, and therefore may be deemed an antiquity: the body is squat, short, and thick, and measures [upwards of] twenty-three feet in the girth, supporting a head of suitable extent to its bulk. This is a male tree, which in the spring sheds clouds of dust, and fills the atmosphere around with its farina.

As far as we have been able to observe, the males of this species become much larger than the females; and it has so fallen out that most of the yew-trees in the churchyards of this neighbourhood are males: but this must have been matter of mere accident, since men, when they first planted yews, little dreamed that there were sexes in trees.

In a yard, in the midst of the street, till very lately, grew a middle sized female tree of the same species, which commonly bore great crops of berries. By the high winds usually prevailing about the autumnal equinox, these berries, then ripe, were blown down into the road, where the hogs ate them. And it was very remarkable, that, though barrow-hogs and young sows found no inconvenience from this food, yet milch-sows often died after such a repast: a circumstance that can be accounted for only by supposing that the latter, being much exhausted and hungry, devoured a larger quantity.

While mention is making of the bad effects of yew-berries, it may be proper to remind the unwary, that the twigs and leaves of yew, though eaten in a very small quantity, are certain death to horses and cows, and that in a few minutes. A horse tied to a yew hedge, or to a faggot stack of dead yew, shall be found

dead before the owner can be aware that any danger is at hand: and the writer has been several times a sorrowful witness to losses of this kind among his friends; and in the island of Ely had once the mortification to see nine young steers or bullocks of his own all lying dead in a heap from browzing a little on a hedge of yew in an old garden into which they had broken in snowy weather. Even the clippings of a yew hedge have destroyed a whole dairy of cows when thrown inadvertently into a yard. And yet sheep and turkeys, and, as park-keepers say, deer, will crop these trees with impunity.

Some intelligent persons assert that the branches of yew, while green, are not noxious; and that they will kill only when dead and withered, by lacerating the stomach: but to this assertion we cannot by any means assent, because, among the number of cattle that we have known fall victims to this deadly food, not one has been found, when it was opened, but had a lump of green yew in its paunch. True it is, that yew trees stand for twenty years or more in a field, and no bad consequences ensue: but at some time or other cattle, either from wantonness when full, or from hunger when empty (from both which circumstances we have seen them perish), will be meddling, to their certain destruction; the yew seems to be a very improper tree for a pasture field.

Antiquaries seem much at a loss to determine at what period this tree first obtained a place in churchyards. A statute passed A. D. 1307 and 35 Edward I. the title of which is "Ne rector arbores in cemeterio prosternat." Now if it is recollected that we seldom see any other very large or ancient tree in a churchyard but yews, this statute must have principally related to this species of tree; and consequently their being planted in churchyards is of much more ancient date than the year 1307.

As to the use of these trees, possibly the more re-

spectable parishioners were buried under their shade before the improper custom was introduced of burying within the body of the church, where the living are to assemble. Deborah, Rebekah's nurse[1], was buried under an oak; the most honourable place of interment probably next to the cave of Machpelah[2], which seems to have been appropriated to the remains of the patriarchal family alone.

The farther use of yew trees might be as a screen to churches, by their thick foliage, from the violence of winds; perhaps also for the purpose of archery, the best long bows being made of that material: and we do not hear that they are planted in the churchyards of other parts of Europe, where long bows were not so much in use. They might also be placed as a shelter to the congregation assembling before the church doors were opened, and as an emblem of mortality by their funereal appearance[3]. In the south of England every churchyard almost has its tree, and some two; but in the north, we understand, few are to be found.

The idea of R. C. that the yew tree afforded its branches instead of palms for the processions on Palm Sunday, is a good one, and deserves attention.—See Gent. Mag. vol. i. p. 128.

LETTER VI.

The living of Selborne was a very small vicarage; but, being in the patronage of Magdalen College, in the university of Oxford, that society endowed it with the great tithes of Selborne, more than a century ago: and since the year 1758 again with the great tithes of Oakhanger, called Bene's Parsonage: so that, together, it is

[1] Gen. xxxv. 8. [2] Gen. xxiii. 9.

[3] Or rather, perhaps, as an emblem of immortality by their evergreen foliage: whence, also, most probably, the derivation of their name; *yew*, q. d. *ewig*, everlasting.—E. T. B.

become a respectable piece of preferment, to which one of the fellows is always presented. The vicar holds the great tithes, by lease, under the college. The great disadvantage of this living is, that it has not one foot of glebe near home[1].

ITS PAYMENTS ARE,

	£.	s.	d.
King's books	8	2	1
Yearly tenths	0	16	2½
Yearly procurations for Blackmore and Oakhanger Chap: with acquit:	0	1	7
Selborne procurations and acquit: . . .	0	9	0

I am unable to give a complete list of the vicars of this parish till towards the end of the reign of Queen Elizabeth; from which period the registers furnish a regular series.

In Domesday we find thus—"De isto manerio dono dedit Rex Radfredo presbytero dimidiam hidam cum ecclesia." So that before Domesday, which was compiled between the years 1081 and 1086, here was an officiating minister at this place.

After this, among my documents, I find occasional mention of a vicar here and there: the first is

Roger, instituted in 1254.

In 1410 John Lynne was vicar of Selborne.

In 1411 Hugo Tybbe was vicar.

The presentations to the vicarage of Selborne generally ran in the name of the prior and the convent; but Tybbe was presented by prior John Wynechestre only.

June 29, 1528, William Fisher, vicar of Selborne, resigned to Miles Peyrson.

1594, William White appears to have been vicar to this time. Of this person there is nothing remarkable, but that he hath made a regular entry twice in the

[1] At Bene's, or Bin's, parsonage there is a house and stout barn, and seven acres of glebe. Bene's parsonage is three miles from the church.

register of Selborne of the funeral of Thomas Cowper, Bishop of Winchester, as if he had been buried at Selborne; yet this learned prelate, who died 1594, was buried at Winchester, in the cathedral, near the episcopal throne[2].

1595, Richard Boughton, vicar.

[Sept. 6] 1596, William Inkforbye, vicar. [Buried Jan. 6, 1606.]

May [16] 1606, Thomas Phippes, vicar. [Buried May 27, 1631, at Harteley Mauduit.]

June 1631, Ralph Austine, vicar. [Buried at Oxford, March 24, 1631.]

July 1632, John Longworth. This unfortunate gentleman, living in the time of Cromwell's usurpation, was deprived of his preferment for many years, probably because he would not take the league and covenant: for I observe that his father-in-law, the Reverend Jethro Beal, rector of Faringdon, which is the next parish, enjoyed his benefice during the whole of that unhappy period. Longworth, after he was dispossessed, retired to a little tenement about one hundred and fifty yards from the church, where he earned a small pittance by the practice of physic. During those dismal times it was not uncommon for the deposed clergy to take up a medical character; as was the case in particular, I know, with the Reverend Mr. Yalden, rector of Compton, near Guildford, in the county of Surrey. Vicar Longworth used frequently to mention to his sons, who told it to my relations, that, the Sunday after his deprivation, his puritanical successor stepped into the pulpit with no small petulance and exultation; and began his

[2] See Godwin de Præsulibus Angliæ, folio, Cant. 1743, page 239.

[It is possible that William White may have been the officiating priest; a duty which would have so far exceeded the ordinary calls on him as to have become, in his estimation, an event of magnitude sufficient to be twice recorded in his register. One of the entries favours this idea. It is prominently conspicuous by being written in a bold German text hand, and concludes thus: "per me, W. White, Mr."—E. T. B.]

sermon from Psalm xx. 8: "They are brought down and fallen; but we are risen and stand upright." This person lived to be restored in 1660, and continued vicar for eighteen years; but was so impoverished by his misfortunes, that he left the vicarage house and premises in a very abject and dilapidated state.

July 1678. Richard Byfield, [B. D.] who left eighty pounds by will, the interest to be applied to apprentice out poor children: but this money, lent on private security, was in danger of being lost, and the bequest remained in an unsettled state for near twenty years, till 1700; so that little or no advantage was derived from it. About the year 1759 it was again in the utmost danger by the failure of a borrower; but, by prudent management, has since been raised to one hundred pounds stock in the three per cents reduced. The trustees are the vicar and the renters or owners of Temple, Priory, Grange, Blackmore, and Oakhanger House, for the time being. This gentleman seemed inclined to have put the vicarial premises in a comfortable state; and began, by building a solid stone wall round the front court, and another in the lower yard, between that and the neighbouring garden; but was interrupted by death from fulfilling his laudable intentions. [He lies buried in the chancel of his church; and a black slab, within the rails of the communion table and near the north wall, commemorates him.]

April [7], 1680, Barnabas Long became vicar. [Dr. Long appears to have resigned the vicarage in consequence of obtaining other preferment. We learn from Wood that on the 6th of February, 1681, he was installed prebend of Botesant in the church of York; and, on the 24th of May, 1682, of Stillington also. From the same authority it may be added that he died in 1685.]

June [23], 1681. This living was now in such low estimation in Magdalen College, that it descended to a junior fellow, Gilbert White, M. A. who was instituted

to it in the thirty-first year of his age. At his first coming he ceiled the chancel, and also floored and wainscoted the parlour and hall, which before, were paved with stone, and had naked walls; he enlarged the kitchen and brewhouse, and dug a cellar and well: he also built a large new barn in the lower yard, removed the hovels in the front court, which he laid out in walks and borders; and entirely planned the back garden, before a rude field with a stone-pit in the midst of it. By his will he gave and bequeathed "the sum of forty pounds to be laid out in the most necessary repairs of the church: that is, in strengthening and securing such parts as seem decaying and dangerous." With this sum two large buttresses were erected to support the east end of the south wall of the church; and the gable end wall of the west end of the south aisle was new built from the ground.

By his will also he gave "One hundred pounds to be laid out on lands; the yearly rents whereof shall be employed in teaching the poor children of Selbourne parish to read and write, and say their prayers and catechism, and to sew and knit:—and be under the direction of his executrix as long as she lives; and, after her, under the direction of such of his children and their issue, as shall live in or within five miles of the said parish: and on failure of any such, then under the direction of the vicar of Selbourn for the time being; but still to the uses above-named." With this sum were purchased, of Thomas Turville, of Hawkely, in the county of Southampton, yeoman, and Hannah his wife, two closes of freehold land, commonly called Collier's, containing, by estimation, eleven acres, lying in Hawkeley aforesaid. These closes are let at this time, 1785, on lease, at the rate of three pounds by the year[3].

This vicar also gave by will two hundred pounds

[3] The fac-simile of the historian's autograph, subjoined to the advertisement prefixed to the present volume, is taken from his signature to the lease here referred to, which is now in the editor's possession.— E. T. B.

towards the repairs of the highways[4] in the parish of Selborne. That sum was carefully and judiciously laid out in the summer of the year 1730, by his son John White, who made a solid and firm causey from Rood Green, all down Honey Lane, to a farm called Oak Woods, where the sandy soil begins. This miry and gulfy lane was chosen as worthy of repair, because it leads to the forest, and thence through the Holt to the town of Farnham in Surrey, the only market in those days for men who had wheat to sell in this neighbourhood. This causey was so deeply bedded with stone, so properly raised above the level of the soil, and so well drained, that it has, in some degree, withstood fifty-four years of neglect and abuse; and might, with moderate attention, be rendered a solid and comfortable road. The space from Rood Green to Oak Woods measures about three quarters of a mile.

In 1727, William Henry Cane, B. D. became vicar; and, among several alterations and repairs, new built the back front of the vicarage house.

On February 1, 1740, Duncombe Bristowe, D. D. was instituted to this living. What benefactions this vicar bestowed on the parish will be best explained by the following passages from his will:—"Item, I hereby give and bequeath to the minister and churchwardens of the parish of Selbourn, in the county of Southampton, a mahogany table, which I have ordered to be made for the celebration of the Holy Communion; and also the sum of thirty pounds, in trust, to be applied in manner following; that is, ten pounds towards the charge of erecting a gallery at the west end of the church; and ten pounds to be laid out for clothing, and such like necessaries, among the poor (and especially among the ancient and infirm) of the said parish: and the remaining ten pounds to be distributed in bread,

[4] "Such legacies were very common in former times, before any effectual laws were made for the repairs of highways."—Sir John Cullum's Hawsted, p. 15.

at twenty shillings a week, at the discretion of John White, Esq. or any of his family, who shall be resident in the said parish."

On November 12, 1758, Andrew Etty, B. D. became vicar. Among many useful repairs he new roofed the body of the vicarage house; and wainscoted, up to the bottom of the windows, the whole of the chancel; to the neatness and decency of which he always paid the most exact attention.

On September 25, 1784, Christopher Taylor, B. D. was inducted into the vicarage of Selborne.

[August 10, 1800. John Covey, B. D., by cession of Dr. Taylor. He made several alterations and improvements in the vicarage house and court; and removed the stone wall from the front court, which he separated from the Plestor by an open palisade.

1809. William Alcock, D. D. This vicar, in a fit of melancholy, destroyed himself. He lies buried in the churchyard, on the northern side, without any mark to distinguish his grave.

1813. William Rust Cobbold. The present vicar has added considerably to the comfort of the vicarage house by alterations which have increased the number of rooms, and have rendered them more light and cheerful. He has also much improved the original gardens of the vicarage, having converted them into ornamental grounds enriched with luxuriant exotics, including the finest of the American shrubs; and he has formed, south of his rick yard, an entirely new fruit and kitchen garden, which, being on the black malm, is of surprising fertility. His donation of an organ to the church has been already mentioned: and it is in a great measure under his superintendence that the interior of the church has assumed the decent and orderly appearance that now belongs to it. He has also built and fitted up two rooms near the Plestor, the use of which he has offered for the schooling of the children of the poorer parishioners.]

LETTER VII.

I SHALL now proceed to the Priory, which is undoubtedly the most interesting part of our history.

The Priory of Selborne was founded by Peter de la Roche, or de Rupibus[1], one of those accomplished foreigners that resorted to the court of King John, where they were usually caressed, and met with a more favourable reception than ought, in prudence, to have been shown by any monarch to strangers. This adventurer was a Poictevin by birth, had been bred to arms in his youth, and distinguished by knighthood. Historians all agree not to speak very favourably of this remarkable man; they allow that he was possessed of courage and fine abilities, but then they charge him with arbitrary principles, and violent conduct. By his insinuating manners he soon rose high in the favour of John; and in 1205, early in the reign of that prince, was appointed Bishop of Winchester. In 1214 he became Lord Chief Justiciary of England, the first magistrate in the state, and a kind of viceroy, on whom depended all the civil affairs in the kingdom. After the death of John, and during the minority of his son Henry, this prelate took upon him the entire management of the realm, and was soon appointed protector of the king and kingdom.

The barons saw with indignation a stranger possessed of all the power and influence, to part of which they thought they had a claim; they therefore entered into an association against him, and determined to wrest some of that authority from him which he had so unreasonably usurped. The bishop discerned the storm at a distance; and, prudently resolving to give way to that torrent of envy which he knew not how to with-

[1] See Godwin de Præsulibus Angliæ, folio, Cant. 1743, p. 217.

stand, withdrew quietly to the Holy Land, where he resided some time.

At this juncture a very small part of Palestine remained in the hands of the Christians: they had been by Saladine dispossessed of Jerusalem, and all the internal parts, near forty years before; and with difficulty maintained some maritime towns and garrisons: yet the busy and enterprising spirit of de Rupibus could not be at rest; he distinguished himself by the splendour and magnificence of his expenses, and amused his mind by strengthening fortresses and castles, and by removing and endowing of churches. Before his expedition to the east he had signalized himself as a founder of convents, and as a benefactor to hospitals and monasteries.

In the year 1231 he returned again to England; and the very next year, in 1232, began to build and endow the PRIORY of SELBORNE. As this great work followed so close upon his return, it is not improbable that it was the result of a vow made during his voyage; and especially as it was dedicated to the Virgin Mary. Why the bishop made choice of Selborne for the scene of his munificence can never be determined now: it can only be said that the parish was in his diocese, and lay almost midway between Winchester and Farnham, or South Waltham and Farnham; from either of which places he could without much trouble overlook his workmen, and observe what progress they made; and that the situation was retired, with a stream running by it, and sequestered from the world, amidst woods and meadows, and so far proper for the site of a religious house[2].

[2] The institution at Selborne was a priory of Black Canons of the order of St. Augustine, called also Canons Regular. Regular Canons were such as lived in a conventual manner, under one roof, had a common refectory and dormitory, and were bound by vows to observe the rules and statutes of their order: in fine, they were a kind of religious, whose discipline was less rigid than the monks'. The chief rule of these canons was that of St. Augustine, who was constituted Bishop of Hippo, A. D.

The first person with whom the founder treated about the purchase of land was Jacobus de Achangre, or Ochangre, a gentleman of property who resided at that hamlet; and, as appears, at the house now called Oakhanger House. With him he agreed for a croft, or little close of land, known by the name of La Liega, or La Lyge, which was to be the immediate site of the Priory.

De Achangre also accommodated the bishop at the same instant with three more adjoining crofts, which for a time was all the footing that this institution obtained in the parish. The seller in the conveyance says, "Warantizabimus, defendemus, et acquietabimus *contra omnes gentes;*" viz. "We will warrant the thing sold against all claims from any quarter." In modern conveyancing this would be termed a covenant for *further assurance.* Afterwards is added—"Pro hac autem donacione, &c. dedit mihi pred. Episcopus sexdecem marcas argenti in Gersumam:" i. e. "the bishop gave me sixteen silver marks as a consideration for the thing purchased."

As the grant from Jac. de Achangre was without date[3], and the next is circumstanced in the same manner, we cannot say exactly what interval there was between the two purchases; but we find that Jacobus de Nortun, a neighbouring gentleman, also soon sold to the Bishop of Winchester some adjoining grounds, through which our stream passes, that the priory might be accommodated with a mill, which was a common necessary appendage to every manor: he also allowed access to these lands by a road for carts and waggons.

395: but they were not brought into England till after the conquest; and seem not to have obtained the appellation of Augustine Canons till some years after. Their habit was a long black cassock, with a white rocket over it; and over that a black cloak and hood. The monks were always shaved: but these canons wore their hair and beards, and caps on their heads. There were of these canons, and women of the same order called Canonesses, about one hundred and seventy-five houses.

[3] The custom of affixing dates to deeds was not become general in the reign of Henry III.

—"Jacobus de Nortun concedit Petro Winton episcopo totum cursum aque que descendit de Molendino de Durton, usq; ad boscum Will. Mauduit, et croftam terre vocat: Edriche croft, cum extensione ejusdem et abuttamentis; ad fundandam domum religiosam de ordine Sti. Augustini. Concedit etiam viam ad carros, et caretas," &c. This vale, down which runs the brook, is now called the Long Lithe, or Lythe. Bating the following particular expression, this grant runs much in the style of the former; "Dedit mihi episcopus predictus triginta quinque marcas argenti *ad me acquietandum versus Judæos.*"—That is, "the bishop advanced me thirty-five marks of silver to pay my debts to the Jews," who were then the only lenders of money.

Finding himself still straitened for room, the founder applied to his royal master, Henry, who was graciously pleased to bestow certain lands in the manor at Selborne on the new priory of his favourite minister. These grounds had been the property of Stephen de Lucy; and, abutting upon the narrow limits of the convent, became a very commodious and agreable acquisition. This grant, I find, was made on March the 9th, in the eighteenth year of Henry, viz. 1234, being two years after the foundation of the monastery. The royal donor bestowed his favour with a good grace, by adding to it almost every immunity and privilege that could have been specified in the law language of the times.—"Quare volumus prior, &c. habeant totam terram, &c. cum omnibus libertatibus in bosco et plano, in viis et semitis, pratis et pascuis; aquis et piscariis; intra burgum, et extra burgum, cum soka et saca, Thol et Them, Infangenethef et Utfangenethef, et hamsocne et blodwite, et pecunia que dari solet pro murdro et forstal, et flemenestrick, et cum quietancia de omni scotto et geldo, et de omnibus auxiliis regum, vicecomitum, et omn: ministralium suorum; et hidagio et exercitibus, et scutagiis, et tallagiis, et shiris et hundredis, et placitis et querelis, et warda et wardpeny, et opibus castellorum

et pontium, et clausuris parcorum, et omni carcio et sumagio, et domor: regal: edificatione, et omnimoda reparatione, et cum omnibus aliis libertatibus." This grant was made out by Richard, Bishop of Chichester, then chancellor, at the town of Northampton, before the lord chief justiciary, who was the founder himself.

The charter of foundation of the Priory, dated 1233, comes next in order to be considered; but being of some length, I shall not interrupt my narrative, by placing it here[4]. My copy, taken from the original,

[4] *Carta Petri et conventus ecclesie Winton. pro fundatione prioratus de Seleburne, &c. dat.* 1233.

Omnibus Christi fidelibus ad quos presens scriptum pervenerit. P. divina miseracione *Wintōn* ecclesie minister humilis salutem in Domino: Ex officio pastorali tenemur viros religiosos, qui pauperes spiritu esse pro Christo neglectis lucris temporalibus elegerunt; spirituali affectu diligere, fovere pariter et creare, eorumq; quieti sollicite providere; ut tanto uberiores fructus de continua in lege Dei meditatione percipiant, quanto a conturbationibus malignorum amplius fuerint ex patroni provisione et ecclesiastica defensione securi. Hinc est quod universitati vestre notificamus, nos divine caritatis instinctu, de assensu conventus ecclesie nostre *Wintōn*, fundasse domum religiosam, ordinis magni patris *Augustini*, in honore Dei et gloriose semper virginis ejusdem Dei genetricis Marie, apud *Seleburne;* ibidemque canonicos regulares instituisse: ad quorum sustentationem et hospitum et pauperum susceptionem, dedimus, concessimus, et presenti carta nostra confirmavimus eisdem canonicis, totam terram quam habuimus de dono *Jacobi* de *Acangre:* et totam terram, cursum aque, boscum et pratum que habuimus de dono *Jacobi* de *Nortone;* et totam terram boscum et redditum que habuimus de dono domini *Henrici* regis *Anglie;* cum omnibus predictarum possessionum pertinentiis. Dedimus etiam et concessimus in proprios usus eisdem canonicis ecclesiam predicte ville de *Seleburne*, et ecclesias de *Basing*, et de *Basingestok*, cum omnibus earundem ecclesiarum capellis, libertatibus, et aliis pertinenciis; salva honesta et sufficienti sustentatione vicariorum in predictis ecclesiis ministrantium; quorum presentatio ad priorem predicte domus religiose de *Seleburne* et canonicos ejusdem loci in perpetuum pertinebit. Preterea possessiones et redditus, ecclesias sive decimas, quas in episcopatu nostro adempti sunt, vel in posterum, Deo dante, justis modis poterunt adipisci, sub nostra et *Wintōn* ecclesie protectione suscepimus, et episcopalis auctoritate officii confirmavimus; eadem auctoritate firmiter inhibentes, ne quis locum, in quo divino sunt officio mancipati, seu alias eorum possessiones, invadere vi vel fraude vel ingenio malo occupare audeat, vel etiam retinere, aut fratres conversos, servientes, vel homines eorum aliqua violentia perturbare, sive fugientes ad eos causa salutis sue conservande a septis domus sue violenter presumat extraere. Precipimus autem ut in

I have compared with Dugdale's copy, and find that they perfectly agree; except that in the latter the preamble and the names of the witnesses are omitted. Yet I think it proper to quote a passage from this charter—"Et ipsa domus religiosa *a cujuslibet alterius domûs religiosæ subjectione libera* permaneat, et in omnibus *absoluta*"—to show how much Dugdale was mistaken when he inserted Selborne among the alien priories; forgetting that this disposition of the convent contradicted the grant that he had published. In the Monasticon Anglicanum, in English, p. 119, is part of his catalogue of alien priories, suppressed 2 Henry V. viz. 1414, where may be seen as follows:

S.

Sele, Sussex.

SELEBURN.

Shirburn.

This appeared to me from the first to have been an oversight, before I had seen my authentic evidences. For priories alien, a few conventual ones excepted, were little better than granges to foreign abbeys; and

eadem domo religiosa de *Seleburne* ordo canonicus, et regularis conversatio, secundum regulam magni patris *Augustini*, quam primi inhabitatores professi sunt, in perpetuum observetur; et ipsa domus religiosa a cujuslibet alterius domus religiose subjectione libera permaneat, et in omnibus absoluta; salva in omnibus episcopali auctoritate, et *Wintōn* ecclesie dignitate. Quod ut in posterum ratum permaneat et inconcussum, presenti scripto et sigilli nostri patrocinis duximus confirmandum. His testibus domino *Waltero* abbate de *Hyda*. Domino *Walters* Priore de sancto *Swithuno*, domino Stephano priore de Motesfonte, magistro *Alano* de *Stoke;* magistro Willo de sancte *Marie* ecclesia, tunc officiali nostro; *Luca* archidiacon' de surr'. magistro *Humfrido* de *Millers*, *Henrico* & *Hugone* capellanis, *Roberto* de *Clinchamp*, et *Petro Rossinol* clericis, et multis aliis. Datum apud *Wlnes** per manum P. de cancellis. In die sanctorum martirum *Fabiani* et *Sebastiani*. Anno Domi milesimo ducentesimo tricesimo tercio.

Seal, two saints and a bishop praying:
Legend: SVI. M. SITe. BONI. PeTR' PAVL' e PATRONI.

* Probably Wolvesey-house near Winchester.

their priors little more than bailiffs, removeable at will: whereas the priory of Selborne possessed the valuable estates and manors of Selborne, Achangre, Norton, Brompden, Bassinges, Basingstoke, and Natele; and the prior challenged the right of Pillory, Thurcet, and Furcas, and every manerial privilege.

I find next a grant from Jo. de Venur, or Venuz, to the prior of Selborne—"de tota mora [a moor or bog] ubi Bene oritur, usque ad campum vivarii, et de prato voc. Sydenmeade cum abutt: et de cursu aque molendini." And also a grant in reversion "unius virgate terre," [a yard land] in Achangre at the death of Richard Actedene his sister's husband, who had no child. He was to present a pair of gloves of one penny value to the prior and canons, to be given annually by the said Richard: and to quit all claim to the said lands in reversion, provided the prior and canons would engage anually to pay to the king, through the hands of his bailiffs of Aulton, ten shillings at four quarterly payments, "pro omnibus serviciis, consuetudinibus, exactionibus, et demandis."

This Jo. de Venur was a man of property at Oakhanger, and lived probably at the spot now called Chapel Farm. The grant bears date the seventeenth year of the reign of Henry III. [viz. 1233.]

It would be tedious to enumerate every little grant for lands or tenements that might be produced from my vouchers. I shall therefore pass over all such for the present, and conclude this letter with a remark that must strike every thinking person with some degree of wonder. No sooner had a monastic institution got a footing, but the neighbourhood began to be touched with a secret and religious awe. Every person round was desirous to promote so good a work; and either by sale, by grant, or by gift in reversion, was ambitious of appearing a benefactor. They who had not lands to spare gave roads to accommodate the infant foundation. The religious were not backward in keeping up

this pious propensity, which they observed so readily influenced the breasts of men. Thus did the more opulent monasteries add house to house, and field to field; and by degrees manor to manor: till at last "there was no place left;" but every district around became appropriated to the purposes of their founders, and every precinct was drawn into the vortex.

LETTER VIII.

Our forefathers in this village were no doubt as busy and bustling, and as important, as ourselves: yet have their names and transactions been forgotten from century to century, and have sunk into oblivion; nor has this happened only to the vulgar, but even to men remarkable and famous in their generation. I was led into this train of thinking by finding in my vouchers that Sir Adam Gurdon was an inhabitant of Selborne, and a man of the first rank and property in the parish. By Sir Adam Gurdon I would be understood to mean that leading and accomplished malecontent in the Mountfort faction, who distinguished himself by his daring conduct in the reign of Henry III. The first that we hear of this person in my papers is, that with two others he was bailiff of Alton before the sixteenth of Henry III. viz. about 1231, and then not knighted. Who Gurdon was, and whence he came, does not appear: yet there is reason to suspect that he was originally a mere soldier of fortune, who had raised himself by marrying women of property. The name of Gurdon does not seem to be known in the south; but there is a name so like it in an adjoining kingdom, and which belongs to two or three noble families, that it is probable this remarkable person was a North Briton; and the more so, since the Christian name of Adam is a distinguished one to this day among the family of the Gordons. But, be this as it may, Sir Adam Gurdon

has been noticed by all the writers of English history for his bold disposition and disaffected spirit, in that he not only figured during the successful rebellion of Leicester, but kept up the war after the defeat and death of that baron, intrenching himself in the woods of Hampshire, towards the town of Farnham. After the battle of Evesham, in which Mountfort fell, in the year 1265, Gurdon might not think it safe to return to his house for fear of a surprise; but cautiously fortified himself amidst the forests and woodlands with which he was so well acquainted. Prince Edward, desirous of putting an end to the troubles which had so long harassed the kingdom, pursued the arch-rebel into his fastnesses; attacked his camp; leaped over the intrenchments; and, singling out Gurdon, ran him down, wounded him, and took him prisoner[1].

There is not perhaps in all history a more remarkable instance of command of temper, and magnanimity, than this before us: that a young prince, in the moment of victory, when he had the fell adversary of the crown and royal family at his mercy, should be able to withhold his hand from that vengeance which the vanquished so well deserved. A cowardly disposition would have been blinded by resentment: but this gallant heir-apparent saw at once a method of converting a most desperate foe into a lasting friend. He raised the fallen veteran from the ground, he pardoned him, he admitted him into his confidence, and introduced him to the queen, then lying at Guildford, that very evening[1]. This unmerited and unexpected lenity melted the heart of the rugged Gurdon at once; he became in an instant a loyal and useful subject, trusted and employed in matters of moment by Edward when king, and confided in till the day of his death.

[1] M. Paris, p. 675, and Triveti Annales.

LETTER IX.

It has been hinted in a former letter that Sir Adam Gurdon had availed himself by marrying women of property. By my evidences it appears that he had three wives, and probably in the following order: Constantia, Ameria, and Agnes. The first of these ladies, who was the companion of his middle life, seems to have been a person of considerable fortune, which she inherited from Thomas Makerel, a gentleman of Selborne, who was either her father or uncle. The second, Ameria, calls herself the quondam wife of Sir Adam, "quæ fui uxor," &c. and talks of her sons under age. Now Gurdon had no son: and beside Agnes in another document says, "Ego Agnes quondam uxor Domini Adæ Gurdon in pura et ligea viduitate mea:" but Gurdon could not leave two widows; and therefore it seems probable that he had been divorced from Ameria, who afterwards married, and had sons. By Agnes Sir Adam had a daughter Johanna, who was his heiress, to whom Agnes in her lifetime surrendered part of her jointure: —he had also a bastard son.

Sir Adam seems to have inhabited the house now called Temple, lying about two miles east of the church, which had been the property of Thomas Makerel.

In the year 1262 he petitioned the prior of Selborne in his own name, and that of his wife Constantia only, for leave to build him an oratory in his manor house, "in curia sua." Licenses of this sort were frequently obtained by men of fortune and rank from the bishop of the diocese, the archbishop, and sometimes, as I have seen instances, from the pope; not only for convenience sake, and on account of distance, and the badness of the roads, but as a matter of state and distinction. Why the owner should apply to the prior, in preference to the bishop of the diocese, and how the former became

competent to such a grant, I cannot say; but that the priors of Selborne did take that privilege is plain, because some years afterward, in 1280, Prior Richard granted to Henry Waterford and his wife Nicholaa a license to build an oratory in their court house, "curia sua de Waterford," in which they might celebrate divine service, saving the rights of the mother church of Basynges. Yet all the while the prior of Selborne grants with such reserve and caution, as if in doubt of his power, and leaves Gurdon and his lady answerable in future to the bishop, or his ordinary, or to the vicar for the time being, in case they should infringe the rights of the mother church of Selborne.

TEMPLE, IN THE PARISH OF SELBORNE.

The manor house called Temple is at present a single building, running in length from south to north, and has been occupied as a common farm house from time immemorial. The south end is modern, and consists of a brewhouse, and then a kitchen, The middle part is a hall twenty-seven feet in length, and nineteen feet in breadth; and has been formerly open to the top; but there is now a floor above it, and also a chimney in the

western wall. The roofing consists of strong massive rafter-work ornamented with carved roses. I have often looked for the lamb and flag, the arms of the Knights Templars, without success; but in one corner found a fox with a goose on his back, so coarsely executed, that it required some attention to make out the device.

Beyond the hall to the north is a small parlour with a vast heavy stone chimney-piece; and, at the end of all, the chapel or oratory, whose massive thick walls and narrow windows at once bespeak great antiquity. This room is only sixteen feet by sixteen feet eight inches; and full seventeen feet nine inches in height. The ceiling is formed of vast joists, placed only five or six inches apart. Modern delicacy would not much approve of such a place of worship: for it has at present much more the appearance of a dungeon than of a room fit for the reception of people of condition. The field on which this oratory abuts is still called Chapel Field. The situation of this house is very particular, for it stands upon the immediate verge of a steep abrupt hill.

Not many years since, this place was used for a hop-kiln, and was divided into two stories by a loft, part of which remains at present, and makes it convenient for peat and turf, with which it is stowed[1].

[1] There is now not a vestige remaining of the house described by Gilbert White. But the modern residence, in its whitened walls and slated roof and squared form the very reverse of the irregular and picturesque building represented on the opposite page, occupies nearly the same position with its predecessor, and commands that extensive view over the forest, which was so advantageous in other times to its warder, and which now delights the spectator by its variety and extent. The grander features of nature have not changed here since Adam Gurdon looked on them, and in the details, as seen from this position, there has probably occurred but little alteration. Another view, equally lovely but less extensive, is obtained from the orchard of Temple, formerly the Chapel Field. In this prospect, and in that from the terrace by the side of the house, a range of view is comprised extending over more than half the compass, and stretching away uninterruptedly for miles over the richest foreground imaginable to the dreary wastes of the Forest, enlivened by its little lakes, and bounded by the high downs that rise in the distance into the clouds.—E. T. B.

LETTER X.

THE Priory at times was much obliged to Gurdon and his family. As Sir Adam began to advance in years he found his mind influenced by the prevailing opinion of the reasonableness and efficacy of prayers for the dead; and, therefore, in conjunction with his wife Constantia, in the year 1271, granted to the prior and convent of Selborne all his right and claim to a certain place, *placea*, called La Pleystow, in the village afore-

THE PLESTOR.

said, "in *liberam, puram,* et *perpetuam elemosinam.*" This Pleystow[1], *locus ludorum,* or play-place, is a level area near the church of about forty-four yards by thirty-six, and is known now by the name of the Plestor[2].

[1] In Saxon Plegertop, or Plegrtop; viz. Plegestow, or Plegstow.

[2] At this juncture probably the vast oak, mentioned p. 8, was planted by the prior, as an ornament to his new acquired market-place. According to this supposition the oak was aged four hundred and thirty-two years when blown down.

It continues still, as it was in old times, to be the scene of recreation for the youths and children of the neighbourhood; and impresses an idea on the mind that this village, even in Saxon times, could not be the most abject of places, when the inhabitants thought proper to assign so spacious a spot for the sports and amusements of its young people[3].

As soon as the prior became possessed of this piece of ground, he procured a charter for a market[4] from King Henry III. and began to erect houses and stalls, "*seldas*," around it. From this period Selborne became a market town: but how long it enjoyed that privilege does not appear. At the same time Gurdon reserved to himself, and his heirs, a way through the said Plestor to a tenement and some crofts at the upper end, abutting on the south corner of the churchyard. This was, in old days, the manerial house of the street manor, though now a poor cottage; and is known at present by the modern name of Elliot's. Sir Adam also did, for the health of his own soul, and that of his wife Constantia, their predecessors and successors, grant to the prior and canons quiet possession of all the tenements and gardens, "*curtillagia*," which they had built and laid out on the lands in Selborne, on which he and his vassals, "*homines*," had undoubted right of common: and moreover did grant to the convent the full privilege of that right of common; and empowered the religious to build tenements and make gardens along the king's highway in the village of Selborne.

[3] For more circumstances respecting the Plestor, see Letter II. to Mr. Pennant.

[4] Bishop Tanner, in his Notitia Monastica, has made a mistake respecting the market and fair at Selborne: for, in his references to Dodsworth, cart. 54 Hen. III. m. 3. he says, "*De mercatu, et feria de Seleburn.*" But this reference is wrong; for, instead of Seleburn, it proves that the place there meant was Lekeborne, or Legeborn, in the county of Lincoln. This error was copied from the index of the Cat. MSS. Angl. It does not appear that there ever was a chartered fair at Selborne. For several particulars respecting the present fair at Selborne see Letter XXVI. of these Antiquities.

From circumstances put together it appears that the above were the first grants obtained by the Priory in the village of Selborne, after it had subsisted about thirty-nine years: moreover they explain the nature of the mixed manor still remaining in and about the village, where one field or tenement shall belong to Magdalen College in the university of Oxford, and the next to Norton Powlet, Esq. of Rotherfield House; and so down the whole street. The case was, that the whole was once the property of Gurdon, till he made his grants to the convent; since which some belongs to the successors of Gurdon in the manor, and some to the college; and this is the occasion of the strange jumble of property. It is remarkable that the tenement and crofts which Sir Adam reserved at the time of granting the Plestor should still remain a part of the Gurdon manor, though so desirable an addition to the vicarage that is not as yet possessed of one inch of glebe at home: but of late, viz. in January, 1785, Magdalen College purchased that little estate, which is life-holding, in reversion, for the generous purpose of bestowing it, and its lands, being twelve acres (three of which abut on the churchyard and vicarage garden) as an improvement hereafter to the living, and an eligible advantage to future incumbents.

The year after Gurdon had bestowed the Plestor on the Priory, viz. in 1272, Henry III. King of England died, and was succeeded by his son Edward. This magnanimous prince continued his regard for Sir Adam, whom he esteemed as a brave man, and made him warden, "*custos*," of the forest of Wolmer[5]. Though little

[5] Since the letters respecting Wolmer Forest and Ayles Holt, from p. 25 to 40, were printed, the author has been favoured with the following extracts:

In the "Act of Resumption, 1 Hen. VII." it was provided, that it be not prejudicial to "Harry at Lode, ranger of our forest of Wolmere, to him by oure letters patents before tyme gevyn."—Rolls of Parl. vol. vi. p. 370.

In the 11 Hen. VII. 1495.—"Warlham [Ward le ham] and the office of

emolument might hang to this appointment, yet are there reasons why it might be highly acceptable; and, in a few reigns after, it was given to princes of the blood[6]. In old days gentry resided more at home on their estates, and, having fewer resources of elegant in-door amusement, spent most of their leisure hours in the field and the pleasures of the chase. A large domain, therefore, at little more than a mile distance, and well stocked with game, must have been a very eligible acquisition, affording him influence as well as

forest [forester] of Wolmere" were held by Edmund, Duke of Suffolk.—Rolls, ib. 474.

Act of general pardon, 14 Hen. VIII. 1523, not to extend to "Rich. Bp. of Wynton [Bishop Fox] for any seizure or forfeiture of liberties, &c. within the forest of Wolmer, Alysholt, and Newe Forest; nor to any person for waste, &c. within the manor of Wardlam, or parish of Wardlam [Ward le ham;] nor to abusing, &c. of any office or fee, within the said forests of Wolmer or Alysholt, or the said park of Wardlam."—County Suth't.——Rolls prefixed to first Vol. of Journals of the Lords, p. xciii. b.

To these may be added some other particulars, taken from a book lately published, entitled "An Account of all the Manors, Messuages, Lands, &c. in the different Counties of England and Wales, held by Lease from the Crown; as contained in the Report of the Commissioners appointed to inquire into the State and Condition of the Royal Forests," &c.—London, 1787.

"Southampton."

P. 64. "A fee-farm rent of 31*l.* 2*s.* 11*d.* out of the manors of East and West Wardleham; and also the office of lieutenant or keeper of the forest or chase of Aliceholt and Wolmer, with all offices, fees, commodities, and privileges thereto belonging.

"Names of lessees, William, Earl of Dartmouth and others (in trust).

"Date of the last lease, March 23, 1780; granted for such term as would fill up the subsisting term to thirty-one years.

"Expiration March 23, 1811."

"Appendix, No. III."

"Southampton."

"Hundreds—Selborne and Finchdeane."

"Honours and manors," &c.

"Aliceholt Forest, three parks there.

"Bensted and Kingsley; a petition of the parishioners concerning the three parks in Aliceholt Forest."

William, first Earl of Dartmouth, and paternal grandfather to the present Lord Stawel, was a lessee of the forests of Aliceholt and Wolmer before brigadier-general Emanuel Scroope Howe.

[6] See Letter II. of these Antiquities.

entertainment; and especially as the manerial house of Temple, by its exalted situation, could command a view of near two-thirds of the forest.

That Gurdon, who had lived some years the life of an outlaw, and, at the head of an army of insurgents, was, for a considerable time in high rebellion against his sovereign, should have been guilty of some outrages, and should have committed some depredations, is by no means matter of wonder. Accordingly we find a *distringas* against him, ordering him to restore to the Bishop of Winchester some of the temporalities of that see, which he had taken by violence and detained; viz. some lands in Hocheleye, and a mill[7]. By a breve, or writ, from the king he is also enjoined to readmit the Bishop of Winchester, and his tenants of the parish and town of Farnham, to pasture their horses, and other larger cattle, "*averia*," in the Forest of Wolmer, as had been the usage from time immemorial. This writ is dated in the tenth year of the reign of Edward, viz. 1282.

All the king's writs directed to Gurdon are addressed in the following manner: "Edwardus, Dei gratia, &c. dilecto et fideli suo Ade Gurdon salutem;" and again, "Custodi foreste sue de Wolvemere."

In the year 1293 a quarrel between the crews of an English and a Norman ship, about some trifle, brought on by degrees such serious consequences, that in 1295 a war broke out between the two nations. The French king, Philip the Hardy, gained some advantages in Gascony; and, not content with those, threatened England with an invasion, and, by a sudden attempt, took and burnt Dover.

Upon this emergency Edward sent a writ to Gurdon, ordering him and four others to enlist three thousand soldiers in the counties of Surrey, Dorset, and Wilt-

[7] Hocheleye, now spelt Hawkley, is in the hundred of Selborne, and has a mill at this day.

shire, able-bodied men, "tam sagittare quam balistare potentes:" and to see that they were marched, by the feast of All Saints, to Winchelsea, there to be embarked aboard the king's transports.

The occasion of this armament appears also from a summons to the Bishop of Winchester to parliament, part of which I shall transcribe on account of the insolent menace which is said therein to have been denounced against the English language:—"qualiter rex Franciæ de terra nostra Gascon nos fraudulenter et cautelose decepit, eam nobis nequiter detinendo... vero predictis fraude et nequitia non contentus, ad expugnationem regni nostri classe maxima et bellatorum copiosa multitudine congregatis, cum quibus regnum nostrum et regni ejusdem incolas hostiliter jam invasurus, *linguam Anglicam*, si concepte iniquitatis proposito detestabili potestas correspondeat, quod Deus avertat, *omnino de terra delere proponit.*" Dated 30th September, in the year of King Edward's reign xxiii[8].

The above are the last traces that I can discover of Gurdon's appearing and acting in public. The first notice that my evidences give of him is, that, in 1232, being the sixteenth of Henry III. he was the king's bailiff, with others, for the town of Alton. Now, from 1232 to 1295 is a space of sixty-three years; a long period for one man to be employed in active life! Should any one doubt whether all these particulars can relate to one and the same person, I should wish him to attend to the following reasons why they might. In the first place, the documents from the Priory mention but one Sir Adam Gurdon, who had no son lawfully begotten: and in the next, we are to recollect that he must have probably been a man of uncommon vigour both of mind and body; since no one, unsupported by such accomplishments, could have engaged in such

[8] Reg. Wynton, Stratford, but query Stratford; for Stratford was not Bishop of Winton till 1323, near thirty years afterwards.

adventures, or could have borne up against the difficulties which he sometimes must have encountered: and, moreover, we have modern instances of persons that have maintained their abilities for near that period.

Were we to suppose Gurdon to be only twenty years of age in 1232, in 1295 he would be eighty-three; after which advanced period it could not be expected that he should live long. From the silence, therefore, of my evidences it seems probable that this extraordinary person finished his life in peace, not long after, at his mansion of Temple. Gurdon's seal had for its device —a man with a helmet on his head, drawing a cross-bow; the legend, "Sigillum Ade de Gurdon;" his arms were, "Goulis et iii floures argent issant de testes de leopards[9]."

If the stout and unsubmitting spirit of Gurdon could be so much influenced by the belief and superstition of the times, much more might the hearts of his ladies and daughter. And accordingly we find that Ameria, by the consent and advice of her sons, though said to be all under age, makes a grant for ever of some lands down by the stream at Durton; and also of her right of the common of Durton itself[10]. Johanna, the daughter and heiress of Sir Adam, was married, I find, to Richard Achard; she also grants to the prior and convent lands and tenements in the village of Selborne, which her father obtained from Thomas Makerel; and all also her goods and chattels in Selborne for the consideration of two hundred pounds sterling. This last business was transacted in the first year of Edward II. viz. 1307. It has been observed before that Gurdon had a natural son: this person was called by the name of John Dastard, alias Wastard, but more probably Bastard; since

[9] From the collection of Thomas Martin, Esq. in the Antiquarian Repertory, vol. iii. p. 109, No. XXXI.

[10] Durton, now called Dorton, is still a common for the copyholders of Selborne manor.

bastardy in those days was not deemed any disgrace, though dastardy was esteemed the greatest. He was married to Gunnorie Duncun; and had a tenement and some land granted him in Selborne by his sister Johanna.

LETTER XI.

THE Knights Templars[1], who have been mentioned in a former letter, had considerable property in Selborne; and also a preceptory at Sudington, now called Southington, a hamlet lying one mile to the east of the village.

[1] *The Military Orders of the Religious.*

The Knights Hospitalars of St. John of Jerusalem, afterwards called Knights of Rhodes, now of Malta, came into England about the year 1100, 1 Hen. I.

The Knights Templars came into England pretty early in Stephen's reign, which commenced 1135. The order was dissolved in 1312, and their estates given by act of Parliament to the Hospitalars in 1323, (all in Edw. II.) though many of their estates were never actually enjoyed by the said Hospitalars.—Vid. Tanner, p. xxiv. x.

The commandries of the Hospitalars, and preceptories of Templars, were each subordinate to the principal house of their respective religion in London. Although these are the different denominations, which Tanner at p. xxviii. assigns to the cells of these different orders, yet throughout the work very frequent instances occur of preceptories attributed to the Hospitalars; and if in some passages of *Notitia Monast.* commandries are attributed to the Templars, it is only where the place afterwards became the property of the Hospitalars, and so is there indifferently styled preceptory or commandry; see p. 243, 263, 276, 577, 678. But, to account for the first observed inaccuracy, it is probable the preceptories of the Templars, when given to the Hospitalars, were still vulgarly, however, called by their old name of preceptories; whereas in propriety the societies of the Hospitalars were indeed (as has been said) commandries. And such deviation from the strictness of expression in this case might occasion those societies of Hospitalars also to be indifferently called preceptories, which had originally been vested in them, having never belonged to the Templars at all.—See in Archer, p. 609. Tanner, p. 300. col. 1. 720. note *e*.

It is observable that the very statute for the dissolution of the Hospitalars holds the same language; for there, in the enumeration of particulars, occur "commandries, preceptories." Codex, p. 1190. Now this intercommunity of names, and that in an act of parliament too, made

Bishop Tanner mentions only two such houses of the Templars in all the county of Southampton, viz. Godesfield, founded by Henry de Blois, Bishop of Winchester, and South Badeisley, a preceptory of the Knights Templars, and afterwards of St. John of Jerusalem, valued at one hundred and eighteen pounds sixteen shillings and seven pence per annum. Here then was a preceptory unnoticed by antiquaries, between the village and Temple. Whatever the edifice of the preceptory might have been, it has long since been dilapidated; and the whole hamlet contains now only one mean farm-house, though there were two in the memory of man.

It has been usual for the religious of different orders to fall into great dissensions, and especially when they were near neighbours. Instances of this sort we have heard of between the monks of Canterbury; and again between the old abbey of St. Swythun, and the comparatively new minster of Hyde in the city of Winchester[2].

some of our ablest antiquaries look upon a preceptory and commandry as strictly synonymous; accordingly we find Camden, in his Britannia, explaining *præceptoria* in the text by a commandry in the margin, p. 356, 510.—J. L.

Commandry, a manor or chief messuage with lands, &c. belonging to the priory of St. John of Jerusalem; and he who had the government of such house was called the commander, who could not dispose of it but to the use of the priory, only taking thence his own sustenance, according to his degree, who was usually a brother of the same priory. Cowell. He adds (confounding these with preceptories) they are in many places termed Temples, as Temple Bruere in Lincolnshire, &c. Preceptories were possessed by the more eminent sort of Templars, whom the chief master created and called *Præceptores Templi.* Cowell, who refers to Stephens de Jurisd. lib. 4. c. 10. num. 27.

Placita de juratis et assis coram Salom. de Roff et sociis suis justic. Itiner. apud Wynton, &c. anno regni R. Edwardi fil. Reg. Hen. octavo.—"et Magr. Milicie Templi in Angl. ht emendassē panis, & suis [cerevisiæ] in Sodington, & nescint q°. war. et—et magist. Milicie Templi nōn vēn iō distr.—Chapter House, Westminster.

[2] *Notitia Monastica*, p. 155.

"Winchester, Newminster. King Alfred founded here first only a house and chapel for the learned monk Grimbald, whom he had brought out of Flanders: but afterwards projected, and by his will ordered, a noble church or religious house to be built in the cemetery on the north

These feuds arose probably from different orders being crowded within the narrow limits of a city, or garrison-town, where every inch of ground was precious, and an object of contention. But with us, as far as my evidences extend, and while Robert Saunford was master[3], and Richard Carpenter was preceptor, the Templars and the Priors lived in an intercourse of mutual good offices.

My papers mention three transactions, the exact time of which cannot be ascertained, because they fell out before dates were usually inserted; though probably they happened about the middle of the thirteenth century; not long after Saunford became master. The first of these is that the Templars shall pay to the priory of Selborne, annually, the sum of ten shillings at two half yearly payments from their chamber, "*camera*," at Sudington, "per manum *preceptoris*, vel *ballivi* nostri, qui pro tempore fuerit ibidem," till they can provide the prior and canons with an equivalent in lands or rents within four or five miles of the said convent. It is also further agreed that, if the Templars shall be in

side of the old minster or cathedral; and designed that Grimbald should preside over it. This was begun A. D. 901, and finished to the honour of the Holy Trinity, Virgin Mary, and St. Peter, by his son King Edward, who placed therein secular canons: but A. D. 963, they were expelled, and an abbot and monks put in possession by Bishop Ethelwold.

"Now the churches and habitations of these two societies being so very near together, the differences which were occasioned by their singing, bells, and other matters, arose to so great a height, that the religious of the new monastery thought fit, about A. D. 1119, to remove to a better and more quiet situation without the walls, on the north part of the city called HYDE, where King Henry I. at the instance of Will. Gifford, Bishop of Winton, founded a stately abbey for them. St. Peter was generally accounted patron; though it is sometimes called the monastery of St. Grimbald, and sometimes of St. Barnabas," &c.

Note. A few years since a county bridewell, or house of correction, has been built on the immediate site of Hyde Abbey. In digging up the old foundations the workmen found the head of a crosier in good preservation.

[3] Robert Saunforde was master of the Temple in 1241; Guido de Foresta was the next in 1292. The former is fifth in a list of the masters in a MS. Bib. Cotton. Nero. E. VI.

arrears for one year, that then the prior shall be empowered to distrain upon their live stock in Bradeseth. The next matter was a grant from Robert de Saunford to the priory for ever, of a good and sufficient road, "*cheminum*," capable of admitting carriages, and proper for the drift of their larger cattle, from the way which extends from Sudington towards Blakemere, on to the lands which the convent possesses in Bradeseth.

The third transaction (though for want of dates we cannot say which happened first and which last) was a grant from Robert Saunford to the priory of a tenement and its appurtenances in the village of Selborne, given to the Templars by Americus de Vasci[4]. This property, by the manner of describing it,—"totum tenementum cum omnibus pertinentiis suis, scilicet in terris, & *hominibus*, in pratis & pascuis, & nemoribus," &c. seems to have been no inconsiderable purchase, and was sold for two hundred marks sterling, to be applied for the buying of more land for the support of the holy war.

Prior John is mentioned as the person to whom Vasci's land is conveyed. But in Willis's list there is no prior John till 1339, several years after the dissolution of the order of the Templars in 1312; so that unless Willis is wrong, and has omitted a prior John since 1262 (that being the date of his first prior), these transactions must have fallen out before that date.

I find not the least traces of any concerns between Gurdon and the Knights Templars; but probably after his death his daughter Johanna might have, and might bestow, Temple on that order in support of the holy land; and, moreover, she seems to have been moving from Selborne when she sold her goods and chattels to the priory, as mentioned above.

[4] Americus Vasci, by his name, must have been an Italian, and had been probably a soldier of fortune, and one of Gurdon's captains. Americus Vespucio, the person who gave name to the new world, was a Florentine.

Temple no doubt did belong to the knights, as may be asserted, not only from its name, but also from another corroborating circumstance of its being still a manor tithe-free; "for, by virtue of their order," says Dr. Blackstone, "the lands of the Knights Templars were privileged by the pope with a discharge from tithes."

Antiquaries have been much puzzled about the terms *preceptores* and *preceptorium*, not being able to determine what officer or edifice was meant. But perhaps all the while the passage quoted above from one of my papers "per manum *preceptoris* vel *ballivi* nostri, qui pro tempore fuerit ibidem," may help to explain the difficulty. For if it be allowed here that *preceptor* and *ballivus* are synonymous words, then the brother who took on him that office resided in the house of the Templars at Sudington, a *preceptory;* where he was their *preceptor*, superintended their affairs, received their money; and, as in the instance there mentioned, paid from their chamber, "*camera*," as directed: so that, according to this explanation, a *preceptor* was no other than a steward, and a *preceptorium* was his residence. I am well aware that, according to strict Latin, the *vel* should have been *seu* or *sive*, and the order of the words "*preceptoris nostri*, vel ballivi, qui"—et "*ibidem*" should have been *ibi; ibidem* necessarily having reference to *two* or more persons: but it will hardly be thought fair to apply the niceties of classic rules to the Latinity of the thirteenth century, the writers of which seem to have aimed at nothing farther than to render themselves intelligible.

There is another remark that we have made, which, I think, corroborates what has been advanced; and that is, that Richard Carpenter, preceptor of Sudington, at the time of the transactions between the Templars and Selborne Priory, did always sign *last* as a witness in the three deeds: he calls himself *frater*, it is

true, among many other brothers, but subscribes with a kind of deference, as if, for the time being, his office rendered him an inferior in the community[5].

LETTER XII.

The ladies and daughter of Sir Adam Gurdon were not the only benefactresses to the Priory of Selborne; for, in the year 1281, Ela Longspee obtained masses to be performed for her soul's health; and the prior entered into an engagement that one of the convent should every day say a special mass for ever for the said benefactress, whether living or dead. She also engaged within five years to pay to the said convent one hundred marks of silver for the support of a chantry and chantry-chaplain, who should perform his masses daily in the parish church of Selborne[1]. In the east end of the south aisle there are two sharp-pointed Gothic niches; one of these probably was the place under which these masses were performed; and

[5] In two or three ancient records relating to St. Oswald's hospital in the city of Worcester, printed by Dr. Nash, p. 227 and 228, of his Collections for the History of Worcestershire, the words *preceptorium* and *preceptoria* signify the *mastership* of the said hospital: "ad *preceptorium* sive *magisterium* presentavit—*preceptorii* sive *magisterii* patronus. Vacavit dicta *preceptoria* seu *magisterium*—ad *preceptoriam* et regimen dicti hospitalis—Te *preceptorem* sive magistrum prefecimus."

Where *preceptorium* denotes a building or apartment it may probably mean the master's lodgings, or at least the preceptor's apartment, whatsoever may have been the office or employment of the said preceptor.

A preceptor is mentioned in Thoresby's Ducatus Leodiensis, or History of Leeds, p. 225, and a deed witnessed by the preceptor and chaplain before dates were inserted.—Du Fresne's Supplement: "*Preceptoriæ*, prædia *preceptoribus* assignata."—Cowell, in his Law Dictionary, enumerates sixteen *preceptoriæ*, or *preceptories*, in England; but Sudington is not among them.—It is remarkable that Gurtlerus, in his Historia Templariorum, Amstel. 1691, never once mentions the words *preceptor* or *preceptorium*.

[1] A chantry was a chapel joined to some cathedral or parish church, and endowed with annual revenues for the maintenance of one or more priests to sing mass daily for the soul of the founder, and others.

there is the more reason to suppose as much, because, till within these thirty years, this space was fenced off with Gothic wooden railing, and was known by the name of the south chancel[2].

The solicitude expressed by the donor plainly shows her piety and firm persuasion of the efficacy of prayers for the dead; for she seems to have made every provision for the payment of the sum stipulated within the appointed time; and to have felt much anxiety lest her death, or the neglect of her executors or assigns, might frustrate her intentions.—"Et si contingat me in solucione predicte pecunie annis predictis in parte aut in toto deficere, quod absit; concedo et obligo pro me et assignatis meis, quod Vice-Comes...Oxon et.....qui pro tempore fuerint, per omnes terras et tenementa, et omnia bona mea mobilia et immobilia ubicunque in balliva sua fuerint inventa ad solucionem predictam faciendam possent nos compellere." And again—"Et si contingat dictos religiosos labores seu expensas facere circa predictam pecuniam, seu circa partem dicte pecunie; volo quod dictorum religiosorum impense et labores levantur ita quod predicto priori vel uni canonicorum suorum super hiis simplici verbo credatur sine alterius honere probacionis; et quod utrique predictorum virorum in unam marcam argenti pro cujuslibet distrincione super me facienda tenear.—Dat. apud Wareborn *die sabati* proxima ante festum St. Marci evangeliste, anno regni regis Edwardi tertio decimo[3]."

But the reader perhaps would wish to be better informed respecting this benefactress, of whom as yet he has heard no particulars.

[2] For what is said more respecting this chantry see Letter III. of these Antiquities.—Mention is made of a Nicholas Langrish, capellanus de Selborne, in the time of Henry VIII. Was he chantry-chaplain to Ela Longspee, whose masses were probably continued to the time of the reformation? More will be said of this person hereafter.

[3] Ancient deeds are often dated on a Sunday, having been executed in churches and churchyards for the sake of notoriety, and for the conveniency of procuring several witnesses to attest.

The Ela Longspee therefore above-mentioned was a lady of high birth and rank, and became countess to Thomas de Newburgh, the sixth Earl of Warwick: she was the second daughter of the famous Ela Longspee, Countess of Salisbury, by William Longspee, natural son of King Henry II. by Rosamond.

Our lady, following the steps of her illustrious mother[4], "was a great benefactress to the university of Oxford, to the canons of Oseney, the nuns of Godstow, and other religious houses in Oxfordshire. She died very aged in the year 1300[5], and was buried before the high altar in the abbey church of Oseney, at the head of the tomb of Henry D'Oily, under a flat marble, on which was inlaid her portraiture, in the habit of a vowess, engraved on a copper-plate."—Edmondson's History and Genealogical Account of the Grevilles, p. 23.

LETTER XIII.

THE reader is here presented with five forms respecting the choosing of a prior[1]. Such evidences are rare and curious, and throw great light upon the general

[4] Ela Longspee, Countess of Salisbury, in 1232, founded a monastery at Lacock, in the county of Wilts, and also another at Hendon, in the county of Somerset, in her widowhood, to the honour of the Blessed Virgin and St. Bernard.—CAMDEN.

[5] Thus she survived the foundation of her chantry at Selborne fifteen years. About this lady and her mother consult Dugdale's Baronage, I. 72, 175, 177.—Dugdale's Warwickshire, I. 383.—Leland's Itin. II. 45.

[1] (No. 108.)

Carta petens licentiam eligendi prelatum a Domino Episcopo Wintoniensi. Defuncto prelato forma petendi licentiam eligendi.

Domino et patri in Christo reverendo domino & P. Dei gratia Wintoniensi episcopo, devoti sui filii supprior monasterii de S. Wintoniensis dioceseos salutem cum subjectione humili, reverentiam, et honorem. Monasterio nostro de S. in quo sub protectione vestra vivimus, sub habitu regulari, Prioris solacio destituto per mortem bone memorie, &c. quondam Prioris nostri, qui tali hora in aurora diem clausit extremum, vestre pater-

monastico-ecclesiastical history of this kingdom, not yet sufficiently understood.

nitati reverende et dominationi precipue istum nostrum et nostri monasterii casum flebilem cum merore nunciamus; ad vestre paternitatis refugium fratres nostros A. et C. canonicos destinantes, rogando et petendo devote quatenus nobis dignemini licenciam tribuere, ut monasterio predicto, Prioris regimine destituto, providere possimus, invocata Spiritus sancti gratia, per electionem canonicam de Priore. Actum in monasterio predicto 5 kalend. &c. anno Domini, &c. Valeat reverenda paternitas vestra semper in Domino.

Forma licencie concesse.

P. Dei gratia Wintoniensis episcopus dilectis in Christo filiis suppriori et conventui talis loci salutem, gratiam, et benedictionem. Viduitatem monasterii vestri vacantis per mortem quondam R. Prioris vestri, cujus anime propicietur altissimus, paterno compacientes affectu, petitam a nobis eligendi licenciam vobis concedimus, ut patronus. Datum apud, &c. 3 kalend. Jul. anno consecrationis nostre tertio.

Forma decreti post electionem conficiendi.

In nomine Domini nostri Jhesu Christi, Amen. Monasterio beate Marie talis loci Winton. dioc. solacio destituto per mortem R. quondam Prioris ipsius; ac corpore ejus, prout moris est, ecclesiastice sepulture commendato; petita cum devocione licentia per fratres K. et . canonicos a ven: in Christo patre et domino domino P. Dei gratia Wintoniensi episcopo ejusdem monasterii patrono, eligendi priorem, et optenta; die dato, a toto capitulo ad eligendum vocati fuere evocandi, qui debuerunt, voluerunt, et potuerunt comode electioni prioris in monasterio predicto interesse: omnes canonici in capitulo ejusdem ecclesie convenerunt tali die, anno Dom. &c. ad tractandum de electione sui prioris facienda; qui, invocata Spiritus Sancti gratia, ad procedendum per formam scrutinii concencientes.

(N. 108.) *Modus procedendi ad electionem per formam scrutinii.*

Omnibus in capitulo congregatis qui debent volunt et possunt comode interesse electioni eligendi sunt tres de capitulo* *non nostro obediencias ores*†, qui erunt scrutatores, et sedebunt in angulo capituli; et primo requirent vota sua propria, videlicet, duo requirent *tertium* et duo *alterum*, &c. dicendo sic, "Frater P. in quem concentis ad eligendum in prelatum nostrum?" quibus examinatis, et dictis eorum per vicem ex ipsis in scriptura redactis, vocabunt ad se omnes fratres singillatim, primo suppriorem, &c. Et unus de tribus examinatoribus scribet dictum cujuslibet. Celebrato scrutinio, *publicare db coram omnibus. Facta ptmodū concensum* collectione apparebit in quem pars major capituli et sanior concentit; quo viso, major pars dicet minori, "Cum major pars et sanior capituli nostri

* Fratres canonicos. See *Forma decreti, &c.*

† Obedientiores sc. more regular. In virtute obedientiæ occurs in *Not. Visit.*

In the year 1324 there was an election for a prior at Selborne; when some difficulties occurring, and a devo-

concenciat in fratrem R. ipse est eligendus, unde, si placet, ipsum communiter eligamus;" si vero omnes acquieverint, tunc ille qui majorem vocem habet in capitulo surgens dicet, "Ego frater R. pro toto capitulo eligo fratrem R. nobis in pastorem;" et omnes dicent; "Placet nobis." Et incipient, "TE DEUM LAUDAMUS." Si vero in unum concordare nequiverint, tunc hiis, qui majorem vocem habet inter illos qui majorem et saniorem partem capituli constituerint, dicet, "Ego pro me et illis qui mecum concenciunt in fratrem R. eligo ipsum in," &c. Et illi dicent, "Placet nobis," &c.

Forma ricte presentandi electum.

Reverendo in Christo patri et domino domino P. Dei gratia Winton. episcopo devoti sui filii frater R. Supprior conventualis beate Marie de tali loco, et ejusdem loci Conventus, cum subjectione humili, omnem obedienciam, reverenciam, et honorem. Cum conventualis ecclesia beate Marie talis loci, in qua sub protectione vestra vivimus sub habitu regulari, per mortem felicis recordationis R. quondam prioris nostri destituta ecclesia priore, qui 6^to^ kalend. Jul. in aurora anno Dom. &c. diem clausit extremum; de corpore ejus, prout moris est, ecclesiastice tradito sepulture; petita a vobis, tanquam a Domino, et vero ejusdem ecclesie patrono et pastore, licencia eligendi priorem et optenta; convenientibus omnibus canonicis predicte ecclesie in capitulo nostro, qui voluerunt debuerunt et potuerunt comode electioni nostre interesse, tali die anno Dom. supradicto, invocata Spiritus Sancti gratia, fratrem R. de C. ejusdem ecclesie canonicum unanimi assensu et voluntate in priorem nostrum, ex puris votis singulorum, unanimiter eligimus. Quem reverende paternitati vestre et dominacioni precipue Priorem vero patrono nostro et pastore confirmandum, si placet, tenore presentium presentamus; *dignitatem* vestram humiliter et devote rogantes, quatenus, dicte electioni felicem prebere volentes assensum, eidem R. electo nostro *nunc* confirmabitis, et quod vestrum est pastorali *solicitudine* impendere dignemini. In cujus rei testimonium presentes litteras sigillo capituli nostri signatas paternitati vestre *transmittimus*. Valeat reverenda paternitas vestra semper in Domino. Datum tali loco die et anno supradictis. Omnes et singuli, per fratres A. B. et C. ejusdem ecclesie canonicos de voluntate tocius conventus ad inquirenda vota singulorum constitutos, secreto et singillatim requisiti; tandem publicato scrutinio et facta votorum colectione inventum est, majorem et saniorem partem tocius capituli dicte ecclesie in fratrem S. de B. dicte ecclesie canonicum unanimiter et concorditer concencisse; vel sic, quando inventum omnes canonicos dicte ecclesie preter duos in fratrem, A. D. quibus statim majori parti eligendum adquiescenter: frater k. supprior ecclesie memorate, juxta potestatem sibi a toto conventu traditam, vice consociorum suorum et sua ac tocius conventus, dictum fratrem S. de B. in priorem ejusdem ecclesie elegit, sub hac forma; "Ego frater supprior conventualis ecclesie beate Marie talis loci, potestate et auctoritate mihi a toto conventu dicte ecclesie tradita et commissa, quando, puplicato

lution taking place, application was made to Stratford, who was Bishop of Winchester at that time, and of course the visiter and patron of the convent at the spot above-mentioned[2].

AN EXTRACT FROM REG. STRATFORD. WINTON.

P. 4. "Commissio facta sub-priori de Selebourne" by the bishop, enjoining him to preserve the discipline of the order in the convent during the vacancy made by the late death of the prior, ("nuper pastoris solatio destituta,") dated 4to. kal. Maii ann. 2do sc. of his consecration. [sc. 1324.]

P. 6. "Custodia Prioratus de Seleburne vacantis," committed by the bishop to Nicholas de la ..., a layman, it belonging to the bishop "ratione vacationis ejusdem," in July, 1324. Ibid. "Negotium electionis de Selebourne. Acta coram Johanne Episcopo, &c. 1324 in negotio electionis de fratre Waltero de Insula concanonico prioratus de Selebourne," lately elected by the sub-prior and convent, by way of scrutiny; that it appeared to the bishop, by certificate from the Dean of Alton, that solemn citation and proclamation had been made in the church of the convent where the election was held, that any who opposed the said election or elected should appear. Some difficulties were started, which the bishop overruled, and confirmed the election, and admitted the new prior *sub hac forma:*

"In Dei nomine Amen. Ego Johannes permissione divina, &c. te Walterum de Insula ecclesie de Selebourne

scrutinio et omnibus circa hoc rite peractis, inveni majorem et partem saniorem tocius capituli nostri in fratrem S. de B. virum providum unanimiter concencisse, ipsum nobis et ecclesie nostre, vice tocius conventus, in priorem eligendum; et eidem electioni subscribo; cui electioni omnes canonici nostri concencerunt, et subscripserunt."—"Ego frater de C. presenti electioni concencio, et subscribo." Et sic de singulis electoribus; in *cujus rei* testimonium sigillum capituli nostri apponi fecimus ad presentes.

[2] Stratford was Bishop of Winchester from 1323 to 1333, when he was translated to Canterbury.

nostre dioceseos nostrique patronatus vacantis, canonicum et cantorem, virum utique providum, et discretum, literarum scientia preditum, vita moribus et conversatione merito commendatum, in ordine sacerdotali et etate legitima constitutum, de legitimo matrimonio procreatum, in ordine et religione Sancti Augustini de Selebourne expresse professum, in spiritualibus et temporalibus circumspectum, *jure* nobis hac vice *devoluto* in hac parte, in dicte ecclesie de Selebourne perfectum priorem; curam et administrationem ejusdem tibi in spiritualibus et temporalibus committentes. Dat. apud Selebourne XIII kalend. Augusti anno supradicto."

There follows an order to the sub-prior and convent pro obedientia:

A mandate to Nicholas above-named to release the Priory to the new prior:

A mandate for the induction of the new prior.

LETTER XIV.

"In the year 1373 Wykeham, Bishop of Winchester, held a visitation of his whole diocese; not only of the secular clergy through the several deaneries, but also of the monasteries, and religious houses of all sorts, which he visited in person. The next year he sent his commissioners with power to correct and reform the several irregularities and abuses which he had discovered in the course of his visitation.

"Some years afterward, the bishop having visited three several times all the religious houses throughout his diocese, and being well informed of the state and condition of each, and of the particular abuses which required correction and reformation, besides the orders which he had already given, and the remedies which he had occasionally applied by his commissioners, now issued his injunctions to each of them. They were accommodated to their several exigencies, and intended

to correct the abuses introduced, and to recall them all to a strict observation of the rules of their respective orders. Many of these injunctions are still extant, and are evident monuments of the care and attention with which he discharged this part of his episcopal duty[1]."

Some of these injunctions I shall here produce; and they are such as will not fail, I think, to give satisfaction to the antiquary, both as never having been published before, and as they are a curious picture of monastic irregularities at that time.

The documents that I allude to are contained in the Notabilis Visitatio de Seleburne, held at the Priory of that place, by Wykeham in person, in the year 1387.

This evidence, in the original, is written on two skins of parchment; the one large, and the other smaller, and consists of a preamble, thirty-six items, and a conclusion, which altogether evince the patient investigation of the visiter, for which he had always been so remarkable in all matters of moment, and how much he had at heart the regularity of those institutions, of whose efficacy in their prayers for the dead he was so firmly persuaded. As the bishop was so much in earnest, we may be assured that he had nothing in view but to correct and reform what he found amiss; and was under no bias to blacken or misrepresent, as the commissioners of Thomas Lord Cromwell seem in part to have done at the time of the reformation[2]. We may therefore with reason suppose that the bishop gives us an exact delineation of the morals and manners of the canons of Selborne at that juncture; and that what he found they had omitted he enjoins them; and for what they have done amiss, and contrary to their rules and statutes, he reproves them; and threatens them with punishment suitable to their irregularities.

[1] See Lowth's Life of Wykeham.

[2] Letters of this sort from Dr. Layton to Thomas Lord Cromwell, are still extant.

This *visitatio* is of considerable length, and cannot be introduced into the body of this work[3]; we shall therefore take some notice, and make some remarks, on the most singular items as they occur.

In the preamble the visiter says—"Considering the charge lying upon us, that your blood may not be required at our hands, we came down to visit your Priory, as our office required: and every time we repeated our visitation we found something still not only contrary to regular rules but also repugnant to religion and good reputation."

In the first article after the preamble—"he commands them on their obedience, and on pain of the greater excommunication, to see that the canonical hours by night and by day be sung in their choir, and the masses of the Blessed Mary, and other accustomed masses, be celebrated at the proper hours with devotion, and at moderate pauses; and that it be not allowed to any to absent themselves from the hours and masses, or to withdraw before they are finished."

Item 2d. He enjoins them to observe that silence to which they are so strictly bound by the rule of St. Augustine at stated times, and wholly to abstain from frivolous conversation.

[Item 3rd. That whereas, although in health, they have many times neglected the celebration of masses, and have thereby defrauded the souls of the founders and other benefactors; he enjoins them to confess frequently, and devoutly to celebrate mass, as well for the living as the dead, as often as may be. If any impediment occur to prevent the celebration they are to report it within three days to the prior; who is also required to search diligently every month into breaches of this rule, and to punish the delinquents.]

[3] It was printed entire in an Appendix to the first edition: but as the principal items have been abstracted in the text by Gilbert White, and as the heads of the remaining items are now given [between brackets] by the editor, it has been judged unnecessary to reprint on this occasion, verbatim, an article of such considerable length.—E. T. B.

Item 4th. "Not to permit such frequent passing of secular people of both sexes through their convent, as if a thoroughfare, from whence many disorders may and have arisen."

Item 5th. "To take care that the doors of their church and Priory be so attended to that no suspected and disorderly females, 'suspectæ et aliæ inhonestæ,' pass through their choir and cloister in the dark;" and to see that the doors of their church between the nave and the choir, and the gates of their cloister opening into the fields, be constantly kept shut until their first choir-service is over in the morning, at dinner time, and when they meet at their evening collation[4].

Item 6th mentions that several of the canons are found to be very ignorant and illiterate, and enjoins the prior to see that they be better instructed by a proper master.

[Item 7th. The decretals concerning their order are not read, on which account they, in consequence of their ignorance of them, to the peril of their souls act in a manner therein expressly forbidden. Wherefore they are required to have these decretals written in a volume, and read twice a year in the chapter for the information of the seniors; and to have them explained, in the vulgar, to the novices, so that they may know them as it were by heart. This charge is laid upon the prior, under pain of suspension.]

Item 8th. The canons are here accused of refusing to accept of their statutable clothing year by year, and of demanding a certain specified sum of money, as if it were their annual rent and due. This the bishop forbids, and orders that the canons shall be clothed out of the revenue of the Priory, and the old garments be laid by in a chamber and given to the poor, according to the rule of St. Augustine.

In Item 9th is a complaint that some of the canons

[4] A collation was a meal or repast on a fast day in lieu of a supper.

are given to wander out of the precincts of the convent without leave; and that others ride to their manors and farms, under pretence of inspecting the concerns of the society, when they please, and stay as long as they please. But they are enjoined never to stir either about their own private concerns or the business of the convent without leave from the prior: and no canon is to go alone, but to have a grave brother to accompany him.

The injunction in Item 10th, at this distance of time, appears rather ludicrous; but the visiter seems to be very serious on the occasion, and says that it has been evidently proved to him that some of the canons, living dissolutely after the flesh, and not after the spirit, sleep naked in their beds without their breeches and shirts, "absque femoralibus et camisiis[5]." He enjoins that these culprits shall be punished by severe fasting, especially if they shall be found to be faulty a third time; and threatens the prior and sub-prior with suspension if they do not correct this enormity.

In Item 11th the good bishop is very wroth with some of the canons, whom he finds to be professed hunters and sportsmen, keeping hounds, and publicly attending hunting-matches. These pursuits, he says, occasion much dissipation, danger to the soul and body, and frequent expense; he, therefore, wishing to extirpate this vice wholly from the convent "*radicibus extirpare*," does absolutely enjoin the canons never intentionally to be present at any public noisy tumultuous huntings; or to keep any hounds, by themselves or by others, openly or by stealth, within the convent, or without[6].

[5] The rule alluded to in Item 10th, of not sleeping naked, was enjoined the Knights Templars, who also were subject to the rules of St. Augustine.—See Gurtleri Hist. Templariorum.

[6] Considering the strong propensity in human nature towards the pleasures of the chase, it is not to be wondered that the canons of Selborne should languish after hunting, when, from their situation so near the precincts of Wolmer Forest, the king's hounds must have been often

In Item 12th he forbids the canons in office to make their business a plea for not attending the service of the choir; since by these means either divine worship is neglected or their brother canons are overburdened.

[Item 13th directs that two of the canons shall twice in every year personally visit the manors, and report in writing their condition and the live and dead stock at each; that in case of the death of any officer, the convent may not be left in ignorance as to the state of his charge: neglect to be severely punished, according to the bishop's discretion.]

By Item 14th we are informed that the original number of canons at the Priory of Selborne was fourteen; but that at this visitation they were found to be let down to eleven. The visiter therefore strongly and earnestly enjoins them that, with all due speed and diligence, they should proceed to the election of proper persons to fill up the vacancies, under pain of the greater excommunication.

[Item 15th is especially addressed to the prior, who has neglected to make inquisition as to proprietary canons, "whence it has resulted that the old enemy, taking advantage of the continued sloth of the shepherd, has seduced the wretched and erring sheep by means of the snare of property into the thirst of avarice," to the serious peril of their souls. He is required, twice a year at least, to make inquisition and to punish offenders. In neglect of this duty he is ipso facto suspended.]

[Item 16th refers to the constitutions as requiring that abbots and priors, and other officers, should twice at least in each year, in the presence of the whole convent, or of a certain number deputed by the chapter, render a full account of their administration; and avers that this has not been attended to in the Priory of Sel-

in hearing, and sometimes in sight from their windows. If the bishop was so offended at these sporting canons, what would he have said to our modern fox-hunting divines?

borne. Requires that it be observed in future, under pain of suspension.]

In Item 17th, the prior and canons are accused of suffering, through neglect, notorious dilapidations to take place among their manerial houses and tenements, and in the walls and enclosures of the convent itself, [sumptuously erected by the industry of their predecessors,] to the shame and scandal of the institution: they are therefore enjoined, under pain of suspension, to repair all defects within the space of six months.

Item 18th. Charges them with grievously burdening the said Priory by means of sales, and grants of liveries[7] and corrodies[8].

The bishop, in item 19th, accuses the canons of neglect and omission with respect to their perpetual chantry-services.

Item 20th. The visiter here conjures the prior and canons not to withhold their original alms, "*eleemosynas;*" nor those that they were enjoined to distribute for the good of the souls of founders and benefactors: he also strictly orders that the fragments and broken victuals, both from the hall of their prior and their common refectory, should be carefully collected together by their *eleemosynarius*, and given to the poor without any diminution; the officer to be suspended for neglect or omission.

[Item 21st. It could scarcely be anticipated that it should have been necessary to enjoin that the brethren should be supplied, when sick, with suitable food and drink, and with fitting medicines, out of the common

[7] "*Liberationes*, or *liberaturæ*, allowances of corn, &c. to servants, delivered at certain times, and in certain quantities, as clothes were, among the allowances from religious houses to their dependants."—See the corrodies granted by Croyland abbey.—Hist. of Croyland, Appendix, No. XXXIV.

"It is not improbable that the word in after-ages came to be confined to the uniform of the retainers or servants of the great, who were hence called livery servants."—Sir John Cullum's Hist. of Hawsted.

[8] A *corrody* is an allowance to a servant living in an abbey or priory.

stock "sicut antiquitus fieri consueverat;" and have also the use of the rooms of the infirmary: yet such is the tenor of this item. It appears as though some one had claimed for himself a property in the infirmary, to the exclusion of the others.]

[Item 22nd. Since negligence or remissness towards offenders is in itself detestable, and since facility of pardon operates as an incentive to delinquency; orders that, without exception of persons, correction shall be used according to the amount of the delinquency; and that the regular observances shall be duly kept.]

Item 23d. He bids them distribute their pittances, "*pitancias*[9]," regularly on obits, anniversaries, festivals, &c.

[Item 24th. Prohibits the sale of wood, the farming out of manors or of churches, or the transaction of any other important business, without consultation and consent of the whole convent, or of the larger and discreeter portion of it: otherwise there is no validity in the proceeding. "Illa quoque que omnes tangunt ab omnibus merito debeant approbari."]

Item 25th. All and every one of the canons are hereby inhibited from standing godfather to *any boy* for the future, "ne *compatres alicujus pueri* de cetero fieri presumatis," unless by express license from the bishop obtained; because from such relationship favour and affection, nepotism, and undue influence, arise, to the injury and detriment of religious institutions[10].

[9] "*Pitancia*, an allowance of bread and beer, or other provision to any pious use, especially to the religious in a monastery, &c. for augmentation of their commons."—Gloss. to Kennet's Par. Antiq.

[10] "The relationship between sponsors and their god-children, who were called spiritual sons and daughters, was formerly esteemed much more sacred than at present. The presents at christenings were sometimes very considerable: the connexion lasted through life, and was closed with a legacy. This last mark of attention seems to have been thought almost indispensable: for, in a will, from whence no extracts have been given, the testator left every one of his god-children a bushel of barley."—Sir John Cullum's Hist. of Hawsted.

"D. Margaretæ filiæ Regis primogenitæ, quam *filiolam*, quia ejus in

Item 26th. The visiter herein severely reprimands the canons for appearing publicly in what would be called in the universities an *unstatutable manner*, and for wearing of boots, "caligæ de Burneto, et *sotularium* ——in ocrearum loco, ad modum sotularium[11].

It is remarkable that the bishop expresses more warmth against this than any other irregularity; and strictly enjoins them, under pain of ecclesiastical censures, and even imprisonment if necessary (a threat not made use of before) for the future to wear boots, "ocreis seu botis," according to the regular usage of their ancient order.

[Item 27th. Requires that, according to the constitutions, three or at the least two parts of the convent should daily eat together in the refectory; and forbids all dining in private places, with certain exceptions. One of the privileged places is the "aula prioris:" but the prior is desired to use due diligence that, without exception of persons, he invite sometimes one and sometimes another of those whom he knows to be most in need.]

[Item 28th. That as the lives of the younger members of the community are watched over by the seniors, so also the seniors are required by the constitutions to have witnesses of their proceedings. Enjoins that the prior should annually change his chaplain; both with

baptismo *compater* fuit, appellat, cyphum aureum et quadraginta libras, legavit."—Archbishop Parker de Antiquitate Eccles. Brit. speaking of Archbishop Morton.

[11] Du Fresne is copious on *caligæ* of several sorts. "Hoc item de Clericis, presertim beneficiatis: *caligis* scacatis (chequered) rubeis, et viridibus publice utentibus dicimus esse censendum."—Statut. Eccles. Tutel. The chequered boots seem to be the Highland plaid stockings.—"Burnetum, i. e. Brunetum, pannus non ex lanâ nativi coloris confectus."—"Sotularium, i. e. subtalaris, quia sub talo est. Peculium genus, quibus maxime Monachi nocte utebantur in æstate; in hyeme vero Soccis."

This writer gives many quotations concerning Sotularia, which were not to be made too shapely; nor were the *caligæ* to be laced on too nicely.

the view of securing greater efficiency in the discharge of the duties, and in order that he might have ready an unsuspected witness respecting him, in the event of any scandal or imputation being cast upon him by malice.]

Item 29th. He here again, but with less earnestness, forbids them foppish ornaments, and the affectation of appearing like beaux with garments edged with costly furs, with fringed gloves, and silken girdles trimmed with gold and silver. It is remarkable that no punishment is annexed to this injunction.

[Item 30th. The bishop appears to have believed in the vulgar adage that what is every body's business is nobody's business; and probably attributed to this cause much of the disorder that prevailed. He here remarks, that as each office ought to be committed to a special officer, he requires that to be done for the future: such officers to be elected according to the custom of the Priory. The penalty for disobedience in this case is no less than excommunication.]

Item 31st. He here singly and severally forbids each canon not admitted to a cure of souls to administer extreme unction, or the sacrament, to clergy or laity; or to perform the service of matrimony, till he has taken out the license of the parish priest.

Item 32nd. The bishop says in this item that he had observed and found, in his several visitations, that the sacramental plate and cloths of the altar, surplices, &c. were sometimes left in such an uncleanly and disgusting condition as to make the beholders shudder with horror;—"quod aliquibus sunt horrori[12];" he therefore

[12] "Men abhorred the offering of the Lord."—1 Sam. chap. ii. v. 17. Strange as this account may appear to modern delicacy, the author, when first in orders, twice met with similar circumstances attending the sacrament at two churches belonging to two obscure villages. In the first he found the inside of the chalice covered with birds' dung; and in the other the communion-cloth soiled with cabbage and the greasy drippings of a gammon of bacon. The good dame at the great farm-house, who was to furnish the cloth, being a notable woman, thought it best to save her clean linen, and so sent a foul cloth that had covered her own table for two or three Sundays before.

enjoins them for the future to see that the plate, cloths, and vestments, be kept bright, clean, and in decent order: and, what must surprise the reader, adds—that he expects for the future that the sacrist should provide for the sacrament good wine, pure and unadulterated; and not, as had often been the practice, that which was sour, and tending to decay:—he says farther, that it seems quite preposterous to omit in sacred matters that attention to decent cleanliness, the neglect of which would disgrace a common convivial meeting[13].

Item 33d says that, though the relics of saints, the plate, holy vestments, and books of religious houses, are forbidden by canonical institutes to be pledged or lent out upon pawn; yet, as the visiter finds this to be the case in his several visitations, he therefore strictly enjoins the prior forthwith to recall those pledges, and to restore them to the convent; and orders that all the papers and title deeds thereto belonging should be safely deposited, and kept under three locks and keys.

[Item 34th. That as religious men ought continually to be advancing in holiness, he enjoins them, when they have performed the observances of their order and regular discipline, to frequent the cloisters for the reading of the holy scriptures and for devout contemplation.]

[Item 35th. A special injunction to the prior, exemplifying the hospitality that prevailed in monastic establishments. That when any relation of any of the canons should come on a visit to him, his reception should be liberal according to his condition: suggesting, however, that the brethren should avoid being overburthensome to the Priory in such matters.]

[Item 36th. It avails but little to make laws unless attention is paid to their execution. In order that they may by frequent hearing be impressed on the most

[13] ". . . . . ne turpe toral, ne sordida mappa
Corruget nares; ne non et cantharus, et lanx
Ostendat tibi te . . . . . ."

treacherous memories, and that no one may pretend ignorance of them; enjoins and orders that these injunctions and the before-mentioned decrees shall be written in a volume, and all and singular of them be read fully in the presence of the whole convent twice in every year. Requires observance of all of them under penalties. Finally, reserves to himself the power of altering in any way either the injunctions or the penalties.]

In the course of the Visitatio Notabilis the constitutions of Legate Ottobonus are frequently referred to. Ottobonus was afterwards Pope Adrian V. and died in 1276. His constitutions are in Lyndewood's Provinciale, and were drawn up in the 52nd of Henry III.

In the Visitatio Notabilis the usual punishment is fasting on bread and beer; and in cases of repeated delinquency on bread and water. On these occasions *quarta feria*, et *sexta feria*, are mentioned often, and are to be understood of the days of the week numerically on which such punishment is to be inflicted.

LETTER XV.

Though Bishop Wykeham appears somewhat stern and rigid in his visitatorial character towards the Priory of Selborne, yet he was on the whole a liberal friend and benefactor to that convent, which, like every society or individual that fell in his way, partook of the generosity and benevolence of that munificent prelate.

"In the year 1377 William of Wykeham, out of his mere good will and liberality, discharged the whole debts of the prior and convent of Selborne, to the amount of one hundred and ten marks eleven shillings and sixpence[1]; and, a few years before he died, he made a free gift of one hundred marks to the same Priory: on which account the prior and convent volun-

[1] Yet in ten years time we find, by the Notabilis Visitatio, that all their relics, plate, vestments, title deeds, &c. were in pawn.

tarily engaged for the celebration of two masses a day by two canons of the convent for ten years, for the bishop's welfare, if he should live so long; and for his soul if he should die before the expiration of this term[2].

At this distance of time it seems matter of great wonder to us how these societies, so nobly endowed, and whose members were exempt by their very institution from every means of personal and family expense, could possibly run in debt without squandering their revenues in a manner incompatible with their function.

Religious houses might sometimes be distressed in their revenues by fires among their buildings, or large dilapidations from storms, &c.; but no such accident appears to have befallen the Priory of Selborne. Those situate on public roads, or in great towns, where there were shrines of saints, were liable to be intruded on by travellers, devotees, and pilgrims; and were subject to the importunity of the poor, who swarmed at their gates to partake of doles and broken victuals. Of these disadvantages some convents used to complain, and especially those at Canterbury; but this Priory, from its sequestered situation, could seldom be subject to either of these inconveniences, and therefore we must attribute its frequent debts and embarrassments, well endowed as it was, to the bad conduct of its members, and a general inattention to the interests of the institution.

LETTER XVI.

Beaufort was Bishop of Winchester from 1405 to 1447; and yet, notwithstanding this long episcopate, only tom. i. of Beaufort's Register is to be found. This loss is much to be regretted, as it must unavoidably

[2] Lowth's Life of Wykeham.

make a gap in the History of Selborne Priory, and perhaps in the list of its priors.

In 1410 there was an election for a prior, and again in 1411.

In vol. i. p. 24, of Beaufort's Register, is the instrument of the election of John Wynchestre to be prior—the substance as follows:

Richard Elstede, senior canon, signifies to the bishop that brother Thomas Weston, the late prior, died October 18th, 1410, and was buried November 11th. That the bishop's license to elect having been obtained, he and the whole convent met in the chapter-house, on the same day, about the hour of vespers, to consider of the election:—that brother John Wynchestre, then sub-prior, with the general consent, appointed the 12th of November, *ad horam ejusdem diei capitularem*, for the business:—when they met in the chapter-house, *post missam de sancto Spiritu*, solemnly celebrated in the church;—to wit, Richard Elstede; Thomas Halyborne; John Lemyngton, sacrista; John Stepe, cantor; Walter Ffarnham; Richard Putworth, celerarius; Hugh London; Henry Brampton, alias Brompton; John Wynchestre, senior; John Wynchestre, junior;—then "proposito primitus verbo Dei," and then "ympno Veni Creator Spiritus" being solemnly sung, cum "versiculo et oratione," as usual, and his letter of license, with the appointment of the hour and place of election, being read, *alta voce*, in valvis of the chapter-house;—John Wynchestre, senior, the sub-prior, in his own behalf and that of all the canons, and by their mandate, "quasdam monicionem et protestacionem in scriptis redactas fecit, legit, et interposuit"—that all persons disqualified, or not having right to be present, should immediately withdraw; and protesting against their voting, &c.—that then having read the constitution of the general council "Quia propter," and explained the modes of proceeding to election, they agreed unanimously to proceed "per viam seu formam *simplicis*

compromissi;" when John Wynchestre, sub-prior, and all the others (the commissaries under-named excepted) named and chose brothers Richard Elstede, Thomas Halyborne, John Lemyngton the sacrist, John Stepe, chantor, and Richard Putworth, canons, to be commissaries, who were sworn each to nominate and elect a fit person to be prior: and empowered by letters patent under the common seal, to be in force only until the darkness of the night of the same day;—that they, or the greater part of them, should elect for the whole convent, within the limited time, from their own number, or from the rest of the convent;—that one of them should publish their consent in common before the clergy and people:—they then all promised to receive as prior the person these five canons should fix on. These commissaries seceded from the chapter-house to the refectory of the Priory, and were shut in with master John Penkester, bachelor of laws; and John Couke and John Lynne, perpetual vicars of the parish churches of Newton and Selborne; and with Sampson Maycock, a public notary; where they treated of the election; when they unanimously agreed on John Wynchestre, and appointed Thomas Halyborne, to choose him in common for all, and to publish the election, as customary; and returned long before it was dark to the chapter-house, where Thomas Halyborne read publicly the instrument of election; when all the brothers, the new prior excepted, singing solemnly the hymn "Te Deum laudamus," *fecerunt deportari novum electum,* by some of the brothers, from the chapter-house to the high altar of the church[1]; and the hymn being sung, *dictisque versiculo et oratione consuetis in hac parte,* Thomas Halyborne, *mox tunc ibidem,* before the clergy and people of both sexes solemnly published the election *in*

[1] It seems here as if the canons used to chair their new elected prior from the chapter-house to the high altar of their convent-church. In Letter XXI. on the same occasion, it is said—"et sic canentes dictum electum ad majus altare ecclesie *deduximus*, ut apud nos moris est."

vulgari. Then Richard Elstede, and the whole convent by their proctors and nuncios appointed for the purpose, Thomas Halyborne and John Stepe, required several times the assent of the elected; "et tandem post diutinas interpellationes, et deliberationem providam penes se habitam, in hac parte divine nolens, ut asseruit, resistere voluntati," within the limited time he signified his acceptance in the usual written form of words. The bishop is then supplicated to confirm their election, and do the needful, under common seal, in the chapter-house. November 14, 1410.

The bishop, January 6, 1410, *apud* Esher *in camera inferiori,* declared the election duly made, and ordered the new prior to be inducted—for this the Archdeacon of Winchester was written to; "stallumque in choro, et locum in capitulo juxta morem preteriti temporis," to be assigned him; and every thing beside necessary to be done.

BEAUFORT'S REGISTER, Vol. I.

P. 2. Taxatio spiritualis Decanatus de Aulton, Ecclesia de Selebourn, cum Capella,—xxx marc. decima x lib. iii sol. Vicaria de Selebourn non taxatur propter exilitatem.

P. 9. Taxatio bonorum temporalium religiosorum in Archidiac. Wynton.

Prior de Selebourn habet maneria de

Bromdene taxat. ad . . . . . xxx s. ii d.
Apud Schete ad . . . . . xvii s.
P. Selebourne ad . . . . . vi lib.
In civitate Wynton de reddit . . vi lib. viii ob.
Tannaria sua taxat. ad . . . . x lib. s.
Summa tax. xxxviii lib. xiiii d. ob. Inde decima vi lib. s. q. ob.

LETTER XVII.

INFORMATION being sent to Rome respecting the havock and spoil that was carrying on among the revenues and lands of the Priory of Selborne, as we may suppose by the Bishop of Winchester, its visiter, Pope Martin[1], as soon as the news of these proceedings came before him, issued forth a bull, in which he enjoins his commissary immediately to revoke all the property that had been alienated.

In this instrument his holiness accuses the prior and canons of having granted away (they themselves and their predecessors) to certain clerks and laymen their tithes, lands, rents, tenements, and possessions, to some of them for their lives, to others for an undue term of years, and to some again for a perpetuity, to the great and heavy detriment of the monastery: and these leases were granted, he continues to add, under their own hands, with the sanction of an oath and the renunciation of all right and claims, and under penalties, if the right was not made good. But it will be best to give an abstract from the bull.

N. 298. Pope Martin's bull, touching the revoking of certaine things alienated from the Priory of Seleburne. Pontif. sui ann. 1.

"Martinus Eps. servus servorum Dei. Dilecto filio Priori de Suthvale[2] Wyntonien. dioc. Salutem & apostolicam ben. Ad audientiam nostram pervenit quam tam dilecti filii prior et conventus monasterii de Seleburn per Priorem soliti gubernari ordinis S^ti^. Augustini Winton. dioc. quam de predecessores eorum decimas,

[1] Pope Martin V. chosen about 1417. He attempted to reform the church, but died in 1431, just as he had summoned the council of Basle.

[2] Should have been no doubt Southwick, a priory under Portsdown.

terras, redditus, domos, possessiones, *vineas*[3], et quedam alia bona ad monasterium ipsum spectantia, datis super hoc litteris, interpositis juramentis, factis renuntiationibus, et penis adjectis, in gravem ipsius monasterii lesionem, nonnullis clericis et laicis, aliquibus eorum ad vitam, quibusdam vero ad non modicum tempus, & aliis perpetuo ad firmam, vel sub censu annuo concesserunt; quorum aliqui dicunt super hiis a sede aplica in communi forma confirmationis litteras impetrasse. Quia vero nostri interest lesis monasteriis subvenire—[He the Pope here commands]—ea ad jus et proprietatem monasterii studeas legitime revocare," &c.

The conduct of the religious had now for some time been generally bad. Many of the monastic societies, being very opulent, were become voluptuous and licentious, and had deviated entirely from their original institutions. The laity saw with indignation the wealth and possessions of their pious ancestors perverted to the service of sensuality and indulgence, and spent in gratifications highly unbecoming the purposes for which they were given. A total disregard to their respective rules and discipline drew on the monks and canons a heavy load of popular odium. Some good men there were who endeavoured to oppose the general delinquency; but their efforts were too feeble to stem the torrent of monastic luxury. As far back as the year 1381 Wickliffe's principles and doctrines had made some progress, were well received by men who wished for a reformation, and were defended and maintained by them as long as they dared; till the bishops and clergy began to be so greatly alarmed, that they pro-

[3] Mr. Barrington is of opinion that anciently the English *vinea* was in almost every instance an orchard; not perhaps always of apples merely, but of other fruits; as cherries, plums, and currants. We still say a plum or cherry-orchard.—See Vol. III. of Archæologia.

In the instance above the pope's secretary might insert *vineas* merely because they were a species of cultivation familiar to him in Italy.

[*Orchard*, properly speaking, is merely a garden: q. d. *wort-yard*.—E. T. B.]

cured an act to be passed by which the secular arm was empowered to support the corrupt doctrines of the church; but the first lollard was not burnt until the year 1401.

The wits also of those times did not spare the gross morals of the clergy, but boldly ridiculed their ignorance and profligacy. The most remarkable of these were Chaucer, and his contemporary, Robert Langelande, better known by the name of Piers Plowman. The laughable tales of the former are familiar to almost every reader; while the visions of the latter are but in few hands. With a quotation from the Passus Decimus of this writer I shall conclude my letter; not only on account of the remarkable prediction therein contained, which carries with it somewhat of the air of a prophecy; but also as it seems to have been a striking picture of monastic insolence and dissipation; and a specimen of one of the keenest pieces of satire now perhaps subsisting in any language, ancient or modern.

"Now is religion a rider, a romer by streate;
A leader of leve-days, and a loud begger;
A pricker on a palfry from maner to maner,
A heape of hounds at his arse, as he a lord were.
And but if his knave kneel, that shall his cope bring,
He loureth at him, and asketh him who taught him curtesie.
Little had lords to done, to give lands from her heirs,
To religious that have no ruth if it rain on her altars.
In many places ther they persons be, by hemself at ease:
Of the poor have they no pity, and that is her charitie;
And they letten hem as lords, her lands lie so broad.
And *there shal come a king*[4], and confess you religious;
And beate you, as the bible telleth, for breaking your rule,
And amend monials, and monks, and chanons,
And put hem to her penaunce *ad pristinum statum ire.*"

---

[4] F. l. a. "This prediction, although a probable conclusion concerning a king who after a time would suppress the religious houses, is remarkable. I imagined it was foisted into the copies in the reign of king Henry VIII. but it is in MSS. of this poem, older than the year 1400."

"Again, fol. lxxxv. a. where he, Piers Plowman, alludes to the Knights Templars, lately suppressed, he says,

LETTER XVIII.

WILLIAM OF WAYNFLETE became Bishop of Winchester in the year 1447, and seems to have pursued the generous plan of Wykeham, in endeavouring to reform the priory of Selborne.

When Waynflete came to the see he found prior Stype, alias Stepe, still living, who had been elected as long ago as the year 1411.

Among my documents I find a curious paper of the things put into the custody of Peter Bernes the sacrist, and especially some relics: the title of this evidence is "No. 50. Indentura prioris de Selborne quorundam tradit. Petro Bernes sacristæ, ibidem, ann. Hen. VI.... una cum confiss. ejusdem Petri script." The occasion of this catalogue, or list of effects, being drawn between the prior and sacrist does not appear, nor the date when; only that it happened in the reign of Hen. VI. This transaction probably took place when Bernes entered on his office; and there is the more reason to suppose that to be the case, because the list consists of vestments and implements, and relics, such as belonged to the church of the Priory, and fell under the care of the sacrist. I shall just mention the relics, although they are not all specified; and the state of the live stock of the monastery at that juncture.

"Item 2 *osculatōr.* argent.

"Item 1 *osculatorium* cum *osse digiti auricular.*—S^{ti}. Johannis Baptistæ[1].

". . . . . Men of holie kirk
Shall turne as Templars did; *the tyme approacheth nere*".

"This, I suppose, was a favourite doctrine in Wickliffe's discourses."—Warton's Hist. of English Poetry, vol. i. p. 282.

[1] How the convent came by the bone of the little finger of St. John the Baptist does not appear; probably the founder, while in Palestine, purchased it among the Asiatics, who were at that time great traders in

"Item 1 parvam *crucem* cum V. *reliquiis.*
"Item 1 *anulum* argent. et deauratum St. Edmundi[2].
"Item 2 *osculat.* de coper.
"Item 1 *junctorium* St. Ricardi[3].
"Item 1 *pecten* St. Ricardi[4]."

The *staurum*, or live stock, is quite ridiculous, consisting only of "2 vacce, 1 sus, 4 hoggett. et 4 porcell." viz. two cows, one sow, four porkers, and four pigs[5].

relics. We know from the best authority that as soon as Herod had cruelly beheaded that holy man, "his disciples came and took up the body and buried it, and went and told Jesus."—Matt. xiv. 12.—Farther would be difficult to say.

[2] November 20, in the calendar, Edmund king and martyr, in the ninth century.—See also a Sanctus Edmundus in Godwin, among the archbishops of Canterbury, in the thirteenth century; his surname Rich, in 1234.

[3] April 3, ibid. Richard, Bishop of Chichester, in the thirteenth century; his surname De la Wich, in 1245.

Junctorium, perhaps a joint or limb of St. Richard; but what particular joint the religious were not such osteologists as to specify. This barbarous word was not to be found in any dictionary consulted by the author.

[4] "*Pecten* inter ministeria sacra recensetur, quo scil. sacerdotes ac clerici, antequam in ecclesiam procederent, crines pecterent. E quibus colligitur monachos, tunc temporis, non omnino tonsos fuissi."—Du Fresne.

The author remembers to have seen in great farm houses a family comb chained to a post for the use of the hinds when they came in to their meals.

[5] (No. 50.)

INDENTURA PRIORIS *de* SELBORNE *quorundam tradit. Petro Barnes sacristæ ibidem ann. Hen.* 6. - - - *una cum confiss. ejusdem Petri script.*

Hec indentura facta die lune proxime post ffestum natalium Dni anno *regis* Henrici sexti post conquestum anglie v. - - - - - inter ffratrem Johannem Stepe priorem ecclesie beate Marie de Selborne & Petrum Bernes sacrist. ibidem videlicet quod predictus prior deliveravit prefato Petro omnia subscripta In primis xxii amit xxxi aubes vid. v. sine parura pro quadragesima xxii manicul. Item xxii stole Item viii casule vid. iii albe pro quadragesima Item xi dalmatic. vid. i debit. Item xvi cape vid. iiii veteres Item unam amittam i albam cum paruris unum manipulum i stolam i casulam et duas dalmaticas de dono Johannis Combe capellani de Cicestria pro diebus principalibus Item i amittam i aubam cum paruris i manipulum i stolam i casulam de dono ffratris Thome Halybone canonicis Item i amittam i aubam cum paruris i manipulum i stolam i casulam pertinentem ad altare sancte Catherine virginis pro priore Item i amittam

LETTER XIX.

STEPE died towards the end of the year 1453, as we may suppose pretty far advanced in life, having been prior forty-four years.

On the very day that the vacancy happened, viz. January 26, 1453-4, the sub-prior and convent peti-

II aubas cum pāruris II manipūl II stolas et II casulas pertinentes ad altare sancti Petri de dono patris Ricardi holte. Item de dono ejusdem II tuella vid. I cum fruictello et I canvas pro eodem altare Item I tuellum pendentem ad terram pro quadragesima Item VI tuell cum ffruictibus XV tuell sine ffruictell. Item IIII tuell pro lavatore Item V corporas Item II ffruictell pro summo altare sine tuellis Item II coopertor pro le ceste Item II pallias de serico debili Item I velum pro quadragesima Item I tapetum viridis coloris pro summo altare II ridell cum IIII ridellis parvis pertinent. ad dict. altare Item VII offretor vid. V debit. Item IIII vexilla Item IIII pelves III quessones vid. I de serico Item II super altaria Item quinq; calices vid. IIII de auro Item II cruettes de argento de dono dni Johannis Combe capellani de Cicestre Item VIII cruettes de peuter Item I coupam argent. et deaur. Item II *osculator argent.* Item I osculatorium cum osse *digiti auricular S^ti. Johannis Baptiste* Item I crux argent. et deaur. non radicat. Item turribulum argent et deaur. Item I anulum cum saphiro Item I aliud anulum I politum aureum Item I anulum argent. et deauratum *S^ti. Edmundi.* Item I concha cum pereo infixo Item I cistam argent. et deaur. Item I imaginem beate Marie argent. et deaurat. Item I parvam crucem *cum* V *reliquiis* Item I *junctorium S^ti. Ricardi* Item I tecam pro reliquiis imponend Item I *calefactor S^ti. Ricardi* Item IIII candelabra vid. II de stagno et II de ferro Item I *pecten S^ti. Ricardi* Item II viell de cristall In parte fract Item I pelvim de coper ad lavator Item II *osculat. de coper* Item I parvum turribulum de latyn Item I vas de coper pro frank et sence consecrand Item I pixidem de juery pro corpore Christi Item II vasa de plumbo pro oleo conservando Item I patellam eneam ferro ligat. Item I tripodem ferr. Item I costrell contum II lagen et I potrell. Item II babyngyres Item II botelles de corio vid. I de quarte et I de pynte Item III anul. arg. et I pixidem S^te Marie de Waddon Item ( ) Instrumenta pro Sandyng Item I ledbnyff Item I shasshobe Item I securim Item II scabell. de ferro pro cancell Item I plane Item I cistam sine cerura Item XIIII sonas Item XIX taperes ponder XIII ℔ et dimid. Item II torches ponder XX ℔ Item XII ℔ cere et dimid. Item de candelis de cera ponder VI ℔ Item I ℔ de frank et sence Item I lagenam olei Item IX pondera de plumbo

(Vide de stauro in tergo) et in tergo scribuntur hæc, "II vacce I sus IIII hoggett et IIII porcell"

tioned the visiter—"vos unicum levamen nostrum et spem unanimiter rogamus, quatinus eligendum ex nobis unum confratrem de gremio nostro, in nostra religione probatum et expertum, licenciam vestram paternalem cum plena libertate nobis concedere dignemini graciose."—Reg. Waynflete, tom. i.

Instead of the license requested we find next a commission "custodie prioratus de Selebourne durante vacatione," addressed to brother Peter Berne, canon-regular of the Priory of Selebourne, and of the order of St. Augustine, appointing him keeper of the said Priory, and empowering him to collect and receive the profits and revenues, and "alia bona" of the said Priory; and to exercise in every respect the full power and authority of a prior; but to be responsible to the visiter finally, and to maintain this superiority during the bishop's pleasure only. This instrument is dated from the bishop's manor-house in Southwark, March 1, 1453-4, and the seventh of his consecration.

After this transaction it does not appear that the chapter of the Priory proceeded to any election: on the contrary, we find that at six months end from the vacancy the visiter declared that a lapse had taken place; and that therefore he did confer the priorship on canon Peter Berne.—"Prioratum vacantem et ad nostram collationem seu provisionem, jure ad nos in hac parte *per lapsum* temporis legitime devoluto spectantem, tibi (sc. P. Berne) de legitimo matrimonio procreato, &c.—conferimus," &c. This deed bears date, July 28, 1454.—Reg. Waynflete, tom. i. p. 69.

On February 8, 1462, the visiter issued out a power of sequestration against the Priory of Selborne on account of notorious dilapidations which threatened manifest ruin to the roofs, walls, and edifices of the said convent; and appointing John Hammond, B. D. rector of the parish church of Hetlegh, John Hylling, vicar of the parish church of Newton Valence, and Walter Gorfin, inhabitant of the parish of Selborne, his

sequestrators, to exact, collect, levy, and receive, all the profits and revenues of the said convent: he adds "ac ea sub arcto et tuto custodiatis, custodirive faciatis;" as they would answer it to the bishop at their peril.

In consequence of these proceedings prior Berne, on the last day of February, and the next year, produced a state of the revenues of the Priory, No. 381, called "A paper conteyning the value of the manors and lands pertayning to the Priorie of Selborne. 4 Edward III. with a note of charges yssuing out of it[1]."

[1] (N. 381.)

A Paper conteyning the value of the Manors and Lands pertayning to the Priorie of Selborne. iv. Edw. 3. *With a note of charges yssuing out of it.*

SELEBORNE PRIORATUS.

Summa totalis valoris maneriorum terrarum tenementorum et premissorum ejusdem Prioratus in ffesto S^ti. Michaelis Archang. anno secundo Regis Edvardi 4^ti. ut patet Rotul. de valoribus liberat.

xx
IIII VI li. (i. e. LXXXVI li.) X s. VI d.

Inde in redditibus resolutis domino pape domino Archiepiscopo et in diversis ffeodis certis personis concessis ac aliis annualibus reprisis in eisdem Rotul. de valoribus annotatis per annum XIIII li. XIX s. V d.

Et remanet de claro valore LXXI li. X s. VIII d.

Videlicet Assignantur pro

Quatuor canonicis et quatuor ffamulis deo et ecclesie ibid. servientibus pro eorum vadiis vestur. et diet. ut patet per bill inde fact. per annum XXX li.

Diversis creditoribus pro eorum debitis persolvendis ut patet per parcell inde fact. XV li. XV s. IIII d.

Reparacionibus Ecclesiarum domorum murorum et clausurarum ejusdem Prioratus per annum XV li. XV s. IIII d.

Annua pencione Domini Prioris ei assignata per annum quousque remanet X li.

SELEBORNE PRIORATUS.

Modo sequitur de Reformatione premissorum.

Redditus omn. ffirmis et Pencionibus.

Summa total. valorum. ibid. misis et desperatis inde deductis prout patet per declaracionem Dni. Petri Prioris de Seleborne ad man. Dni nostri Wynton apud Palacium suum de Wolsley presentat. per ipsum ultimo die ffebr. Ann. Domini MCCCCLXII. et penes ipsum remanet.

LXXI li. X s. VIII d. unde per ipsum Dnum nostrum Wynton assignantur in fforma sequente videlicet.

This is a curious document. From circumstances in this paper it is plain that the sequestration produced

Assignantur ut supra

Pro quatuor canonicis et quatuor ffamulis deo et ecclesie ibid. servientibus pro eorum Diet. vadiis et vestur. ut patet per bill inde fact.	xxx li.
Pro annua pencione Prioris quousque *remanet*.	x li.
Pro diversis creditoribus pro eorum debitis persolvendis ut patet per bill inde fact.	xv li. xv s. iiii d. per ii annos ad xxxi li. x s. viii d. ultra lv li. xiiii d. de vendit. stauri.
Pro diversis reparacionibus ecclesiarum domorum murorum et clausurarum ut patet per bill.	xv li. xv s. iiii d. per ii annos ad xxxi li. x s. viii d. Summa total. valoris pro debitis et reparacionibus assignat. cum lv li. xiiii d. de vendit. Stauri ut supra cxviii li. ii s. vi d.

Debita que debentur ibid. per diversos tenentes et ffirmarios ad festum S^ti. Michaelis anno tertio Regis Edvardi 4^ti. videlicet.

Abbas de Derford de ffeod ffirme sua ad ix li. vi s. viii d. per annum a retro	xx li. vii s. xi d.
Thomas Perkyns armig. ffirmarius Rectorie de Estworlam pro uno anno finiente ad ffestum S^ti. Mich. anno ii. Regis Edvardi 4^ti.	lx s.
Johannes Shalmere ball. de Selborne debet	lxxv s.
Ricardus Cawry debet de eodem anno	vi s.
Summa	xxvii li. viii s. xi d.
Thomas Perkyns armig. debet de ffirme sua predicta ad festum S^ti. Mich. ann. vii et ultra feod. suum ad xx s. per annum	vii li. vi s. viii d.
Thomas lussher debet pro ffirme sua ad xl s. per annum cum feod. suis ad xx s. per annum	c s.
Hugo Pakenham debet de reddit. suo ad xx s. per ann.	c s.
Abbas de Derford debet de ffeod ffirme sua ultra xx li. vii s. xi d. ut supra pro annis iii. iiii. et v. Regis Edvardi	xxviii li.
Walterus Berlond ffirmarius de *Shene* debet	ix li. v s. ii d.
Henr. Shafter ffirmarius *ffeod* de Basynstoke	xii li. iiii d.
Henr. lode nuper ffirmarius manerii de *Chede* debet	xx li.
Summa	lxvi li. xii s. vi d.

Total lxxxxiv li. xii d.

good effects; for in it are to be found bills of repairs to a considerable amount.

By this evidence also it appears that there were at that juncture only four canons at the Priory[2]; and that these, and their four household servants, during this sequestration, for their clothing, wages, and diet, were allowed *per ann.* xxx lib.; and that the annual pension of the lord prior, reside where he would, was to be x lib.

In the year 1468, prior Berne, probably wearied out by the dissensions and want of order that prevailed in the convent, resigned his priorship into the hands of the bishop.

REG. WAYNFLETE, tom. i. pars 1ma, fol. 157.

March 28, A. D. 1468.

"In quadam alta camera juxta magnam portam manerii" of the Bishop of Wynton "de Waltham coram eodem rev. patre ibidem tunc sedente," Peter Berne, prior of Selborne, "ipsum prioratum in sacras et venerabiles manus," of the bishop, "viva voce libere resignavit:" and his resignation was admitted before two witnesses and a notary public. In consequence, March 29th, before the bishop, in "capella manerii sui ante dicti pro tribunali sedente, comparuerunt fratres" Peter Berne, Thomas London, William Wyndesor, and William Paynell, alias Stretford, canons regular of the Priory, "capitulum, et conventum ejusdem ecclesie facientes; ac jus et voces in electione futura prioris dicti prioratus solum et in solidum, ut asseruerunt, habentes;" and after the bishop had notified to them the vacancy of a prior, with his free license to elect, deliberated awhile, and then, by way of compromise, as they affirmed, unanimously transferred their right of election to the bishop

[2] If Bishop Wykeham was so disturbed (see Notab. Visitatio) to find the number of canons reduced from fourteen to eleven, what would he have said to have seen it diminished below one third of that number?

before witnesses. In consequence of this the bishop, after full deliberation, proceeded, April 7th, "in capella manerii sui de Waltham," to the election of a prior; "et fratrem Johannem Morton, priorem ecclesie conventualis de Reygate dicti ordinis S^ti^ Augustini Wynton. dioc. in priorem vice et nomine omnium et singulorum canonicorum predictorum elegit, in ordine sacerdotali, et etate licita constitutum, &c." And on the same day, in the same place, and before the same witnesses, John Morton resigned to the bishop the priorship of Reygate *viva voce*. The bishop then required his consent to his own election; "qui licet in parte renitens tanti reverendi patris se confirmans," obeyed, and signified his consent *oraculo vive vocis*. Then was there a mandate citing any one who would gainsay the said election to appear before the bishop or his commissary in his chapel at Farnham on the 2nd day of May next. The dean of the deanery of Aulton then appeared before the chancellor, his commissary, and returned the citation or mandate dated April 22nd, 1468, with signification, in writing, of his having published it as required, dated Newton Valence, May 1st, 1468. This certificate being read, the four canons of Selborne appeared and required the election to be confirmed; *et ex super abundanti* appointed William Long their proctor to solicit in their name that he might be canonically confirmed. John Morton also appeared, and proclamation was made; and no one appearing against him, the commissary pronounced all absentees contumacious, and precluded them from objecting at any other time; and, at the instance of John Morton and the proctor, confirmed the election by his decree, and directed his mandate to the rector of Hedley and the vicar of Newton Valence to install him in the usual form.

Thus, for the first time, was a person, a stranger to the convent of Selborne, and never canon of that monastery, elected prior: though the style of the petitions in

former elections used to run thus,—"Vos .... rogamus quatinus eligendum ex *nobis* unum *confratrem* de *gremio nostro*,—licentiam vestram—nobis concedere dignemini."

LETTER XX.

PRIOR MORTON dying in 1471, two canons, by themselves, proceeded to election, and chose a prior; but two more (one of them Berne) complaining of not being summoned, objected to the proceedings as informal; till at last the matter was compromised that the bishop should again, for that turn, nominate as he had before. But the circumstances of this election will be best explained by the following extract:

REG. WAYNFLETE, tom. ii. pars 1ma, fol. 7.

Memorandum. A. D. 1471. August 22.

William Wyndesor, a canon-regular of the Priory of Selborne, having been elected prior on the death of brother John, appeared in person before the bishop in his chapel at South Waltham. He was attended on this occasion by Thomas London and John Bromesgrove, canons, who had elected him. Peter Berne and William Stratfeld, canons, also presented themselves at the same time, complaining that in this business they had been overlooked, and not summoned; and that therefore the validity of the election might with reason be called in question, and quarrels and dissensions might probably arise between the newly chosen prior and the parties thus neglected.

After some altercation and dispute they all came to an agreement with the new prior, that what had been done should be rejected and annulled; and that they would again, for this turn, transfer to the bishop their power to elect, order, and provide them another prior, whom they promised unanimously to admit.

The bishop accepted of this offer before witnesses; and on September 27, in an inner chamber near the chapel above-mentioned, after full deliberation, chose brother Thomas Fairwise, vicar of Somborne, a canon-regular of St. Augustine in the Priory of Bruscough, in the diocese of Coventry and Litchfield, to be prior of Selborne. The form is nearly as above in the last election. The canons are again enumerated; W. Wyndesor, sub-prior, P. Berne, T. London, W. Stratfeld, J. Bromesgrove, who had formed the chapter, and had requested and obtained license to elect, but had unanimously conferred their power on the bishop. In consequence of this proceeding, the bishop taking the business upon himself, that the Priory might not suffer detriment for want of a governor, appoints the aforesaid T. Fairwise to be prior. A citation was ordered as above for gainsayers to appear October 4th, before the bishop or his commissaries at South Waltham; but none appearing, the commissaries admitted the said Thomas, ordered him to be installed, and sent the usual letter to the convent to render him due obedience.

Thus did the Bishop of Winchester a second time appoint a stranger to be prior of Selborne, instead of one chosen out of the chapter. For this seeming irregularity the visiter had no doubt good and sufficient reasons, as probably may appear hereafter.

LETTER XXI.

WHATEVER might have been the abilities and disposition of prior Fairwise, it could not have been in his power to have brought about any material reformation in the Priory of Selborne, because he departed this life in the month of August, 1472, before he had presided one twelvemonth.

As soon as their governor was buried, the chapter applied to their visiter for leave to choose a new prior,

which being granted, after deliberating for a time, they proceeded to an election by a scrutiny. But as this mode of voting has not been described but by the mere form given in a note, an extract from the bishop's register, representing the manner more fully, may not be disagreeable to several readers.

REG. WAYNFLETE, tom. ii. pars 1ma, fol. 15.

"Reverendo, &c. ac nostro patrono graciocissimo vestri humiles, et devote obedientie filii," &c.

To the right reverend Father in God, and our most gracious patron, we, your obedient and devoted sons, William Wyndesor, president of the chapter of the Priory of Selborne, and the convent of that place, do make known to your lordship, that our priorship being lately vacant by the death of Thomas Fairwise, our late prior, who died August 11th, 1472, having committed his body to decent sepulture, and having requested, according to custom, leave to elect another, and having obtained it under your seal, we William Wyndesor, president of the convent, on the 29th of August, in our chapter-house assembled, and making a chapter, taking to us in this business Richard ap Jenkyn, and Galfrid Bryan, chaplains, that our said Priory might not by means of this vacancy incur harm or loss, unanimously agreed on August the last for the day of election; on which day, having first celebrated mass, "De sancto spiritu," at the high altar, and having called a chapter by tolling a bell about ten o' the clock, we, William Wyndesor, president, Peter Berne, Thomas London, and William Stratfeld, canons, who alone had voices, being the only canons, about ten o' the clock, first sung "Veni Creator," the letters and license being read in the presence of many persons there. Then William Wyndesor, in his own name, and that of all the canons, made solemn proclamation, enjoining all who had no right to vote to depart out of the chapter-house. When all were withdrawn except Guyllery

de Lacuna, in decretis Baccalarius, and Robert Peverell, notary-public, and also the two chaplains, the first was requested to stay, that he might direct and inform us in the mode of election; the other, that he might record and attest the transactions; and the two last that they might be witnesses to them.

Then, having read the constitution of the general council, "Quia propter," and the forms of elections contained in it being sufficiently explained to them by De Lacuna, as well in Latin as the vulgar tongue, and having deliberated in what mode to proceed in this election, they resolved on that of scrutiny. Three of the canons, Wyndesor, Berne, and London, were made scrutators: Berne, London, and Stratfeld, choosing Wyndesor; Wyndesor, London, and Stratfeld, choosing Berne; Wyndesor, Berne, and Stratfeld, choosing London.

They were empowered to take each other's vote, and then that of Stratfeld; "et ad inferiorem partem angularem" of the chapter-house, "juxta ostium ejusdem declinentes," with the other persons (except Stratfeld, who stayed behind), proceeded to voting, two swearing, and taking the voice of the third, in succession, privately. Wyndesor voted first: "Ego credo Petrum Berne meliorem et utiliorem ad regimen istius ecclesie, et in ipsum consentio, ac eum nomino," &c. Berne was next sworn, and in like manner nominated Wyndesor; London nominated Berne: Stratfeld was then called and sworn, and nominated Berne.

"Quibus in scriptis redactis," by the notary-public, they returned to the upper part of the chapter-house, where by Wyndesor "sic peracta fecerunt in communi," and then solemnly, in form written, declared the election of Berne: when all, "antedicto nostro electo excepto, approbantes et ratificantes, cepimus decantare solemniter '*Te Deum Laudamus*,' et sic canentes dictum electum ad majus altare ecclesie deduximus, ut apud nos est moris. Then Wyndesor electionem clero et

populo infra chorum dicte ecclesie congregatis publicavit, et personam electi publice et personaliter ostendit." We then returned to the chapter-house, except our prior; and Wyndesor was appointed by the other two their proctor, to desire the assent of the elected, and to notify what had been done to the bishop; and to desire him to confirm the election, and do whatever else was necessary. Then their proctor, before the witnesses, required Berne's assent in the chapter-house: "qui quidem instanciis et precibus multiplicatis devictus," consented, "licet indignus electus," in writing. They therefore requested the bishop's confirmation of their election "sic canonice et solemniter celebrata," &c. &c. Sealed with their common seal, and subscribed and attested by the notary. Dat. in the chapter-house, September 5th, 1472.

In consequence, September 11th, 1472, in the bishop's chapel at Esher, and before the bishop's commissary, appeared W. Wyndesor, and exhibited the above instrument, and a mandate from the bishop for the appearance of gainsayers of the election there on that day:—and no one appearing, the absentees were declared contumacious; and the election confirmed; and the vicar of Aulton was directed to induct and install the prior in the usual manner.

Thus did canon Berne, though advanced in years, reassume his abdicated priorship for the second time, to the no small satisfaction, as it may seem, of the Bishop of Winchester, who professed, as will be shown not long hence, a high opinion of his abilities and integrity.

LETTER XXII.

As prior Berne, when chosen in 1454, held his priorship only to 1468, and then made a voluntary resignation, wearied and disgusted, as we may conclude, by the disorder that prevailed in his convent; it is no matter

of wonder that, when rechosen in 1472, he should not long maintain his station; as old age was then coming fast upon him, and the increasing anarchy and misrule of that declining institution required unusual vigour and resolution to stem that torrent of profligacy which was hurrying it on to its dissolution. We find, accordingly, that in 1478 he resigned his dignity again into the hands of the bishop.

Reg. Waynflete. Fol. 55.

Resignatio Prioris de Seleborne.

May 14, 1478. Peter Berne resigned the priorship. May 16, the bishop admitted his resignation "in manerio suo de Waltham," and declared the priorship void; "et priorat. solacio destitutum esse;" and granted his letters for proceeding to a new election: when all the religious, assembled in the chapter-house, did transfer their power under their seal to the bishop by the following public instrument.

"In Dei nomine Amen," &c. A. D. 1478, Maii 19. In the chapter-house for the election of a prior for that day, on the free resignation of Peter Berne, having celebrated in the first place mass at the high altar "De spiritu sancto," and having called a chapter by tolling a bell, *ut moris est;* in the presence of a notary and witnesses appeared personally Peter Berne, Thomas Ashford, Stephen Clydgrove and John Ashton, presbyters, and Henry Canwood[1], in chapter assembled; and after singing the hymn "Veni Creator Spiritus," "cum versiculo et oratione '*Deus qui corda;*' declarataque licentia Fundatoris et patroni futurum priorem eligendi concessa, et constitutione consilii generalis que incipit

[1] Here we see that all the canons were changed in six years; and that there was quite a new chapter, Berne excepted, between 1472 and 1478; for, instead of Wyndesor, London, and Stratfeld, we find Ashford, Clydgrove, Ashton, and Canwood, all new men, who were soon gone in their turn off the stage, and are heard of no more. For, in six years after, there seem to have been no canons at all.

'*Quia propter*' declaratis; viisque per quas possent ad hanc electionem procedere," by the *decretorum doctorem*, whom the canons had taken to direct them—they all and every one "dixerunt et affirmarunt se nolle ad aliquam viam procedere:"—but, for this turn only, renounced their right, and unanimously transferred their power to the bishop, the ordinary of the place, promising to receive whom he should provide; and appointed a proctor to present the instrument to the bishop under their seal; and required their notary to draw it up in due form, &c. subscribed by the notary.

After the visiter had fully deliberated on the matter, he proceeded to the choice of a prior, and elected, by the following instrument, John Sharp, alias Glastenbury.

Fol. 56. Provisio Prioris per Epm.

Willmus, &c. to our beloved brother in CHRIST, John Sharp, alias Glastenbury, Ecclesie conventualis de Bruton, of the order of St. Austin, in the diocese of Bath and Wells, canon-regular, *salutem*, &c. "De tue circumspectionis industria plurimum confidentes, te virum providum et discretum, literarum scientia, et moribus merito commendandum, &c.—do appoint you prior—under our seal. "Dat. in manerio nostro de Suthwaltham, May 20, 1478, et nostre Consec. 31."

Thus did the bishop, three times out of the four that he was at liberty to nominate, appoint a prior from a distance, a stranger to the place, to govern the convent of Selborne, hoping by this method to have broken the cabal, and to have interrupted that habit of mismanagement that had pervaded the society: but he acknowledges, in an evidence lying before us, that he never did succeed to his wishes with respect to those late governors,—"quos tamen male se habuisse, et inutiliter administrare, et administrasse usque ad presentia tempora post debitam investigationem, &c. invenit." The only time that he appointed from among the canons, he

made choice of Peter Berne, for whom he had conceived the greatest esteem and regard.

When prior Berne first relinquished his priorship, he returned again to his former condition of canon, in which he continued for some years: but when he was rechosen, and had abdicated a second time, we find him in a forlorn state, and in danger of being reduced to beggary, had not the Bishop of Winchester interposed in his favour, and with great humanity insisted on a provision for him for life. The reason for this difference seems to have been, that, in the first case, though in years, he might have been hale and capable of taking his share in the duty of the convent; in the second, he was broken with age, and no longer equal to the functions of a canon.

Impressed with this idea the bishop very benevolently interceded in his favour, and laid his injunctions on the new elected prior in the following manner.

Fol. 56. "In Dei nomine Amen. Nos Willmus, &c. considerantes Petrum Berne," late prior "in administratione spiritualium et temporalium prioratus laudabiliter vixisse et rexisse; ipsumque senio et corporis debilitate confractum; ne in opprobrium religionis *mendicari cogatur;*—eidem annuam pensionem a Domino Johanne Sharp, alias Glastonbury, priore moderno," and his successors, and, from the Priory or church, to be paid every year during his life, "de voluntate et ex consensu expressis" of the said John Sharp, "sub ea que sequitur forma verborum—assignamus:"

1st. That the said prior and his successors, for the time being, *honeste exhibebunt* of the fruits and profits of the priorship, "eidem esculenta et potulenta," while he remained in the Priory, "sub consimili portione eorundem prout convenienter priori," for the time being, *ministrari contigerit;* and in like manner *uni famulo,* whom he should choose to wait on him, as to the *servientibus* of the prior.

Item. "Invenient seu exhibebunt eidem unam honestam cameram" in the Priory, "cum focalibus necessariis seu opportunis ad eundem."

Item. We will, ordain, &c. to the said P. Berne an annual pension of ten marks, from the revenue of the Priory, to be paid by the hands of the prior quarterly.

The bishop decrees farther, that John Sharp, and his successors, shall take an oath to observe this injunction, and that before their installation.

"Lecta et facta sunt hæc in quodam alto oratorio," belonging to the bishop at Suthwaltham, May 25, 1478, in the presence of John Sharp, who gave his assent, and then took the oath before witnesses, with the other oaths before the chancellor, who decreed he should be inducted and installed; as was done that same day.

How John Sharp, alias Glastonbury, acquitted himself in his priorship, and in what manner he made a vacancy, whether by resignation, or death, or whether he was removed by the visiter, does not appear: we only find that some time in the year 1484, there was no prior, and that the bishop nominated canon Ashford to fill the vacancy.

LETTER XXIII.

THIS Thomas Ashford was most undoubtedly the last prior of Selborne; and therefore here will be the proper place to say something concerning a list of the priors, and to endeavour to improve that already given by others.

At the end of Bishop Tanner's Notitia Monastica, the folio edition, among Brown Willis's Principals of Religious Houses, occur the names of eleven of the priors of Selborne, with dates. But this list is imperfect, and particularly at the beginning; for though the Priory was founded in 1232, yet it commences with Nich. de Cantia, elected in 1262; so that for the first

thirty years no prior is mentioned; yet there must have been one or more. We were in hopes that the register of Peter de Rupibus would have rectified this omission; but, when it was examined, no information of the sort was to be found. From the year 1410 the list is much corrected and improved; and the reader may depend on its being thenceforward very exact.

A List of the Priors of Selborne Priory, from Brown Willis's Principals of Religious Houses, with additions within [ ] by the Author.

[John —— was prior, *sine dat*[1].]
Nich. de Cantia. el. . . . . 1262.
[Peter —— was prior in . . . . . 1271.]
[Richard —— was prior in . . . . . 1280.]
Will. Basing was prior in . . . . . 1299.
Walter de Insula el. in . . . . . 1324.
[Some difficulties, and a devolution; but the election confirmed by Bishop Stratford.]
John de Wintōn . . . . . 1339.
Thomas Weston . . . . . 1377.
John Winchester [Wynchestre] . . . . . 1410.
[Elected by Bishop Beaufort "per viam vel formam simplicis compromissi."]
[John Stype, alias Stepe, in . . . . . 1411.]
Peter Bene [alias Berne or Bernes, appointed keeper, and, by lapse to Bishop Wayneflete, prior] in . . . . . 1454.
[He resigns in 1468.]
John Morton [Prior of Reygate] in . . . . 1468.
[The canons by compromise transfer the power of election to the bishop.]
Will. Winsor [Wyndesor, prior for a few days] 1471.
[but removed on account of an irregular election.]

[1] See, in Letter XI. of these Antiquities, the reason why prior John ——, who had transactions with the Knights Templars, is placed in the list before the year 1262.

Thomas Farwill [Fairwise, vicar of Somborne] 1471.
[by compromise again elected by the bishop.]
[Peter Berne, reelected by scrutiny in . . . 1472.]
[resigns again in 1478.]
John Sharper [Sharp] alias Glastonbury . . 1478.
[Canon-reg. of Bruton, elected by the bishop by compromise.]
[Thomas Ashford, canon of Selborne, last prior elected by the Bishop of Winchester, some time in the year . . . . . 1484.
and deposed at the dissolution.]

LETTER XXIV.

Bishop Waynflete's efforts to continue the Priory still proved unsuccessful; and the convent, without any canons, and for some time without a prior, was tending swiftly to its dissolution.

When Sharp's, alias Glastonbury's, priorship ended does not appear. The bishop says that he had been obliged to remove some priors for mal-administration: but it is not well explained how that could be the case with any, unless with Sharp; because all the others, chosen during his episcopate, died in their office, viz. Morton and Fairwise; Berne only excepted, who relinquished twice voluntarily, and was moreover approved of by Waynflete as a person of integrity. But the way to show what ineffectual pains the bishop took, and what difficulties he met with, will be to quote the words of the libel of his proctor Radulphus Langley, who appeared for the bishop in the process of the impropriation of the Priory of Selborne. The extract is taken from an attested copy.

"Item—that the said bishop—dicto prioratui et personis ejusdem pie compatiens, sollicitudines pastorales, labores, et diligentias gravissimas quam plurimas, tam

per se quam per suos, pro reformatione premissorum impendebat: et aliquando illius loci prioribus, propter malam et inutilem administrationem, et dispensationem bonorum predicti prioratus, suis demeritis exigentibus, amotis; alios priores in quorum circumspectione et diligentia confidebat, prefecit: quos tamen male se habuisse ac inutiliter administrare, et administrasse, usque ad presentia tempora post debitam investigationem, &c. invenit." So that he despaired, with all his care,—"statum ejusdem reparare vel restaurare: et considerata temporis malicia, et preteritis timendo, et conjecturando futura, de aliqua bona et sancta religione ejusdem ordinis, &c. juxta piam intentionem primevi fundatoris ibidem habend. desperatur."

William Wainfleet, Bishop of Winchester, founded his college of St. Mary Magdalen, in the university of Oxford, in or about the year 1459; but the revenues proving insufficient for so large and noble an establishment, the college supplicated the founder to augment its income by putting it in possession of the estates belonging to the Priory of Selborne, now become a deserted convent, without canons or prior. The president and fellows state the circumstances of their numerous institution and scanty provision, and the ruinous and perverted condition of the Priory. The bishop appoints commissaries to inquire into the state of the said monastery; and, if found expedient, to confirm the appropriation of it to the college, which soon after appoints attorneys to take possession, September 24, 1484. But the way to give the reader a thorough insight respecting this transaction, will be to transcribe a farther proportion of the process of the impropriation from the beginning, which will lay open the manner of proceeding, and show the consent of the parties.

Impropriatio Selborne, 1485.

"Universis sancte matris ecclesie filiis, &c. Ricardus Dei gratia prior ecclesie conventualis de Novo Loco, &c.[1] ad universitatem vestre notitie deducimus, &c. quod coram nobis commissario predicto in ecclesia parochiali S^ti^. Georgii de Essher, dict. Winton. dioc. 3^o^. die Augusti, A. D. 1485, indictione tertia pontificat. Innocentii 8^vi^. ann. 1^mo^. judicialiter comparuit venerabilis vir Jacobus Preston, S. T. P. infrascriptus, et exhibuit literas commissionis—quas quidem per magistrum Thomam Somercotes notarium publicum, &c. legi fecimus, tenorem sequentem in se continentes." The same as No. 103, but dated—"In manerio nostro de Essher, Augusti 1^mo^ A. D. 1485, et nostre consec. anno 39." [No. 103 is repeated in a book containing the like process in the preceding year by the same commissary, in the parish church of St. Andrew the apostle, at Farnham, Sept. 6th, anno 1484.] "Post quarum literarum lecturam—dictus magister Jacobus Preston, quasdam procuratorias literas mag. Richardi Mayewe presidentis, ut asseruit, collegii beate Marie Magdalene, &c. sigillo rotundo communi, &c. in cera rubea impresso sigillatas realiter exhibuit, &c. et pro eisdem dnis suis, &c. fecit se partem, ac nobis supplicavit ut juxta formam in eisdem traditam procedere dignaremur, &c." After these proclamations no contradictor or objector appearing—"ad instantem petitionem ipsius mag. Jac. Preston, procuratoris, &c. procedendum fore decrevimus vocatis

[1] Ecclesia Conventualis de Novo Loco was the monastery afterwards called the New Minster, or Abbey of Hyde, in the city of Winchester. Should any intelligent reader wonder to see that the prior of Hyde Abbey was commissary to the Bishop of Winton, and should conclude that there was a mistake in titles, and that the abbot must have been here meant; he will be pleased to recollect that this person was the second in rank; for, "next under the abbot, in every abbey, was the prior."—Pref. to Notit. Monast. p. xxix. Besides, abbots were great personages, and too high in station to submit to any office under the bishop.

jure vocandis; nec non mag. Tho. Somercotes, &c. in actorum nostrorum scribam nominavimus. Consequenter et ibidem tunc comparuit magister Michael Clyff, &c. et exhibuit in ea parte procuratorium suum," for the prior and convent of the cathedral of Winton, " et fecit se partem pro eisdem.—Deinde comparuit coram nobis, &c. honestus vir Willmus Cowper," proctor for the bishop as patron of the Priory of Selborne, and exhibited his " procuratorium, &c." After these were read in the presence of Clyff and Cowper, " Preston, viva voce," petitioned the commissary to annex and appropriate the Priory of Selborne to the college—" propter quod fructus, redditus, et proventus ejusdem coll. adeo tenues sunt et exiles, quod ad sustentationem ejus, &c. non sufficiunt.—The commissary, " ad libellandum et articulandum in scriptis"—adjourned the court to the 5th of August, then to be held again in the parish church of Essher.

W. Cowper being then absent, Radulphus Langley appeared for the bishop, and was admitted his proctor. Preston produced his libel or article " in scriptis" for the union, &c. " et admitti petiit eundem cum effectu; cujus libelli tenor sequitur.—In Dei nomine, Amen. Coram nobis venerabili in Christo patre Richardo, priore, &c. de Novo Loco, &c. commissario, &c." On the part of the college of Magd. dicit, allegat, and in his " scriptis proponit, &c."

" Imprimis—that said college consists of a president and eighty scholars, besides sixteen choristers, thirteen servientes inibi altissimo famulantibus, et in scientiis plerisque liberalibus, presertim in sacra theologia studentibus, nedum ad ipsorum presidentis et scholarium pro presenti et imposterum, annuente deo, incorporandorum in eodem relevamen; verum etiam ad omnium et singulorum tam scholarium quam religiosorum cujuscunque ordinis undequaque illuc confluere pro salubri doctrina volentium utilitatem multiplicem, ad incrementa virtutis fideique catholice stabilimentum. Ita

videlicet quod omnes et singuli absque personarum seu nationum delectu illuc accedere volentes, lecturas publicas et doctrinas tam in grammatica, loco ad collegium contiguo, ac philosophiis morali et naturali, quam in sacra theologia in eodem collegio perpetuis temporibus continuandas libere atque gratis audire valeant et possint, ad laudem gloriam et honorem Dei, &c. extitit fundatum et stabilitum."

For the first item in this process see the beginning of this letter. Then follows item the second—"that the revenues of the college non sufficiunt his diebus." "Item —that the premisses are true, &c. ut super eisdem laborarunt, et laborant publica vox et fama. Unde facta fide petit pars eorundem that the Priory be annexed to the college: ita quod dicto prioratu vacante liceat iis ex tunc to take possession, &c." This libel, with the express consent of the other proctors, we, the commissary, admitted, and appointed the 6th of August for proctor Preston to prove the premisses.

Preston produced witnesses, W. Gyfford, S. T. P. John Nele, A. M. John Chapman, chaplain, and Robert Baron, literatus, who were admitted and sworn, when the court was prorogued to the 6th of August; and the witnesses, on the same 6th of August, were examined by the commissary, "in capella infra manerium de Essher situata, secrete et singillatim." Then follow the "literæ procuratoriæ:" first that of the college, appointing Preston and Langport their proctors, dated August 30th, 1484; then that of the prior and convent of the cathedral of Wintōn, appointing David Husband and Michael Cleve, dated September 4th, 1484: then that of the bishop, appointing W. Gyfford, Radulphus Langley, and Will. Cowper, dated September 3rd, 1484. Consec. 38°.—"Quo die adveniente, in dicta ecclesia parochiali, appeared "coram nobis" James Preston to prove the contents of his libel, and exhibited some letters testimonial with the seal of the bishop, and these were admitted; and consequenter Preston pro-

duced two witnesses, viz. Dominum Thomam Ashforde *nuper priorem dicti prioratus*, et Willm. Rabbys literatum, who were admitted and sworn, and examined as the others, by the commissary; "tunc & ibidem assistente scriba secrete & singillatim;" and their depositions were read and made public, as follows:

Mr. W. Gyfford, S. T. P. aged 57, of the state of Magd. Coll. &c. &c. as before:

Mr. John Nele, aged 57, proves the articles also:

Robert Baron, aged 56:

Johannes Chapman, aged 35, also affirmed all the five articles:

Dompnus Thomas Ashforde, aged 72 years—"dicit 2^{dum} 3^{um} 4^{um} articulos in eodem libello contentos, concernentes statum dicti prioratus de Selebourne, fuisse et esse veros."

W. Rabbys, ætat 40 ann. agrees with Gyfford, &c.

Then follows the letter from the bishop, "in subsidium probationis," abovementioned—"Willmus, &c. salutem, &c. noverint universitas vestra, quod licet nos prioratui de Selebourne, &c. pie compacientes sollicitudines pastorales, labores, diligentias quamplurimas per nos & commissarios nostros pro reformatione status ejus impenderimus, justicia id poscente; nihilominus tamen," &c. as in the article—to "desperatur," dated "in manerio nostro de Essher, Aug. 3d, 1485, & consec. 39." Then, on the 6th of August, Preston, in the presence of the other proctors, required that they should be compelled to answer; when they all allowed the articles "fuisse & esse vera;" and the commissary, at the request of Preston, concluded the business, and appointed Monday, August 8th, for giving his decree in the same church of Essher; and it was that day read, and contains a recapitulation, with the sentence of union, &c. witnessed and attested.

As soon as the president and fellows of Magdalen College had obtained the decision of the commissary in their favour, they proceeded to supplicate the pope, and

to entreat his holiness that he would give his sanction to the sentence of union. Some difficulties were started at Rome; but they were surmounted by the college agent, as appears by his letters from that city. At length Pope Innocent VIII. by a bull[2] bearing date the 8th day of June, in the year of our Lord 1486, and in the second year of his pontificate, confirmed what had been done, and suppressed the convent.

Thus fell the considerable and well endowed Priory of Selborne, after it had subsisted about two hundred and fifty-four years; about seventy-four years after the suppression of Priories alien by Henry V. and about fifty years before the general dissolution of monasteries by Henry VIII. The founder, it is probable, had fondly imagined that the sacredness of the institution, and the pious motives on which it was established, might have preserved it inviolate to the end of time—yet it fell,

> "To teach us that God attributes to *place*
> No sanctity, if none be thither brought
> By men, who there frequent, or therein dwell."
>
> MILTON'S Paradise Lost.

LETTER XXV.

WAINFLEET did not long enjoy the satisfaction arising from this new acquisition; but departed this life in a few months after he had effected the union of the Priory with his late founded college; and was succeeded in the see of Winchester by Peter Courtney, some time towards the end of the year 1486.

In the beginning of the following year the new bishop released the president and fellows of Magdalen College

[2] There is nothing remarkable in this bull of Pope Innocent except the statement of the annual revenue of the Priory of Selborne, which is therein estimated at 160 *flor. auri;* whereas Bishop Godwin sets it at 337*l.* 15*s.* 6¼*d.* Now a floren, so named, says Camden, because made by Florentines, was a gold coin of King Edward III. in value 6*s.* whereof 160 is not one seventh part of 337*l.* 15*s.* 6¼*d.*

from all actions respecting the Priory of Selborne; and the prior and convent of St. Swithun, as the chapter of Winchester cathedral, confirmed the release[1].

N. 293. "Relaxatio Petri epi Wintōn Ricardo Mayew Presidenti, omnium actionum occasione indempnitatis sibi debite pro unione Prioratus de Selborne dicto collegio. Jan. 2. 1487. et translat. anno 1°."

N. 374. "Relaxatio *prioris* et *conventus* S^{ti} Swithini Wintōn confirmans relaxationem Petri ep. Winton." 1487. Jan. 13.

Ashforde, the deposed prior, who had appeared as an evidence for the impropriation of the Priory at the age of seventy-two years, that he might not be destitute of a maintenance, was pensioned by the college to the day of his death; and was living on till 1490, as appears by his acquittances.

Reg. A. ff. 46.

"Omnibus Christi fidelibus ad quos presens scriptum pervenerit, Richardus Mayew, presidens, &c. et scolares, salutem in Domino."

"Noveritis nos prefatos presidentem et scolares dedisse, concessisse, et hoc presenti scripto confirmasse Thome Ashforde, *capellano*, quendam annualem redditum sex librarum tresdecim solidorum et quatuor denariorum bone et legalis monete Anglie—ad terminum vite prefati Thome"—to be paid from the possessions of the college in Basingstoke.—"In cujus rei testimonium sigillum nostrum commune presentibus apponimus. Dat. Oxon. in coll. nostro supradicto primo die mensis Junii anno regis Ricardi tertii secundo," viz. 1484. The college, in their grant to Ashforde, style him only *capellanus;* but the annuitant very naturally, and with a becoming dignity, asserts his late title in his acquittances, and identifies himself by the addition of *nuper priorem*, or late prior.

[1] The bishops of Winchester were patrons of the Priory.

As, according to the persuasion of the times, the depriving the founder and benefactors of the Priory of their masses and services would have been deemed the most impious of frauds, Bishop Wainfleet, having by statute ordained four obits for himself to be celebrated in the chapel of Magdalen College, enjoined in one of them a special collect for the anniversary of Peter de Rupibus, with a particular prayer—"*Deus Indulgentiarum.*"

The college also sent Nicholas Langrish, who had been a chantry priest at Selborne, to celebrate mass for the souls of all that had been benefactors to the said Priory and college, and for all the faithful who had departed this life.

N. 356. Thomas Knowles, presidens, &c.—"damus et concedimus Nicholao Langrish quandam capellaniam, vel salarium, sive alio quocunque nomine censeatur, in prioratu *quondam* de Selborne pro termino 40 annorum, si tam diu vixerit. Ubi dictus mag^{r}. Nicholaus celebrabit pro animabus omnium benefactorum dicti prioratus et coll. nostri, et omnium fidelium defunctorum, &c. Insuper nos, &c. concedimus eidem ibidem celebranti in sustentationem suam quandam annualem pensionem sive annuitatem octo librarum, &c.—in dicta capella dicti prioratus—concedimus duas cameras contiguas ex parte boreali dicte capelle, cum *una coquina*, et cum uno stabulo conveniente pro tribus equis, cum pomerio eidem adjacente voc. le Orcheyard—Preterea 26*s*. 8*d*. per ann. ad inveniendum unum clericum ad serviendum sibi ad altare, et aliis negotiis necessariis ejus."—His wood to be granted him by the president on the progress.—He was not to absent himself beyond a certain time; and was to superintend the coppices, wood, and hedges.—"Dat. 5to. die Julii. an^{o}. Hen. VIII^{vi}. 36^{o}." [viz. 1546.]

Here we see the Priory in a new light, reduced as it were to the state of a chantry, without prior and with-

out canons, and attended only by a priest, who was also a sort of bailiff or woodman, his assistant clerk, and his female cook[2]. Owen Oglethorpe, president, and Magdalen College, in the fourth year of Edward VI. viz. 1551, granted an annuity of ten pounds a year for life to Nich. Langrish, who, from the preamble, appears then to have been fellow of that society: but, being now superannuated for business, this pension is granted him for thirty years, if he should live so long. It is said of him—"cum jam sit provectioris etatis quam ut," &c.

Laurence Stubb, president of Magd. Coll. leased out the Priory lands to John Sharp, husbandman, for the term of twenty years, as early as the seventeenth year of Henry VIII.—viz. 1526: and it appears that Henry Newlyn had been in possession of a lease before, probably towards the end of the reign of Henry VII. Sharp's rent was vi^{li}. per ann.—Regist. B. p. 43.

By an abstract from a lease lying before me, it appears that Sharp found a house, two barns, a stable, and a duf-house [dove-house], built, and standing on the south side of the old Priory, and late in the occupation of Newlyn. In this abstract also are to be seen the names of all the fields, many of which continue the same to this day[3]. Of some of them I shall take notice, where any thing singular occurs.

And here first we meet with Paradyss [Paradise] Mede. Every convent had its Paradise; which probably was an enclosed orchard, pleasantly laid out, and planted with fruit trees. Tylehouse Grove, so distin-

[2] *Una coquina* would rather signify a kitchen than a female cook.—E. T. B.

[3] It may not be amiss to mention here that various names of tithings, farms, fields, woods, &c. which appear in the ancient deeds and evidences of several centuries standing, are still preserved in common use with little or no variation:—as Norton, Southington, Durton, Achangre, Blackmore, Bradshot, Rood, Plestor, &c. &c. At the same time it should be acknowledged that other places have entirely lost their original titles, as Le Buri and Trucstede in this village; and La Liega, or La Lyge, which was the name of the original site of the Priory, &c.

guished from having a tiled house near it[4]. Butt-wood Close; here the servants of the Priory and the village swains exercised themselves with their long bows, and shot at a mark against a butt, or bank[5]. Cundyth [conduit] Wood: the engrosser of the lease not understanding this name has made a strange barbarous word of it. Conduit Wood was and is a steep rough cow-pasture, lying above the Priory, at about a quarter of a mile to the south-west. In the side of this field there is a spring of water that never fails; at the head of which a cistern was built which communicated with leaden pipes that conveyed water to the monastery. When this reservoir was first constructed does not appear; we only know that it underwent a repair in the episcopate of Bishop Wainfleet, about the year 1462[6]. Whether these pipes only conveyed the water to the Priory for common and culinary purposes, or contributed to any matters of ornament and elegance, we shall not pretend to say; nor when artists and mechanics first understood any thing of hydraulics, and that water confined in tubes would rise to its original level.

[4] Men at first heaped sods, or fern, or heath, on their roofs to keep off the inclemencies of weather: and then by degrees laid straw or haum. The first refinements in roofing were shingles, which are very ancient. Tiles are a very late and imperfect covering, and were not much in use till the beginning of the sixteenth century. The first tiled house at Nottingham was in 1503.

[It is, perhaps, more probable that the tile house was the establishment at which the tiles used in the convent flooring were made. The number of plain tiles which were used there appears to have been considerable: in the preparation of the ornamented ones much time must have been occupied. The manufacture of them on the spot would have been quite in accordance with the arrangements made by such establishments generally, and certainly by the Priory of Selborne, for carrying on trades within themselves, and thus rendering themselves self-dependent only.—E. T. B.]

[5] There is also a Butt-close just at the back of the village.

[6] N. 381. "Clausure terre abbatie ecclesie parochiali de Seleburne, ix*s.* iiii*d.* Reparacionibus domorum predicti prioratus iiii. *lib.* xi*s.* *Aque conduct.* ibidem. xxiii*d.*

There is a person now living who had been employed formerly in digging for these pipes, and once discovered several yards, which they sold for old lead.

There was also a plot of ground called Tan House Garden: and "*Tannaria sua*," a tan-yard of their own, has been mentioned in Letter XVI. This circumstance I just take notice of, as an instance that monasteries had trades and occupations carried on within themselves[7].

Registr. B. pag. 112. Here we find a lease of the parsonage of Selborne to Thomas Sylvester and Miles Arnold, husbandmen—of the tythes of all manner of corne pertaining to the parsonage—with the offerings at the chapel of Whaddon belonging to the said parsonage. Dat. June 1. 27th. Hen. 8th. [viz. 1536.]

As the chapel of Whaddon has never been mentioned till now, and as it is not noticed by Bishop Tanner in his Notitia Monastica, some more particular account of it will be proper in this place. Whaddon was a chapel of ease to the mother church of Selborne, and was situated in the tithing of Oakhanger, at about two miles distance from the village. The farm and field whereon it stood are still called Chapel Farm and Field[8]: but there are no remains or traces of the building itself, the very foundations having been destroyed before the memory of man. In a farm yard at Oakhanger we remember a large hollow stone of a close substance, which had been used as a hog-trough, but was then broken. This stone, tradition said, had been the baptismal font of Whaddon chapel. The chapel had been in a very ruinous state in old days; but was new-built at the instance of Bishop Wainfleet, about the year 1463, during the first priorship of Berne, in

[7] There is still a wood near the Priory, called Tanner's Wood.

[8] This is a manor-farm, at present the property of Lord Stawell; and belonged probably in ancient times to Jo. de Venur, or Venuz, one of the first benefactors to the Priory.

consequence of a sequestration issued forth by that visiter against the Priory on account of notorious and shameful dilapidations[9].

The Selborne rivulet becomes of some breadth at Oakhanger, and, in very wet seasons, swells to a large flood. There is a bridge over the stream at this hamlet of considerable antiquity and peculiar shape, known by the name of Tunbridge: it consists of one single blunt Gothic arch, so high and sharp as to render the passage not very convenient or safe[10]. Here was also, we find, a bridge in very early times; for Jacobus de Hochangre, the first benefactor to the Priory of Selborne, held his estate at Hochangre by the service of providing the king one foot soldier for forty days, and by building this bridge. "Jacobus de Hochangre tenet Hochangre in com. Southampton, *per Serjantiam*[11] inveniendi unum valectum in exercitu Domini regis [scil. Henrici III^tii.] per 40 dies; et ad faciendum *pontem* de Hochangre: et valet per annn. C. s."—Blount's Ancient Tenures, p. 84.

A dove-house was a constant appendant to a manerial dwelling: of this convenience more will be said hereafter.

A corn-mill was also esteemed a necessary appendage of every manor; and therefore was to be expected of course at the Priory of Selborne.

The prior had *secta molendini*, or *ad molendinum*[12]: a

[9] See Letter XIX. of these Antiquities.—"Summa total. solut. de novis edificationibus, et reparacionibus per idem tempus, ut patet per comput."

"Videlicet de nova edificat. Capelle Marie de Wadden. xiiii *lib.* v *s.* viii *d.*—Reparacionibus ecclesie Prioratus, cancellor. et capellar. ecclesiarum et capellarum de Selborne, et Estworhlam."—&c. &c.

[10] Inconvenient antiquity has, in this instance, recently given way to modern use. The little bridge over the rivulet at Oakhanger is now low and easy of passage: it consists of three small arches. E. T. B.

[11] *Sargentia*, a sort of tenure of doing something for the king.

[12] "Servitium, quo feudatorii grana sua ad Domini molendinum, ibi molenda perferre, ex consuetudine, astringuntur."

power of compelling his vassals to bring their corn to be ground at his mill, according to old custom. He had also, according to Bishop Tanner, *secta molendini de* Strete: but the purport of Strete, we must confess, we do not understand[13]. Strete, in old English, signifies a road or highway, as Watling Strete, &c. therefore the prior might have some mill on a high road. The Priory had only one mill originally at Selborne; but, by grants of lands, it came possessed of one at Durton, and one at Oakhanger, and probably some on its other several manors[14]. The mill at the Priory was in use within the memory of man, and the ruins of the mill-house were standing within these thirty years: the pond and dam, and miller's dwelling, still remain[15]. As the stream was apt to fail in very dry summers, the tenants found their situation very distressing, for want of water, and so were forced to abandon the spot. This inconvenience was probably never felt in old times, when the whole district was nothing but woodlands: and yet several centuries ago there seem to have been two or three mills between Well-head and the Priory[16].

Occasional mention has been made of the many privileges and immunities enjoyed by the convent and its priors; but a more particular statement seems to be

[13] If, however, it be borne in mind that in the Strete of Selborne there existed another manor (Gurdon's), besides that of the Priory, it will appear probable that the privilege secta molendini de Strete extended the power of the prior so far as to enable him to compel the vassals of that manor, equally with his own vassals, to bring their corn also to be ground at his mill.—E. T. B.

[14] Thomas Knowles, president, &c. ann. Hen. 8vi xxiii.° [viz. 1532.] devised to J. Whitelie their mills, &c. for twenty years. Rent xxiii *s.* iiii *d.*—Accepted Frewen, president, &c. ann. Caroli xv. [viz. 1640.] demised to Jo. Hook and Elizabeth, his wife, the said mills. Rent as above.

[15] The miller's dwelling has since disappeared; and the Mill-field, now cultivated as a hop-ground, in name only commemorates the former use of the spot.—E. T. B.

[16] For the reason of this assertion, see Letter XXIX. to Mr. Barrington.

necessary. The author therefore thinks this the proper place, before he concludes these antiquities, to introduce all that has been collected by the judicious Bishop Tanner, respecting the Priory and its advantages, in his Notitia Monastica, a book now seldom seen, on account of the extravagance of its price; and being but in few hands cannot be easily consulted[17]. He also adds a few of its many privileges from other authorities:—the account is as follows.—Tanner, page 166.

SELEBURNE.

A Priory of black canons, founded by the often-mentioned Peter de Rupibus, Bishop of Winchester, A. D. 1233, and dedicated to the Blessed Virgin Mary: but was suppressed—and granted to William Wainfleet, Bishop of Winchester, who made it part of the endowment of St. Mary Magdalene College in Oxford. The Bishops of Winchester were patrons of it. [Pat. 17. Ed. II.]—Vide in Mon. Angl. tom. ii. p. 343. "Cartam fundationis ex ipso autographo in archivis Coll. Magd. Oxōn. ubi etiam conservata sunt registra, cartæ, rentalia et alia munimenta ad hunc prioratum spectantia.

"Extracta quædam e registro MS. in Bibl. Bodl.—Dodsworth, vol. 89. f. 140."

"Cart. antiq. N. N. n. 33. P. P. n. 48. et 71. Q. Q. n. 40. plac. coram justit. itin. [Southampton] 20 Hen. rot. 25. De eccl. de Basing, & Basingstoke. Plac. de juratis apud Winton. 40 Hen. III. rot.—Pro secta molendini de Strete. Cart. 54. Hen. III. m. 3. [*De mercatu, & feria apud* Seleborne, a mistake.]—Pat. 9. Edw. I. m.—Pat. 30. Edw. I. m.—Pat. 33. Edw. I. p. 1. m.—Pat. 35. Edw. I. m.—Pat. 1. Edw. II. p. 1. m. 9.—Pat. 5. Edw. II. p. 1. m. 21. De terris in Achanger.—Pat. 6. Edw. II. p. 1.

[17] A few days after this was written a new edition of this valuable work was announced in the month of April of the year 1787, as published by Mr. Nasmith.

m. 7. De eisdem.—Brev. in Scacc. 6 Edw. II. Pasch. rot. 8.—Pat. 17. Edw. II. p. 1. m.—Cart. 10. Edw. III. n. 24.—Quod terræ suæ in Seleburn, Achangre, Norton, Basings, Basingstoke, and Nately, sint de afforestatæ, and pro aliis libertatibus.—Pat. 12. Edw. III. p. 3. m. 3.—Pat. 13. Edw. III. p. 1. m.—Cart. 18. Edw. III. n. 24."

"N. N. 33. Rex concessit quod prior et canonici de Seleburn habeant per terras suas de Seleburne, Achangre, Norton, Brompden, Basinges, Basingstoke, & Nately, diversas libertates.

"P. P. 48. Quod prior de Seleburne habeat terras suas quietas de vasto et regardo."—Extracts from Ayloffe's Calendars of Ancient Charters.

"Placita de juratis & assis coram Salōm de Roff, & sociis suis justic. itiner. apud Wynton in comitatu Sutht.—anno regni R. Edvardi filii reg. Henr. octavo. —Et Por de Seleborn ht. in Selebr. *furc. thurset. pillory, emendasse panis, & suis.*" [cerevisiæ.]—Chapter House, Westminster.

"Placita Foreste apud Wyntōn in com. Sutham.—Anno reg. Edwardi octavo coram Rog. de Clifford.—&c. Justic. ad eadem placita audienda et tminand. assigtis.

"Carta Pror de Seleburn, H. Dei gra. rex. angl. &c. Concessim. prior. sce. Marie de Seleburn. et canonicis ibidem Deo servient. . . . . q ipi et oes hoies sui in pdcis terris suis et tenementis manentes sint in ppetum quieti de sectis Swanemotor. et omnium alior. placitor. for. et de *espeltamentis* canum. et de omnibus submonitoibz. placitis querelis et exaccoibus et occoibz. ad for. et for. et viridar. et eor. ministros ptinentibz."—Chapter House, Westminster.

"Plita Forestarum in com. Sutht. apud Suthamton . . . . . anno regni regis Edwardi tcii post conquestum quarto coram Johe Mantvers. &c. justic. itinand &c.

De hiis qui clamant libtates intra Forestas in com. Sutht.

"Prior de Selebourne clamat esse quietus erga dnm

regem de omnibus finibus et amerciamentis p tnsgr. et omnibus exaccoibz ad Dom. regem vel hered. suos ptinent. pret. plita corone reg.

" Item clamat q^d si aliquis hominum suorum de terris et ten. p. delicto suo vitam aut membrum debeat amittere, vel fugiat & judico stare noluerit, vel aliud delictum fecit pro quo debeat catella sua amittere, ubicunq; justitia fieri debeat omnia catella illa sint ptci Prioris et successor. suor. Et liceat eidem priori et ballis suis ponere se in seisinam in hujusmodi catall. in casibus pdcis sine disturbacone ballivor. dni reg. quorumcunque.

" Item clam. quod licet aliqua libtatum p dnm regem concessar. pcessu temporis quocunq; casu contingente usi non fuerint, nlominus postea eadm libtate uti possit. Et pdcus prior quesitus p justic. quo warranto clamat omn. terr. et ten. sua in Seleburne, Norton, Basynges, Basyngestoke, & Nattele, que prior domus pdte huit & tenuit X^mo. die April anno regni dni Hen. reg. pavi dni reg. nue XVIII. imppm esse quieta de vasto et regardo, et visu forestarior. et viridarior. regardator. et omnium ministrorum foreste." &c. &c.—Chapter House, Westminster.

LETTER XXVI.

THOUGH the evidences and documents of the Priory and parish of Selborne are now at an end, yet, as the author has still several things to say respecting the present state of that convent and its Grange, and other matters, he does not see how he can acquit himself of the subject without trespassing again on the patience of the reader by adding one supplementary letter.

No sooner did the Priory (perhaps much out of repair at the time) become an appendage to the college, but it must at once have tended to swift decay. Magdalen College wanted now only two chambers for the chantry priest and his assistant; and therefore had no occasion for the hall, dormitory, and other spacious apartments

belonging to so large a foundation. The roofs neglected, would soon become the possession of daws and owls; and, being rotted and decayed by the weather, would fall in upon the floors; so that all parts must have hastened to speedy dilapidation and a scene of broken ruins. Three full centuries have now passed since the dissolution; a series of years that would craze the stoutest edifices. But, besides the slow hand of time, many circumstances have contributed to level this venerable structure with the ground; of which nothing now remains but one piece of a wall of about ten feet long, and as many feet high, which probably was part of an out-house. As early as the latter end of the reign of Hen. VII. we find that a farm-house and two barns were built to the south of the Priory, and undoubtedly out of its materials. Avarice, again, has much contributed to the overthrow of this stately pile, as long as the tenants could make money of its stones or timbers. Wantonness, no doubt, has had a share in the demolition; for boys love to destroy what men venerate and admire. A remarkable instance of this propensity the writer can give from his own knowledge. When a schoolboy, more than fifty years ago, he was eyewitness, perhaps a party concerned, in the undermining a portion of that fine old ruin at the north end of Basingstoke town, well known by the name of Holy Ghost Chapel. Very providentially the vast fragment, which these thoughtless little engineers endeavoured to sap, did not give way so soon as might have been expected; but it fell the night following, and with such violence that it shook the very ground, and, awakening the inhabitants of the neighbouring cottages, made them start up in their beds as if they had felt an earthquake. The motive for this dangerous attempt does not so readily appear: perhaps the more danger the more honour, thought the boys; and the notion of doing some mischief gave a zest to the enterprise. As Dryden says upon another occasion,

"It look'd so like a sin it pleased the more."

Had the Priory been only levelled to the surface of the ground, the discerning eye of an antiquary might have ascertained its ichnography, and some judicious hand might have developed its dimensions. But, besides other ravages, the very foundations have been torn up for the repair of the highways: so that the site of this convent is now become a rough, rugged pasture-field, full of hillocks and pits, choked with nettles and dwarf-elder, and trampled by the feet of the ox and the heifer[1].

As the tenant at the Priory was lately digging among the foundations, for materials to mend the highways, his labourers discovered two large stones, with which the farmer was so pleased that he ordered them to be taken out whole. One of these proved to be a large Doric capital, worked in good taste; and the other a base of a pillar; both formed out of the soft freestone of this district. These ornaments, from their dimensions, seem to have belonged to massive columns; and show that the church of this convent was a large and costly edifice. They were found in the space which has always been supposed to have contained the south

[1] It has now been so effectually cleared as almost to have become a smooth homestead. A few heaps of stones derived, it is believed, from the last remnants of the foundations, and piled ready for use as materials, are all that remains in the Priory field to evidence the former site of that important building. Among the heaps are some fashioned stones, which will not be broken to pieces. Some fragments of columns and of a pediment, perhaps of a monument of superior pretensions, have been preserved. These are placed, together with a stone coffin that has been dug up on the spot, in the garden of the adjoining farm. A considerable number of ornamented tiles have also been found; some of which exhibit merely fancy devices, some bear eagles displayed and other apparently armorial emblems, and one bears a shield of three fleurs de luces, supported by two hawks. These tiles have been used to form the pavement of a summer house in the garden of the Priory Farm. Some fragments of stained glass have also been found, together with portions of the ornamented leaden casement including them; affording additional proofs of an important building.

Complete as the clearance has been, there is now but little probability of the occurrence of any future discovery of interest on the spot from which the very ruins of Selborne Priory have been swept away.—E. T. B.

transept of the Priory church. Some fragments of large pilasters were also found at the same time. The diameter of the capital was two feet three inches and a half; and of the column, where it had stood on the base, eighteen inches and three quarters.

Two years ago some labourers digging again among the ruins found a sort of rude thick vase or urn of soft stone, containing about two gallons in measure, on the verge of the brook, in the very spot which tradition has always pointed out as having been the site of the convent kitchen. This clumsy utensil[2], whether intended for holy water, or whatever purpose, we were going to procure, but found that the labourers had just broken it in pieces, and carried it out on the highways.

The Priory of Selborne had possessed in this village a Grange, an usual appendage to manerial estates, where the fruits of their lands were stowed and laid up for use, at a time when men took the natural produce of their estates in kind. The mansion of this spot is still called the Grange, and is the manor-house of the convent possessions in this place. The author has conversed with very ancient people who remembered the old original Grange; but it has long given place to a modern farm-house. Magdalen College holds a court-leet and court-baron[3] in the great wheat-barn of the said Grange, annually, where the president usually superintends, attended by the bursar and steward of the college[4].

The following uncommon presentment at the court is

[2] A judicious antiquary, who saw this vase, observed, that it possibly might have been a standard measure between the monastery and its tenants. The Priory we have mentioned claimed the assize of bread and beer in Selborne manor: and probably the adjustment of dry measures for grain, &c.

[3] The time when this court is held is the mid-week between Easter and Whitsuntide.

[4] Owen Oglethorp, president, &c. an. Edw. Sexti primo [viz. 1547] demised to Robert Arden, Selborne Grange, for twenty years. Rent vi^{li}. —Index of Leases.

not unworthy of notice. There is on the south side of the king's field (a large common field so called) a considerable tumulus, or hillock, now covered with thorns and bushes, and known by the name of Kite's Hill, which is presented, year by year, in court as not ploughed. Why this injunction is still kept up respecting this spot, which is surrounded on all sides by arable land, may be a question not easily solved, since the usage has long survived the knowledge of the intention thereof. We can only suppose that as the prior, besides *thurset* and *pillory*, had also *furcas*, a power of life and death, that he might have reserved this little eminence as the place of execution for delinquents. And there is the more reason to suppose so, since a spot just by is called Gally [Gallows] Hill.

The lower part of the village next the Grange, in which is a pond and a stream, is well known by the

WAY LEADING TO GRACIOUS STREET.

name of Gracious Street, an appellation not at all understood. There is a lake in Surrey, near Chobham, called also Gracious Pond: and another, if we mistake

not, near Hedleigh, in the county of Hants. This strange denomination we do not at all comprehend, and conclude that it may be a corruption from some Saxon word, itself perhaps forgotten.

It has been observed already, that Bishop Tanner was mistaken when he refers to an evidence of Dodsworth, "*De mercatu et* FERIA *de* Seleburne." Selborne never had a chartered fair; the present fair was set up since the year 1681, by a set of jovial fellows, who had found in an old almanack that there had been a fair here in former days on the 1st of August; and were desirous to revive so joyous a festival. Against this innovation the vicar set his face, and persisted in crying it down, as the probable occasion of much intemperance. However the fair prevailed; but was altered to the 29th of May, because the former day often interfered with wheat harvest. On that day it still continues to be held, and is become a useful mart for cows and calves. Most of the lower housekeepers brew beer against this holiday, which is dutied by the exciseman; and their becoming victuallers for the day without a license is overlooked.

Monasteries enjoyed all sorts of conveniences within themselves. Thus at the Priory, a low and moist situation, there were ponds and stews for their fish: at the same place also, and at the Grange in Culver Croft[5], there were dove-houses; and on the hill opposite to the Grange the prior had a warren, as the names of The Coney Crofts and Coney Croft Hanger plainly testify[6].

Nothing has been said as yet respecting the tenure or holding of the Selborne estates. Temple and Norton are manor farms and freehold; as is the manor of Chapel near Oakhanger, and also the estate at Oakhanger House and Blackmoor. The Priory and Grange are

[5] Culver, as has been observed before, is Saxon for a pigeon.

[6] A warren was an usual appendage to a manor.

leasehold under Magdalen College, for twenty-one years, renewable every seven: all the smaller estates in and round the village are copyhold of inheritance under the college, except the little remains of the Gurdon manor, which had been of old leased out upon lives, but have been freed of late by their present lord, as fast as those lives have dropped.

Selborne seems to have derived much of its prosperity from the near neighbourhood of the Priory. For monasteries were of considerable advantage to places where they had their sites and estates, by causing great resort, by procuring markets and fairs, by freeing them from the cruel oppression of forest laws, and by letting their lands at easy rates. But, as soon as the convent was suppressed, the town which it had occasioned began to decline, and the market was less frequented; the rough and sequestered situation gave a check to resort, and the neglected roads rendered it less and less accessible.

That it had been a considerable place for size formerly appears from the largeness of the church, which much exceeds those of the neighbouring villages; by the ancient extent of the burying ground, which, from human bones occasionally dug up, is found to have been much encroached upon; by giving a name to the hundred; by the old foundations and ornamented stones, and tracery of windows that have been discovered on the north-east side of the village; and by the many vestiges of disused fish-ponds still to be seen around it. For ponds and stews were multiplied in the times of popery, that the affluent might enjoy some variety at their tables on fast days; therefore the more they abounded the better probably was the condition of the inhabitants.

INDEX.

Ameria, one of the wives of Adam Gurdon, makes a grant of lands to the Priory, 558.
Anne, Queen, came to Wolmer Forest to see the red deer, 28.
Ants, observations on, 475.
Aphides, shower of, 366.
———, honey-dew their excrement, 396, *note.*
April, 1770, remarkable inclemency of, 218.
Arum eaten in hard weather by the thrush, 78.
Ashford, Thomas, last prior of Selborne, 605.
————————, pensioned by Magdalen College, 614.
Ash-tree, a rupture one, what, 295.
———, a shrew one, what, 296.
Ash-trees, difference between fertile and sterile, 483.
August, the most mute month respecting the singing of birds, 170.
Auk, Little, found near Alresford, 167.
Aurora Borealis, observation on, 493.
Ayles Holt, *alias* Alice Holt, the forest of, 36.

Barker, Thomas, some account of, 17, *note.*
Barometer, remarkable fall of, 489.
Barometers, Selborne and Newton, compared, 383.
————, South Lambeth, 383.
Barragon, a genteel corded stuff, 23.
Barrington, Daines, some account of, 190, *note.*
Bats, tame, some particulars about, 52 and *note.*
—— drink on the wing, like swallows, 53.
——, the large sort, some particulars about, 116, 130, 154.
——, characters of the British species, 155, *note.*
Beans sown by birds, 487.
Beaufort, Bp. of Winchester, his register imperfect, 582.
Beeches, observations on, 483.
Bees, wild, observations on, 470.
Berne, alias Bernes, Peter, sacrist of the Priory, 589.
—— appointed prior by lapse to the visitor, 592.
—— resigned his priorship, 595.
—— is re-elected, 600.
—— relinquishes again, 602.
—— is pensioned, 604.
Bin's, or Bean's-pond, for what remarkable, 33.
Birds, instinct of, 62 *note*, 372.
——, white varieties of, 77 and *notes.*
——, summer, of passage, a list of, 91, 190.
——, living, shown here, when from distant regions, why usually of the thick-billed genera, 140.
——, of summer passage, seen spring and autumn at Gibraltar, 143.

Birds, resentments of, 176, *note.*
———, soft-billed, that winter with us, how supported, 179.
———, of winter passage, a list of, 193.
——— that continue in full song till after Midsummer, 194.
———, soft-billed, their treatment in captivity, 199—210, *note.*
———, diseases of, 210, *note.*
———, why fatter in moderate frosts, 215.
———, what sorts are *pulveratrices*, 221.
———, what occasions their congregating, 237.
———, in the season of nidification, tame, 284.
———, various manner of motion of, 332.
———, notes and language of, 334.
———, Observations on, 427.
Bird's-nest ophrys, its propagation, 329, *note.*
Blackcap, an elegant songster, 44, 174, 199.
Black cock, supposed hybrid conjectured to be a, 438, *note.*
Black game, now extinct in the Forest, 27.
Black spring of 1771, 494.
Blackthorn winter, what, 485.
Blind-worm, some account of, 101 and *note.*
Bombylius medius, observations on, 474.
Bot-fly, horse, some account of, 149, and *note.*
Boy, an idiot, his strange propensity, 293.
Brimstone-lodge, some account of, 32.
Brooks at Selborne, what fishes they produce, 49, 103.
Buck's Horn Oak, in the Holt Forest, 481, *note.*
Bug, harvest, some account of, 146.
Bullfinch turns black, 78, 165.
Bunting, a very rare bird at Selborne, 68.
Bustard, some particulars respecting, 197 and *note.*
Butcher-bird, red backed, 108, 162.
Buzzards, honey, some account of, 184.

Calculus from the stomach of an ox, 154.
Canary birds, suggestion for their naturalization, 60.
Cancer said to be cured by toads, 104, 112.
Cane, error regarding the animal so called, 76 and *notes.*
Carp, their retirement in severe weather, 172.
Carta pro fundatione Prioratus de Seleburne, 544, *note.*
Carta petens licentiam eligendi prelatum à dom. episcop. Winton, 566, *note.*
Castration, its strange effects, 304.
Caterpillars of the oak, 468.
Cats, house, fond of fish, 138.
Chafers, observations on, 464.
Chaffinches, vast flocks of hen, 67, 78, 166, 244.
Chalk hills, why peculiarly beautiful, 255.
Chestnut timber, observations on, 484.
Church, Selborne, particulars about, 520, 528.
Clouds, morning, cause of, 492.
Coccus vitis viniferæ, rare in England, 363.
Cock-roach, observations on, 465.
Coins, Roman, found in great abundance, 36, 516.
Cornua Ammonis, where found, 13.

Cricket, field, a monography of, 346.
———, hearth, a monography of, 350.
————————, observations on 466.
———, mole, a monography of, 352.
Crocus, different seasons of blossoming of, 331.
Crossbill, particulars about, 48 and *note*, 222, 258.
Crows go in pairs the whole year, 162.
Cuckoo, various particulars about, 211, 216, 222, 234, 235, 301.
Cucumbers set by bees, 487.
Cumberland, William Duke of, takes away the red deer from Wolmer Forest, 28.
Curlew, stone, various particulars about, 78, 79, 144, 449.

Dastard, *alias* Wastard, natural son to Sir Adam Gurdon, 558.
Daws breed in unlikely places, 111, 112, 114.
Deer, red, in Wolmer Forest, some account of, 28, 29.
——, fallow, in Holt Forest, 37.
————————, their spiracula (so called), 71.
Deer, their distinctness of range, 38 and *note*.
Dispersion of birds pretty equal, why, 323.
Diver, great speckled, some account of, 447.
Dogs, Chinese, from Canton, 378.
Domesday-book, account of Selborne from, 517.
Dove, ring, food of the, 442.
——, stock, many particulars of, 162, 185, 233.
Downs, Sussex, a lovely range, 255.
Dripping weather after drought, its effects, 493.
Dung of nestling-birds, 251 and *note*.

Echo, remarks on, 316—321.
Echoes occasioned by the discharge of swivel guns, 382.
Eels, characters of the different species, 49 and *note*, 169.
Etty, Rev. Andrew, vicar of Selborne, his monument, 527.

Fair at Selborne, 628.
Fairy rings, cause of, 488 and *notes*.
Falcon, peregrine, particulars about, 45, 46, 376.
Female birds assuming male plumage, 435 and *note*.
Fern-owl; see Goat-sucker.
Fieldfares, various particulars concerning, 127, 133.
————————, their disappearance and nesting places, 228.
Fishes of the ponds on the Forest, 34 and *note*.
——— of Selborne streams, 49.
———, gold and silver, why very amusing in a glass bowl, 368.
Flies, house, observations on, 474.
Fly, bacon, injurious to the housewife, 147.
——, whame, or burrel, *Œstrus curvicauda*, 149.
Flycatcher, some particulars of, 43, 178, 243.
Fog, reflection of, 491 and *note*.
Forest-fly, some account of, 248 and *note*.
Forms, five, respecting the choosing of a prior, 566.
Fossils of the Selborne district, 11.
Frogs migrate from pools, 98.
Frost, that in January, 1768, described, 71, 384.

Frost, that in January, 1776, 388.
——, that in December, 1784, 392.
——, partial, reason of, 489.

Geological character of Selborne and its neighbourhood, 5, *note.*
German silk-tail, *Garrulus Bohemicus,* shot, 60.
Gipsies, some particulars about, 289.
Glow-worms, observations on, 476.
Goatsucker, some account of, 116, 118, 156, 302, 450.
Gossamer, a wonderful shower of, 285.
Gracious Street, lower part of the village so called, 627.
Grange, the, belonging to the Priory, 626.
Grasses, importance of the study of, 325 and *note.*
Greatham, the manor farm of, its privilege in Wolmer Forest, 31.
Grindstone Oak, in the Holt Forest, 481, *note.*
Grosbeak, some account of, 458.
Gurdon, Sir Adam, who and what, 547.
——————, his wives, 549.
——————, builds an oratory in his manor house, 549.
——————, grants the Plestor to the Priory, 552.
——————, becomes warden of Wolmer Forest, 554.
——————, a distringas against him, 556.
——————, enlists troops for the king, 556.
——————, his advanced age, 557.
Gurdon, Sir Adam, his seal and arms, 558.
——————, seems to have had no concern with the Knights Templars, 562.

Hail-storm at Selborne in summer, 1784, 398.
Hanger, the, 2.
Hares, Scottish and Irish, 128 and *note.*
Harvest scene, 510.
Hawk, sparrow, the dread of housewives, 184.
Hawkley Hanger, the amazing fall thereof, 341.
Haze, or smoky fog, the peculiar one which prevailed in the summer of 1783, 397.
Hazel, wych, 6, 7.
Heath-fires, why lighted up, 32.
Hedge-hog, some account of, 131.
Hedge-sparrows, some particulars concerning, 170, 181.
Heliotropes, summer and winter, how to make them, 338.
Hen harrier, instance of boldness in, 446.
Herissant, Mons., mistaken in his reason why cuckoos do not use incubation, 301.
Herons and heronries, 115 and *note,* 117.
Hippobosca Hirundinis, some account of, 247 and *note.*
Hirundines, British, when they arrived in three very distant counties, 283.
Hirundo rupestris found at Gibraltar, 142.
Hogs would live, if suffered, to a considerable age, 305.
Holt, Ayles, a royal forest, some account of, 36.
Honey-dew, observations on, 492.
Hoopoes, a pair observed at Selborne, 47.

Hops, the best soil for, 1.
——, culture of, 485.
House, vicarage, at Selborne, 530.
House-sparrows, their places of building, 170.
Howe, General, turns out wild boars in Holt Forest, 39.
Humming in the air, how produced, 463 and *note.*
Huxham, Dr. his account of rain at Plymouth, 382.
Hybrid pheasant, supposed, 434.

Ichneumon fly, observations on, 472.
Indentura Prioris de Selborne tradit. Petro Bernes, sacristæ, 590, *note.*
Insects, noxious, some account of, 146.
———, their sense of hearing, 319 and *note.*
———, Observations on, 462.
Instinct, sometimes varies and conforms to circumstances, 372.
———, often perfectly uniform, 372.
Invitation to Selborne, 505.
Ireland, why worthy the attention of a naturalist, 182.
Ivy berries, their great use, 485.

Jar-bird, what, 93.
Johanna, daughter and heiress of Sir Adam Gurdon, grants lands and tenements to the Priory, 558.

Knights Templars had property at Selborne, 559.
———————— lived in a mutual intercourse of good offices with the Priory, 561.
Kuckahn, some account of, 220.

Land-rail, some account of, 436.
Lanes, hollow, rocky, their peculiarities, 16, 17.
Langeland, Robert, severe on the religious, 588.
Langrish, Nicholas, sent to celebrate mass at the dissolved Priory, 615.
Lapwings, some particulars about, 167.
Larks, white, probably snow-flakes, 77.
———, grasshopper, some circumstances about, 87, 169.
Leaves, renovation of, 483.
Leper, a miserable one in the village, 312.
Leprosy, why probably less common than of old, 313.
Leveret suckled by a cat, 306.
Leverian Museum, account of, 11, *note.*
Lime blossoms, observations on, 484.
Linnets congregate and chirp, 67.
Lizard, green, some account of, 102, 114, 117.
Loaches from Ambresbury, 103.
Longspee, Ela, founds a chantry at Selborne, 564.
————————, who and what, 566.

Macroglossa Stellatarum, observations on, 470.
Male birds arrive earlier than females, 224, *note.*
Malm, black, what sort of soil, 3.
———, white, 4.
Manors and lands of the Priory, 593, *note.*
March, 1777, two wonderfully hot days in, 310.
Markwick, William, some account of, 407, *note.*
Marsham, Robert, some account of, 480, *note.*

Martin, house, seen very late, 51, 61, 112, 160, 164.
———————, a monography of, 249.
———————, more particulars concerning, 361, 369.
———, sand or bank, a monography of, 267.
———————————, more particulars about, 454.
Martin, Pope, his bull touching the Priory, 586.
Mates readily procured by widowed birds, 136.
May-fly, observations on, 469.
Mazell, Peter, some account of, 103, *note.*
Melolontha Fullo, 120.
Mercatu, de, et feriâ de Seleburne, a mistake, 628.
Mice, harvest, nondescript, 44, 56.
———————, one of their nests described, 57.
———————, further account of, 70.
Migration of birds, 41, 61, &c. 67, 118, 143, 160, 163, 171, 179, 222, 224, 230, 239, 257, 283, 370, 427, 455, 457.
————, actual, somewhat like it, 118.
————, at Gibraltar, ocular demonstration of, 230.
Mills, water, the Priory in possession of several, 620.
Mirage, observations on, 491 and *note.*
Mist called London smoke, 491.
Moose-deer, a female, some account of, 134.
————, a male, where killed, 139.
Morton, John, appointed prior of Selborne, 596.
Museum, countryman's, where, 46.
Music, its powerful effects on some men's minds, 374.
Mytilus Crista Galli, a curious fossil shell, (so called by mistake), 12.

Naturalist's Calendar, 406.
———— Summer Evening Walk, 122.
Newt, or eft, water, some account of, 99, 105.
Nightingales, some particulars concerning, 169.
————, their northern and western limits, 232 and *note.*
Nore Hill, 3.
Nose fly, observations on, 472.

Oak, a vast one planted on the Plestor, 8.
Oaks of Temple and Blackmoor, 5.
—— of Losel's Wood, 9.
——, the two great, in the Holt, 481 and *note.*
Oakhanger ponds, 33.
Osprey, or sea eagle, shot at Frinsham, 162.
Ostrea carinata, a fossil of the green sand formation, 12 and *note.*
Otter, where killed, 138, 139.
Owl, brown, a tame one, 51.
——, white or barn, various particulars respecting, 51, 137, 245.
Owls hoot in different keys, 234, 235.

Paradise of Selborne Priory, 616.
Partridge, hen, anecdote of, 433.
Partridges very plentiful on the verge of Wolmer Forest, 27.
Peacocks, their train not a tail, 153, 154.
Pennant, short account of, 40 and *note.*

Pettychaps attacks the Kentish cherries, 90, *note.*
————, a very rare bird at Selborne, 375.
Pheasant, supposed hybrid, 434.
Pigeons, their peculiar mode of drinking, 172.
Plants, the more rare in Selborne, 326.
Plestor, the, in the midst of the village, what, 8, 552.
Plover, the stilt, a rare and curious bird, 355.
Poems, 503, &c.
Polytrichum commune, used for besoms, 293.
Pond, Wolmer, its measurement, fowls, &c. 35.
Ponds on elevations, why seldom dry, 299.
Poplar, galls of Lombardy, 484.
Porch, church, its gothic arch and folding doors, 528.
Poultry, observations on, 430.
Preceptory, an unnoticed one at Selborne, 560.
————, attempt to explain the meaning of the term, 563.
Priors of Selborne, a list of the, 606.
Priory of Selborne, when and by whom founded, 540.
———, how endowed at first, 541.
———, paper containing the value of its lands and manors, 593, *note.*
———, its impropriation, 609.
———, privileges and immunities of, 620.
———, its present state, 625.
———, fragments discovered in its foundations, 625 and *note.*
Ptinus pectinicornis, observations on, 464.

Queen's bank, why so called, 28.

Rabbits, why destroyed, 30, 31.
Rabbits, some particulars concerning, 459 and *note.*
Rain, mean of, not to be ascertained till after many years, 17.
———, what has fallen at Selborne of late years, 17, 496.
——— of Selborne compared with that of Plymouth, 382.
Rainbow, on the, 509.
Ranatra linearis, observations on, 467 and *note.*
Rat, water, two species suspected, 45.
————, a curious anecdote concerning, 129.
Redbreasts, why supposed to sing in autumn only, 170.
Redstart, its singularities, 170, 178.
Redwings, their disappearance and nesting places, 228.
————, the first birds that suffer by frost, 235.
Relics belonging to the Priory, 589.
Reptiles of the Selborne district, 95.
Ring-ousel, various particulars concerning, 108, 112, 115, 120, 124, 127, 140, 160, 163, 222, 258.
Rooks, some particulars concerning, 258, 381, 429.
———, perfectly white, 77.
Ruperta, whose daughter and wife, 36, 37.
Rupibus, or Roche de la, Peter de, who and what, 540.
Rushes instead of candles, of much utility in humble life, 290.
Rutland, county of, what rain fell there, 229.

Sand-martin's flea, 270 and *note.*
Sandpiper found near Selborne, 108.
Sap, observations on the flowing of, 483.

Scallops, or pectines, where found, 13.
Scenery of Selborne and its neighbourhood, 5, *note.*
Scotland, in what its maps are defective, 183.
Secta molendini, claimed by the prior, 619.
Sedge-bird, some particulars about, 120, 125, 129, 168, 199, 200.
Seeds lying dormant, 486.
Selborne Hanger, a winter piece, 507.
——— parish, its situation and abuttals, 1.
——— village, how circumstanced, 3.
———, the manor of, abounds with game, 17.
———, parish of, of vast extent, why, 17.
———, population, births, and burials of, 20 and *note.*
———, rain, quantity of, considerable, why, 17.
——— produces nearly half the birds of Great Britain, 179.
———, why a Saxon village, 517, 518.
——— becomes a market-town, 553.
——— derived much of its prosperity from the Priory, 629.
Sharp, John, appointed prior, 603.
Sheep, close grazers, 31 and *nt.*
———, Sussex, horned and hornless, 256 and *note.*
———, some particulars concerning, 459.
Shells, fossil, of the Selborne district, 11.
Shingles, Selborne church mostly covered with, 529.
Sleet, frozen, 491.
Slugs suspending themselves by a thread, 101, *note.*
Slugs very injurious to wheat, 309.
Snails and slugs, observations on, 477.
Snake, delights in the water, 102.
———, stinks *se defendendo,* 125.
Snake's slough, some account of, 478.
Snipes, their piping and humming, 44, 94, 167.
Snow-fleck sometimes seen at Selborne, 124, 127.
Sociality in the brute creation, instances of, 287.
Song of birds, how acquired, 226, *note.*
Sow, prodigious fecundity of one, 305.
Sphinx ocellata, observations on, 470.
Squirrels, some particulars concerning, 460 and *note.*
——— suckled by a cat, 460.
Sticklebacks endure a high temperature, 368 and *note.*
Stone, free, its uses and advantages, 14.
———, rag, its qualities and uses, 14.
———, sand or forest, 15.
———, yellow or rust-colour, 15.
Stonechat, its migration and habits, 164 and *note.*
Stone curlew, some account of, 78, 79, 80, 110, 144.
Στοργη of animals, several instances of, 242.
Storm-cock, what, and why so called, 163.
Suborbital sinuses in deer and antelopes, 72, *notes.*
Sudington, a preceptory, 559.
Summers, 1781 and 1783, unusually sultry, 395.
Swallows, their supposed torpidity, 41, 42.
———, their congregating and disappearing, 61, 118, 156, 455.

Swallows, comparative length of the feathers in the tail of the two sexes, 168.
————, house, seen very late, 239.
Swallow, house or chimney, a monography of, 259.
————, more particulars about, 376.
Swift, its mode of drinking, 168.
——, or black martin, a monography of, 273.
——, the same number usually seems to return to the same place, 322.
——, more circumstances about, 362.
Swifts, their supposed torpidity, 42.
Sycamore, observations on, 484.
Sylvia, remarks on the subdivision of the genus, 177, *note.*

Teals, where bred, 168, 245.
Templars, Knights, their property in Selborne, 559.
Temple, manor-house so called described, 550.
Tenure of the Selborne estates, 628.
Thaws sometimes surprisingly quick, 490.
Thrushes, why serviceable to gardens, 429.
Thrush, missel, particulars concerning, 284, 429.
Tiles, ornamented, used in the Priory, 617, 625, *notes.*
Timber, a large fall of, in the Holt Forest, 39.
——— of the Holt, its value, 34 and *note.*
Tipulæ, observations on, 475.
Titlark, some account of, 163, 201.
Titmice, various particulars respecting, 171 and *note*, 181.
Toads, particulars about, 95 and *note.*
Toads, cancer said to be cured by, 104, 112.
———, enormous consumption tion of, 328, *note.*
Tortoise, a family one, many particulars concerning, 223, 240, 258, 357.
Tower, the church, 528.
————————, its bells tuneable, 528.
————————, motto on the treble bell, just as it is printed, 528.
Tree-frog, an innocent creature, 99, *note.*
Trees, why perfect alembics, how, 171, 298.
———, order of their losing their leaves, 479.
———, size and growth of, 480.
Tremella nostoc, 488.
Truffles, some particulars concerning, 487.
Tun-bridge, by whom built, 619.
————, its present state, 619, *note.*
Turnip-flies, their ravages, 148 and *note.*
Turnstones, their migrations, 236, *note.*

Vegetables, great increase in the use of, 315.
Vicarage of Selborne, some account of, 533.
Vicars of Selborne, a list of, 534.
Viper, some account of, 100, 101, 303.
Visitatio notabilis de Seleburne, abridged, 571, &c.

Wagtail, some account of, 457.
Waldon-lodge, what, and by whom kept up, 32.
Waltham blacks much infested Wolmer Forest, 28.
————————, by their enormities occasioned the Black Act, 29.

Warblers, their treatment in captivity, 199—210, *note.*
Warbler, East Woodhay, described, 177, *note.*
Wasps, observations on, 471.
Water, characteristics of hard and soft, 4, *note.*
Waynflete, William of, endeavours to reform the Priory, 589.
————————————, dissolves it, 612.
Weather, summary of the, 495, 497.
Well-head, a fine perennial spring, 3.
Wells, their usual depth in the village, 4.
Whaddon chapel, where, 618.
Wheat, hot summers produce fine crops of, 487.
Wheatear, some account of, 68, 165, 257.
Whinchat, its migration and habits, 164 and *note.*
White, Gilbert, his monument, 527.
White, Gilbert, grandfather of the historian, his monument, 526.
Whitethroat, some particulars about, 172.
————————, lesser, particulars concerning, 173, *note.*
Willow-wrens, the different species of, characterised, 80 and *note*, 106 and *note.*
Winchester, Hoadley Bishop of, his humane objection to restocking Waltham chase with deer, 29.
Wolmer Forest, some account of, 25, 33, 36.
————————————, how abutted upon, 25.
Wolmer Forest has abounded with fossil trees, 25, 26, 380.
———————————— haunted by many sorts of wild fowl, 27.
———————————— once abounded with black game, 27.
———————————— once abounded with red deer, 28.
Wood, fossil, where found, 26, 380.
Wood, Losel's, its taper oaks, 9.
————————————, its raven tree, 10.
Woodcocks, some account of, 227, 232, 236.
Worms, earth, some account of, 308, 476.
Wren, golden-crowned, some particulars about, 180 and *note.*
Wrens, willow, three species, 106.
Wryneck, some account of, 458.
Wykeham, William of, his liberal behaviour towards the Priory, 581.
————————————, his *Visitatio notabilis*, 571.
Wynchestre, John, chosen prior, 583, 584.
Wyndesor, William, elected prior irregularly, and set aside by the visitor, 597, 598.

Yard, church, of Selborne, a scanty one, 529.
Yeoman-prickers, their agility as horsemen, 28, 29.
Yew-tree, a vast one, in Selborne churchyard, 531.
Yew-trees, their poisonous qualities, 531.
————————, why planted in churchyards, 533.

FINIS.

CHISWICK: PRINTED BY C. WHITTINGHAM.